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1. INTRODUCTION

In vitro digestion models have been instrumental in improving our understanding of complex digestive processes in humans and other vertebrates. While such processes are well established for humans, and other vertebrates, our understanding of complex digestive processes in humans
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1. INTRODUCTION

In vitro digestion models have been instrumental in improving our understanding of complex digestive processes in humans and other vertebrates. While such processes are well established for humans, they are not in fish. The development of a protocol that mimics fish digestion in vitro is important to evaluate the effect of mechanical and chemical transformations on nutrient or toxicant bioavailability. Moreover, in vitro digestion models can be used to screen the digestibility of novel ingredients in aquaculture at a lower cost than traditional in vivo studies and represent a more ethical approach, reducing the use of fish for research purposes.

The anatomy of the teleost fish digestive system is diverse among different species. For example, depending on the species and developmental stage, the first stage of fish digestion can occur in a true stomach, typical of carnivorous fish (e.g., trout), or in the absence of a stomach in some herbivore fish (e.g., carp). Different digestive processes therefore might occur in different fish species. For instance, in the acidic environment of the stomach, pepsin, an enzyme with high activity at a pH below 4, is responsible for protein digestion. Moreover, it was shown that stomach digestion can inactivate some trypsin inhibitors present in protein-rich plant ingredients. After the acidic digestion, the chyme enters the intestine, wherein bile and pancreatic secretions (i.e., zymogens and bicarbonate) further digest protein, carbohydrates, and fats at a slightly alkaline pH (~7.1–7.5 in salmonids).

Previous studies have used a variety of approaches to study intestinal physiological processes. Surgical insertion of a catheter in live fish can guarantee dosage on gut exposure, maintaining neurological and endocrinological function in the digestive system. However, catheter surgery represents a technically demanding and invasive technique that can be used only for short periods of time, ~3 h. Dissection of the gut, such as the ex vivo gut sac model, can also be useful to study intestinal physiological processes such as osmoregulation. However, isolating the gut removes the contribution of digestive juices produced by the pancreas and liver, and the gut sac is short-lived, as once removed it remains viable for roughly 4 h. Several fish in vitro gastrointestinal models (i.e., just mimicking the gastrointestinal juices) have previously been developed and used to study ingredient digestibility; however, these procedures investigate only the mechanical and chemical breakdown of nutrients (e.g., protein hydrolysis) and do not include the digestibility or the bioavailability of the digested nutrients by the intestinal epithelium.

In this study, we developed a static in vitro fish digestion model system that can be used in combination with an in vitro model of the fish intestinal epithelium, based on the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) gut cell line RTgutGC. When cultured on transwells, RTgutGC cells polarize and express tight junctions mimicking the intact epithelial boundary between the intestinal lumen and the organistomal blood circulation. Moreover, these cells have been shown to express important enzymes for intestinal physiology such as sodium–potassium ATPase and the alkaline phosphatase and genes involved in metal homeostasis, immune and barrier function. Therefore, the combination of the in vitro
digested model with the RTgutGC cells allows the study of bioavailability and cellular effects (e.g., cytotoxicity, immune response, specific enzyme activities, etc.) of nutrients, food additives, or toxicants after the gastrointestinal digestive processes have occurred. Moreover, the use of transwells allows for the measurement of uptake and transport across the intestinal epithelium.

Increasing the bioavailability of nutrients, such as trace metals, in aquaculture feed can, on one hand, improve the nutritional quality of fish flesh while reducing the output of these elements in the environment. For instance, it was shown that essential metal bioavailability can improve with peptide or amino acidic chelation. Zinc chelated by organic molecules, such as methionine, glycine, or phytic acid, have been shown to increase Zn uptake, suggesting a Zn-amino acidic transport system. Moreover, zinc chelated with partially hydrolyzed proteins such as the zinc Bioplex produced by Alltech have also been shown to increase zinc bioavailability in poultry. Zinc uptake and homeostasis has been studied extensively in fish; however, most studies focused on zinc salts. Zinc is the most abundant trace metal within the organism, measuring in the micromolar range within the eukaryotic cell. Zinc homeostasis is tightly regulated by specialized Zn transporters such as the Zip and ZnT. Roughly 9% of the eukaryotic proteome requires Zn for protein function as Zn is a cofactor for many essential enzymes and is vital for gene transcription. Zinc is also an important pollutant in the aquatic environment as it can become toxic to aquatic organisms at high concentrations. Therefore, the bioavailability of zinc in fish diets is of critical importance for both fish health and to reduce the environmental impact of aquaculture.

In this study, as a proof of principle, we compared bioavailability (i.e., intracellular accumulation), transport across the intestinal epithelium, toxicity and bioreactivity (i.e., induction of zinc-specific gene expression) of zinc sulfate, an inorganic zinc complex and zinc Bioplex (Zn-Bioplex, Alltech), and an organic zinc complex (i.e., zinc chelated by peptides). This approach represents the first animal-free method to test nutrient bioavailability and toxicity simultaneously in fish.

2. METHODS

2.1. In Vitro Digestion Protocol. This section describes the in vitro digestion protocol, which is also summarized in Figure 1. All salts used for the preparation of the buffers used in the in vitro digestion protocol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). A complete list of buffers’ compositions can be found in Table 1. The digestion protocol was adapted from previous literature. All of the buffers are prepared based on the cell culture medium Leibovitz’s L-15 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), but without amino acids and vitamins to prevent metal chelation. This modified medium will be denoted as L-15/ex. Moreover, in the intestinal buffer and gastric buffer were prepared from the basal buffer. For the gastric buffer, 69% HCl was added to the basal buffer to bring the pH to 2.2 after a 1 h incubation. To start the digestion process, ZnSO₄ and Zn-Bioplex stock solutions were spiked into separate sterile, plastic Falcon tubes (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in gastric buffer to a concentration of 4 mM each, and pepsin was added to the gastric buffer at 12.5 U/mg of protein. The intestinal buffer and gastric buffer were prepared from the basal buffer. For the gastric buffer, 69% HCl was added to the basal buffer to bring the pH to 2.2 after a 1 h incubation. To start the digestion process, ZnSO₄ and Zn-Bioplex stock solutions were spiked into separate sterile, plastic Falcon tubes (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in gastric buffer to a concentration of 4 mM each, and pepsin was added to the gastric buffer at 12.5 U/mg of protein. The pH and osmolality were measured by pH meter and Osmometer, respectively.

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of the in vitro digestion system combined with a model of the fish intestine (i.e., polarized RTgutGC cells). Red asterisks denote a Zn measurement by ICP-OES, and values are reported in Figure 2A (exposure chemical) and Figure 4 (prefiltration, filtrate, and exposure).](https://pubs.acs.org/acsfoodscitech/2023/3/141-149)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Basal Buffer</th>
<th>Gastric Buffer</th>
<th>Intestinal Buffer</th>
<th>Basolateral Buffer</th>
<th>Luminal Buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT⁻</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>154.0</td>
<td>145.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>142.5</td>
<td>142.5</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>142.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO₄⁻</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₃⁻</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyruvate</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>galactose</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH⁰⁰</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>osmolality</td>
<td>252.3</td>
<td>278.0</td>
<td>301.7</td>
<td>344.3</td>
<td>335.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*pH and Osmolality were measured by pH meter and Osmometer, respectively.*
concentration of 4 mM was chosen to account for dilution throughout digestion, toxicity concentration ranges, and the detection limit of ICP-OES. Considering that the enzymes used were of mammalian origin, and to allow optimal enzymatic activity, all in vitro digestion reactions were performed at 37 °C. The gastric phase was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h under agitation. During this time, the intestinal buffer was prepared by adding the porcine bile and pancreatic salts to the basal buffer at 4 mg/mL each and adding NaHCO$_3$ at 37°C. The reaction was performed at 37°C. The intestinal phase was added at a ratio of 1:1 v/v to form the digesta (i.e., the complete digestive fluid mimicking the chyme mixing with pancreatic and hepatic juices), which brought the pH to 7.8 and the concentration of pancreatic and bile to 2 mg/mL. Then, the digesta was incubated at 37°C for 3 h under agitation in the same manner as the gastric phase. To confirm pH stability, pH was measured after incubation. Subsequently, the digesta was transferred to an Amicon Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filter, 10 kDa cutoff (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA) and centrifuged at 4000g for 30 min at 20 °C. After centrifugation, the filtrate was stored overnight at 4 °C. The exposure medium was prepared by spiking the filtrated digesta into the luminal buffer immediately before exposure to a nominal concentration specific for each experiment. Excess digesta, filtrate, and exposure medium were used for ICP-OES, pH, and osmolarity measurements (Table 1; Figure 1).

2.2. Zn-Bioplex Characterization. Protein quantification was performed with both the Lowry assay (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Zinc concentration in Zn-Bioplex was measured by dispersing 1 mg compound in one mL of ultrapure water (16–18 mM), Barnstead GenPure Water, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Stocks were vortexed and digested in 29% HNO$_3$ for 24 h. After digestion, the samples were diluted to 5% HNO$_3$ and samples were measured by ICP-OES (ICAP Q, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Drieß, Germany). Zinc recovery in stocks, before digestion was measured by ICP-OES (iCAP Qc, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Stocks were vortexed and digested in 29% HNO$_3$ for 24 h. After digestion, the samples were diluted to 5% HNO$_3$ and samples were measured by ICP-OES (ICAP Q, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Drieß, Germany). Zinc recovery in stocks, before digestion was within 10% of nominal concentration (Figure 4).

Zinc speciation and formation of solids in the luminal buffer was calculated using the chemical equilibrium model Visual MINTEQ. The composition of the luminal buffer reported in Table 1 as well as 10 μM ZnSO$_4$, the dose used during the bioavailability and bioactivity cell exposures, were the parameters used in the Visual MINTEQ calculation of zinc speciation and of the medium ionic strength.

2.3. RTgutGC Cell Culture. RTgutGC cells were cultured in 75 cm$^2$ cell culture flasks (Greiner Bio One, Monroe, NC, USA) in the complete medium (L-15/FBS) at 19 °C in a normal atmosphere incubator. L-15/FBS is Leibovitz L-15 medium (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 5% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and gentamicin (10 mg/L; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Once the cells reached a confluency of around 90%, they were washed twice with Versene (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and detached from the flask using 0.25% trypsin (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After trypsinization, cells were resuspended in L-15/FBS and then counted using a Countess II Automated Cell Counter (Life Technologies Corporation, NYC, NY, USA), which measures cell number and viability simultaneously using the Trypan blue exclusion assay. As a quality control, only cell batches with >90% viability were used. The cell suspensions were then diluted with L-15/FBS to allow for a seeding density appropriate for each test. RTgutGC cells were seeded at 72 000 cells per cm$^2$ on flat bottom wells, then incubated at 19 °C for 48 h to allow formation of a confluent cell monolayer. Polarized RTgutGC cells were prepared as previously described. Briefly, cells were seeded at 62 500 cells per cm$^2$ on six-well transwells and incubated for 21 days at 19 °C to allow for cell polarization and tight junction formation (previously demonstrated in Minghetti et al. ). When ready for exposure, the L-15/FBS was aspirated from both the apical and basolateral side and washed twice with the luminal and basolateral buffer, respectively.

2.4. Cell Viability Assay. Cell viability was evaluated in RTgutGC cell monolayers and on RTgutGC polarized cells. Before metal exposure, cells were washed twice with the luminal buffer to remove any traces of L-15/FBS from the well. The ZnSO$_4$ and Zn-Bioplex filtrate were spiked individually into the luminal buffer (Table 1) at 500, 100, 50, 25, 10, 5, and 1 μM in the luminal buffer. The exposure solutions were applied in triplicate, and cells were incubated at 19 °C for 24 h before viability was measured. All exposure solutions were made just before exposure to minimize the effects of precipitation and allow for an accurate exposure concentration. The zinc concentration in the exposure solutions was measured by ICP-OES. Effective concentration 50 (EC$_{50}$) is based on measured values. Cell viability was measured using a two-endpoint cytotoxicity assay based on two fluorescent dyes: Alamar Blue (Rasuzin; Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA) was used for metabolic activity, and CFDA-AM (5-carboxyfluorescein diacetate acetoxyethyl ester; Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA) was used for membrane integrity, in accordance with the methods of Schirmer et al. Alamar Blue and CFDA-AM excitation/emission were read using the Cytation 5 plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA) at 530/595 and 485/530 nm wavelength, respectively.

2.5. Quantification of Intracellular Zinc. Quantification of zinc bioavailability (i.e., intracellular zinc) was performed in polarized RTgutGC cells (i.e., cells cultured on transwells). The exposure medium was prepared in the same manner as for the cytotoxicity assay. Cells were exposed to a low (2.5 μM) and a high (5 μM) concentration of ZnSO$_4$ and Zn-Bioplex for 3, 24, and 72 h. The time frame used was determined considering the tolerance of RTgutGC cells to the exposure medium without amino acids or FBS (e.g., L-15/ ex) and the time necessary to evacuate a meal in vivo in rainbow trout, which was shown to range from ~14 to 53 h. In addition, cells were also exposed to the luminal buffer without zinc compound added. Exposure medium zinc concentrations were measured by ICP-OES. After 3, 24, and 72 h, samples were taken from both the apical and basolateral side for ICP-OES measurement. Each RTgutGC epithelium was washed with luminal buffer supplemented with 0.5 mM cysteine to remove any loosely bound zinc. Cells were lysed using 1 mL of 50 mM NaOH solution, and the plates were incubated at room temperature and mixed at 200 rpm for 2 h. After mixing, the cell lysates were carefully transferred into a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube, and a 100 μL aliquot was taken for total protein quantification using the Lowry assay (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The remaining 900 μL was desiccated using a concentrator (concentrator plus, Eppendorf, Hauppauge, USA). The desiccated samples were then digested for 16 h using 0.8 mL of 68% HNO$_3$ and 0.2 mL of 30% H$_2$O$_2$ at room temperature to allow for complete dissolution of all the metals. The whole solution was then diluted to have a final concentration of 5% HNO$_3$ in solution. The samples were stored in 4 °C refrigeration until the ICP-OES analysis.

2.6. RNA Extraction, cDNA Synthesis, and Quantitative PCR (qPCR). Polarized cells were cultured on transwells in the same manner as for the zinc bioavailability method. After 24 h of exposure, 900 μL of TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was applied to the RTgutGC cell epithelium, and the RNA was extracted following the manufacturer’s instructions. The purified RNA was treated with the TURBO DNase kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to remove any trace of DNA. Quality and quantity of RNA was determined spectrophotometrically using a Cytation 5 plate reader and by electrophoresis using 1 μg of RNA in 1% agarose gel. Complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis was performed from 1 μg of total RNA using the Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in triplicate using the SYBR premix Ex TaqII (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) and the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Messenger RNA (mRNA) levels were measured using the absolute quantification method and are reported as a fold change of the treated groups from their respective controls. Controls were cell monolayers exposed to the luminal buffer. Gene absolute copy numbers are...
reported in Figure S6. Normalization of target genes Zinc Transporter 1 (ZnT1), Metallothionein b (MT), and Glutathione reductase (GR) was based on the geometric mean of the reference genes Ubiquitin (Ubi) and Elongation Factor 1 alpha (EF1α). The PCR efficiency of all genes was above 90%. Primer sequences are reported in Table S4. Detailed procedures on RNA extraction, DNase treatment, cDNA synthesis, and qPCR measurement of mRNA levels of target genes have been described previously.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism Version 8 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Viability data were calculated as the percentage of the mean fluorescent units of exposed cells by their respective control. The effect concentration 50 (EC50) was calculated by a nonlinear regression sigmoidal dose−response curve fitting module using the Hill-slope equation. EC50's are presented as the mean plus or minus the standard deviation (n ≥ 3). All data were assessed for normality using the D’Agostino and Pearson normality test, and where necessary, data were transformed using a base-10 logarithm to improve normality. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test was performed to determine the statistical significance among the different experimental treatments, and a Dunnett’s test was performed when comparing to a control. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Zn-Bioplex Characterization. Zn-Bioplex total zinc concentration was consistent with the information provided by Alltech. Total zinc concentration was 0.148 ± 0.002 mg of Zn per mg of Zn-Bioplex (Figure 2). Total protein was measured at 0.310 ± 0.099 and 0.322 ± 0.039 mg of protein per mg of Zn-Bioplex using the BCA and Lowry assay, respectively. There was no statistical difference between the two methods (t test, p > 0.05).

3.2. Zinc Recovery after in Vitro Digestion Protocol. The in vitro digestion process resulted in a postfiltration recovery of 48.8 ± 10.04% for ZnSO4 and 43.93 ± 7.07% for Zn-Bioplex (Figure 3A), which was not statistically different (t test; p > 0.05). Moreover, the zinc filtration recovery was not dose dependent (one-way ANOVA; p > 0.05; Figure S1). This loss of Zn through the digestion process was taken into consideration in the toxicity, bioavailability, and bioreactivity experiments (i.e., reported exposure concentrations were measured). Protein concentration of the filtrate was equal between ZnSO4, Zn-Bioplex, and Zn-free control (one-way ANOVA; p > 0.05, Figure 3B).

3.3. Zinc Sulfate and Zn-Bioplex Cytotoxicity. Metabolic activity EC50 values for ZnSO4 and Zn-Bioplex were 19.64 ± 6.68 and 23.93 ± 4.58 μM, respectively. For membrane integrity, the EC50 values were 25.04 ± 3.96 and
30.30 ± 2.95 μM for ZnSO₄ and Zn-Bioplex, respectively. Although a slight trend for a higher toxicity of ZnSO₄ could be observed, the statistical analysis did not show a significant difference between ZnSO₄ and Zn-Bioplex (t test, p < 0.05; Figure 4; Figure S2). Moreover, RTgutGC cells exposed to 5 μM (measured postdigestion) of ZnSO₄ or Zn-Bioplex, used for bioavailability and bioreactivity studies, did not show any toxicity (Figure S3).

3.4. Zinc Sulfate and Zn-Bioplex Bioavailability and Transport. Exposure to ZnSO₄ or Zn-Bioplex did not significantly change intracellular zinc concentrations which remained similar to that of control cells (cell kept in luminal buffer without added zinc) for all tested exposure treatments (i.e., ~2.5 and 5 μM of ZnSO₄ and Zn-Bioplex) and time points (i.e., 3, 24, and 72 h; one-way ANOVA, p > 0.05; Figure 5). However, transport across the RTgutGC epithelium was affected by the dose and time of exposure but not by the zinc compound (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). The Zn concentration in the basolateral compartment increased over time in cells exposed to both zinc compounds but not in cells exposed to the control condition (i.e., luminal medium without added zinc; two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) indicating that RTgutGC cells actively maintained zinc homeostasis by excreting excess zinc in the basolateral compartment.

Zinc diffused similarly across cell-free transwells independently from the compound type (i.e., ZnSO₄ or Zn-Bioplex), reaching equilibrium between the apical and basolateral chamber in 72 h (Figure 6).

Exposures of RTgutGC cells to the undigested compounds (ZnSO₄ or Zn-Bioplex), directly dissolved into the luminal buffer, showed that zinc in the Zn-Bioplex was more bioavailable than ZnSO₄ and that more zinc was transported through the RTgutGC epithelial layer than for undigested ZnSO₄ (Figure 6).

3.5. Messenger RNA levels of MT, ZnT1, and GR. The gene expression data are represented in Figure 7 and Figure S6. Metallothionein mRNA levels were higher in cells exposed to ZnSO₄ or Zn-Bioplex than respective controls. Moreover, MT expression in cells exposed to ZnSO₄ was greater than in cells exposed to Zn-Bioplex (one-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Tukey post hoc). Similarly, ZnT1 mRNA levels were greater in cells exposed to ZnSO₄ in comparison to cells exposed to Zn-Bioplex (one-way ANOVA; p < 0.05; Tukey post hoc). Glutathione reductase mRNA levels did not differ among any of the conditions tested (one-way ANOVA; p > 0.05).

4. DISCUSSION

This paper reports the development of a static in vitro fish digestion protocol that can be used in combination with an in vitro model of the fish intestinal epithelium based on the RTgutGC cell line. This combined approach allowed us to study the role of the digestion processes on the bioavailability of an inorganic ZnSO₄ and an organic Zn-Bioplex zinc supplement. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to employ an animal-free in vitro system utilizing commercially available digestive enzymes and adapted buffers and a fish intestinal cell line to study bioavailability, toxicity, and transport across the intestinal epithelium of inorganic and organic zinc compounds.
Figure 6. Undigested ZnSO$_4$ and Zn-Bioplex bioavailability and transport. Measurements were taken after 24 h of exposure to 10 μM of ZnSO$_4$ and Zn-Bioplex. Values are the mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). Statistical significance was calculated between treatments within the cell layer and basolateral chamber. ZnSO$_4$ was found to be less bioavailable and transported less efficiently than Zn-Bioplex (t test, p < 0.05).

Figure 7. Normalized mRNA levels of MT, GR, and ZnT1. Values are mean ± SD (n ≥ 3). Target gene normalized expression is reported as the ratio of the expression in cells exposed to a control medium (reported in Figure S6). Bars bearing different letters are statistically different between treatments (one-way ANOVA, Tukey post hoc; p < 0.05). Differences from the respective control are represented with an asterisk (one-way ANOVA, Dunnett post hoc; p < 0.05).

While it is debatable if the use of commercially available mammalian digestive enzymes is appropriate to study the role of digestion on nutrient bioavailability in fish,$^6$ for instance, the use of mammalian enzymes demands temperatures that are not relevant to fish (i.e., 37 °C), our choice was driven by the need of an animal-free method as a more ethical, repeatable, and less expensive method for prescreening of nutrients developed for aquaculture. Moreover, there is evidence that the use of digestive extracts from fish represent a more physiologically relevant approach.$^3$ However, this approach brings also some limitations, including experimental variability due to different enzymatic compositions of different fish extracts, difficulty to characterize the exact composition of the extracts, and use of fish which ultimately will increases the cost of the overall experiment, reduces the throughput, and represents a less ethical approach.

The in vitro fish digestion protocol shown here mimics the gastrointestinal digestive processes in fish and allows tight control of the composition of the luminal content. Moreover, the use of RTgutGC cells allows the measurement of zinc bioavailability and toxicity threshold. However, one other important limitation of this protocol is the need to remove digestive enzymes by filtration from the digesta (i.e., the compound digested in the gastric and intestinal steps). The removal of the digestive enzymes is necessary because it was shown that unfilte litter digesta can disrupt the RTgutGC cells epithelium integrity and viability (data not shown). This filtration step is incompatible with uptake or bioavailability studies of particulate matter and/or molecular complexes bigger than 10 kDa. In this study, after the filtration process, about 50% of the zinc was lost, likely due to complexation with peptide complexes bigger than 10 kDa. The loss of zinc was not compound specific (i.e., ZnSO$_4$ and Zn-Bioplex showed the same loss of zinc), indicating that the complexation occurs with components of the gastric or intestinal phases and was not affected by chelation of zinc by peptides (Figure 3). In addition, it was established that Bioplex chelates are ranging between 0.5 and 5 kDa in size.$^{39}$ This effect can be explained by the high affinity of Zn$^{2+}$ for proteins, especially cysteine rich proteins.$^{24}$ Thus, the proteins in the pepsin, porcine bile and pancreatic mix added in the gastric and intestinal phases could bind free Zn$^{2+}$ in the medium, preventing the Zn from passing freely through the 10 kDa filter. Measuring zinc after each key step of the in vitro digestion protocol (Figure 1) allowed us to evaluate this effect and to account for it by adjusting the nominal concentration to the actual measured zinc concentration in the filtrate.

Previous studies have shown that methionine chelated Zn has higher bioavailability than ZnSO$_4$ in RTgutGC cells$^{17}$ and that amino acidic chelation does increase bioavailability of zinc in rainbow trout$^{40}$ but not in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar)$^{18}$ in vivo. Moreover, inorganic (i.e., ZnSO$_4$) and organic (i.e., Zn-Bioplex) zinc were shown to equally improve the quality of semen in rainbow trout$^{41}$ and to be equally bioavailable in rainbow trout and common carp (Cyprinus carpio).$^{42,43}$ Similarly, exposure to the digested compounds showed that ZnSO$_4$ or Zn-Bioplex are equally bioavailable in RTgutGC. However, direct exposure to undigested ZnSO$_4$ or Zn-Bioplex showed that the latter was about twice as bioavailable. We could not explain this effect, but a possible explanation is that in vitro digestion could displace zinc from the Bioplex peptides which thus reduces the Bioplex efficacy in increasing bioavailability. Alternately, ZnSO$_4$ is completely dissolved in the luminal buffer (Figure S7), whereas the Zn-Bioplex compound appeared as a suspension and tended to precipitate out of solution, which might affect cellular uptake (Oldham personal observation). Addressing this question will require further studies. It should be noted that zinc speciation calculations are only possible in the luminal buffer before in vitro digestion. The complex nature of the gastric and intestinal phases would make Visual MINTEQ calculations impossible.

The RTgutGC epithelium is an effective barrier between the apical and basolateral chambers.$^{12}$ For instance, while zinc concentration within RTgutGC cells did not change after exposure to ZnSO$_4$ or Zn-Bioplex, excretion of zinc in the
basolateral chamber increased in a time- and dose-dependent manner, showing the ability to maintain intracellular zinc homeostasis. The maintenance of zinc intracellular concentration as well as the dose- and time-dependent increase of zinc excretion in the basolateral chamber demonstrates a tight control of the essential metal zinc through the epithelial layer. This effect is consistent with previous results obtained in the trout intestinal epithelium in vivo and supports the sustainability of the RTgutGC intestinal model to study metal homeostasis in fish.

One remarkable difference between ZnSO₄ and Zn-Bioplex exposure is that although the cells accumulated identical amounts of total zinc intracellularly, ZnSO₄ induced a higher metallothionein and ZnT1 gene expression than Zn-Bioplex. This effect suggests that zinc enters the cells complexed differently after exposure to ZnSO₄ and Zn-Bioplex, and the former is more bioactive (i.e., induces a higher transcriptional response). Thus, these data show that ZnSO₄ induces a higher concentration of intracellular labile zinc (i.e., Zn²⁺) than Zn-Bioplex, which in turn triggers an upregulation of MT and ZnT1 via the metal transcription factor 1 (MTF1). Moreover, this effect could explain the slightly higher toxicity of ZnSO₄ and suggests that Zn-Bioplex provides a slower release of zinc intracellularly. This lower bioactivity (i.e., lower gene expression) may prove to be beneficial for fish health and growth rate as Zn-Bioplex provides an equal zinc supply to the organism but a reduced intracellular metal detoxification response which is energetically costly and can inhibit fish growth.

We have developed a method simulating the fish gastrointestinal digestion and nutrient bioavailability in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) intestines in vitro. A similar model has not been reported before. This model has potential to be used for the testing of the toxicity, bioavailability, and bioactivity of several more ingredients and/or compounds. Our data showed that, following in vitro digestion, zinc organic complexes such as Zn-Bioplex accumulated in the RTgutGC cells and were transported across the intestinal epithelium in a similar manner to inorganic salts (i.e., ZnSO₄). However, Zn-Bioplex was less bioactive, suggesting that it may be a superior supplement for fish health. Further studies will be necessary to evaluate the impact of Zn-Bioplex on fish growth and general health in vivo. Lastly, this in vitro model allows the study of several conditions in high throughput, using small volumes, making a less expensive and mechanistically valid model. This approach shows that a standardized in vitro model can be used to fine-tune feed formulations, lowering the cost of feed development for the aquaculture industry. Moreover, this method does not require the use of fish, thus representing a more ethical approach to study nutrient and toxicant bioavailability and toxicity.
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