1 **Working title:** Flow regimes control the establishment of invasive crayfish and alter their
2 effects on lotic macroinvertebrate communities

3 Kate L. Mathers ¹, James C. White ², Riccardo Fornaroli ³ and Richard Chadd ⁴

4 1. Eawag: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Department of
5 Surface Waters Research and Management, 6047 Kastanienbaum, Switzerland.

6 2. Department of Biosciences, College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea, Wales,
7 SA2 8PP, United Kingdom.

8 3. DISAT, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Piazza della Scienza 1, 20126
9 Milano, Italy.

10 4. Environment Agency of England, Stepping Stone Walk, Winfrey Avenue, Spalding,
11 Lincolnshire, PE11 1DA, United Kingdom.

12 **Author for Correspondence**

13 Kate Mathers

14 Department of Surface Waters Research and Management

15 Eawag

16 6047 Kastanienbaum

17 Switzerland.

18

19 Email – kate.mathers@eawag.ch

20

21

22

23

24

Abstract

1. Invasive non-native species (INNS) threaten biodiversity and ecosystem functioning globally. However, there remains a pressing need to understand the environmental factors controlling the dispersal, successful establishment and subsequent ecological impacts of INNS for receiving ecosystems. Here, we examine how region-wide flow regime magnitudes facilitate the successful establishment of an invasive crayfish species (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*, signal crayfish) in England (UK). We also consider the interactive effects of invasive crayfish with flow regime variations on the structural and functional diversity of macroinvertebrate communities.

2. Low-flow magnitudes increased the likelihood of *P. leniusculus* establishment, with 80% of recorded invasion dates falling in years with flow magnitudes below average (low- and low-moderate flow classes), whilst only 1.6% occurred in high-flow years.

3. Temporal trajectories of structural and functional macroinvertebrate responses in invaded rivers demonstrated reduced diversity compared to control rivers. Lower taxonomic and functional richness measures typically coincided with periods of low discharge in invaded rivers and were greatest during regionally high-flows.

4. Macroinvertebrate communities displayed significant structural and functional responses to the interaction between invasive crayfish and flow regime variations. Specifically, a number of low- and high-flow indices yielded significant associations, highlighting the role of extreme hydrological events in shaping INNS effects on receiving ecosystems. We also detected greater ecological effects of invasive crayfish under hydrologically stable conditions. Importantly, and for the first time, we observed that invasive crayfish reversed macroinvertebrate community responses to flow regime cues (e.g., discharge fall rate and minimum flows in the preceding 180 days).

4. *Synthesis and applications.* Results from this study indicate that low-flow events facilitate the spread/establishment of invasive crayfish and correspond with greater ecological effects for receiving ecosystems. Given that low-flow events are predicted to increase in intensity, duration and frequency over the 21st century, our results highlight the potential threat that invasive crayfish may pose under future hydroclimatic changes. Managing river flow regimes effectively (including maintaining higher flow events and flow variability) is likely to be vital in conserving ecological diversity following crayfish invasion.

Keywords: structural and functional diversity, hydrological variability, non-native species, river regulation, *Pacifastacus leniusculus*, signal crayfish, low-flow, invasive species

1. Introduction

Invasive non-native species (INNS) represent a significant threat to ecosystem functioning globally and are widely regarded as a key driver of human induced environmental change (Gallardo, Clavero, Sánchez & Vilá, 2016; Reid et al., 2018). Biological invasions are cited as the second major cause of biodiversity loss (behind habitat alteration; Ricciardi, 2004) associated with species eradication or declines. The successful establishment of INNS can modify ecosystem functioning and the provision of services (Pejchar and Mooney, 2017; Simberloff et al., 2013) and the consequences of biological invasions are potentially so far reaching that some biologists have suggested that communities are becoming homogenised by the global redistribution of species (Corlett, 2015). Freshwater ecosystems in particular are some of the most endangered habitats in the world with declines in biodiversity surpassing their terrestrial and marine counterparts (Dudgeon et al., 2006; Martinuzzi et al., 2014; Sánchez-Bayo and Wyckhuys, 2019).

Identifying relationships between environmental drivers and biotic responses is a major goal in ecology. Historically, research has focussed on understanding these drivers as independent

entities, but recent efforts have demonstrated that drivers often act in combination and synergistically (Didham, Tylianakis, Gemmell, Rand & Ewers, 2007; Jackson, Loewn, Vienbrooke & Chimimba, 2016). Of increasing importance in the field of invasion biology is understanding environmental factors controlling the successful establishment, spread, and subsequent ecological impacts of INNS for the receiving ecosystem (Bunn & Arthington, 2002; Havel, Kovalenko, Thomaz, Amalfitano & Kats, 2015). Previous research has investigated the effects of anthropogenic and natural disturbances on the successful establishment of INNS (e.g., Johnson, Olden & Vander Zanden, 2008), with regulated rivers and streams more likely to support INNS able to exploit these environments which are typically characterised by less variable flow regimes (Catford, Downes, Gippel & Vesk, 2011; Light, 2003; Olden, Poff & Bestgen, 2006).

Hydrological variability plays a pivotal role in structuring aquatic ecosystems, and collectively the different ‘facets’ of river flow regimes, namely the magnitude, frequency, duration, timing, and rate of change in flow conditions (*sensu* Poff et al., 1997; Poff, 2018; Richter, Baumgartner, Powell & Braun, 1996; Richter et al., 1997), are widely regarded as master variables shaping ecological processes. Biota inhabiting riverine environments have adapted to natural hydrological variations over evolutionary timeframes (Lytle & Poff, 2004) and typically possess resistance and resilient traits which enable them to survive extreme flow events within the context of the natural flow regime (e.g. floods, droughts; Bogan, Boersma & Lytle, 2015; Steel, Peek, Lusardi & Yarnell, 2018). However, additional stressors (including biological invasions) occurring simultaneously with extreme flow events may threaten the ecological integrity of these systems, making them more sensitive to disturbances. Despite increasing research considering the role of hydrology as a control on the establishment and subsequent population dynamics of INNS / native species (e.g., Lynch, Leasure & Magoulick, 2018; Rogosch et al., 2019), we are unaware of any studies quantifying the interactive effect

of INNS and hydrologic variability upon the composition of the receiving community (with the exception of a mesocosm study; Magoulick, 2014). Understanding the interaction between hydrological variability and invasive species is thus vital, particularly in the face of climate change (Chen & Olden, 2017; Poff, 2018; Rahel et al., 2008).

Freshwater crayfish represent one of the most widely translocated organisms across the globe following either intentional introduction or accidental translocation (Gallardo et al., 2016; Kouba, Buřič & Petrusek, 2015). They are one of the largest freshwater invertebrates, frequently dominating benthic faunal biomass where they occur (Momot, 1995). As such, where they successfully establish, ecological effects can cascade throughout the food web associated with their polytrophic feeding habits. Modifications have been reported in detrital processing rates and algal composition (Carvalho, Pascoal, Cássio & Sousa, 2016; Creed, 1994) through to reductions in the density and richness of macroinvertebrates (Stenroth & Nystrom, 2003; Mathers et al., 2018) and macrophytes (Lodge & Lorman, 1987; Roessink, Gylstra, Heuts, Specken & Ottburg, 2017). The ecological consequences of crayfish invasion can be temporally persistent (decadal) and spatially extensive (regardless of biogeographical context), with modifications in macroinvertebrate community composition representing significant and long-term ecological perturbations (Mathers et al., 2017; Ruokonen, Ercoli & Hämäläinen, 2016). Invasive crayfish have been documented to be more tolerant of stream drying events (Larson, Magoulick, Turner & Laycock, 2009), a factor which may not only enhance their successful establishment but also intensify the impacts felt by the receiving ecosystem during periods of low-flow. Furthermore, activity levels of crayfish demonstrate a positive relationship with rising water temperatures (Bubb et al., 2004; Johnson et al., 2014); which may exacerbate instream effects but also facilitate their spread throughout waterbodies. Despite the anticipated increase in extreme hydrological events (Ragno et al., 2018; Stephens,

Johnson & Marshall, 2018), the interactive effects of invasive crayfish and hydrology on ecological communities are largely unknown.

This study examines the long-term interactive effects of hydrological variability and invasive signal crayfish, *Pacifastacus leniusculus* (Dana), one of the most prevalent non-native crayfish species in Europe (Kouba et al., 2015), on macroinvertebrate communities across multiple rivers in England (United Kingdom). Unlike many invasion studies, the effect of invasive crayfish is investigated using multiple river catchments before and after invasion, and in direct comparison with control sites where long-term biomonitoring has not recorded the presence of *P. leniusculus*. The study also employs rivers free from river regulation and impoundment to ascertain the direct influence of hydrological variability on the subsequent ecological effects of an INNS for the receiving ecosystem. Specifically, we tested the following hypotheses: (1) years with low-flow magnitudes would facilitate the establishment of *P. leniusculus*; (2) temporal trajectories of structural and functional macroinvertebrate diversity metrics would differ between rivers invaded by *P. leniusculus* and those not and; (3) the structural and functional diversity of macroinvertebrate communities would respond to the interactive effects of *P. leniusculus* presence and hydrological variability. For hypotheses (2) and (3) we predicted that the greatest reductions in diversity would occur during periods of low-flow.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Datasets characterised

Data from across England formed the basis of the study incorporating the diverse variability of lowland river systems with differing natural biogeographical, geological and hydrological controls. Two ecological datasets were collated: (i) invasion dates of *P. leniusculus* from five English regions ('South East', 'West', 'East', 'North' and 'North West') and; (ii) macroinvertebrate community data from three of these English regions ('North', 'North West' and 'East'; Figure 1). Hydrological data were extracted for the five English regions to enable

the statistical derivation of region-wide flow magnitude classes. In addition, detailed facets of flow regime variability (comprising magnitude, frequency, duration, timing and rate of change of hydrological conditions *sensu* Richter et al., 1996; 1997; Poff et al., 1997) were examined in the East region following invasion; whereby flow gauges were located proximally to macroinvertebrate sampling locations. Each of these datasets is described in detail below. The spatial distribution of the ecological and hydrological datasets are shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 summarises the datasets and subsequent analyses conducted.

2.2 Ecological data

2.2.1 *P. leniusculus* successful establishment dates

A dataset comprising the year of successful establishment by *P. leniusculus* across multiple rivers in England was collated. All rivers were selected from the Environment Agency (the environmental regulator in England) BIOSYS database following the protocol outlined in Turley et al., (2017). Detecting invasions by signal crayfish is difficult due to their high mobility (Gladman et al., 2010) and there are currently no methods of determining crayfish populations below a density of 0.2 m^{-2} (Peay, 2003). Furthermore, routine sampling of crayfish populations is currently not a standard biomonitoring practice. For the kick-net samples utilised in this study, it is likely that the detection limit is higher, probably approaching higher densities of $c.1.0 \text{ m}^{-2}$. Consequently, for all sites employed in this study, the successful establishment date represents the time when crayfish population densities were high enough to be detected within kick samples and are used as a reliable surrogate for invasion dates here (*sensu* Turley et al., 2017). Successful establishment dates were derived by performing a systematic search of the BIOSYS database for records of “*Pacifastacus leniusculus*”. All sites with “Astacidae” being recorded before the first *P. leniusculus* record were removed to avoid taxonomic ambiguities associated with family-level records of the native British crayfish (*Austropotamobius pallipes*). For each of the remaining sites, the

midpoint between the first record of “*Pacifastacus leniusculus*” and the previous sample was designated as the successful establishment date (Turley et al., 2017). Sites are typically sampled every 6 months and therefore provide a reliable estimate of time of establishment. These data comprised a total of 64 invasion dates for independent rivers across England (comprising five regions as defined in this study) distributed across a range of years from 1996 to 2012 (Table S1).

2.2.2 Macroinvertebrate data

Macroinvertebrate data from three regions (North, North West and East; note some of this data was published previously under different region names in Mathers et al., 2016a, Mathers, Chadd, Extence, Rice & Wood, 2016b) were analysed and comprised seven ‘invaded’ and seven ‘control’ lowland rivers across England (See Table S2). Rivers were selected to have broadly comparable physical characteristics within the individual regions (channel size, discharge, water chemistry, altitude and geology; *sensu* Mathers et al., 2016a) and all sites did not support a native crayfish population during any of the time series. All rivers were free from major anthropogenic stressors such as water abstraction, flow regulation or impaired water quality. All benthic macroinvertebrate samples were collected by the Environment Agency during routine biomonitoring. Samples were collected via a standard 3-minute ‘kick-sample’ (mesh size 1mm) performed across all available habitats, and an additional 1-minute hand search (Murray-Bligh, 1999). Sampling was conducted in spring (March – May) and autumn (September – November). Community abundances were standardised to presence / absence.

The dataset comprised 477 macroinvertebrate community samples collected between 1990 and 2013 encompassing periods before and after the invasion of *P. leniusculus* (209 and 268 samples from invaded and control rivers respectively). For invaded sites, the date of invasion was determined by the first occurrence of *P. leniusculus* in the historical faunal series and for control sites the mean date of invasion was used (*sensu* Mathers et al., 2016a,b). A total of 101

taxa were recorded predominantly to species and genus level, but some taxa were resolved to family level (primarily Diptera larvae); while a small number of taxa (notably the early instars of some aquatic insects) could not be consistently resolved to the lowest taxonomic resolution and were aggregated to a coarser taxonomic level (e.g. *Baetis* sp., *Leuctra* sp.).

2.3 Hydrological data

2.3.1 Region-wide flow magnitude classifications

A network of flow gauges within each of the five regions were utilised to derive changes in region-wide flow magnitudes on an inter-annual basis. Daily discharge data spanning a 25-year period (1989 -2014) were obtained from 39 flow gauges from the National River Flow Archive (NRFA, 2018; Table S3). A total of 10 gauges were employed within three regions (North, East and West) with five used for the South East and North West regions which covered small geographical areas (note that one gauge was used for both the North and North West due to its proximal location to both regions). The final number of gauges employed were selected to account for natural geographic variations in river flow regimes (driven by geological and hydroclimatic controls). Gauges were screened to ensure that hydrological variability was not significantly impacted by flow regulation (by scrutinising metadata and hydrographs) or urbanisation (urban land use coverage <20% of the catchment area in all instances; Table S3). Missing daily discharge values comprised <10% of the time series in all instances and were interpolated via highly significant ($p \leq 0.001$) linear regressions with nearby flow gauges (Table S4). Inter-annual variations in flow magnitudes were highly congruent within each region, and we observed no significant differences between annual flow magnitudes derived from individual gauges within each region (Table S5). These hydrological datasets were used in combination with both *P. leniusculus* establishment dates and the macroinvertebrate data (North West, North and East regions; see Figure 2).

2.3.2 Hydrological variability within individual rivers

Only the East region had flow gauging stations located proximally with macroinvertebrate sampling locations. As such, a second hydrological dataset was compiled for this region to enable specific analyses of macroinvertebrate responses to facets of the river flow regime (magnitude, frequency, duration, timing and rate of change of hydrological conditions; Figure 2). For this, the ‘after’ invasion period (taken as the mean invasion date from all rivers – 2003) was selected for both control and invaded rivers (a total of 84 macroinvertebrate samples were examined across five rivers). Daily discharge data (2001 – 2014) were obtained from five flow gauges from the National River Flow Archive (NRFA, 2018) in the East region (Table S3). Missing values represented <1% of the total dataset and were interpolated using the *na.approx* function within the “zoo” package (Zeileis & Grothendieck, 2005). All statistical functions and analyses were performed in the R environment (R Version 3.3.1, R Development Core Team, 2016).

2.4 Data preparation

2.4.1 Macroinvertebrate community response metrics

Functional macroinvertebrate compositions were derived from the Tachet, Bournaud, Richoux & Usseglio-Polatera (2010) European trait database (Table S6). Within this database, a fuzzy-coding procedure has been adopted with faunal affinities to individual traits ranging from zero (indicating no affinity) to three or five (indicating high affinity or the upper limit depending on existing scientific certainty; Tachet et al., 2010). In total, 13 ‘grouping features’ (a functional trait category, e.g. ‘maximum body size’) comprising 76 functional ‘traits’ (modalities residing within grouping features, e.g. ‘ $\leq 0.25\text{cm}$ ’, ‘ $\geq 8\text{cm}$ ’;) were utilized. This included 63 biological traits (11 grouping features) and 13 ecological traits (two grouping features of substrate and velocity preferences); with the latter being selected *a priori* because *P. leniusculus* predate on taxa with specific sedimentological and hydraulic preferences (Mathers et al., 2016a; Usio & Townsend, 2004). Trait values were standardized for all samples so that each grouping feature

summed to 1 (to ensure trait affinities were equally weighted between taxa). Taxa resolved to a greater resolution (e.g. species) than available in the trait database (e.g. genus) were aggregated (but maintained in a presence / absence format). All taxa recorded at a coarser resolution than within the trait database were excluded, with a total of 62 taxa being assigned functional traits.

From these standardized trait values, five univariate functional diversity metrics were derived (*sensu* Villéger, Mason & Mouillot, 2008) using the *dbFD* function within the “FD” package (Laliberté & Legendre, 2010). The *dbFD* function calculates properties of the multidimensional trait space based on a functional trait dissimilarity matrix (Bray-Curtis on the aforementioned 76 standardized trait values) and a dataset characterising the community composition (presence-absence matrix of the 62 taxa assigned traits). Functional richness (the minimum convex hull encompassing all species); functional evenness (the regularity of distances between taxa connected by a minimum spanning tree); functional divergence (the distribution of taxa in relation to the functional centroid) and Rao’s Quadratic Entropy (the product of the pairwise distances between taxa; hereafter termed RaoQ) were calculated for each sample (see Schleuter et al. 2010 for more details). A trait-abundance array was calculated by multiplying the presence-absence dataset with standardized trait values, with outputted values being averaged across all taxa and finally standardized across all grouping features (see Gayraud et al., 2003). Subsequently, the functional diversity was calculated using the inverse Simpson’s index, which accounts for the fixed number of traits and their lack of independence (White, Hill, Bickerton & Wood, 2017a).

Taxonomic richness was calculated as the number of taxa present in each sample. Taxonomic variability (*sensu* Swan and Brown, 2017) was quantified using the ‘Permutational Analysis of Multivariate Dispersion’ (PERMDISP) procedure using each river as a grouping factor. In summary, a total of seven macroinvertebrate community diversity response metrics (hereafter

referred to as community response metrics) were employed in subsequent analyses; i) taxonomic richness, ii) taxonomic variability, iii) functional diversity, iv) functional richness, v) functional evenness, vi) functional divergence, and vii) RaoQ.

2.4.2 Hydrological data- regional flow magnitude classifications

Prior to classifying region-wide flow regime magnitudes, the discharge time-series from each flow gauge were standardized via z-scores ($\mu = 0$, $\sigma = 1$). Daily discharge values were averaged to monthly intervals and subsequently averaged across all gauges comprising each of the five regions. Hydrological years, spanning August- July, were defined to help ensure that the rising limb, annual peak and flow recession were incorporated across a 12-month period (*sensu* Monk *et al.*, 2006; with the lowest annual discharges in the UK typically occurring during summer months; June-August). Four statistical summaries (mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values) were obtained for each hydrological year within each of the five regions. These statistical summaries underwent unit-based standardization ($X' = (X - X_{\min} / X_{\max} - X_{\min}) + 1$) to ensure each possessed equal weighting within subsequent analyses. A hierarchical, agglomerative cluster analysis (Ward's method) was performed on the statistical summary values to quantify the annual flow magnitude within each region (*sensu* Monk *et al.*, 2006). In total, four flow regime magnitude (RM) classes were established for each hydrological year within all five regions; 'low' (RM1), 'low-moderate' (RM2), 'moderate-high' (RM3) and 'high' (RM4) discharges (see Table 1 and Figure S1). RM groups were used in combination with the macroinvertebrate community data (North, North West and East regions) and *P. leniusculus* establishment dates (all 5 regions - see Figure 2).

2.4.3 Hydrological data - hydrological indices

In total, 47 hydrological indices (Table S7) were calculated from daily discharge data in the East region characterising different components of the antecedent flow regime (*sensu* Poff *et al.*, 1997). For this, 33 'Indicators of Hydrological Alteration' were calculated (Richter *et al.*,

1996) in addition to 14 indices which have been shown to be of ecological relevance in the UK (Monk et al., 2006; White et al., 2017b; 2019; Wood, Agnew & Petts, 2000; Wood & Armitage, 2004). Prior to analysis, daily discharge values were transformed to z-scores to ensure standardisation across gauges. Hydrological indices with heavily skewed distributions (visualized from inspection of histograms) were excluded, leaving 39 indices for all subsequent analyses (Table S7).

2.5 Statistical analysis

*2.5.1. Flow magnitude influences on *P. leniusculus* successful establishment dates*

The RM group was identified for all successful establishment dates, with a binary response being derived by characterizing non-invasion and invasion occurrences within a specific RM group coded as “0” or “1”, respectively. A ‘Generalized Linear Model’ (GLM) was subsequently fitted using a binomial distribution and tested against RM group and a RM × Region interaction. This GLM provided an assessment of whether flow magnitude influenced the successful establishment of *P. leniusculus* and whether this differed between regions. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons of RM groups were performed using least-square means and *p-values* were adjusted for multiple comparisons via Tukey tests within the ‘lsmeans’ package (Lenth, 2016).

*2.5.2 Influence of flow magnitude and *P. leniusculus* invasion on the temporal trajectories of macroinvertebrate communities*

To assess the effect of *P. leniusculus* invasion on macroinvertebrate community responses to flow regime magnitude classes (RM groups), temporal trajectories of the seven community response metrics were explored across control and invaded rivers. Generalized Additive Mixed-Effect Models (GAMMs) were constructed in which each community response metric was modelled as a smooth function over time (1989-2014). The smooth functions were fitted using cubic splines and eight knots were created (0.3 times the number of years (*sensu* Vaughan

& Ormerod, 2012; 2014). To account for differences in temporal variations in the seven community response metrics between control and invaded rivers ('treatment'), an 'ordered factor smooth interaction' model was created (*sensu* Simpson, 2017). This technique models the difference of a smooth function between factors and was used here to model differences in the temporal trajectories of the community response metrics between invaded and control rivers. In addition, treatment (i.e. control versus invaded), season and RM groups were incorporated as factors into each GAMM and the identity of each river was fitted as a random effect (to reflect community compositions from individual rivers being potentially correlated over time; Mathers et al., 2016a).

2.5.3 Interactive influences of hydrological variability and P. leniusculus invasion on macroinvertebrate communities

In the East region (possessing simultaneous macroinvertebrate and hydrological data), analyses were performed in the 'after' invasion period (for both invaded and control rivers using the mean regional invasion date of 2003). Prior to the construction of flow-ecology relationships, all hydrological indices were standardised to z-scores and extreme outliers identified from interquartile range (IQR) values (defined as observations that fall below $Q1 - 3 \times IQR$ or above $Q3 + 3 \times IQR$) removed. Quantile regression (QR) and Quantile mixed-effect regression (QMR) analyses were performed using the 'lqmm' package (Geraci, 2018). Within each QMR, 'river' was used as a random effect to account for potential temporal autocorrelation (see above). A number of QRs and QMRs were constructed for each of the seven community response metrics (dependent) and hydrological index combinations (independent variable) across a range of quantiles (from 0.20 to 0.80 in 0.05 increments). These statistical models tested the interactive influence of crayfish invasion (treatment as above) and each hydrological index, as well as various combinations of different spatial and temporal controls. For this, seven null models were created which comprised one constant model (i.e. with no independent variable

modelled), and six models comprising different additive combinations of ‘season’ and ‘year’ within both QRs and QMRs (see Table S8). These were treated as null models to enable temporal changes in macroinvertebrate communities to be examined separately from hydrological controls, thus providing a basis to determine the significance of the alternative models constructed for each hydrological index and its interaction with treatment (see below). The alternative QR and QMR models tested each hydrological index (and its interaction with treatment) via four statistical functions (Linear, Exponential, Logarithmic and Quadratic; *sensu* Fornaroli, et al., 2019). Testing for this interaction provided a means of examining whether *P. leniusculus* modified macroinvertebrate community responses to hydrological variability. Various models were constructed that incorporated this interaction alongside different additive combinations of the hydrological index and treatment, as well as season and year. Akaike weights (w_i , derived from Akaike Information Criteria values corrected for the small sample size) were calculated and averaged across all the studied quantiles for each QR and QMR (Fornaroli et al., 2015). For each community response metric, hydrological indices comprising models which possessed an average w_i value less than 2 times the average w_i of the best null model were excluded (where multiple models met this criterion for a community response metric-hydrological index combination, the model exhibiting the highest average w_i was used in subsequent analyses).

Qualifying hydrological indices were tested for collinearity by iteratively calculating ‘Variance Inflation Factors’ (VIFs) until they were below 3 for each hydrological index (Zuur et al., 2010). Subsequently, the average w_i of qualifying models were again compared against the seven null models described above; only alternative models possessing an average w_i value two times greater than the average w_i of the best null model were subsequently used (as above). This process was necessary given that null models exhibit a greater statistical likelihood when compared against fewer QRs, thus reducing the likelihood of type 2 errors. Finally, to

determine the significance of each qualifying QR and QMR, the average w_i was compared against that of a single null model exhibiting the same model structure, but without a hydrological index, treatment or their interactive effect (in a process analogous to a likelihood ratio test). For this, an alternative model exhibiting an average w_i greater than 0.75 was considered significant (Fornaroli et al., 2019).

3. Results

3.1 Flow magnitude influences on *P. leniusculus* successful establishment dates

In total, 46.9% and 32.8% of all recorded successful establishments occurred during years with low-moderate (RM2) and low (RM1) flow magnitudes respectively. The highest percentage of successful establishment dates occurred within RM1 or RM2 for all regions (Figure 3), although some regions (e.g. West) had a much higher proportion of dates occurring during low magnitude flows (RM1), while others (e.g. East) saw a higher number of successful establishments during low-moderate flows (RM2). Only 1.6% of successful establishment dates (1 of 64) occurred during high-flow years and was in the East region. A binomial GLM highlighted that the number of establishment dates differed significantly between flow regime magnitude (RM) groups ($F = 15.59, p\text{-value} \leq 0.001$). The interaction between flow magnitude (RM) groups and individual regions also exerted a significant influence ($F = 1.76, p\text{-value} = 0.037$). Post-hoc analyses revealed that the likelihood of successful establishment was significantly different between low (RM1) and high-flow magnitudes (RM4; $z\text{-ratio} = 3.29, p\text{-value} = 0.006$), low-moderate (RM2) and moderate-high (RM3) flow magnitudes ($z\text{-ratio} = 3.30, p\text{-value} = 0.005$) and low-moderate (RM2) and high-flow (RM4) magnitudes ($z\text{-ratio} = 3.87, p\text{-value} = 0.001$).

3.2 Influence of flow magnitude and *P. leniusculus* invasion on the temporal trajectories of macroinvertebrate communities

In 13 instances, the long-term temporal trajectories of community response metrics differed significantly between control and invaded rivers (Table 2). Most notably, taxonomic richness and RaoQ exhibited significantly different trends over time between invaded or control rivers in each of the three regions. Within invaded rivers, response metrics demonstrated a decline (relative to control) following *P. leniusculus* invasion (in the mid-1990s for North rivers shown in Figure 4; for corresponding plots in the East and North West see Figure S2). Community response metrics continued to gradually decrease in invaded rivers relative to control rivers until 2005-2010 (dependent on the community variable examined). Taxonomic and functional richness exhibited the greatest reductions within invaded rivers during periods of low discharge (2005, 2006 and 2011; Figure 4b). Evidence of higher relative community values occurred in invaded rivers (relative to control sites) towards the end of the study period (2013-2014) where high regional discharges occurred (Figure 4). Within the East and North region, the functional diversity and functional richness both exhibited significantly different temporal trends associated with invasion. Functional divergence, functional evenness and taxonomic variability exhibited a significant temporal trend associated with invasion in one region only (East, North and North West respectively; Table 2). A summary of index values for the four BACI levels by region is shown in Table S9.

3.3 Interactive influence of hydrological variability and P. leniusculus invasion on macroinvertebrate communities

In total, 46 significant models comprising an interaction between a single hydrological index and treatment were detected. Six community response metrics responded significantly to hydrological indices and its interaction with treatment, notably taxonomic richness, taxonomic variability, functional richness and RaoQ, which each comprised 11 significant models. Functional diversity and functional evenness demonstrated a significant response to one hydrological index-treatment interaction, whilst no significant models were detected for

functional divergence. A large number of the significant associations incorporated hydrological indices characterizing extreme flow conditions, consisting of 13 low-flow and nine high-flow metrics (Table 3). Hydrological indices comprising significant associations characterised different facets of the flow regime. Specifically, 38 described the magnitude of flow conditions, 33 the timing, 12 the frequency, seven the rate of change and four the duration (note some indices reflect multiple facets; see Table S7). In total, 12 hydrological indices (of the possible 47) and their interaction with treatment exerted a significant influence on the seven community diversity response metrics tested. QMar comprised the greatest number of significant associations (five), followed by QDec, QLPD, QFR, QMin180, QJulianMin, QSep, QMax90, QMean7, QNoRises, QJulianMax (each with four significant associations), while QJun comprised one significant association.

When individual associations were examined, RaoQ demonstrated a decrease in invaded rivers when the maximum 90-day discharge values (QMax90) were lower, while control rivers demonstrated the opposite trend (Figure 5a). The minimum discharge occurring in the 180-days prior to sampling (QMin180) did not influence RaoQ in control rivers, but exhibited a negative correlation within invaded rivers (Figure 5b). In control rivers, a steady decline of discharge (indicated by low fall rates in discharge – QFR) was associated with a higher taxonomic richness and decreased taxonomic variability, whilst invaded rivers demonstrated the opposing trend for both responses (Figure 5c and d). A greater reduction in functional evenness was exhibited in invaded rivers compared to control rivers associated with higher average March discharge values (QMar; Figure 5e). RaoQ demonstrated strong reductions within invaded rivers when average September discharge values (QSep) were low and were highest when flow values increased, whilst control rivers demonstrated no notable difference (Figure 5f).

4. Discussion

A key challenge of invasion biology is understanding how INNS interact with other large-scale agents of environmental change, in this instance hydrological variability (Didham et al. 2007). For the first time, our study investigates the interaction of hydrological variability with invasive crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) in their successful establishment and subsequent effects for the receiving riverine ecosystem.

4.1 Flow magnitude influences on P. leniusculus successful establishment dates

Low-flow conditions were found to significantly influence the likelihood of invasion by *P. leniusculus*, with 80% of successful establishment dates (utilized as a surrogate for invasion dates) occurring in years with low-moderate (RM2) and low (RM1) flow magnitudes; providing evidence to support our first hypothesis. In marked contrast, only 1.6% of dates (1 of 64) occurred during high (RM4) flow magnitudes. This can likely be explained by the loss of juveniles that are more prone to displacement during periods of high-flows (Robinson, Thom & Lucas, 2000; Light, 2003). Regional differences in river flow regimes are likely to influence the differences observed in successful establishment date occurrences across each of the flow magnitude groups. For example, discharges were considerably lower in the East compared to other regions (Table S10), providing some explanation as to why an invasion could occur during high-flow periods exclusively in this region.

The high occurrence of successful establishment dates during low-flow magnitude periods may be a result of a number of mechanisms. First, instream movements of crayfish can be triggered or impeded by environmental factors, such as temperature or hydraulic forces, with crayfish activity increasing with rising temperatures (Gheradi et al., 1998; Johnson, Rice & Reid, 2014). As water levels recede during periods of low-flow, water temperatures are likely to increase (Webb et al., 2008), which may stimulate crayfish movements either within the existing waterbody or to colonise new waterbodies. It is therefore likely that crayfish migration and successful establishment may be driven by a strong correlation between changing water

temperatures and flow regimes (Webb et al., 2008), rather than the direct influence of flow regime alone (e.g. hydraulic forces). As such, while our study highlights the pivotal role of river flow regimes in shaping establishment of invasive crayfish, further field and experimental work is needed to disentangle the individual role of each of these environmental factors.

Second, the ability of crayfish to survive streambed drying events is enhanced through their ability to walk overland in order to find proximal perennial waterbodies (Claussen, Hopper & Sanker, 2000). Reductions in available habitat during drying events may lead to increased competition for resources, thereby resulting in crayfish migrating in search of less exploited habitats via instream movements or overland (Ramalho & Anastácio, 2015). These habitats could have previously supported a low population of invasive crayfish or may represent previously uninvaded habitats. Larger individuals are most likely to undertake such migratory activities as they are less vulnerable in exposed habitats than smaller crayfish prone to predation (Wutz and Geist, 2013). Due to such ecological mechanisms, the documented range expansions of *P. leniusculus* are rapid with reported rates of 1.5km per year (Bubb et al, 2004).

Finally, the survey method used in this study (biomonitoring data using benthic macroinvertebrate kick-samples) may also play a small role in enhancing the possibility that signal crayfish are detected during low-flows when wetted perimeters are reduced and a greater proportion of instream habitats are sampled. However, as this study employed flow magnitude groups which characterised annual flow patterns (and not just individual events relating to sample dates), and no summer samples were analysed (when discharges are typically lowest), this influence is likely to be minimal.

4.2 Influence of flow magnitude and P. leniusculus invasion on the temporal trajectories of macroinvertebrate communities

Invasion by *P. leniusculus* resulted in alterations to the temporal trajectories of macroinvertebrate response metrics in 13 instances (of a total of 21). The most significantly influenced metrics were taxonomic richness and RaoQ (a representative of functional diversity), which were affected in all three English regions studied. The temporal trajectories of biotic community responses to *P. leniusculus* invasion has received limited attention to date, with the majority of studies implementing in-situ experimental enclosures or ex-situ mesocosms (e.g., Magoulick, 2014; Parkyn, Rabeni & Collier, 1997; Stenroth and Nyström, 2003); whilst studies which do employ in-situ sampling typically do so over a limited time periods (1-12 months) and where populations are often well established (Crawford, Yeomans & Adams, 2006; Mathers, Rice & Wood, 2018; Ruokonen, Karjalainen & Hämäläinen, 2014); but see Mathers et al. (2016a) and Ruokonen et al. (2016). In general, invasion by *P. leniusculus* results in modifications to macroinvertebrate communities associated with selective predation of certain Hirudinea, Gastropoda and Bivalvia taxa, which display limited locomotion and predator avoidance trait affinities (Dorn, 2013; Stenroth & Nyström, 2003; Weber & Lodge, 1990). These modifications in community composition have resulted in reductions in taxa richness following *P. leniusculus* invasion being observed (Crawford et al., 2006; Ruokonen et al., 2014). There have been calls for research to shift from exclusively structurally based ecological descriptors to studies that incorporate functional changes which underlie ecosystem health (Gallarado et al., 2016; Havel et al., 2015). Despite this, and to our knowledge, this study provides the first evidence of structural and functional macroinvertebrate community responses to crayfish invasion (and hydrological controls) over multi-decadal time frames.

Alterations to community response metrics following *P. leniusculus* invasion demonstrated a significant interaction with flow magnitude classes. Community response metrics displayed the greatest post-invasion reductions during periods of low-flow, with the majority demonstrating some recovery to pre-invasion values towards the end of the time series when significant flood

events were recorded nationally (Muchan, Lewis, Hannaford & Parry, 2015). Our second hypothesis, that invasion by *P. leniusculus* altered the temporal trajectories of macroinvertebrate response metrics and greatest reductions would occur during periods of low-flow conditions, can therefore be supported.

Contraction and fragmentation of instream habitats during low-flow events can lead to increased predator: prey ratios, thereby altering biotic interactions (Lake, 2003; McHugh, Thompson, Greig, Warburton & McIntosh, 2015) and increasing competition for certain resources (Aspin et al., 2019; Ledger et al., 2013). As a keystone predatory species, the ability of signal crayfish to persist during low-flows and drying events may therefore reduce the tolerance of aquatic invertebrate communities to low-flows (Datry, 2012; Larned, Arscott, Schmidt & Diettrich, 2010), potentially exacerbating the effect of invasive crayfish on the receiving ecosystem during adverse environmental conditions. The ecological implications of invasive crayfish are likely to be particularly affected by changing habitat availability associated with their hierarchal spatially size-sorted instream distributions. Smaller individuals are often confined to shallower riffle areas, whilst larger individuals inhabit deeper pools (Clark et al., 2013; Guan and Wiles, 1996). Reductions in water depths during low-flows are therefore likely to have significant implications for resource competition, such as the macroinvertebrate communities concentrated in deeper areas (Boulton, 2003; Chadd et al., 2017) occupied by larger crayfish. As high-flow events have been associated with declines in crayfish densities or reductions in activity levels (Light, 2003), higher flow conditions may provide a time period in which macroinvertebrate communities can recover due to reduced predator-prey pressures via the creation of additional habitats not present under lower flow levels or associated with reduced predator abundances (Boulton and Lake, 2008; Lake et al., 2006; Meffe, 1984; Thomson, 2002).

However, the interactive ecological influences of *P. leniusculus* and annual flow magnitudes were not consistent across regions. For example, the East region demonstrated a strong interaction with hydrological controls, whilst the North West displayed a weaker interaction. Geological settings differ between the two regions, with chalk (a fine powdered limestone) deposits dominating river typology in the East region, whilst rivers in the North West are characterised by sedimentary sandstone and igneous rocks; the former produces naturally less flashy flow regimes, whilst rivers in the North West are highly responsive to heavy precipitation (Lavers, Prudhomme & Hannah, 2010; Tables S1, S7). As such, the interactive ecological effects of hydrological controls and crayfish invasion are contextually dependent based on river flow regimes, geological setting and instream habitat conditions. It should be noted that this is a broad-scale study which incorporated a number of English regions. As such, although efforts were made to minimise the influence of other confounding factors (through both our study design and statistical analyses), variations in environmental conditions are still likely to occur. These environmental differences such as landscape characteristics or the presence / absence of predators are likely to have important repercussions when concluding on the ecological influence of the interaction of flow regime and crayfish presence. Future work should seek to assess the role of flow regime and crayfish invasion in association with other environmental variables.

4.3 Interactive influence of hydrological variability and P. leniusculus invasion on macroinvertebrate communities

A number of hydrological descriptors characterizing the facets of river flow regime (i.e. the magnitude, frequency, duration and timing of hydrological events and rate of change between flow conditions; *sensu* Richter et al., 1996;1997) were found to have a significant interactive effect with *P. leniusculus* presence on macroinvertebrate community diversity; providing support for our final hypothesis. A large number of the significant trends incorporated low- and

high-flow metrics (47%), highlighting the role that extreme hydrological events play in shaping INNS effects on receiving ecosystems (Bunn & Arthington, 2002; Magoulick, 2014; but see Ruhi, Holmes, Rinne & Sabo, 2015).

Moreover, the magnitude of hydrological controls (including average conditions) comprised 83% of all significant relationships. As discussed above, *P. leniusculus* are likely to demonstrate strong associations with flow magnitude as a function of their activity levels and population dynamics (Bubb et al., 2004; Johnson et al., 2014). For example, we found that RaoQ demonstrated the greatest reductions in invaded rivers associated with lower maximum discharge values (in the preceding 90 days) and higher minimum discharge values (of the preceding 180 days). Surprisingly, towards the positive limits of these hydrological indices (higher maximum and minimum values), RaoQ vales in invaded rivers surpassed those in control sites. This suggests that biota comprising a functionally diverse, catchment-wide species pool can (re)colonize invaded sites as discharges increase (towards high magnitude events or as discharge increases following low-flow periods). It is possible that crayfish predation may temporarily open new ecological niches for some organisms associated with the reduction in abundance of dominant and highly competitive predatory taxa; for example Hirudinea which can consume a wide variety of macroinvertebrates that occupy a number of functional niches (Young and Ironmonger, 1980).

The timing of flow conditions were also found to be important in influencing the ecological effects of *P. leniusculus*, which comprised 72% of all significant associations (note that some hydrological indices occur in more than one facet). For example, RaoQ demonstrated a strong reduction in invaded rivers when average September discharges were low. This time period coincides with the start of the mating season of crayfish in Europe (Lewis, 2002) and therefore flow conditions during this period likely interacted with breeding behaviours (e.g., low-flows facilitating males locating resident females in fixed points; Gheradi, 2002).

The rate of hydrological change also exerted a strong interactive effect with *P. leniusculus* on macroinvertebrate community diversity. Hydrological stability resulted in reductions in taxa richness and the temporal variability of communities, highlighting that the effects of *P. leniusculus* are greatest when changes in hydrological conditions are more gradual. Within control rivers, flashy regimes typically support heterogeneous communities as taxa are selectively removed during the disturbance and recolonise as flows return to average conditions (Ledger, Harris, Armitage & Milner, 2012). In marked contrast, lower taxa richness was associated with hydrologically stable conditions in invaded rivers, potentially reflecting that crayfish are able to selectively predate on certain taxa (such as Hirudinea and Mollusca; Crawford et al., 2006; Mathers et al., 2016) without having to react to dynamic hydrological conditions. Although the prevalence of prey taxa are likely to decrease in the presence of invasive crayfish, there is limited evidence to suggest that they become locally extinct and therefore communities may become more variable over time as these taxa become ‘rare’.

Greater reductions in functional evenness in invaded rivers (compared to control rivers) were associated with higher average March discharge values. A number of crawling ephemeroptera taxa are preferentially predated on by *P. leniusculus* (e.g. *Caenis* sp., *Habrophlebia fusca*) reducing their densities in invaded rivers (Mathers et al., 2016a; Mathers et al., 2018) which may leave them highly vulnerable to local extirpation during high spring flows (Wood et al., 2000; Adámek et al., 2016). A reduction in functional evenness may have considerable repercussions in controlling future invasion resistance with an even distribution of traits enabling higher invasion resistance (Dukes, 2001) and may therefore make waterbodies supporting invasive species more susceptible to future invasions. While further research is clearly required to unpack the causal mechanisms underpinning ecological responses to INNS establishment and different flow regime facets, this study provides the first evidence (to our

knowledge) that biological invasions and flow regimes exert long-term interactive effects on the structural and functional properties of riverine ecosystems.

4.4 Implications for the management and ecological effects of INNS in a changing climate

Our study provides evidence that flow regime variability is critical in the prevention of INNS establishment, as well as the maintenance of structural and functional diversity of receiving ecosystems following biological invasions. Our results have important repercussions when evaluating the future threat that INNS may represent with low-flow events likely to increase in intensity, duration and frequency over the 21st century associated with predicted climatic changes and increasing societal water demands (Döll & Schmied, 2012; Prudhomme et al., 2014). For example, urban water demand is projected to increase by 80% by 2050 (Flörke et al., 2018) and mean summer flows in the South and East of England are 30% lower than the 1961-1990 mean (Arnell, 2004).

To compound issues, only 37% of rivers globally remain free-flowing (Grill et al., 2019), with alterations to natural flow regimes representing a continuing global threat to ecosystem functioning (Kennen, Stein & Webb, 2018; Poff, 2018). River regulation can lead to reductions in flow seasonality and variability resulting in homogenous hydrological conditions which are typically characterised by reductions in short-term maximum flows and increases in long-term minimum flows (Poff et al., 1997). Flow restoration efforts or ‘environmental flows’ are being increasingly advocated across the globe in order to balance societal and ecosystem water demands (Theodoropoulos et al., 2019; Webb, Watts, Allan & Conallin, 2018) with recent efforts focused on restoring key components of the flow regime required for wider ecosystem functionality (Poff et al., 1997). Results from this research highlight that these flow components (such as magnitude, rate of change and timing of flow) are likely to be vital in maintaining ecological diversity in the face of a number of current threats including biological invasions.

Acknowledgements: Russ Barber, Judy England, Andy Goodwin, Katy Lee, Will Olsen and Mitch Perkins from the Environment Agency are thanked for kindly providing the data from the BIOSYS database to undertake the research. Simone Jola is thanked for his assistance in the preparation of Figure 1. Paul Wood is thanked for useful comments on a previous draft. We thank the constructive and positive comments of the reviewers and associate editor which improved the manuscript.

Authors' contributions KM and JW conceived the ideas, which were developed with the support of RC; JW analysed the data with support from RF; RC provided interpretations of results from a management view; KM led the writing of the manuscript with support from JW. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Data availability statement

All biological data can be obtained freely from the Freshwater and Marine Biological Surveys for Invertebrates England Database at <https://data.gov.uk/dataset/ae610ec8-7635-4359-9662-c920046950f7/freshwater-and-marine-biological-surveys-for-invertebrates-england> using the site codes found in Table S2. All daily mean discharge data can be obtained freely from the National River Flow Archive at <https://nrfa.ceh.ac.uk/data/search> using the station codes shown in Table S3.

References

Adámek, Z., Konečná, J., Podhrázská, J., Všeticková, L., Jurajdová, Z. (2016). Response of small-stream biota to sudden flow pulses following extreme precipitation events. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 25(2).

666 Arnell, N. W. (2004). Climate change impacts on river flows in Britain: The UKCIP02
 667 scenarios, *Journal of the Chartered Institution of Water and Environmental Management*, 18,
 668 112–117.

669 Aspin, T.W., Hart, K., Khamis, K., Milner, A.M., O'Callaghan, M.J., Trimmer, M., Wang, Z.,
 670 Williams, G.M., Woodward, G., Ledger, M.E. (2019). Drought intensification alters the
 671 composition, body size, and trophic structure of invertebrate assemblages in a stream
 672 mesocosm experiment. *Freshwater Biology*. 64(4),750-760.

673 Bogan, M.T., Boersma, K.S., Lytle, D.A. (2015). Resistance and resilience of invertebrate
 674 communities to seasonal and suprasedasonal drought in arid-land headwater
 675 streams. *Freshwater Biology*, 60(12), 2547-2558.

676 Boulton, A.J. (2003). Parallels and contrasts in the effects of drought on stream
 677 macroinvertebrate assemblages. *Freshwater Biology*, 48(7), 1173-1185.

678 Boulton, A.J., Lake, P.S. (2008). Effects of drought on stream insects and its ecological
 679 consequences. Pp 81-102, In: Lancaster, J., Briers, R.A (Eds.) *Aquatic insects: Challenges to*
 680 *populations*, Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society's 24th Symposium, CABI:
 681 Wallingford (Oxfordshire, UK).

682 Bubb, D.H., Thom, T.J., Lucas, M.C. (2004). Movement and dispersal of the invasive signal
 683 crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus* in upland rivers. *Freshwater Biology*, 49(3), 357-368.

684 Bunn, S.E., Arthington, A.H. (2002). Basic principles and ecological consequences of altered
 685 flow regimes for aquatic biodiversity. *Environmental management*, 30(4), 492-507.

686 Carvalho, F., Pascoal, C., Cássio, F., Sousa, R. (2016). Direct and indirect effects of an invasive
 687 omnivore crayfish on leaf litter decomposition. *Science of the Total Environment*, 541, 714-
 688 720.

689 Catford, J.A., Downes, B.J., Gippel, C.J., Vesk, P.A. (2011). Flow regulation reduces native
690 plant cover and facilitates exotic invasion in riparian wetlands. *Journal of Applied*
691 *Ecology*, 48(2), 432-442.

692 Chadd, R.P., England, J.A., Constable, D., Dunbar, M.J., Extence, C.A., Leeming, D.J.,
693 Murray-Bligh, J.A., Wood, P.J. (2017). An index to track the ecological effects of drought
694 development and recovery on riverine invertebrate communities. *Ecological indicators*, 82,
695 344-356.

696 Chen, W., Olden, J.D. (2017). Designing flows to resolve human and environmental water
697 needs in a dam-regulated river. *Nature communications*, 8(1), 2158.

698 Claussen, D.L., Hopper, R.A., Sanker, A.M. (2000). The effects of temperature, body size, and
699 hydration state on the terrestrial locomotion of the crayfish *Orconectes rusticus*. *Journal of*
700 *Crustacean Biology*, 20(2), 218-223.

701 Corlett, R.T. (2015). The Anthropocene concept in ecology and conservation. *Trends in*
702 *ecology & evolution*, 30(1), 36-41.

703 Crawford, L., Yeomans, W.E., Adams, C.E. (2006). The impact of introduced signal crayfish
704 *Pacifastacus leniusculus* on stream invertebrate communities. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine*
705 *and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 16(6), 611-621.

706 Creed Jr., R.P. (1994). Direct and indirect effects of crayfish grazing in a stream community.
707 *Ecology* 75(7), 2091–2103.

708 Datry, T. (2012). Benthic and hyporheic invertebrate assemblages along a flow intermittence
709 gradient: effects of duration of dry events. *Freshwater Biology*, 57(3), 563-574.

710 Didham, R.K., Tylianakis, J.M., Gemmell, N.J., Rand, T.A., Ewers, R.M. (2007). Interactive
 711 effects of habitat modification and species invasion on native species decline. *Trends in*
 712 *ecology & evolution*, 22(9), 489-496.

713 Dorn, N.J. (2013). Consumptive effects of crayfish limit snail populations. *Freshwater*
 714 *Science*, 32(4), 1298-1308.

715 Dudgeon, D., Arthington, A.H., Gessner, M.O., Kawabata, Z.I., Knowler, D.J., Lévêque, C.,
 716 Naiman, R.J., Prieur-Richard, A.H., Soto, D., Stiassny, M.L., Sullivan, C.A. (2006).
 717 Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. *Biological*
 718 *Reviews*, 81(2), 163-182.

719 Dukes, J.S. (2001). Biodiversity and invasibility in grassland microcosms. *Oecologia*, 126(4),
 720 563-568.

721 Flörke, M., Schneider, C., McDonald, R.I. (2018). Water competition between cities and
 722 agriculture driven by climate change and urban growth. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(1), 51

723 Fornaroli, R., Cabrini, R., Sartori, L., Marazzi, F., Vracevic, D., Mezzanotte, V., Annala, M.,
 724 Canobbio, S. (2015). Predicting the constraint effect of environmental characteristics on
 725 macroinvertebrate density and diversity using quantile regression mixed
 726 model. *Hydrobiologia*, 742(1), 153-167.

727 Fornaroli, R., Calabrese, S., Marazzi, F., Zaupa, S., Mezzanotte, V. (2019). The influence of
 728 multiple controls on structural and functional characteristics of macroinvertebrate community
 729 in a regulated Alpine river. *Ecohydrology*, 12, e2069.

730 Gallardo, B., Clavero, M., Sánchez, M.I., Vilà, M. (2016). Global ecological impacts of
 731 invasive species in aquatic ecosystems. *Global change biology*, 22(1), 151-163.

732 Gayraud, S., Statzner, B., Bady, P., Haybachp, A., Schöll, F., Usseglio-Polatera, P., Bacchi, M.
 733 (2003). Invertebrate traits for the biomonitoring of large European rivers: an initial assessment
 734 of alternative metrics. *Freshwater Biology*, 48(11), 2045-2064.

735 Geraci, M. (2018). Additive quantile regression for clustered data with an application to
 736 children's physical activity. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05403.

737 Gheradi, F. (2002) Behaviour. In: Holdich, D.M. (Ed.) *Biology of Freshwater Crayfish*.
 738 Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford, UK.

739 Gladman, Z.F., Yeomans, W.E., Adams, C.E., McCluskey, R. (2010) Detecting North
 740 American signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) in riffles. *Aquatic Conservation in*
 741 *Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 20, 588–594.

742 Grill et al., (2019) Mapping the world's free-flowing rivers. *Nature*. 569, 215-221.

743 Havel, J.E., Kovalenko, K.E., Thomaz, S.M., Amalfitano, S., Kats, L.B. (2015). Aquatic
 744 invasive species: challenges for the future. *Hydrobiologia*, 750(1), 147-170.

745 Jackson, M.C., Loewen, C.J., Vinebrooke, R.D., Chimimba, C.T. (2016). Net effects of
 746 multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 22(1),
 747 180-189.

748 Johnson, P.T., Olden, J.D. and Vander Zanden, M.J. (2008). Dam invaders: impoundments
 749 facilitate biological invasions into freshwaters. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*
 750 *Environment*, 6(7), 357-363.

751 Johnson, M.F., Rice, S.P., Reid, I. (2014). The activity of signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus*
 752 *leniusculus*) in relation to thermal and hydraulic dynamics of an alluvial stream,
 753 UK. *Hydrobiologia*, 724(1), 41-54.

754 Jones, S.N., Bergey, E.A. (2007). Habitat segregation in stream crayfishes: implications for
755 conservation. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society*, 26(1), 134-144.

756 Kennen, J.G., Stein, E.D., Webb, J.A. (2018). Evaluating and managing environmental water
757 regimes in a water-scarce and uncertain future. *Freshwater Biology*, 63(8), 733-737.

758 Kouba, A., Buřič, M, & Petrusek, A. (2015) Crayfihs species In Ruope. In Kozák, P., Ďuriš,
759 Z., Petrusek, A., Buřič, M, Horká, M., Kouba, A., Kozubikova-Balcarová, E. and Policar, T.
760 (Eds.) *Crayfish Biology and Culture*, University of South Bohemia: Zátíši, Czech Republic.

761 Laizé, C.L., Hannah, D.M. (2010). Modification of climate–river flow associations by basin
762 properties. *Journal of Hydrology*, 389(1-2), 186-204.

763 Laliberté, E., Legendre, P. (2010). A distance-based framework for measuring functional
764 diversity from multiple traits. *Ecology*, 91(1), 299-305.

765 Lake, P.S. (2003). Ecological effects of perturbation by drought in flowing waters. *Freshwater*
766 *Biology*, 48(7),1161-1172.

767 Larned, S.T., Arscott, D.B., Schmidt, J., Diettrich, J.C. (2010). A Framework for Analyzing
768 Longitudinal and Temporal Variation in River Flow and Developing Flow-Ecology
769 Relationships. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 46(3), 541-553.

770 Larson, E.R., Magoulick, D.D., Turner, C., Laycock, K.H. (2009). Disturbance and species
771 displacement: different tolerances to stream drying and desiccation in a native and an invasive
772 crayfish. *Freshwater Biology*, 54(9),1899-1908.

773 Lavers, D., Prudhomme, C., Hannah, D.M. (2010). Large-scale climatic influences on
774 precipitation and discharge for a British river basin. *Hydrological processes*, 24(18), 2555-
775 2563.

776 Ledger, M. E., Harris, R. M. L., Armitage, P. D., Milner, A. M. (2012). Climate Change
 777 Impacts on Community Resilience. *Global Change in Multispecies Systems Part 1*, 211–258.

778 Ledger, M.E., Brown, L.E., Edwards, F.K., Hudson, L.N., Milner, A.M., Woodward, G.
 779 (2013a). Extreme climatic events alter aquatic food webs: a synthesis of evidence from a
 780 mesocosm drought experiment. In *Advances in Ecological Research* (Vol. 48, pp. 343-395).
 781 Academic Press.

782 Lenth, R.V. (2016). Least-squares means: the R package lsmeans. *Journal of statistical*
 783 *software*, 69(1),1-33.

784 Lewis, S. D. (2002) *Pacifastacus*. In: Holdich, D.M. (Ed.) *Biology of Freshwater Crayfish*.
 785 Blackwell Science Ltd, Oxford, UK.

786 Light, T. (2003). Success and failure in a lotic crayfish invasion: the roles of hydrologic
 787 variability and habitat alteration. *Freshwater Biology*, 48(10), 1886-1897.

788 Lodge, D.M., Lorman, J.G. (1987). Reductions in submersed macrophyte biomass and species
 789 richness by the crayfish *Orconectes rusticus*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*
 790 *Sciences*, 44(3), 591-597.

791 Lytle, D.A., Poff, N.L. (2004). Adaptation to natural flow regimes. *Trends in ecology &*
 792 *evolution*, 19(2), 94-100.

793 Lynch, D.T., Leasure, D.R., Magoulick, D.D. (2018). The influence of drought on flow-
 794 ecology relationships in Ozark Highland streams. *Freshwater Biology*, 63(8), 946-968.

795 Magoulick, D.D. (2014). Impacts of drought and crayfish invasion on stream ecosystem
 796 structure and function. *River research and applications*, 30(10), 1309-1317.

797 Martinuzzi, S., Januchowski-Hartley, S.R., Pracheil, B.M., McIntyre, P.B., Plantinga, A.J.,
 798 Lewis, D.J., Radeloff, V.C. (2014). Threats and opportunities for freshwater conservation
 799 under future land use change scenarios in the United States. *Global change biology*, 20(1), 113-
 800 124.

801 Mason, N.W., Mouillot, D., Lee, W.G., Wilson, J.B. (2005). Functional richness, functional
 802 evenness and functional divergence: the primary components of functional
 803 diversity. *Oikos*, 111(1), 112-118.

804 Mathers, K.L., Chadd, R.P., Dunbar, M.J., Extence, C.A., Reeds, J., Rice, S.P., Wood, P.J.
 805 (2016a). The long-term effects of invasive signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) on
 806 instream macroinvertebrate communities. *Science of the Total Environment*, 556, 207-218.

807 Mathers, K.L., Chadd, R.P., Extence, C.A., Rice, S.P., Wood, P.J. (2016b). The implications
 808 of an invasive species on the reliability of macroinvertebrate biomonitoring tools used in
 809 freshwater ecological assessments. *Ecological indicators*, 63, 23-28.

810 Mathers, K.L., Rice, S.P., Wood, P.J. (2018). Temporal variability in lotic macroinvertebrate
 811 communities associated with invasive signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) activity levels
 812 and substrate character. *Biological Invasions*, 20(3), 567-582.

813 McHugh, P.A., Thompson, R.M., Greig, H.S., Warburton, H.J., McIntosh, A.R. (2015). Habitat
 814 size influences food web structure in drying streams. *Ecography*, 38(7)700-712.

815 Meffe, G.K. (1984). Effects of abiotic disturbance on coexistence of predator-prey fish
 816 species. *Ecology*, 65(5), 1525-1534.

817 Momot W.T. (1995) Redefining the role of crayfish in aquatic ecosystems. *Reviews in*
 818 *Fisheries Science*, 3, 33– 63.

819 Monk, W.A., Wood, P.J., Hannah, D.M., Wilson, D.A., Extence, C.A., Chadd, R.P. (2006).
820 Flow variability and macroinvertebrate community response within riverine systems. *River*
821 *Research and Applications*, 22(5), 595-615.

822 Muchan, K., Lewis, M., Hannaford, J., Parry, S. (2015). The winter storms of 2013/2014 in the
823 UK: hydrological responses and impacts. *Weather*, 70(2), 55-61.

824 Murray-Bligh, J. (1999). Procedures for collecting and analysing macroinvertebrate samples-
825 BT001. *Environment Agency, Bristol*.

826 NRFA (2018) National River Flow Archive. Available at: <https://nrfa.ceh.ac.uk/> [Accessed
827 01/02/2019].

828 Olden, J.D., Poff, N.L. and Bestgen, K.R., 2006. Life-history strategies predict fish invasions
829 and extirpations in the Colorado River Basin. *Ecological Monographs*, 76(1), pp.25-40.

830 Parkyn, S.M., Rabeni, C.F., Collier, K.J. (1997). Effects of crayfish (*Paraneohrops planifrons*:
831 *Parastacidae*) on in-stream processes and benthic faunas: A density manipulation
832 experiment. *New Zealand journal of marine and freshwater research*, 31(5), 685-692.

833 Peay, S. (2003). Monitoring the white-clawed crayfish, *Austropotamobius pallipes*.
834 *Conserving Nature 2000 Rivers, Monitoring Series No. 1*. English Nature, Peterborough
835 (52pp.).

836 Pejchar, L., Mooney, H.A. (2017) Invasive species, ecosystem services and human well-being.
837 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 24,497-504.

838 Prudhomme, C., Giuntoli, I., Robinson, E.L., Clark, D.B., Arnell, N.W., Dankers, R., Fekete,
839 B.M., Franssen, W., Gerten, D., Gosling, S.N., Hagemann, S. (2014). Hydrological droughts
840 in the 21st century, hotspots and uncertainties from a global multimodel ensemble
841 experiment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(9), 3262-3267.

842 Poff, N.L. (2018). Beyond the natural flow regime? Broadening the hydro-ecological
843 foundation to meet environmental flows challenges in a non-stationary world. *Freshwater*
844 *Biology*, 63(8), 1011-1021.

845 Poff, N.L., Allan, J.D., Bain, M.B., Karr, J.R., Prestegard, K.L., Richter, B.D., Sparks, R.E.,
846 Stromberg, J.C. (1997). The natural flow regime. *BioScience*, 47(11), 769-784.

847 R Development Core Team. (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
848 R foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.

849 Rahel, F.J., Olden, J.D. (2008). Assessing the effects of climate change on aquatic invasive
850 species. *Conservation Biology*. 22(3), 521-533.

851 Ragno, E., AghaKouchak, A., Love, C.A., Cheng, L., Vahedifard, F., Lima, C.H. (2018).
852 Quantifying changes in future intensity-duration-frequency curves using multimodel ensemble
853 simulations. *Water Resources Research*, 54(3), 1751-1764.

854 Ramalho, R.O., Anastácio, P.M. (2015). Factors inducing overland movement of invasive
855 crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) in a ricefield habitat. *Hydrobiologia*, 746(1), 135-146.

856 Reid, A.J., Carlson, A.K., Creed, I.F., Eliason, E.J., Gell, P.A., Johnson, P.T., Kidd, K.A.,
857 MacCormack, T.J., Olden, J.D., Ormerod, S.J. and Smol, J.P. (2018). Emerging threats and
858 persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. *Biological Reviews*. 94(3), 849-
859 873.

860 Ricciardi, A. (2004) Assessing species invasions as a cause of extinction. *Trends in Ecology*
861 *and Evolution*, 19, 619.

862 Richter, B., Baumgartner, J., Wigington, R., Braun, D. (1997). How much water does a river
863 need?. *Freshwater biology*, 37(1), 231-249.

864 Richter, B.D., Baumgartner, J.V., Powell, J. and Braun, D.P. (1996). A method for assessing
865 hydrologic alteration within ecosystems. *Conservation biology*, 10(4), 1163-1174.

866 Robinson, C.A., Thom, T.J., Lucas, M.C. (2000). Ranging behaviour of a large freshwater
867 invertebrate, the white-clawed crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes*. *Freshwater Biology*, 44(3),
868 509-521.

869 Roessink, I., Gylstra, R., Heuts, P.G., Specken, B., Ottburg, F. (2017). Impact of invasive
870 crayfish on water quality and aquatic macrophytes in the Netherlands. *Aquatic*
871 *Invasions*, 12(3).

872 Rogosch, J.S., Tonkin, J.D., Lytle, D.A., Merritt, D.M., Reynolds, L.V., Olden, J.D. (2019)
873 Increasing drought favors nonnative fishes in a dryland river: evidence from a multispecies
874 demographic model. *Ecosphere*, 10, e02681.

875 Ruhí, A., Holmes, E.E., Rinne, J.N., Sabo, J.L. (2015). Anomalous droughts, not invasion,
876 decrease persistence of native fishes in a desert river. *Global change biology*, 21(4), pp.1482-
877 1496.

878 Ruokonen, T.J., Ercoli, F., Hämäläinen, H. (2016). Are the effects of an invasive crayfish on
879 lake littoral macroinvertebrate communities consistent over time?. *Knowledge and*
880 *Management of Aquatic Ecosystems*, (417), 31.

881 Ruokonen, T.J., Karjalainen, J., Hämäläinen, H. (2014). Effects of an invasive crayfish on the
882 littoral macroinvertebrates of large boreal lakes are habitat specific. *Freshwater Biology*, 59(1),
883 12-25.

884 Sánchez-Bayo, F., Wyckhuys, K.A. (2019). Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review
885 of its drivers. *Biological Conservation*, 232, 8-27.

886 Schleuter, D., Daufresne, M., Massol, F., Argillier, C. (2010). A user's guide to functional
887 diversity indices. *Ecological Monographs*, 80(3), 469-484.

888 Simberloff, D., Martin, J.L., Genovesi, P., Maris, V., Wardle, D.A., Aronson, J., Courchamp,
889 F., Galil, B., García-Berthou, E., Pascal, M., Pyšek, P. (2013). Impacts of biological invasions:
890 what's what and the way forward. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 28(1),58-66.

891 Simpson, G. (2017) Comparing smooths in factor-smooth interactions I. *From the Bottom of*
892 *the Heap*. Available at: [https://www.fromthebottomoftheheap.net/2017/12/14/difference-](https://www.fromthebottomoftheheap.net/2017/12/14/difference-splines-ii/)
893 [splines-ii/](https://www.fromthebottomoftheheap.net/2017/12/14/difference-splines-ii/) [Accessed 01/02/2019].

894 Steel, A.E., Peek, R.A., Lusardi, R.A., Yarnell, S.M. (2018). Associating metrics of hydrologic
895 variability with benthic macroinvertebrate communities in regulated and unregulated
896 snowmelt-dominated rivers. *Freshwater Biology*, 63(8), 844-858.

897 Stenroth, P., Nystrom, P. (2003) Exotic crayfish in a brown water stream: effects on juvenile
898 trout, invertebrates and algae. *Freshwater Biology*, 48(3), 466–475.

899 Stephens, C.M., Johnson, F.M., Marshall, L.A. (2018). Implications of future climate change
900 for event-based hydrologic models. *Advances in water resources*, 119, 95-110.

901 Swan, C.M., Brown, B.L. (2017). Metacommunity theory meets restoration: isolation may
902 mediate how ecological communities respond to stream restoration. *Ecological*
903 *applications*, 27(7), 2209-2219.

904 Tachet, H., Bournaud, M., Richoux, P., Usseglio-Polatera, P. (2010). *Invertébrés d'eau douce :*
905 *Systématique, Biologie, Écologie*. CNRS Editions, Paris.

906 Theodoropoulos, C., Papadaki, C., Vardakas, L., Dimitriou, E., Kalogianni, E., Skoulikidis, N.
907 (2019). Conceptualization and pilot application of a model-based environmental flow
908 assessment adapted for intermittent rivers. *Aquatic Sciences*, 81(1), 10.

909 Thomson, J.R. (2002). The effects of hydrological disturbance on the densities of
 910 macroinvertebrate predators and their prey in a coastal stream. *Freshwater Biology*, 47(8),
 911 1333-1351

912 Turley, M.D., Bilotta, G.S., Gasparrini, A., Sera, F., Mathers, K.L., Humpheryes, I., England,
 913 J. (2017). The effects of non-native signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus*) on fine sediment
 914 and sediment-biomonitoring. *Science of the Total Environment*, 601, 186-193.

915 Usio, N., Townsend, C.R. (2004). Roles of crayfish: consequences of predation and
 916 bioturbation for stream invertebrates. *Ecology*, 85(3), 807-822.

917 Vaughan, I.P., Ormerod, S.J. (2012). Large-scale, long-term trends in British river
 918 macroinvertebrates. *Global Change Biology*, 18(7), 2184-2194.

919 Vaughan, I.P., Ormerod, S.J. (2014). Linking interdecadal changes in British river ecosystems
 920 to water quality and climate dynamics. *Global change biology*, 20(9), 2725-2740.

921 Villéger, S., Mason, N.W., Mouillot, D. (2008). New multidimensional functional diversity
 922 indices for a multifaceted framework in functional ecology. *Ecology*, 89(8), 2290-2301.

923 Webb, J.A., Watts, R.J., Allan, C., Conallin, J.C. (2018) Adaptive management of
 924 environmental flows. *Environment Management*, 61, 339-346.

925 Webb, B.W., Hannah, D.M., Moore, R.D., Brown, L.E., Nobilis, F. (2008) Recent advances
 926 in stream and river temperature research. *Hydrological Processes: An International*
 927 *Journal*, 22, 902-918.

928 Weber, L.M., Lodge, D.M. (1990). Periphytic food and predatory crayfish: relative roles in
 929 determining snail distribution. *Oecologia*, 82(1), 33-39.

930 Wutz, S., Geist, J. (2013). Sex-and size-specific migration patterns and habitat preferences of
 931 invasive signal crayfish (*Pacifastacus leniusculus* Dana). *Limnologica-Ecology and*
 932 *Management of Inland Waters*, 43(2), 59-66.

933 White, J.C., Hill, M.J., Bickerton, M.A., Wood, P.J. (2017a). Macroinvertebrate taxonomic and
 934 functional trait compositions within lotic habitats affected by river restoration
 935 practices. *Environmental management*, 60(3), 513-525.

936 White, J.C., Hannah, D.M., House, A., Beatson, S.J., Martin, A., Wood, P.J. (2017b).
 937 Macroinvertebrate responses to flow and stream temperature variability across regulated and
 938 non-regulated rivers. *Ecohydrology*, 10(1), e1773.

939 White, J.C., Krajenbrink, H.J., Hill, M.J., Hannah, D.M., House, A., Wood, P.J. (2019) Habitat-
 940 specific invertebrate responses to hydrological variability, anthropogenic flow alterations, and
 941 hydraulic conditions. *Freshwater Biology*, 64(3), 555-576.

942 Wickham, H., Chang, W. (2016). Package “ggplot2”. Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using
 943 the Grammar of Graphics. Version 2.2.1. 1–189. Available online through <[https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/ggplot2.pdf)
 944 [project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/ggplot2.pdf](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/ggplot2.pdf)>. [Accessed 23/05/2018].

945 Wood, P.J., Armitage, P.D. (2004). The response of the macroinvertebrate community to low-
 946 flow variability and supra-seasonal drought within a groundwater dominated stream. *Archiv*
 947 *für Hydrobiologie*, 161(1), 1-20.

948 Wood, P.J., Agnew, M.D., Petts, G.E. (2000). Flow variations and macroinvertebrate
 949 community responses in a small groundwater-dominated stream in south-east
 950 England. *Hydrological Processes*, 14(16-17), 3133-3147.

951 Young, J.O., Ironmonger, J.W. (1980) A laboratory study of the food of three species of
 952 leeches occurring in British lakes. *Hydrobiologia*, 68, 209-215.

Zeileis, A., Grothendieck, G. (2005). zoo: S3 Infrastructure for Regular and Irregular Time Series. Journal of Statistical Software, 14(6), 1-27.

Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., Elphick, C.S. (2010). A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Methods in ecology and evolution, 1(1), 3-14.

Figures

Figure 1. Site locations of: a) *Pacifastacus leniusculus* establishment dates and; b) flow gauges employed in the study. For specific locations of macroinvertebrate community data sites see Figure 1 in Mathers et al., 2016. Red symbols = North, blue = North West, black =

East, orange = West and green = South East as defined in this study. Note the two flow gauges in dark grey were used in both the North and North West regions.

Figure 2. Flow chart depicting the analytical framework adopted within this study.

Figure 3. Occurrence of *Pacifastacus leniusculus* establishment dates during the different region-wide flow regime magnitude (RM) groups for all (global) and each of the five English regions. RM1 = low magnitude flows, RM2 = low – moderate magnitude flows, RM3 =

moderate - high magnitude flows and; RM4 = high magnitude flows. See Table 1 for values detailing how each RM group differs from the long term regional mean.

Figure 4. Difference in temporal trajectories of a) taxonomic richness; b) functional richness and; c) Rao's Quadratic Entropy between control and invaded rivers as a function of the GAMM outputs for the North. Corresponding graphs for the other regions are shown in Supplementary material (Figure S2). The dashed line represents dates of invasion by *P. leniusculus*. The annual flow regime magnitude (RM) classification is displayed at the base of each plot. RM1 = low magnitude flows, RM2 = low – moderate magnitude flows, RM3 =

1026 moderate - high magnitude flows and; RM4 = high magnitude flows. See Table 1 for values
 1027 detailing how each RM group differs from the long term regional mean.

1028

1029

1030

Figure 5. Macroinvertebrate community responses (a-f) to a number of hydrological indices derived from quantile regression (QR) models associated with *Pacifastacus leniusculus* presence. Control = grey and invaded = black. Shown are 20th (dashed), 50th (solid) and 80th (dashed) percentiles.

Tables

Table 1. Summary of mean hydrological conditions per region-wide flow regime magnitude (RM) group relative to the long term mean (%) by region

	South East	West	East	North	North West
RM1	-16.92	-17.86	-16.83	-20.34	-24.20
RM2	-3.54	6.81	-0.79	-3.12	-8.26
RM3	11.94	4.79	3.96	12.17	4.99
RM4	41.14	35.00	21.52	32.47	18.77

Table 2. Summary values from the Generalized Additive Mixed-Effect Models. Significant terms are denoted in bold.

	Treatment		Season		RM		Temporal differences between treatment	
	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value
<i>East</i>								
Taxonomic richness	20.02	<0.001***	0.44	0.506	3.11	0.028*	6.37	<0.001***
Taxonomic variability	5.05	0.026*	1.01	0.317	0.58	0.629	0.39	0.145
Functional diversity	0.45	0.501	1.3	0.256	6.40	<0.001***	1.36	0.012*
Functional richness	57.40	<0.001***	0.55	0.458	2.78	0.043*	6.78	<0.001***
Functional evenness	2.37	0.126	0.11	0.738	3.29	0.022*	0.00	0.439
Functional divergence	1.15	0.285	4.12	0.044*	3.57	0.016*	2.25	0.002**
Rao Q	3.39	0.067	6.53	0.012*	3.07	0.030*	3.04	<0.001***
<i>North</i>								
Taxonomic richness	27.69	<0.001***	6.61	0.011*	3.25	0.024*	2.20	0.001**
Taxonomic variability	12.69	<0.001***	0.16	0.69	0.82	0.483	0.00	0.877
Functional diversity	0.12	0.734	25.18	<0.001***	1.59	0.195	3.34	<0.001***
Functional richness	18.54	<0.001***	11.33	0.001*	2.95	0.035*	3.35	<0.001***
Functional evenness	1.71	0.194	0.01	0.909	1.12	0.344	2.27	<0.001***
Functional divergence	5.05	0.026*	0.26	0.611	1.07	0.366	0.00	0.752
Rao Q	0.45	0.501	23.95	<0.001***	3.42	0.019*	1.37	0.012*
<i>North West</i>								
Taxonomic richness	25.31	<0.001***	3.35	0.07	1.87	0.138	2.78	<0.001***
Taxonomic variability	14.00	<0.001***	0.02	0.89	4.02	0.009**	3.58	<0.001***
Functional diversity	2.73	0.101	2.53	0.115	1.29	0.279	0.00	0.391
Functional richness	18.36	<0.001***	0	0.995	1.07	0.366	0.19	0.172
Functional evenness	1.24	0.269	0.01	0.924	0.74	0.532	0.00	0.623
Functional divergence	0.56	0.457	0.35	0.558	2.67	0.05	0.00	0.44
Rao Q	1.55	0.216	1.55	0.216	0.54	0.659	2.96	<0.001***

* p ≤ 0.05, ** P ≤ 0.01, *** p ≤ 0.001

1038

1039

1040

Table 3. Summary table of significant relationships between macroinvertebrate community diversity response metrics and the interactive effect of different hydrological indices and (invasion) treatment modelled from quantile regression and quantile mixed-effect regression analyses. The formula underpinning the model exhibiting the highest statistical power (average w_i) for each paired combination between a community response metric and hydrological index $\times$ treatment interaction is shown. LIN = linear, EXP = exponential and LOG = logarithmic statistical functions. Low flow indices are indicated in bold, and high flow in italics.

Community response	Average w_i	Hydrological index	Function	Formula
Taxonomic richness	1.00	<i>QDec</i>	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QLPD	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QFR	LOG	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QMin180	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QJulianMin	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QSep	LIN	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	<i>QMax90</i>	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QMean7	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QNoRises	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QMar	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	<i>QJulianMax</i>	LIN	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
Taxonomic variability	0.96	QFR	LOG	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.84	QJulianMin	LOG	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.79	QLPD	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.78	<i>QDec</i>	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.83	QSep	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.96	QMin180	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.88	QMar	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.86	QNoRises	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.83	QMean7	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.97	<i>QMax90</i>	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	0.93	<i>QJulianMax</i>	LIN	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
Functional diversity	0.98	QJun	LIN	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
Functional richness	1.00	QLPD	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	1.00	<i>QMax90</i>	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	1.00	QMar	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	1.00	QMean7	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	1.00	QNoRises	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	1.00	<i>QDec</i>	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	1.00	QSep	LIN	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QMin180	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	<i>QJulianMax</i>	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QJulianMin	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	1.00	QFR	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
Functional evenness	0.80	QMar	LOG	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
Rao's Quadratic Entropy	0.92	<i>QMax90</i>	LIN	$\text{Treatment} + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	1.00	QMean7	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.99	QMar	EXP	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.95	QJulianMin	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.99	<i>QDec</i>	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.96	QNoRises	LIN	$x + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.95	<i>QJulianMax</i>	EXP	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	0.97	QLPD	LIN	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	0.99	QMin180	EXP	$x + \text{Treatment} + (x \times \text{Treatment})$
	0.98	QFR	LOG	$x \times \text{Treatment}$
	0.97	QSep	EXP	$x + \text{Treatment} + (x \times \text{Treatment})$