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The nature and pervasiveness of metabolic specialization

Metabolic specialization is a general feature of every microbial community. The number of available substrates that are effectively catabolized by any individual cell-type can be limited by intrinsic tradeoffs between the requisite metabolic processes. The consequence is that different specialized cell-types can emerge and co-exist with each other, where each cell-type specializes at catabolizing different subsets of the available substrates. Moreover, the number of biosynthetic building blocks that any individual cell-type can biosynthesize de novo can also be limited by intrinsic tradeoffs between the requisite biosynthetic processes. Again, the consequence is that different specialized cell-types can emerge and co-exist with each other via cross-feeding of biosynthetic building blocks, where each cell-type biosynthesizes and provides one or more building blocks required by other cell-types. Such metabolic specialization has profound importance for the assembly and functioning of microbial communities. For example, it can determine the types of interactions that occur within microbial communities, bestow community functionalities and behaviors that are otherwise impermissible, and contribute to the promotion and maintenance of biodiversity within microbial communities. Clearly, a deeper understanding of metabolic specialization is essential for improving our basic understanding of microbial communities.

How pervasive is metabolic specialization within the microbial world? At first glance, one may have doubts. Many typical laboratory microorganisms, such as Escherichia coli K-12, can catabolize a wide variety of substrates and biosynthesize all of the required building blocks to support their growth, and thus could be defined as effective metabolic generalists. Yet, such laboratory microorganisms appear to be the exception rather than a rule. Recent experimental and bioinformatic analyses, along with support from mathematical simulations, suggest that the majority of microorganisms outside the laboratory have rather restrictive catabolic capabilities and cannot biosynthesize all of the required building blocks to support their growth (e.g., many are auxotrophic for one or more co-factors, amino acids, etc.) [1]. Moreover, such metabolic specialization need not have a genetic basis, as metabolic specialization can occur even within a single genotype. Stochastic phenotypic variants can emerge within clonal populations, where different phenotypic variants catabolize different substrates and/or biosynthesize different biosynthetic building blocks [2-4]. Thus, while the complete extent of metabolic specialization remains unclear, its pervasiveness appears to be far greater than one might have initially expected, and may be the rule rather than the exception within the microbial world.

Causes of metabolic specialization

What are the underlying causes of metabolic specialization? Stated alternatively, what gives rise to tradeoffs between different metabolic processes? There are potentially myriad answers to these questions, but several themes repeatedly emerge. First, because the cell is a finite entity, the cell contains a limited amount of intracellular resources that could be invested into different metabolic processes [5,6]. Such intracellular resources may include building blocks for biosynthesizing enzymes, co-factors for empowering enzymatic activity, or cellular space to contain those enzymes. Under certain conditions, it may be beneficial to invest those intracellular resources into a subset of metabolic processes rather than distribute them across all possible metabolic processes [7]. This would then result in the emergence of a metabolically specialized cell-type. As the environment changes, the cell-type could then dynamically change its distribution of those intracellular resources to achieve a different type of metabolic specialization. Second, all metabolic processes produce intermediates and end-products, and these intermediates and end-products can, in some cases, impose costs and deleterious effects on the cell [6]. Under certain conditions, it may be advantageous to avoid the accumulation of these intermediates and end-products by downregulating the expression of their respective genes. Third, the availability of specific resources (e.g., growth substrates, co-factors) can affect the enzyme levels produced by the cell, which can in turn affect the utilization of those substrates. For example, if a cell is supplied with a limited amount of a specific growth substrate, it may be more efficient to utilize that substrate to produce the necessary co-factors, rather than using it for growth.
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of the responsible metabolic processes, consequently resulting in the emergence of a metabolically specialized cell-type. In both cases, genetic mutations may then accumulate such that the cell-types become locked into a particular type of metabolic specialization. Thus, the emergence of metabolic specialization can be viewed as a consequence of basic cellular economics (the costs and benefits of different intracellular resource distributions) and evolutionary change.

**Measuring and predicting metabolic specialization**

How can we empirically measure metabolic specialization? Can we make general predictions regarding which metabolic processes are likely to be performed by the same cell-type and which metabolic processes are likely to be performed by different cell-types? Recent advances in experimental microbiology, such as microfluidic cultivation, now enable us to measure metabolic specialization within individual genotypes and assembled cultures [8]. This allows us to address novel questions in the field. For example, how is the metabolic profile of one cell-type modulated by the presence of a second cell-type? These experimental observations, in turn, can help calibrate and improve models that predict the metabolic profiles of individual cell-types under specified environmental conditions and, in turn, the interactions likely to occur between different cell-types [1,7]. For example, theoretical considerations built upon first principles, such as the theory of optimal pathway length, provide predictions about the environmental conditions likely to promote or repress the emergence of metabolic specialization [6].

**Biotechnological applications of metabolic specialization**

A deeper understanding of metabolic specialization could set the stage for tangible advances in the field of biotechnology [9]. For example, can we predict how best to distribute different metabolic processes across different cell-types to optimize the performance of a desired biotransformation? If we could predict the costs and benefits of dividing metabolic labor at specific breakpoints, then this would provide a foundation for the rational engineering of synthetic microbial communities. Different cell-types could be selected a priori and mixed together such that the benefits of distributing metabolic labor are maximized, thus potentially leading to improved process performance. The Eukarya domain already provides us with good examples of how metabolic specialization results in different metabolic routes to the same target compound [10]. By finding the right combination of essential catalytic reactions and synthetic consortia as well as robust large-scale bioproduction processes. Here one strategy follows an upgrading of conventional stirred tank reactors by introducing, for example, additional media supply channels, specific membranes or light fibres, to create defined ecological niches for the co-cultivation of metabolically specialized microorganisms with non-matching abiotic requirements [15]. Another highly sophisticated strategy follows the engineer of spatially linked microbial consortia, where different metabolically specialized cell-types might be arranged in single modules that are connected but independently controlled to ensure optimal growth and production conditions [16].

While for such designs the proof-of-concept under classical laboratory-scale conditions was already successful, alternative process control strategies or even new technical solutions are required to enable more complex synthetic consortia as well as robust large-scale bioproduction processes. Here one strategy follows an upgrading of conventional stirred tank reactors by introducing, for example, additional media supply channels, specific membranes or light fibres, to create defined ecological niches for the co-cultivation of metabolically specialized microorganisms with non-matching abiotic requirements [15]. Another highly sophisticated strategy follows the engineer of spatially linked microbial consortia, where different metabolically specialized cell-types might be arranged in single modules that are connected but independently controlled to ensure optimal growth and production conditions [16].

Finally, in the field of medical biotechnology, technological advancements and engineering of commensal bacteria enable a much better understanding of host-microbiome interactions [17]. By employing this knowledge, alternative therapies for metabolic disorders and cancer are already conceivable. These rely on the synthesis of various molecules ranging from antimicrobial metabolites and peptides to enzymes with specific catalytic or activating functions to provide chemotherapeutic drugs or cytotoxic immune cells.

**Conclusions**

Our understanding of microbial metabolic specialization is in a period of rapid change. We are now obtaining a deeper and more complete understanding of why and under what conditions metabolic specialization is likely to occur. This basic knowledge, in turn, provides the necessary foundation for applying microbial metabolic specialization in biotechnological processes. For example, can we leverage such knowledge to rationally select collections of metabolic specialists to achieve engineering objectives? How best should we divide metabolic labor to improve the performance of biotechnological processes? We believe that answering these questions using principles of microbial
metabolic specialization could have timely impacts on microbial biotechnology and lead to a paradigm shift in how we design, control, and manipulate microbial communities.

Acknowledgements

D.R.J. acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number 31003A_176101). S.N. acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (priority program SPP2170, grant no 957/1-1). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding sources.

References

1. S. Gude and M.E. Taga, Multi-faceted approaches to discovering and predicting microbial nutritional interactions, Curr Opin Biotechnol 62, 2020, 58-64.


9. S. Giri, S. Shutut and C. Kost, Harnessing ecological and evolutionary principles to guide the design of microbial production consortia, Curr Opin Biotechnol 62, 2020, 228-238.


David R. Johnson is a senior scientist at Eawag where he heads the Microbial Community Assembly group. His research interests are in the causes and consequences of microbial biodiversity, with a particular focus on why microbial metabolic specialization sometimes occurs and how it contributes towards community assembly and functioning. He received his BS degree from Iowa State University, his MSE degree from the University of Michigan, and his PhD from the University of California, Berkeley. Prior to Before his current appointment, he was a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Lausanne and a junior group leader at the...
Stephan Noack received his diploma and Ph.D. in Bioprocess Engineering from Technical University of Dresden and University of Siegen, respectively. He is currently heading the group "Microbial Phenotyping" at the Institute of Biosciences and Geosciences at the Forschungszentrum Jülich. His research focuses on strain physiology and bioprocess engineering applying systems biology approaches. This involves method development for quantitative omics and high-throughput experimentation to gain new fundamental knowledge on microbial metabolism and to support the establishment of production processes for value-added chemicals.

**Queries and Answers**

**Query:** The author names have been tagged as given names and surnames (surnames are highlighted in teal color). Please confirm if they have been identified correctly.

**Answer:** Yes

**Query:** Please check the hierarchy of the section headings.

**Answer:** Correct

**Query:** Please note that as per the journal title sheet the reference style has been changed from name date to numbered. Kindly check.

**Answer:** Correct

**Query:** Please check the edit made in the sentence "Moreover, such metabolic...a single genotype” and, correct if necessary.

**Answer:** Correct

**Query:** One or more sponsor names and the sponsor country identifier may have been edited to a standard format that enables better searching and identification of your article. Please check and correct if necessary.

**Answer:** Correct