

# **Differences in male coloration are predicted by divergent sexual selection between populations of a cichlid fish.**

Selz, O.M.<sup>1,2</sup>, Thommen, R.<sup>2</sup>, Pierotti, M.E.R.<sup>4</sup>, Anaya-Rojas, J. M.<sup>2,3</sup> & Seehausen, O.<sup>1,2</sup>.

Key words: mate choice, assortative mating, sexual selection, reproductive isolation, sensory drive, cichlid,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Fish Ecology and Evolution, EAWAG Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Center for Ecology, Evolution and Biogeochemistry, Seestrasse 79, CH-6047 Kastanienbaum, Switzerland,

<sup>2</sup>Aquatic Ecology and Evolution, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 6, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Aquatic Ecology, EAWAG Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Center for Ecology, Evolution and Biogeochemistry, Seestrasse 79, CH-6047 Kastanienbaum, Switzerland,

<sup>4</sup> Naos Laboratories, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama, Calzada de Amador, Bd 356, 0843-03092 Panama

## **Abstract**

Female mating preferences can influence both intraspecific sexual selection and interspecific reproductive isolation and have therefore been proposed to play a central role in speciation. Here, we investigate experimentally in the African cichlid fish *Pundamilia nyererei* if differences in male coloration between three para-allopatric populations (i.e. island populations with gene flow) of *P.nyererei* are predicted by differences in sexual selection by female mate choice between populations. Second, we investigate if female mating preferences are based on the same components of male coloration and go in the same direction when females choose among males of their own population, their own and other conspecific populations and a closely related para-allopatric sister-species, *P.igneopinnis*. Mate-choice experiments revealed that females of the three populations mated species-assortatively, that populations varied in their extent of population-assortative mating and that females chose among males of their own population based on different male colors. Females of different populations exerted directional intrapopulation sexual selection on different male colors, and these differences corresponded in two of the populations to the observed differences in male coloration between the populations. Our results suggest that differences in male coloration between populations of *P.nyererei* can be explained by divergent sexual selection and that population-assortative mating may directly result from intrapopulation sexual selection.

## Introduction

A broad range of animals has evolved a remarkably diverse set of secondary sexual signals that are used in mate choice both at the intra- and interspecific level [1]. Such sexual signals can diverge between populations and species and thereby play an important role in the origin and maintenance of premating reproductive isolation, facilitating speciation and species co-existence [2,3,4]. Several mechanisms can drive signal divergence between populations including mutation and random genetic drift [5,6], continuous but otherwise unpredictable sexual selection for novel or exaggerated signals (i.e. run-away sexual selection [7,8]), ecologically mediated sensory bias sexual selection (i.e. sensory drive [9]), and ecological selection (e.g. differential predation [10]) (reviewed in [11]). Sensory drive has been shown in many different animal groups including birds [12], reptiles [13], insects [14] and fish [10]. Sensory drive suggests that habitat heterogeneity will cause divergent selection on sensory systems and/or signals associated with mate choice and hence affect mating preferences, potentially facilitating speciation [9,15]. The strongest evidence for sensory drive in visual communication, showing a link between the divergence both in signal and visual system and reproductive isolation, comes from fish (Stickleback: [16] / Cichlid: [17]).

In haplochromine cichlid fish of the East African Lakes, which are known for their extensive diversification in secondary sexual and ecological traits [18], visual signals can be a target of sexual selection by female mate choice both at the intra- and interspecific (i.e. within populations, and between populations and species) level (reviewed in [19]). It has been suggested that female choice for male coloration might play a key role in the evolution of reproductive isolation and speciation of African cichlids [20,21,22,23].

Cichlids in the genus *Pundamilia* have been intensively studied to test this hypothesis (reviewed in [24]). In the genus *Pundamilia* it has been shown that reproductive isolation by female mate choice most likely arose as a consequence of a sensory drive divergence process [17]. The species divergence in male coloration and female mating preferences observed between the sister-species *Pundamilia pundamilia* (primarily blue nuptial coloration) and *P. nyererei* (primarily red and yellow) correlates with divergent underwater light regimes and divergent visual sensitivities. The two species are geographically fully sympatric at several islands in Lake Victoria, but within an island they inhabit subtly different depth ranges with different light environments [25]. Behavioural mating preference and mate choice experiments have shown that the differences in color between males of the two species are necessary and sufficient for species-assortative mating [26,27]. *P. nyererei* inhabits deeper waters with more red-shifted light conditions and has a more red-shifted retinal visual pigment composition than *P. pundamilia* [17,28,29]. The extent of differentiation in visual sensitivity to certain wavelengths of light and the strength of reproductive isolation in this species pair both vary with the extent of depth habitat differentiation between the species, such that they show reduced or no reproductive isolation where the difference in depth and in visual sensitivity is smaller or absent [17]. The extent of differentiation in depth habitat, visual sensitivity and reproductive isolation between the two species

also co-varies positively with water transparency at different islands [17]. In one of the two species, namely in *P. nyererei*, the populations from turbid and clear water islands differ in the expression patterns of retinal visual pigments [28,29] and in some components of male coloration [30]. The coloration co-varies positively with water transparency, such that the colors red and yellow are more saturated, shifted towards longer wavelengths (i.e. redder), and are less variable (i.e. similar hue values) in populations that inhabit clear waters compared to populations from more turbid waters [30]. This correlates with a dramatic change in the environmental light spectrum from turbid to clear water islands [21,30]. It has been proposed that this might generate divergent selection between para-allopatric populations (i.e. island populations with gene flow) of *P. nyererei* and that the observed differences in color between these conspecific populations are adaptations to different underwater light environments [30,31].

Here, we test if the observed divergence in components of male coloration between island populations of *P. nyererei* may be explained by intraspecific sexual selection that may be divergent between populations. Previous studies in two populations of *P. nyererei* have demonstrated directional sexual selection on male coloration, such that when females from a moderately turbid and a clear water population could choose among two own-population males they both showed a preference for redder males and additionally the females from the clear water population preferred blacker and more yellowish males [32,33]. We expand on these findings and test if possible population differences in intraspecific mating preferences for components of male coloration predicts interpopulation differences in coloration. We further investigate if interpopulation and interspecific mating preferences are based on the same components of male coloration and go in the same direction as intraspecific sexual selection within the three populations of *P. nyererei*. Compared to the behavioural preference test studies of Maan et al. [32,33] we measured several more colors in males and investigated whether variation in male colors within and between populations predicts male mating success in real mating experiments. In mate-choice experiments we allowed females of three different island populations of *P. nyererei* to choose among two males each of their own and the other two populations and among two males of a geographically nearby population of the closely related sister-species *P. igneopinnis*. We included males of the species *P. igneopinnis* in our experiment because it resembles *P. nyererei* in ecology, morphology and visual system [17] and the main difference between the two species is in male coloration and the structure of the habitats they inhabit [34,35]. *P. igneopinnis* tends to live at steeper sloping shores and whereas the male nuptial coloration of *P. nyererei* consists mainly of red, yellow and black that of *P. igneopinnis* consists almost completely of black with only small patches of red and yellow. Hence, we aimed additionally at testing if intraspecific mating preference for blacker males, previously demonstrated in *P. nyererei* [32,33], may result in heterospecific matings with the nearly black males of the para-allopatric *P. igneopinnis*.

## **Material and methods**

### **Study species**

*Pundamilia nyererei* [36] and *Pundamilia igneopinnis* [35] are members of the mbipi (rock-dwelling) group of haplochromine cichlids endemic to Lake Victoria, East Africa. The populations of *P. nyererei* and *P. igneopinnis* inhabit rocky shores of islands in the south-eastern parts of Lake Victoria [34]. We compared mate choice of females of para-allopatric populations [37] of *P. nyererei* from three different islands that vary in water transparency: Python island (moderately turbid water [30]), Makobe island and Senga point (clear water [30 and 38 respectively]). Senga point is a rocky outcrop at the tip of a peninsula. The population of *P. igneopinnis* comes from Igombe Island, situated half way between Senga Point and Makobe Island. Populations from three of the four islands inhabit relatively deep (Python (2-5 m), Makobe (4-7 m), Igombe (2-5 m), Senga (1-2 m)) and red-shifted (in terms of wavelengths of light) waters. We will refer hereafter in the figures and tables to the *P. nyererei* populations from Makobe as PNM, from Python as PNP, from Senga as PNS and *P. igneopinnis* as PII. The majority of haplochromine cichlids (including the species in our study) are maternal mouthbrooders and sexually dimorphic in a number of characters (e.g., size, color, and behaviour) [39].

Females in *P. nyererei* are in general cryptically yellowish brown with dark vertical bars on the flanks and show no strong variation in morphology or color. Previous studies in *Pundamilia* suggest that males do not visually discriminate between females of own and other populations based on color or morphology [26,27]. In general the males of *P. nyererei* are yellow between the bars on the lower flanks but red around and above the lateral line. The dorsal, anal and caudal fins are orange to red and the pelvic fin is black. The males of the three populations of *P. nyererei* show some differences in hue, saturation and the extent of the different colors. *P. igneopinnis* consists almost completely of black coloration with only small patches of red and yellow. A detailed description and representative photos depicting the differences in color observed between the three populations of *P. nyererei* and of *P. igneopinnis* can be found in figure S1 in the supplement material.

## **Housing**

We used laboratory-bred fish from multiple generations from large stock populations (approx. N=100). Fish were raised and kept in groups containing both sexes of only one population. Tanks were part of a central recirculation system. The fish had no direct previous experience with individuals from other populations or species. Both, the stock aquariums and the experimental aquarium had water temperature at  $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  and a 12:12 h light/dark cycle.

## **Experimental setup**

We used a full contact, partial partition mate-choice design conducted in a large octagonal aquarium (approx. 10 m and 5 m in outer and inner circumference respectively, 0.8 m in width and 0.8 m in height), which contained for each experiment females of a single population of *P. nyererei* and eight males that held non-overlapping circular territories (1 m in diameter, 0.8 m in height). Each male was enclosed in a net cage with mesh sizes that allowed the smaller females to enter and leave the cage, while

confining the larger males inside their territories. The cages included a PVC tube as a refuge to motivate territoriality. The position of the males in the cages was randomized in every replicate. A replicate always consisted of a unique combination of males. For all replicates (with one exception) we had two males (i.e. a male-pair) each of the three *P. nyererei* populations and of *P. igneopinnis*. For one replicate with females from Makobe only one male of *P. igneopinnis* was available. For a detailed description on the experimental procedure and data collection see supplementary material.

The experiments with the females of Makobe were carried out in two different time periods, October to December 2008 and January to March 2012 (referred to hereafter in all tables as PNM\_1 and PNM\_2, respectively). The females of Senga were tested from March to May 2012 and the females of Python from June to September 2012.

### Parentage assignment

5 microsatellites (pPun05, pPun07, pPun17, pPun21, pPun32) were genotyped and used for the parentage assignment. A detailed genotyping protocol is described in Selz et al. [27]. On average, a total of  $8 \pm 3$  (standard deviation), that is,  $34 \pm 19\%$  (s.d.) (Table S4), of the fry per clutch were genotyped, as well as all mothers and potential fathers. We repeated the PCR amplification and genotyping deliberately for all parents at least twice. Genotypes were visualized using the program GeneMarker and scored manually. The assignment of offspring and parents was performed using a parental-exclusion program running in Visual Basic for Excel (VITASSIGN V8-5.1; [41]). We allowed for up to two mismatches to assign a sire [41] (Table S4).

### Color analysis

All males were photographed after each replicate was terminated (i.e. a female had spawned) under standard light conditions with the same SLR camera (Canon 60D) and 50mm macro lens (Canon). The aperture was set to 10 and ISO to 100. In Photoshop (Adobe Systems Inc.) white balance was adjusted in each photo to the white standard (Kodak) that was attached to the front side of the cuvette. Afterwards the photos were cropped to include only the fish's body including the eyes and the fins (except for the pelvic fins) to measure the different colors in ImageJ with the color criteria defined in Table S5. Coloration is composed of a combination of hue (color), saturation (chroma) and brightness [42] and we adjusted these three parameters accordingly in ImageJ for each color component (Table S5). With the aid of an attached color strip the parameters to delineate the different colors were defined, whereby all white-balanced photos were screened.

Compared to previous studies on *P. nyererei* populations [32,33] we used slightly different and refined criteria for all three parameters (hue, saturation and brightness) of the different colors (Table S5). We divided the hue range of the color "red" defined in previous studies by Maan et al. [32,33] into three different ranges resulting in the colors "red", "orange" and "magenta". A further color component that we added was "blue" and we used the same definition of "yellow" and "black" as did Maan et al. [32,33]. We calculated the percentage of the fish body covered by the

different colors by dividing the number of pixels of each color component by the total number of pixels (i.e. the whole body of the fish). The percentage of body coverage will hereafter be referred to as redscore, orangescore, yellowscore, bluescore, magentascore and blackscore. Color analyses were done for all three population mate choice experiments except for those from the experimental period PNM\_1. Color analyses were not conducted on males from the PNM\_1 experimental period, because the photos were not taken under standardized light conditions and camera settings.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out in R (version 3.2.2.). Generalized linear mixed effects models (GLMM) were used, in which the factor replicate and nested within it the factor spawning were included as random effects to account for the unique combination of males and the number of spawning in each replicate. All models were tested for overdispersion (none of the models were significantly overdispersed). The mate choice data was coded as 1 for males that sired a clutch and 0 for males that did not sire a clutch and this binomial data was analyzed with GLMM's with logistic link function using the R-package lme4. Model selection was performed with a backward approach (unless otherwise mentioned) using the drop-function in R, whereby explanatory variables with the highest p-value were removed as long as the model likelihood (AIC) increased.

Three separate principal component analysis (referred to as population-specific PCA's) were done on all *P. nyererei* males from each of the three *P. nyererei* population mate choice experiments to see if females of each of the three populations could choose among similar male color variation found in the three populations of *P. nyererei*.

To test for intraspecific sexual selection in each population mate choice experiment we used all male color traits (after scaling each colorscore to the mean and standard deviation) as explanatory variables in a GLMM. We included all homotypic spawnings in the model to test if females showed sexual selection for certain colorscores between the homotypic male-pairs. Backward model selection was used for the PNM and PNP mate choice experiments. However, for the population of PNS the full model containing all 6 colorscores could not converge and hence model selection was performed with a forward approach, whereby one variable at a time was first tested and then a second variable was added to the one-variable model with the lowest AIC. This procedure persisted until adding an extra variable would no longer increase the model likelihood (AIC). Furthermore, in the model selection of the PNP mate choice experiment, the model with the lowest AIC resulted in a model with four color variables for which individual parameters could not uniquely be determined. We used a "forced" approach, in which we removed one of the four variables, which resulted in models with only three of the four variables that each gave estimates for the individual parameters.

To test for population-assortative mating we tested with a binomial test if females of each *P. nyererei* population significantly preferred to mate with homotypic males over heterotypic males of each of the other *P. nyererei* populations and over males of both of the other populations. Furthermore, to test if colorscores can predict

the population-assortative mating patterns, we used the first two principal components derived from the population-specific PCA's as explanatory variables in a GLMM. Three separate principal component analysis (referred to as population-specific PCA's) were done on all *P. nyererei* males from each of the three *P. nyererei* population mate choice experiments. Based on scree plots and the percentage of variation explained the first two principal components from each of these population-specific PCA's were used to plot the color-space occupied by the three populations and used as explanatory variables in GLMM's.

To test for interspecific assortative mating we used a binomial test to test whether females of each *P. nyererei* population significantly preferred to mate with males of *P. nyererei* over males from *P. igneopinnis*.

## Results

### Testing for intraspecific sexual selection

We tested for each population separately if females were consistent in their choice with regard to differences in phenotypic traits (i.e. color) between the two homotypic males. Females of all three populations exerted intraspecific directional sexual selection on color. The direction of this selection and the targeted male colors differed between populations.

In the most likely model females from Makobe showed significant mating preferences for homotypic males with higher redscores ( $P=0.016$ ) and bluescores ( $P=0.013$ ) and blackscore explained a non-significant part of the variation in mating ( $P=0.193$ , table S1&S2). Hence, females from Makobe preferred to mate with homotypic males with significantly higher red- and bluescores and higher blackscores, albeit non-significant (figure 1 (a-c)).

For females from Python island the most likely model contained the explanatory variables red-, orange-, yellow- and blackscore ( $AIC=27.5$ ; table S1&S2). However, in this model individual parameters could not uniquely be estimated most likely due to the fact that the four variables share most of the variation equally (table S2). Removal of one of the four variables (i.e. "forced" backward selection) resulted in highly significant effects of all or most of the other explanatory variables with one exception (table S2), but the model fit decreased ( $AIC$  range between 42.2 and 63.6, table S1). Hence, Python females showed significant mating preferences for homotypic males with higher red-, orange-, yellow- and blackscores (figure 1 (d-g)).

The most likely model could not be identified with a backward selection approach for females from Senga island since the model containing all 6 variables did not converge. Instead a forward selection approach yielded a most likely model containing the colorscores orange and black. Orangescor explained a significant fraction of the variation in mating ( $P=0.025$ ), whereas blackscore did not ( $P=0.178$ ). Females from Senga showed mating preferences for homotypic males with significantly lower orangescor and higher blackscores, albeit non-significant (figure 1 (h-i)).



### **Population-differences in *P. nyererei* male color**

The PCA plots from the population-specific PCA's on the color scores showed that females from all three populations could choose among males from the three populations with similar color variation (figure 2 (a-c)). The PCA plots in all three *P. nyererei* mate choice experiments revealed that the Python population occupied a relatively unique part of the color-space. The Makobe population also occupied a relatively unique part of the color-space, but part of the males of the Makobe population showed an overlap with the Python population. The Senga population overlapped equally with both other populations.

### **Between population variation in the extent of population-assortative mating**

Makobe and Python females showed significant tendencies towards population-assortative mating, albeit incomplete, among males of the three different *P. nyererei* populations.

Trials with Makobe females were done in two different time periods (PNM\_1 and PNM\_2). During the first phase (PNM\_1) in a total of nine replicates six Makobe females mated with a Makobe male and two each mated with a Python or Senga male. In the second phase (PNM\_2), in a total of seven replicates, thirteen Makobe females mated with a Makobe male, four with a Python male and one with a Senga male. The distribution of mating decisions of Makobe females did not differ significantly between the two phases (PNM\_1 vs. PNM\_2,  $\chi^2$ -test, 6-2-2 vs. 13-1-4,  $\chi^2=2.37$ ,  $P=0.306$ ), therefore the data sets were combined. Makobe females showed a moderate tendency for population-assortative mating, choosing homotypic males in nineteen out of twenty-eight spawnings (68% assortative, PNM vs. PNP+PNS = 19 vs. 9,  $P=0.087$ ). In a total of sixteen replicates nineteen Makobe females mated with Makobe males, six with Python males, and three with Senga males. Females from Makobe significantly preferred to mate with homotypic males compared to males of Python or Senga (PNM vs. PNP = 19 vs. 6,  $P=0.015$ ; PNM vs. PNS = 19 vs. 3,  $P<0.001$ ). In the most likely model females from Makobe showed significant mating preferences for males expressing more negative values on the principal component axis 1 (PC1) derived from the population-specific PCA ( $P<0.001$ ; Table S1&S2, figure 2(d)). Two of the three colors (redscore and blackscore, respectively) that are under positive directional sexual selection in males from Makobe have a strong negative loading on PC1 (redscore = -0.362, blackscore = -0.907; table S3). Yet, bluescore, which is also under positive directional sexual selection in males from Makobe shows a weak positive loading on PC1 (bluescore = 0.128; table S3).

Python females showed strong population-assortative mating, choosing homotypic males in twenty out of twenty-three spawnings (87% assortative). In a total of seven replicates twenty Python females mated with a Python male and three with a Makobe male. None mated with a Senga male (PNP vs. PNM = 20 vs. 3, binomial test  $P<0.001$ ). For females from Python island the most likely model contained the explanatory variables PC1 and PC2. Females from Python showed a trend of preferring mates with positive values on PC1 and showed significant mating preference for males with negative values on PC2 (PC1:  $P=0.073$ , PC2:  $P=0.001$ , table S2, figure 2(e)).



Three of the four colors (red-, orange- and yellowscore, respectively) that are under positive directional sexual selection in males from Python have a strong negative loading on PC2 (redscore = -0.623, orangescore = -0.388, yellowscore = -0.547; table S3). Yet, blackscore, which is also under positive directional sexual selection in males from Python shows a positive loading on PC2 (blackscore = 0.305; table S3).

Finally, Senga females mated randomly among homotypic males and the other two *P. nyererei* populations and actually showed a tendency for negative assortative mating choosing homotypic males in seven out of twenty-three spawnings (29% assortative, PNS vs. PNM+PNP = 7 vs. 16,  $P=0.093$ ). In fact, they showed a weak, non-significant mating preference for males from Makobe. In a total of seven replicates seven Senga females mated with Senga males, thirteen with Makobe males, and three with Python males (PNS vs. PNM = 7 vs. 13,  $P=0.263$ ; PNS vs. PNP, 7 vs. 3,  $P=0.344$ ). This is also reflected in the most likely model for females from Senga. Females from Senga showed significant mating preferences for males with negative values on PC1 ( $P=0.013$ , table S3, figure 2(f)). The two colorscores that load heavily negative on PC1 are red- and blackscore (redscore = -0.355, blackscore = -0.926, table S3), which are the colors that most strongly distinguish between the Makobe population and the other two populations on the PCA bi-plots (figure 2(c)). Blackscore is under positive directional sexual selection in males from Senga, whereas orangescore is under negative directional sexual selection in males from Senga and has a very marginal negative loading on PC1 (orangescore = -0.07, table S3).

### Species-assortative mating

*P. nyererei* females from all three populations showed strong to complete species-assortative mating, mating more often with males of *P. nyererei* than with *P. igneopinnis* (all:  $P<0.001$ ). Both, Makobe and Python females showed complete species-assortative mating, exclusively mating with males of *P. nyererei* and never with males of *P. igneopinnis* (100% assortative). Senga females showed strong interspecific assortative mating, mating only once with a *P. igneopinnis* male (96% assortative).

### Discussion

Sexual selection has been proposed to play an important role in speciation in animals [2,3,4]. It is often thought to contribute to speciation via the co-evolution of male signals and female mating preference for those signals, resulting in behavioural isolation between divergent lineages (i.e. morphs, populations or species) [1,2,7,43]. In cichlid fish male visual signals (i.e. nuptial coloration) have been shown to be a target of sexual selection by female mate choice both at the intra- and interspecific level [19] and female choice for male coloration has been suggested to promote speciation in African cichlids [20,21,22,23]. Yet, it has rarely been tested whether interpopulation and interspecific assortative mating preferences might result from intrapopulation sexual selection [19,40].

Here we show in a single mate choice experiments firstly that female mate choice in three para-allopatric populations of the cichlid fish *Pundamilia nyererei*

exerts directional sexual selection for different male colors. Secondly, that females of the three populations show incomplete assortative mating among populations. Populations showed a continuum from negatively assortative mating (females from Senga, 29% homotypic matings), to a moderate tendency of population-assortative mating (females from Makobe, 68% homotypic matings) and finally a strong tendency of population-assortative mating (females from Python, 87% homotypic matings). Thirdly, that females of all three populations mated species-assortatively, mating exclusively (Makobe and Python females, 100% assortative) or nearly so (Senga females, 96% assortative) with *P. nyererei* males rather than with males of the closely related, para-allopatric (i.e. island populations with gene flow) species *P. igneopinnis*. Fourthly, in the two populations that showed population-assortative mating (i.e. Makobe and Python) the colors that predicted population-assortative mating (i.e. loadings on the PC-axes) corresponded to some extent to the colors that are under directional sexual selection in the homotypic males.

Females of all three *P. nyererei* populations exerted directional sexual selection on components of male coloration, but females of different populations selected for different components. The differences in male coloration that we observed between populations correspond to some extent to the colors that we found to be under directional sexual selection within the populations of Makobe and Python. Specifically, females from Makobe preferred redder, blacker and bluer homotypic males and PC1, which significantly predicted the population-assortative mating of females from Makobe, had strong loadings in the same direction of red- and blackscore with low loadings of bluescore in the opposite direction. The females from Python preferred males with more red, orange, yellow and black and PC2, which predicted significantly the population-assortative mating of females from Python, had strong loadings in the same direction for red-, yellow- and orangescore with minor loading for blackscore in the opposite direction. The differences in intraspecific directional sexual selection on certain male colors between two of the three populations (i.e. Makobe and Python) may partly explain the population-assortative mating in females from Makobe and Python and the differences in coloration between the populations.

Our color-space analysis revealed that the three populations of *P. nyererei* occupy to various extents unique parts of color-space. The distribution of males in the total color-space of the three populations suggests that the populations of Makobe and Senga are composed of two color-morphs of males, one with a darker underside (i.e. black) that represents the relatively unique color-space of Makobe males and one with a lighter underside (i.e. blue) that represents the relatively unique color-space of Python males. Several populations of *P. nyererei* including the population of Python are known to feature males with blue undersides and other populations including Makobe are known to feature black underside males [33,35]. Our data suggest that both color-morphs can exist within individual populations (Senga, Makobe) and that females of all populations mate with males of both color-morphs, but in very different proportions.

Females of the different *P. nyererei* populations did not only show intraspecific directional sexual selection and intraspecific between-population-assortative mating, but also strong interspecific-assortative mating. Females of all three populations mated

species-assortatively, choosing to mate exclusively (Python and Makobe) or almost so (Senga) with *P. nyererei* males rather than with males of the closely related, parapatric sister-species *P. igneopinnis*. Males of all three populations have a common color component found on the dorsum and in the dorsal fin (i.e. a color range from red to orange to magenta). This color component is also present in *P. igneopinnis* males but much less extensive. This main difference in color between the two species could possibly underlie species-assortative mating of *P. nyererei* females. *P. igneopinnis* males are completely black on the whole body and bright orange is confined to the edge and posterior part of the dorsal fin. Red coloration on the dorsum in *P. igneopinnis* is confined to dorsal areas on the head.

Previous studies by Maan and colleagues demonstrated that the colors red, yellow and black are under directional sexual selection in *P. nyererei* populations [32,33]. Based on behavioural preference experiments they showed that wild-caught females from Makobe and from Kissenda Islands (i.e. an island similar in light conditions, turbidity and in male coloration as Python Island) both preferred males with higher redscores [33]. They further showed that Makobe females preferred males with high yellowscores and showed a trend to prefer males with high blackscores [33]. Makobe males in their study also had significantly higher red- and blackscores compared to Kissenda males and males of both populations did not differ in yellowscores [33]. In an earlier study by Maan et al. [32] wild-caught females from Makobe showed in behavioural preference experiments to prefer redder males. In mate choice experiments in ponds wild-caught females mated significantly more with males with higher blackscores and showed a trend to mate with redder males [32]. We used slightly different criteria to define the different colors such that the color red in the studies by Maan and colleagues is equivalent to the sum of three colors red, orange and magenta in our study, yet the results from both studies are very similar.

Our current study and the behavioural mate preference tests by Maan and colleagues were done in aquaria with clear water and under broad-spectrum illumination and resulted in similar findings. This suggests that female mate preference is not simply a consequence of signal transmission properties of Lake Victoria water. It suggests that the relationship between male coloration and female mating preference is not mediated by environmental variation (i.e. ambient light conditions) alone, but that male coloration or female mating preferences may have changed due to this or other variation, resulting in intraspecific preference-trait co-evolution [33]. Such co-evolution between mating signals and preferences within a population is theoretically expected [7,8,43] and could play a role in speciation if sexual isolation arises due to mating trait divergence between populations resulting in population- or species-assortative mating [43]. Experimental work in *Pundamilia* has shown that red and blue male coloration and female behavioural preference for red versus blue male coloration are both heritable [44,45]. Earlier experimental work has shown that male color variation among populations of *P. nyererei* is also heritable [21]. The drivers of such preference-trait co-evolution can be stochastic (e.g. mutation and genetic drift [5,6] or deterministic (e.g. sensory drive or ecological selection [9,10]) and both deterministic

and stochastic processes can interact with another driver (i.e. run-away sexual selection [7]).

Sexual selection can be a powerful evolutionary force; not only is it a driver of the evolution of mating traits within a population, it can also potentially drive differentiation between populations or species and has been suggested to be an important factor in speciation [1,2,3,4]. Yet, mating traits may not only be subject to sexual selection but also ecological selection and these two forces may either effect divergence synergistically or antagonistically [46]. Coloration in *P. nyererei* populations from different islands co-varies positively with water transparency, which causes a dramatic change in the environmental light spectrum from turbid to clear water islands [17,30]. These different underwater light environments have been proposed to possibly generate divergent ecological and sexual selection between para-allopatric populations of *P. nyererei* resulting in the observed differences in color between these conspecific populations [30,31]. The present study suggests that there may be an effect of sexual selection in driving the evolution of male signals and female mating preference in populations of *P. nyererei*. Our findings suggest that population differences in within-population mating preferences for male color components predict between-population differences in coloration for the populations of Makobe and Python. Further, our interpopulation and interspecific mate choice results suggest that male nuptial color may mediate population- and species-assortative mating, and that population-assortative mating may be a simple direct extension of within-population color preference in *P. nyererei* from Makobe and Python. These results highlight the important role in speciation that has been attributed to female mate choice and male color in African cichlids [20,21,22,23].

#### **Data accessibility**

The complete dataset is deposited on datadryad.

#### **Author's contributions**

OMS, MERP and OS designed the experiment, OMS performed the experiments and OMS and TR processed the data, OMS and JMA-R analyzed the data, OMS and OS wrote the manuscript and all authors gave final approval of the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

We have no competing interest.

**Funding**

This research was supported by a Swiss National Science Foundation grant (31003A-118293).

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Andreas Taverna for fish caretaking, Selina Räber for Photoshop advice and Julia Schwarzer for an automated script to extract colorscores. We further thank Martine E. Maan and all the members of the Fish Ecology and Evolution lab for valuable comments. The experiment was authorized by the veterinary office of the canton of Lucerne, Switzerland (License number: LU04/07).

**References**

1. Coyne, J.A. & Orr, H.A. 2004. Speciation. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
2. West-Eberhard, M. J. 1983. Sexual selection, social competition, and speciation. *Quart. Rev. Biol.* 58: 155–183.
3. Panhuis, T.M., Butlin, R., Zuk, M. & Tregenza, T. 2001. Sexual selection and speciation. *Trends in Ecol. Evol.* 16: 364–371.
4. Ritchie, M. G. 2007. Sexual selection and speciation. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 38: 79–102.
5. Lewontin, R. C. 1974. The genetic basis of evolutionary change. Columbia Univ. Press, New York.

- 566 6. Kimura, M. 1983. The neutral theory of molecular evolution. Cambridge Univ.  
567 Press, Cambridge.
- 568 7. Fisher, R.A. 1930. The genetical theory of natural selection. Oxford: Oxford  
569 University Press.
- 570 8. Prum, R.O. 2010. The Lande-Kirkpatrick mechanism is the null model of evolution  
571 by intersexual selection: implications for meaning, honesty and design in  
572 intersexual signals. *Evolution*, 64: 3085-3100
- 573 9. Endler, J.A. 1992. Signals, signal conditions, and the direction of evolution. *Am.*  
574 *Nat.* 139: 125-153.
- 575 10. Endler, J. A. 1980. Natural selection on color patterns in *Poecilia reticulata*.  
576 *Evolution* 34: 76-91.
- 577 11. Kuijper, B., Pen, I. & Weissing, F.J. 2012. A guide to sexual selection theory.  
578 *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 43:287–311.
- 579 12. Tobias, J.A., Aben, J., Brumfield, R.T., Derryberry, E.P., Halfwerk, W.,  
580 Slabbekoorn, H. & Seddon, N. 2010. Song divergence by sensory drive in  
581 amazonian birds. *Evolution*. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01067.x
- 582 13. Leal, M. & Fleishman, L.J. 2002. Evidence for habitat partitioning based on  
583 adaptation to environmental light in a pair of sympatric lizard species. *Proc. R. Soc.*  
584 *Lond. B* 269:351–359.
- 585 14. Cocroft, R.B., Rodriguez, R.L. & Hunt, R.E. 2008. Host shifts, the evolution of  
586 communication, and speciation in the *Enchenopa binotata* species complex of  
587 treehoppers. In: *Specialization, Speciation, and Radiation: The Evolutionary*  
588 *Biology of Herbivorous Insects* (ed. Tilmon, K.J.). University of California Press,  
589 Berkeley, CA, pp. 88–100.
- 590 15. Boughman, J.W. 2002. How sensory drive can promote speciation. *Trends Ecol.*  
591 *Evol.* 17:571-577.
- 592 16. Boughman, J.W. 2001. Divergent sexual selection enhances reproductive isolation  
593 in sticklebacks. *Nature* 411: 944-948.
- 594 17. Seehausen, O., Terai, Y., Magalhaes, I. S., Carleton, K. L., Mrosso, H. D. J., Miyagi,  
595 R., Van der Sluijs, I., Schneider, M. V., Maan, M. E., Tachida, H., Imai, H. &  
596 Okada, N. 2008. Speciation through sensory drive in cichlid fish. *Nature*. 455: 620–  
597 626.
- 598 18. Seehausen, O. 2006. African cichlid fish: a model system in adaptive radiation  
599 research. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 273:1987–1998.
- 600 19. Maan, M.E. & Sefc, K.M. 2013. Colour variation in cichlid fish: developmental  
601 mechanisms, selective pressures and evolutionary consequences. *Semin. Cell*  
602 *Dev. Biol.* doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2013.05.003.
- 603 20. Dominey W. 1984. Effects of sexual selection and life history on speciation:  
604 species flock in African cichlids and Hawaiian *Drosophila*. In: *Evolution of fish*  
605 *species flocks*. (ed. Echelle A.A., Kornfield I). University of Maine at Orono Press,  
606 Orono, ME. pp. 231-250.
- 607 21. Seehausen, O., van Alphen, J.J.M, Witte, F. 1997. Cichlid Fish Diversity  
608 Threatened by Eutrophication That Curbs Sexual Selection. *Science*. 277: 1808-  
609 1811.


22. Salzburger W. 2009. The interaction of sexually and naturally selected traits in the adaptive radiations of cichlid fishes. *Mol. Ecol.* 18: 169-185.
23. Wagner, C.E., Harmon, L. & Seehausen, O. 2012. Ecological opportunity and sexual selection together predict adaptive radiation. *Nature*. 487: 366-370.
24. Seehausen, O. 2009. Progressive levels of trait divergence along a 'speciation transect' in the Lake Victoria cichlid fish *Pundamilia*. In: *Ecological Reviews: Speciation and Patterns of Diversity* (ed. Butlin, R., Bridle, J., Schluter, D.). Cambridge University Press, pp. 155-176.
25. Seehausen, O. 1997. Distribution of and reproductive isolation among color morphs of a rock dwelling Lake Victoria cichlid. *Ecol. Freshw. Fish.* 6: 59-66.
26. Seehausen, O. & van Alphen, J.J.M. 1998. The effect of male coloration on female mate choice in closely related Lake Victoria cichlids (*Haplochromis nyererei* complex). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 42:1-8.
27. Selz, O.M., Pierotti, M.E.R., Maan, M.E., Schmid, C. & Seehausen, O. 2014. Female preference for male color is necessary and sufficient for assortative mating in 2 cichlid sister species. *Behav. Ecol.* 25:612-626.
28. Carleton, K.L., Parry, J.W., Bowmaker, J.K., Hunt, D.M. & Seehausen, O. 2005. Colour vision and speciation in Lake Victoria cichlids of the genus *Pundamilia*. *Mol. Ecol.* 14:341-4353.
29. Hofmann, C.M., O'Quin, K.E., Marshall, N.J., Cronin, T.W., Seehausen, O. & Carleton, K.L. 2009. The eyes have it: regulatory and structural changes both underlie cichlid visual pigment diversity. *PLoS Biol.* 7:e1000266.
30. Castillo Cajas, R.F., Selz, O.M., Ripmeester, E.A.P., Seehausen, O. & Maan, M.E. 2012. Species-specific relationships between water transparency and male coloration within and between two closely related Lake Victoria cichlid species. *Int. J. Evol. Biol.* doi:10.1155/2012/161306.
31. Maan, M. E., van Rooijen, A. M. C., van Alphen, J. J. M. & Seehausen, O. 2008. Parasite mediated sexual selection and species divergence in Lake Victoria cichlid fish. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 94: 53-600.
32. Maan, M.E., Seehausen, O., Söderberg, L., Johnson, L., Ripmeester, E.A.P., Mrosso, H.D.J., Taylor, M.I., van Dooren, T.J. & van Alphen, J.J.M. 2004. Intraspecific sexual selection on a speciation trait, male coloration, in the Lake Victoria cichlid *Pundamilia nyererei*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 271: 2445-2452.
33. Maan, M.E., Seehausen, O. & Van Alphen, J.J.M. 2010. Female mating preferences and male coloration covary with water transparency in a Lake Victoria cichlid fish. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 99: 398-406.
34. Seehausen, O. 1996. Lake Victoria Rock Cichlids: Taxonomy, Ecology, and Distribution. Verduijn Cichlids, Zevenhuizen. ISBN 90-800181-6-3
35. Seehausen, O., Lippitsch, E., Bouton, N. & Zwennes, H. 1998. Mbipi, the rock-dwelling cichlids of Lake Victoria: description of three new genera and fifteen new species (Teleostei). *Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters.* 9:129-228.
36. Witte-Maas, E.L.M. & Witte, F. 1985. *Haplochromis nyererei*, a new cichlid fish from Lake Victoria named in honour of Mwalimu Julius Nyerere, President of Tanzania. Leiden (The Netherlands): Brill.



37. Konijnendijk, N., Joyce, D.A., Mrosso, H.D.J., Egas, M. & Seehausen, O. 2011. Community genetics reveal elevated levels of sympatric gene flow among morphologically similar but not among morphologically dissimilar species of Lake Victoria cichlid fish. *Inter. J. of Evol. Biol.* Volume 2011, Article ID 616320
38. Terai, Y., Seehausen, O., Sasaki, T., Takahashi, K., Mizoiri, S., Sugawara, T., Sato, T., Watanabe, M., Konijnendijk, N., Mrosso, H.D.J., Tachida, H., Imai, H., Shichida, Y. & Okada, N. 2006. Divergent selection on opsins drives incipient speciation in Lake Victoria cichlids. *PLoS Biol.* 12:e433.
39. Fryer, G. & Iles, T.D. 1972. The cichlid fishes of the Great Lakes of Africa: their biology and evolution. Edinburgh (UK): Oliver and Boyd.
40. Pauers, M.J. & McKinnon, J.S. 2012. Sexual selection on color and behaviour within and between cichlid populations: implications for speciation. *Curr. Zool.* 58: 475–483.
41. Vandeputte, M., Mauger, S. & Dupont-Nivet, M. 2006. An evaluation of allowing for mismatches as a way to manage genotyping errors in parentage assignment by exclusion. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* 6:265–267.
42. Endler, J.A. 1990. On the measurement and classification of colour in studies of animal colour patterns. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 41: 315-352.
43. Lande, R. 1981. Models of speciation by sexual selection on polygenic traits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 78: 3721–3725.
44. Haesler, M.P. & Seehausen, O. 2005. Inheritance of female mating preference in a sympatric sibling species pair of Lake Victoria cichlids: implications for speciation. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 272:237–245.
45. Magalhaes, I.S. & Seehausen, O. 2010. Genetics of male nuptial colour divergence between sympatric sister species of a Lake Victoria cichlid fish. *J. Evol. Biol.* 23: 914–924.
46. Maan, M.E. & Seehausen, O. 2011. Ecology, sexual selection and speciation. *Ecol. Lett.* 14: 591-602.

**Figure 1**

Results of the tests if females from the three populations of *P. nyererei* showed directional intrapopulation sexual selection for different male colors. Predicted probabilities to be a sire based on the colorscores are shown from the most likely model for each population mate choice experiment (PNM(a-c), PNP(d-g), PNS(h-i)). P-values derive from the most likely model except for PNP, where the p-values are derived from models with “forced” backward selection (see Material and Methods, Table S1). • =  $P < 0.1$ , \* =  $P < 0.05$ , \*\* =  $P < 0.01$ .



**Figure 2**

Population-specific PCA bi-plots on all *P. nyererei* males from each of the three *P. nyererei* population mate choice experiments (PNM (a), PNP (b), PNS (c)). The black arrows represent the color variables in PCA space. Below are the GLMM results from the three female mate choice experiments (PNM (d), PNP (e), PNS (f)). The plots of the predicted probability to be a sire show that either one PC-axis or both PC-axes explained the positive population assortative mating in PNM and PNP and the negative assortative mating in PNS. Representative photos of a homotypic male are given below the probability plots for the female mate choice experiments (PNM (d), PNP (e), PNS (f)). • =  $P < 0.1$ , \* =  $P < 0.05$ , \*\* =  $P < 0.01$ .

