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Abstract

Climate and environmental reconstructions from natural archives are important for the interpretation of current climatic change. Few quantitative high-resolution reconstructions exist for South America which is the only land mass extending from the tropics to the southern high latitudes at 56°S. We analysed sediment cores from two adjacent lakes in Northern Chilean Patagonia, Lago Castor (45°36’S, 71°47’W) and Laguna Escondida (45°31’S, 71°49’W). Radiometric dating ($^{210}$Pb, $^{137}$Cs, $^{14}$C-AMS) suggests that the cores reach back to c. 900 BC (Laguna Escondida) and c. 1900 BC (Lago Castor). Both lakes show similarities and reproducibility in sedimentation rate changes and tephra layer deposition. We found eight macroscopic tephras (0.2 - 5.5 cm thick) dated at 1950 BC, 1700 BC, at 300 BC, 50 BC, 90 AD, 160 AD, 400 AD and at 900 AD. These can be used as regional time-synchronous stratigraphic markers. The two thickest tephras represent known well-dated explosive eruptions of Hudson volcano around 1950 and 300 BC. Biogenic silica flux revealed in both lakes a climate signal and correlation with annual temperature reanalysis data (calibration 1900-2006 AD; Lago Castor r= 0.37; Laguna Escondida r= 0.42, seven years filtered data). We used a linear inverse regression plus scaling model for calibration and leave-one-out cross-validation (RMSEv = 0.56°C) to reconstruct sub decadal-scale temperature variability for Laguna Escondida back to AD 400. The lower part of the core from Laguna Escondida prior to AD 400 and the core of Lago Castor are strongly influenced by primary and secondary tephras and, therefore, not used for the temperature reconstruction. The temperature reconstruction from Laguna Escondida shows cold conditions in the 5th century (relative to the 20th century mean), warmer temperatures from AD 600 to AD 1150 and colder temperatures from AD 1200 to AD 1450. From AD 1450 to AD 1700 our reconstruction shows a period with stronger variability and on average higher values than the 20th century mean. Until AD 1900 the temperature values decrease but stay slightly above the 20th century mean. Most of the centennial-scale features are reproduced in the few other natural climate archives in the region. The early onset of cool conditions from c. AD 1200 onward seems to be confirmed for this region.
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1. Introduction

To investigate climate and environmental changes over time periods longer than the instrumental era, quantitative high-resolution records from various natural climate archives around the world are fundamental (Hegerl et al., 2006; Hegerl and Russon, 2011). Lake sediments are an excellent archive to reconstruct long-term fluctuations of environmental conditions (e.g. Williamson et al., 2009). In contrast to North America and Europe relatively few quantitative paleoclimate data sets exist for South America. However, this continent is climatically of particular interest because it is the only large land mass extending from the tropics to the southern high latitudes and intersects the entire southern westerly wind belt between 40-55°S (Garreaud et al., 2009). Especially the climate of Chilean Patagonia is dominated by seasonal changes of the westerly winds and related changes in temperature and precipitation.

Regional differences in climatic changes are significant in this area: Villalba et al. (2003) report that temperature data from stations along the Pacific coast between 37 and 43°S are characterized by negative trends in mean annual temperature with a marked cooling period from 1950 to the mid-1970s. In contrast, a warming trend is observed in the southern stations (south of 46° S). A similar pattern is found in the tree-ring derived temperature composites from both regions.

Quantitative, near-annually resolved proxy records for South America are mostly based on tree rings, corals or ice cores (Villalba et al., 2009; Neukom et al., 2011) whereas only three lake sediment records fulfilled the quality requirements (calibrated, high resolution, precise chronology, continuity of record) for the comprehensive multi-proxy multi-site Southern Hemisphere reconstructions (Neukom and Gergis, 2012; von Gunten et al., 2009; Elbert et al., 2012). While most of these high-resolution paleotemperature records in South America
are relatively short (back to AD 1500 - 1600), only six of the selected records span beyond AD 1000 and only one reaches beyond AD/BC 1 (Neukom and Gergis, 2012). Most of the paleoclimate research in Patagonia deals with Late-Glacial and Holocene time scales that are much coarser in the temporal resolution (e.g. Villa-Martínez et al., 2012; Lamy et al. 2010 and references therein).

In this study, we present a 1600-years long mean annual temperature reconstruction and a detailed history of volcanism from two adjacent lakes in the foot zone east of the Andes in northern Patagonia of Chile, Lago Castor (45°36’S, 71°47’W) and Laguna Escondida (45°31’S, 71°49’W). We have chosen two lakes with similar catchment properties and investigate with multiple short sediment cores reproducible and robust features of climatic change. First we present the individual chronological frameworks for both lakes ($^{210}$Pb, $^{137}$Cs and $^{14}$C). This enables us to corroborate independent ages of macroscopic tephras in both lake sediment cores and, after stratigraphic and geochemical correlation, verify and improve the final chronology that is used for the climate reconstruction with sediments from Laguna Escondida. In the second part, we correlate a range of organic and inorganic lake sediment proxies in both lakes against meteorological data (AD 1900 – 2006) and build a statistical calibration model to predict annual temperatures for the past from the flux of biogenic Si (bSi). BSi flux has shown to be the best predictor for temperature and yields consistent results in both lakes for the calibration period suggesting that it contains a robust and reproducible temperature signal. Finally, this calibration is used to reconstruct temperature variations at sub-decadal scale back to AD 400.

2. Regional setting

Lago Castor (45°36’S, 71°47’W) and Laguna Escondida (45°31’S, 71°49’W) are located in the Aysén region of Chile about 20 km east of Coyhaique (Fig. 1). The area lies in the
Southern Volcanic Zone (SVZ; Parada et al., 2001) where volcanic activity is caused by subduction processes (Gutiérrez et al., 2005). The geology in the catchment areas consists mainly of Cretaceous volcanic rocks with an outcrop area of granites and granodiorites between both lakes. The study site was covered with ice during the Last Glacial Maximum (Glasser et al., 2008). In consequence, large areas consist of glacially scoured bedrock whereby the lakes are located along geologic fault-lines running largely in parallel with the glacial lineations and the Pleistocene ice flow direction (Glasser et al., 2009). The soils in the catchment are classified as humic umbrisols (Dijkshoorn et al., 2005) with loamy sand to loamy silt texture (Peralta et al., 1979).

Before AD 1900 the main vegetation consisted of Lenga beech (Nothofagus pumilio) and Antarctic beech (Nothofagus antarctica) (Abarzua et al., 2004; Neves et al., 2008). Climatically induced fires caused variations of the vegetation in the catchment in the early and late Holocene (Kitzberger and Veblen, 2003). Both catchments underwent substantial changes from AD 1900 onwards when the region was first settled. The city of Coyhaique was founded in AD 1929. The catchments of the lakes were not influenced by industrial activity (Urrutia et al., 2002). Large parts of the Aysén basin experienced deforestation from AD 1936-1956 and were reforested with pine monocultures in the late 20th century (Quintanilla, 2008).

Both Lago Castor and Laguna Escondida are glacio-tectonic lakes between 700 and 725 m asl. Lago Castor is relatively large (4.26 km²), 52 m deep and has a catchment area of estimated 24.5 km² (Urrutia et al., 2002). It has numerous small inflows and a larger outflow (Río Pollux) that drains into Río Simpson and, finally to the Aysén fjord. Lago Castor is a polimictic (no stratification in summer; measurement January 2009), oligothrophic (phosphate below detection limit <0.07 mg/l), neutral (pH 7.2) freshwater (80 μS/cm) lake.
Laguna Escondida is 23 m deep, smaller than Lago Castor (estimated area: 1.8 km²) but has two basins. An inflow is draining into the North East basin and the outflow stream is located on the South West of the western basin. Laguna Escondida is an exorheic polimictic, oligothrophic (PO₄³⁻ <0.07 mg/l), neutral (pH 7.1) freshwater (83.2 μS/cm) lake.

The region has a temperate oceanic climate. Precipitation is mainly caused by westerly winds that peak in summer between 45-55°S and expand northwards but weaken in winter (Garreaud et al., 2009). Very strong zonal precipitation gradients are observed between the Andes and the Patagonian steppe to the East. The closest meteorological stations are Puerto Aysén (68 km), Coyhaique (20 km) and Balmaceda (43 km). The records are however short (< 50 years) and discontinuous. The CRU TS 3.0 reanalysis data set (Mitchell and Jones, 2005) shows for the grid cell of the study sites average annual temperatures of 5.5°C for the period AD 1900-2000. The correlation field analysis between the CRU TS 3.0 temperature record at the grid cell of the study site and the rest of the grid cells over South America (1900-2000 AD; Fig. 1) suggests significantly positive correlations between the study site and southern South America (36 – 56°S) except for a region between 46-49°S in Argentina (eastern Patagonia) that shows no significant correlation. This regional pattern is consistent with the modern temperature trends between western and eastern Patagonia observed by Falvey and Garreaud (2009).

3. Material and methods

3.1. Coring and sampling for analytical measurements

Two short cores from Lago Castor (CAS-09-1 and CAS-09-3) and four short cores from Laguna Escondida (eastern basin: ESC-09-1/2/5; western basin ESC-09-3) were taken in February 2009 with an UWITEC corer. For this study we selected cores from the proximal eastern basin near the deepest part of Laguna Escondida (9.8 m water depth; ESC-09-5) and
from the relatively gently sloping area at 22 m water depth in the distal part of Lago Castor (CAS-09-1; Fig. 1). The cores were sealed and stored under dark and cold (4°C) conditions prior to analysis. Before sampling, the short cores CAS-09-1 and ESC-09-5 were analyzed with non-destructive scanning techniques (X-ray fluorescence XRF and in-situ reflectance spectroscopy VIS-RS). All cores were stratigraphically correlated using tephra layers and mm-scale scanning data. After scanning, one core half was sampled and freeze-dried for analytical measurements. For Lago Castor the complete core CAS-09-1 was sampled at 2 mm resolution except for the primary tephra layers that were deposited directly into the lake and are not mixed with lake sediment. We sampled the core of Laguna Escondida at 1 mm resolution. Larger samples (1-2 cm resolution) were taken from the primary tephra layers for XRF analysis.

The upper 25 cm of the second half of ESC-09-5 and CAS-09-3 were sampled in 0.5 cm resolution for 210Pb, 226Ra and 137Cs activity measurements.

3.2. 210Pb, 226Ra, 137Cs and 14C measurements

Gamma ray counts of 210Pb (46.5 keV) and 137Cs (662 keV) were collected for more than 20 h using Canberra low background, well-type HPGe detectors. 226Ra was determined by measuring the activity of 214Pb (352 keV) and 214Bi (609 keV) in radioactive equilibrium. In order to convert 210Pb activity profiles into ages, we tested two numerical model types, the Constant Initial Concentration (CIC) and the Constant Rate of Supply (CRS, Appleby, 2001) model. For the core ESC-09-5, unsupported 210Pb was calculated with the level-by-level method from the 226Ra activity (Appleby, 2001). For CAS-09-3, 226Ra values were below detection limit. Therefore, supported 210Pb was considered to be zero (total 210Pb = 210Pb unsupported).
In contrast to the CRS model, the CIC age model displayed several age inversions for both cores and was therefore not pursued. $^{137}$Cs measurements were used as independent time markers to constrain the $^{210}$Pb age models. $^{137}$Cs fallout in southern Chile peaked in AD 1964 (Environmental Measurements Laboratory, 2008).

In the absence of terrestrial plant macrofossils in most parts of the sediment cores, AMS radiocarbon measurements were performed on the total organic fraction of bulk sediment samples. Such $^{14}$C ages may potentially be affected by $^{14}$C reservoir effects. For Lago Castor, $^{14}$C reservoir effects were assessed using a paired measurement on bulk organic fraction and on a syndepositional terrestrial leaf macrofossil (CAS-09-1), which yielded identical ages. For Laguna Escondida potential reservoir effects were evaluated and discarded by parallel $^{14}$C measurements of syndepositional diagnostic tephra layers which yielded similar ages in both lakes (Castor and Escondida). $^{14}$C measurements were performed either at the Poznan Radiocarbon Laboratory, Poland, or at Beta Analytic Inc. All dates were calibrated using the SHCal04 Southern Hemisphere Calibration curve (McCormac et al., 2004); the chronology was made with the clam age modeling script of Blaauw (2010) using linear interpolation. Furthermore, a distinct light tephra deposition in the core CAS-09-1 was identified as eruptive material from the second major Holocene eruption of the Hudson volcano (H2, 3600 yr BP; Naranjo and Stern, 1998) and included in the age model as independent time marker.

### 3.3. Scanning methods (VIS-RS, XRF)

Immediately after opening, all cores were analyzed with non-destructive scanning reflectance spectroscopy in the visible range VIS-RS (380–730 nm; spectral resolution 10 nm, sample resolution 2 mm) using a Gretag Mcbeth spectrophotometer. Reflectance spectra show characteristic patterns and absorption bands holding information about organic compounds (mainly photopigments; Rein and Sirocko, 2002; von Gunten et al., 2009) and the minerals
illite, chlorite and biotite (Rein et al., 2005; Trachsel et al., 2010). In this study we used the relative absorption band depth centered between 660-670 nm (RABD\textsubscript{(660,670)}) as a proxy for organic material in the sediment (Rein and Sirocko, 2002; von Gunten et al., 2009) and the reflectance ratio between 570 nm and 630 nm wavelength (i.e. the slope between 570 and 630 nm; R\textsubscript{570}/R\textsubscript{630}), as a proxy for the concentration of the lithogenic fraction (illite, chlorite and biotite) in the sediments (Rein and Sirocko, 2002; Trachsel et al., 2010).

Additionally, the short cores CAS-09-1 and ESC-09-5 were analyzed with an AVAATECH XRF Core Scanner that provided information about the elemental composition of the sediments at a 0.2 mm measuring resolution. Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe were measured at a tube voltage of 10 kV. The split sediment core surface was covered with a 4 μm thick \textit{Ultralene SPEX CertiPrep}-foil to avoid contamination and desiccation of the sediment. In the particular setting of the lake (i.e. in the absence of endogenic marl) we interpreted the element Ca as an indicator for allochthonous lithoclastic material (mainly plagioclase from tephra and eroded soils) and the element ratios Ca/Fe as an indicator for pedogenic input (Koinig et al., 2003).

3.4. Analytical methods (C/N, biogenic silica, MAR and tephra)

Total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) were measured using a Vario Macro Elemental Analyzer (Elementar Analysen Systeme) on freeze-dried carbonate-free sediment material (100-200 mg). The C/N ratio can be used as a measure of the ratio between aquatic and terrestrial sources of organic matter (Meyers and Teranes, 2001). If the C/N ratio indicates that the primary source of sedimentary organic matter is aquatic (C/N < 9), TOC and TN can be used as proxies for primary production in the lake.

Biogenic silica (bSi) concentration in the sediment was determined using alkaline leaching (Mortlock and Froelich, 1989) and ICP-OES, and corrected for lithogenic Si according to
Ohlendorf and Sturm (2007). We applied an Al:Si\textsubscript{liithogenic} wt. ratio of 1:3 as determined by XRF for unweathered sedimentary volcanoclastic material from Lago Castor and Laguna Escondida (data see Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

The annual mass accumulation rate MAR (g cm\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1}) was calculated with the following equation:

\[
\text{MAR} = \frac{dw}{(1/2 \pi r^2 i a)} \quad (1)
\]

\(dw\) = dry weight per sample (mg)
\(r\) = radius of core liner (cm)
\(i\) = sampling interval (cm)
\(a\) = years per sampling interval (yr/cm)

The bSi flux was calculated by multiplying the bSi concentrations (mg g\textsuperscript{-1}) with the mass accumulation rate MAR (g cm\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1}).

Primary tephra deposits (deposited directly on the lake) were identified visually and with Ca enrichments in the scanning XRF (AVAATECH XRF Core Scanner). Bulk tephra samples were grinded, spiked with LiF and either melted to glass pills or compressed to powder pills for the quantitative elemental analysis (Uniquant) with a XRF spectrometer (Philips PW 2400). The tephra layers of both lakes were dated with \textsuperscript{14}C samples above or below the tephras or by linear interpolation between two radiocarbon-dated points.

3.5. Climate data and climate reconstruction

All sediment proxies in both lakes were compared with meteorological data from the CRU TS 3.0 reanalysis data set (Mitchell and Jones, 2005; 0.5\textdegree x0.5\textdegree grid cell 45\textdegree S / 72\textdegree W). We used the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient \(r\) and corrected the related p-values for autocorrelation (\(p\textsubscript{aut}\), Dawdy and Matalas, 1964) to test the correlations and
significance between the lake sediment proxies and the meteorological time series. For the
calibration with meteorological data (calibration-in-time) we used raw and seven years
triangular filtered data to account for chronological uncertainties in the calibration period and
to optimize the calibration (Koinig et al., 2002, von Gunten et al., 2012). The climate
inference model was calculated with the inverse regression plus scaling method. Split period
validation was performed using a calibration (AD 1900–1953) and validation (AD 1954–
2008) period. Leave-one-out cross-validation (jack knifing) was carried out on the entire
calibration period (AD 1900–2008) to calculate the root mean squared error of prediction
(RMSEP). Finally, temperature was reconstructed back to AD 400.

Because local meteorological data are short and discontinuous and cannot be used for
calibration purposes we considered the use of reanalysis data. To test the performance of the
CRU TS 3.0 data, we correlated the gridded data with the nearest station data of Balmaceda
the period from AD 1963-1981 (r = 0.79, p-value<0.005) and for the period AD 1992-2008 (r
= 0.8, p-value<0.005). We also found high correlations for the reanalysis data with the station
of Puerto Aysén for the period AD 1953-1981 (r = 0.70, p-value<0.005). According to the
good performance, we used the reanalysis data for the calibration. As expected, temperature
and precipitation are negatively correlated (r = -0.4).

4. Results

4.1. Lake sediment classification and tephras

Both lakes contain at the coring sites (Fig. 1) three sediment facies:

I. Lake sediments are composed of brownish (Munsell color: Lago Castor: 10YR – 4/3;
Laguna Escondida: 10YR – 3/2) diatomaceous silt (Lago Castor: Median = 29.2 μm,
Laguna Escondida: Median = 23.4 μm) with medium to low concentrations of organic
matter (Lago Castor: TOC = 2-8%; Laguna Escondida: TOC = 5-11%). The only macrofossils found were a terrestrial leaf and a bivalve in Lago Castor. Inorganic carbonates are absent in the sediment.

II. Primary tephra layers are composed of blackish (Munsell color: GLEY 2 – 5PB) silt and sand (20-2000 μm) that were deposited as atmospheric fallout directly into the lake and are not mixed with lake sediments (Facies I). The tephra in Lago Castor at the bottom of the core (68 cm) is grayish-whitish (Munsell color: 5Y - 8/1).

III. The third facies is a mix between reworked tephra from the catchment and autochthonous lake sediments, typically subsequent to a primary tephra. The mixed facies are more abundant in Lago Castor while the tephra in Laguna Escondida are sharper confined and separated from Facies I.

The distribution of the sediment facies in both lakes is shown in Figs. 2a and 2b (and Fig. S1 and Table S1 supplementary material). For the regional tephra-chronological and stratigraphic core correlation the following tephras are most diagnostic: the grayish-white >2 cm thick T8 (CAS at 68-70 cm depth) tephra and the black 2 mm- thick T7 tephra (CAS at 65 cm) in lake Castor (the core ESC-09-5 does not extend to that depth), the 1-2 cm thick black T6 tephra (CAS at 48-49 cm; ESC at 66-68 cm); the cluster of 4 black tephras T2-5 within 15 cm of sediments (CAS at 42.5-28 cm; ESC at 59-44 cm) among which T3 (2-5 cm thick) is the most prominent one; the T2 tephra with its fine grains and distinct lighter (dark grey) color (CAS at 28.29 cm; ESC at 44-45 cm), and the very sharp black 3 mm tephra T1 (ESC at 30.5 cm; CAS at 23-24 cm). The base of T1 in core CAS-09-1 shows an erosional surface and a microfault suggesting that sediments are missing between CAS T1 and CAS T2. In comparison with the sediment strata in Laguna Escondida, the sediment hiatus between CAS T1 and T2 is approximately 10 cm.
The geochemical analysis of the four largest primary tephra (T3, T4, T6, and T8; supplementary material Table S1 and Fig. S2) shows that all the black tephra (T3, T4 and T6) are very similar in their elemental composition (medium to high K2O calc-alkaline, SiO2 50-64%) and relative abundances of macro and micro elements suggesting a similar source of the eruptions. The KO2/SiO2 and Zr/SiO2 ratios of CAS-T8 are very similar to the eruption H2 of Hudson volcano dated to 3600 BP (calibrated BC 1947-1770; Supplementary Fig. S3; Naranjo and Stern, 1998) which is consistent with the extrapolated age of c. BC 1960 for CAS-T8 (Section 4.2.). According to the K2O, SiO2 and Zr diagrams (Supplementary Fig. S3) all of the four tephra (maybe except T3) belong to the realm of Holocene eruptions of Hudson Volcano situated 98 km to the southwest from the study site (after Naranjo et al., 1993; T3 might belong to one of the other SVZ volcanoes).

4.2. Chronology

Fig. 2 shows the age depth models as calculated with the constrained and unconstrained CRS models and the 14C dates for Lago Castor and Laguna Escondida. The 14C dates are listed in Table 1.

In Lago Castor, 137Cs activity reaches the highest value at a core depth of 3.4 cm and is used as a time-marker to constrain the 210Pb age model at this sediment depth to AD 1964. The 210Pb profile shows in general a very low initial activity (A0 < 100 Bq/kg) and, as a consequence substantial age uncertainties in the lower part of the profile despite extended counting times. However it shows generally decreasing values from the sediment surface towards larger depths and smaller age uncertainties between AD 1950-1960. The sedimentation rates as calculated from the CRS model (data not presented) show higher values between AD 1920 and AD 1963, and a trend towards lower values from AD 1970 to present. Fig. 2a (right panel) shows the combined age model for the entire core including the
\[14^C\] dates. At 60.5 cm sediment depth both the total organic fraction of bulk sediment and a syndepositional terrestrial leaf macrofossil are dated. Both fractions yield identical ages (3125 ± 35 \(^{14}C\) yr BP and 3100 ± 35 \(^{14}C\) yr BP, respectively) suggesting that bulk sediment TOC produces reliable \(^{14}C\) ages and is not affected by \(^{14}C\) reservoir effects in this lake. The sediment hiatus as indicated by the erosional surface at 24 cm sediment (see section 4.1.) depth is also confirmed by \(^{14}C\) dates with a gap of c. 300 years between 23.5 and 24 cm sediment depth. According to the age-depth model and allowing for \(^{14}C\) dating uncertainties, the tephras in Laguna Castor are dated to c. 1950 BC (T8, extrapolated), c. 1700 BC (T7), c. 300 BC (T6), c. 50 BC (T5), c. 90 AD (T4), c. 160 AD (T3), c. 400 AD (T2) and to between 800 - 1000 AD. The age of T8 matches precisely the age of the known Hudson tephra H2 (3600 BP uncalibrated; Naranjo and Stern 1998; calibrated 1900-2000 BC) and the age of T6 corresponds to the known Hudson 2200 BP tephra (uncalibrated; Naranjo and Stern 1998; calibrated between 350 – 120 BC within a large \(^{14}C\) plateau).

Fig. 2b shows the constrained and unconstrained CRS 210Pb age-depth model for Laguna Escondida. \(^{137}\)Cs activity reaches maximum values at 4.25 cm depth. 210Pb activities are also generally very low but age uncertainties are much smaller than for Lago Castor. The late Holocene chronology consists of three \(^{14}C\) dates and five tephra ages (T2-T6) chrono-stratigraphically correlated with Lago Castor. The uppermost tephra (T1, at 30 cm depth) is \(^{14}C\) dated to c. 950 AD.

4.3. Lake sediment proxies

Fig. 3a summarizes the results of the proxies measured with scanning and analytical methods for the Laguna Castor core CAS-09-1. The VIS-RS proxy RABD\(_{(660,670)}\) show an increase from the bottom of the core to 60 cm depth, a decrease to 30 cm depth and an increase towards the top of the core. \(R_{570}/R_{630}\) (proxy for lithogenic concentration) is negatively
correlated to $\text{RABD}_{(660;670)}$ ($r = -0.63$, $p(\text{aut}) < 0.001$) and above average values for primary and secondary tephra deposits. Total Ca shows very high values for the core sections with tephra deposits and decreasing values towards the top of the core. The Ca/Fe ratios show similarities with total Ca and peak in the tephra layers, and remain rather stable from 20 cm core depth towards the top of the core.

C/N ratios show decreasing values from 20 cm towards the top of the core, while TOC is increasing. In the lower part of the core, C/N ratios are highly variable at the centennial scale ranging from more terrestrial sources of carbon (C/N ratio > 12-14) to more aquatic primary production (C/N ratios < 10).

BSi concentrations show an increasing trend towards the top of the core and follow very closely the TOC concentrations curve. Below 30 cm core depth, the record is interrupted by many tephra layers. In contrast to bSi concentration values, bSi flux data show rather constant values with minor fluctuations in the top 30 cm. BSi flux data are independent of matrix effects and MAR changes (i.e. independent of variable admixtures of secondary volcanic tephra material to the sediments) but rely strongly on the quality of the chronology. MAR is inversely correlated with TOC (%) and bSi concentrations, ranges between 0.048 and 0.159 mg cm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ and shows a decreasing trend from 20 cm core depth upwards. Water content shows a similar trend as TOC (%) and bSi ($\mu$g/g), and an inverse pattern compared to MAR. This suggests that TOC and bSi concentrations are influenced by MAR and water content and, ultimately by variable fluxes of lithogenic (volcanic) material.

Fig. 3b summarizes the results of the proxies measured for the short core in Laguna Escondida ESC-09-5. These are essentially the same proxies as in Lago Castor. VIS-RS proxies $\text{RABD}_{(660;670)}$ and $\frac{R_{570}}{R_{630}}$ are negatively correlated ($r = -0.83$, $r^2 = 0.69$, $p(\text{aut}) < 0.001$). $\text{RABD}_{(660;670)}$ shows very low values while $\frac{R_{570}}{R_{630}}$ shows high values in the tephra layers. $\text{RABD}_{(660;670)}$ shows an increasing trend towards the top of the core. In contrast,
R$_{570}$/R$_{630}$ is decreasing. Total Ca in ESC-09-5 shows a similar behavior as it was observed in CAS-09-1: core sections with tephra deposits show high values. From 25 cm towards the top of the core total Ca decreases. The Ca/Fe ratio shows similarities with total Ca and peaks in the tephras, but remains very stable from c. 40 cm core depth (above tephra ESC-T2) to the top of the core. This suggests that the composition of the lithogenic fraction in the lake sediments remained stable for the past 1600 years (i.e. the length of our climate reconstruction; see Section 4.4.).

In the uppermost 15 cm, C/N measurements suggest predominantly aquatic sources for the organic matter (C/N < 9). C/N ratios decrease slightly towards the top of the core (as in CAS-09-1) while TOC increases.

B$_{Si}$ ($\mu$g/g) shows a pattern similar to the measurements in CAS-09-1, but a weaker trend towards the top of the core. For b$_{Si}$ flux, the long-term increasing trend of the b$_{Si}$ ($\mu$g/g) data appears to be removed. This is attributed to the removed matrix effect, which in turn is consistent with decreasing MAR and Ca (cps) values in the top part of the core. From 57 to 81 cm core depth b$_{Si}$ flux is on average significantly higher than for the upper part of the core. MAR is on average higher than in CAS-09-1 and ranges between 0.08 and 0.52 mg cm$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. Water content shows an increasing trend towards the top of the core and very low values for tephra deposits.

In summary, all proxies show very similar trends in both lakes, suggesting that there is a significant common signal of environmental change, and that the measurements are reproducible. However, in comparison with Lago Castor, Laguna Escondida shows generally a much clearer separation between Facies I and Facies II with sharp boundaries between the tephras and the lake sediments. Lago Castor shows more sediment sections with mixed facies. Thus we expect a better signal of climate change in the sediments of Laguna Escondida than in those of Lago Castor.
4.4. **Calibration and temperature reconstruction**

Fig. 4 shows the bSi flux data for both lakes in comparison with the meteorological mean annual temperatures from AD 1900-2002. All three time series (7-yr filtered due to dating uncertainties) show an increasing trend from AD 1900 to around AD 1940. A period of lower values occurs around AD 1960 and a sharp increase is observed in the 1970s. From AD 1980 to AD 2002 the temperature time series show high values but a negative trend (cooling). The bSi flux data from Lago Castor shows also maximum values but a negative trend only after 1990. In contrast bSi flux in Laguna Escondida shows a negative trend already from 1980 onwards, but slightly increases around 1990. In both lakes the bSi flux data show higher (lower) values during the same time periods when CRU TS data show higher (lower) temperature values, although the earlier peak (around AD 1937) in the data of Lago Castor is not as pronounced as in the data of Laguna Escondida.

7-years filtered bSi flux data show the highest correlation with annual temperature data from the CRU TS data set with a time lag of 6 years for Lago Castor ($r = 0.37$), and a time lag of 4 years for Laguna Escondida ($r = 0.42$). In both lakes, the lag applied (4-6 years) is smaller than the $\pm 1\sigma$ dating uncertainty of the $^{210}$Pb age model (Fig. 2).

Given the better correlation results, the smaller dating uncertainty in the calibration period and the better separation of the sedimentary Facies we develop the calibration model and the temperature reconstruction for Laguna Escondida. Calibration and validation using split periods (calibration period AD 1900–1953; validation period AD 1954–2008) shows a RMSEv of 0.56 °C. Leave-one-out cross-validation using the entire calibration period (AD 1900-2008) results in a RMSEP of 0.27 °C.

Using the calibration model we reconstruct annual temperature anomalies for Laguna Escondida back to AD 400. We do not extend the reconstruction further back in time because
(i) ecosystem disturbance by tephras is significant prior to 400 AD, (ii) bSi flux is much higher and outside the range of the observations in the calibration period and (iii) the Ca/Fe ratios are variable suggesting that sedimentation regimes and also the composition of the lithogenic fraction has changed prior to 400 AD. Fig. 5 (bottom) shows the climate reconstruction for Laguna Escondida based on 7-years filtered bSi flux data. The record indicates pronounced (multi)decadal-scale variability and warm periods between AD 550 to AD 1200 (except AD 850-900) and cold periods between AD 400 to AD 500, around AD 850-900, and AD 1200 to AD 1450. From AD 1450 to AD 1700 the reconstructed temperature anomalies show a period with larger multidecadal variability and on average higher temperature than the 20th century mean. From AD 1700 until AD 1900 the temperature anomalies decrease but show higher values than the 20th century mean.

5. Discussion

5.1. Quality of the chronology

The $^{210}\text{Pb}$ age models in both lakes shows a plausible age depth distribution with consistent mid-points. In both lakes the $^{210}\text{Pb}$ activity is generally very low ($A_0 < 100-130$ Bq/kg) and challenging to measure despite long counting times. The total absence of $^{226}\text{Ra}$ and supported $^{210}\text{Pb}$ in the profile of Lago Castor is unusual. This seems not to be an artifact since uranium concentrations (as a proxy for $^{234}\text{U}$, the precursor of $^{226}\text{Ra}$) in the sediments are also below XRF detection limits. The $^{210}\text{Pb}$ chronology with uncertainties in both lakes implies that the proxy-climate calibration in the instrumental period needs to be optimized and the data filtered (Koinig et al., 2002; von Gunten et al., 2012). In consequence of the dating uncertainties we had to apply a 7-years triangular filter for the proxy-climate calibration. This filter is relatively large and leads, in combination with pronounced bioturbation and low sedimentation rates to a very strong autocorrelation of the proxy time series in the sediments.
In consequence the number of fully independent observations (effective sample size) in the calibration period (100 years) is reduced and it is very difficult to obtain statistically significant correlations if the p-values are corrected for autocorrelation (von Gunten et al., 2012, see also section 5.2.). With regard to the proxy-climate calibration it is important to note that the $^{210}$Pb chronologies in both lakes are not tuned and in their original, fully independently calculated state. Indeed, as Fig. 4 shows, the correspondence between the proxy data and the climate data could be substantially enhanced by tuning the chronology on the order of a few years which is still much smaller than the $\pm 1\sigma$ dating uncertainty of the $^{210}$Pb model.

In Lago Castor the mass accumulation rate MAR as calculated from the CRS $^{210}$Pb age model (data not shown) shows slightly higher rates between AD 1920 and AD 1963. This time coincides largely with the reported deforestation AD 1936-1956. The trend towards lower sedimentation from AD 1970 to the present could be attributed to reforestation of pine monocultures in the Aysén basin in AD 1984 (Quintanilla, 2008). The same trend towards lower sedimentation rates from AD 1980 to present was also observed in the age model of Laguna Escondida and seems to be a reproducible regional feature.

In the general absence of terrestrial organic macrofossils we had to use bulk organic fractions for $^{14}$C dating. These ages may potentially be affected by $^{14}$C reservoir effects. However, the identical $^{14}$C ages of the only terrestrial plant macrofossil we could find in the sediments of Lago Castor and the age of syndepositional bulk organic carbon suggests that the bulk fraction of organic C is not affected by $^{14}$C reservoir effects in this lake and yields reliable ages. This can be expected since carbonates are absent in the catchment geology and the sediments are free of inorganic carbon. Absence of $^{14}$C reservoir effects is also supported by the observation that (i) the linear extrapolation of the three uppermost $^{14}$C dates goes through modern times (AD 2010), (ii) that the known and independently dated tephra around 3600 BP
matches precisely with the sediment age as extrapolated from the lowermost two $^{14}$C ages, and (iii) that the chrono-stratigraphic position of the tephra T6 in CAS matches with the known and independently dated tephra around 2200 BP (uncalibrated, Naranjo and Stern, 1998). Age inversions are absent in the Lago Castor chronology and the inferred sedimentation rates are constant through time. Although we could not find a terrestrial macrofossil in the sediment core of Laguna Escondida for parallel dating, a number of observations makes $^{14}$C reservoir effects on the bulk organic fraction highly unlikely: (i) extrapolation of the uppermost two $^{14}$C samples goes through modern times, (ii) the independently dated known tephra at 2200 BP (uncalibrated, Naranjo and Stern, 1998) matches with the $^{14}$C inferred age of the tephra T6 in Laguna Escondida, and (iii) the similar ages of the tephras ESC T5 and ESC T2 as inferred from the chronostratigraphic correlation with the corresponding tephras in Lago Castor are identical (within the $^{14}$C dating uncertainty) with the ages as inferred from the ESC $^{14}$C chronology.

The $^{14}$C chronologies of the late Holocene can be regarded as reliable in both lakes. They yield ages for the eight tephras identified (within the $^{14}$C dating uncertainty) and a good chronological framework for the late Holocene climate reconstruction.

5.2. Proxy-climate calibration, temperature reconstruction and regional paleoclimates from Patagonia

The correlation matrix between the multi-proxy data in both lakes and the climate data revealed that biogenic silica bSi flux contains a consistent signal for annual temperatures. Assuming that bSi flux to the sediments is mainly driven by diatom productivity it is suggested that temperature influences (diatom) primary production in these lakes. Similar results have been found in lakes around the world for temperature changes at very long
timescales (>10^5 years) in Lake Baikal (Russia) or Lake Biwa (Japan) (Colman et al., 1995; Xiao et al., 1997) and at interannual to millennial scales in the Arctic and Alps (McKay et al., 2008; Blass et al., 2007).

The very similar pattern of bSi flux changes in Laguna Escondida and adjacent Lago Castor further suggests that both bSi records are driven by a common regional factor (i.e. temperature) and that the results are reproducible. BSi in Laguna Escondida shows better results as compared to Lago Castor because Laguna Escondida is less likely affected by detrital secondary tephra material, which makes MAR and bSi flux calculations more difficult. In view of the dating uncertainties in the calibration period we have used 7-years triangular filtered data for calibration and reconstruction to optimize the calibration for all the different interdependent and counteracting effects (correlation coefficient, degrees of freedom, significance, RE and RMSEP; von Gunten et al., 2012).

For Laguna Escondida the calibration model reveals a RMSE of 0.56 °C (RMSEP = 0.27 °C) for the reconstruction of annual temperatures. This is about 5 (10) times smaller than the average amplitude of decadal scale temperature variability (Fig. 5) showing that most of the reconstructed temperature variability during the last 1600 years represents significant climatic changes.

Our record shows relatively warm temperatures from c. AD 550 to AD 1150, interrupted by a cold episode in the 9th century, and generally lower temperatures in the 5th century and from AD 1200 to AD 1450. Large multidecadal variability is found from AD 1450 to AD 1700 with three distinct warm peaks around AD 1480, AD 1580 and AD 1680. From AD 1700 until around AD 1900 (minimum AD 1910) the reconstruction shows decadal-scale fluctuations and generally decreasing temperatures but on average higher than the 20th century mean.
Comparing the temperature record of Laguna Escondida with other regional records is challenging. Indeed, it appears from the spatial correlation map of the 20th century temperature data (Fig. 1) that the spatial coherency pattern of temperature in Patagonia is very complex with strong subregional gradients. The correlation decays particularly rapidly towards the east (Argentinean Patagonia) and it is not clear whether or not the spatial teleconnections of temperature remained stable through time with different combinations of forcings and internal variability (Wilmes et al., 2012) or how the 20th century spatial pattern represents centennial-scale variability. Villalba et al. (1997) have shown that the strong regional heterogeneity of temperature in Northern and Southern Patagonia existed also for the last 400 years, whereby the two adjacent areas show a very different structure of temperature evolution. The second problem is that there are only a few regional (i.e. southern South America) natural climate archives available, most of them showing a precipitation or a mixed signal (mostly precipitation, temperature and sometimes wind; Villalba et al., 2009, Boucher et al., 2011), or relatively poor (multidecadal) temporal resolution. It should also be noted that the most recent comprehensive multiproxy and multi-site summer temperature field reconstruction for southern South America (Neukom et al., 2011) does not have any regional predictor for Patagonia prior to c. AD 1650 and the structure of summer temperature (Neukom et al. 2011) and annual temperature (Laguna Escondida) variability is very different at times. Thus it is not readily obvious what one would expect comparing the record of Laguna Escondida with other existing records from that part of the world. However, our Laguna Escondida record shares a number of distinct features with the Neukom et al. (2011) reconstruction such as the warmth in the 10th and 11th centuries, warm peaks in the 14th century, maximum cold between AD 1380 and 1460, and the distinct warmth around AD 1800 followed by cooling that culminated around AD 1900.
The sustained warmth between AD 900 and 1150 is supported by several findings in South Patagonian lake sediment records (Fey et al., 2009; Moy et al., 2009) and the absence of glacier advances (Masiokas et al., 2009). The Laguna Escondida record shows a very early onset of generally very cool conditions (from AD 1230 onwards) which lasted, with some interruptions through AD 1460. This is consistent with two glacier advances in the Northern Patagonian Icefield (i.e. the nearest glaciers to Laguna Escondida) in the 13th and 14th centuries (Masiokas et al., 2009). Interestingly there is no evidence for both advances from glaciers further to the North in the North Patagonian Andes (35-42°S) and to the South in the Southern Patagonian Icefield (49-50°S; Masiokas et al., 2009). The different temporal structure of glacier advances in the three areas points again to the pronounced differences in the regional climate. The early onset of cool and wet conditions at the beginning of the 13th century has also been observed in Lago Cardiel, Argentina, by Stine (1994).

The three pronounced decadal-long warm periods with the high amplitudes between AD 1480 and 1680 seem enigmatic and are not present in the Neukom et al. (2011) summer temperature reconstruction. However, these positive anomalies seem to be a regional feature. Lago Guanaco in southern Patagonia (Moy et al., 2009) shows at least two short-lived high-amplitude hydroclimatic changes during this period while lakes further to the east show pronounced warmth for about one century after AD 1650. A distinct positive anomaly around AD 1680 was also found in tree rings of Southern Patagonia (Villalba et al., 2003).

Only a few records reach back to AD 400. The cold 5th century as reconstructed from Laguna Escondida has also been found in Laguna Las Viszcachas (51°S; Fey et al., 2009). Also the persistently warm but variable period from c. AD 600 until c. AD 1100 has been found in Laguna Las Viszcachas (Fey et al., 2009).

We also compared our qualitative data from the entire Laguna Escondida record (back to c. 900 BC) to the Late Holocene trends of the alkenone-based sea surface temperature data at
41°S from the Chilean margin (ODP Site 1233; Lamy et al., 2010). Both records show a cooling trend over the past c. 4000 years, but the sample resolution in the marine cores is relatively coarse (one data point per 200-400 years).

5  Conclusion

We have investigated late Holocene \(^{210}\text{Pb}\) and \(^{14}\text{C}\) dated sediments from two paired lakes in northern Patagonia to explore the potential of the lake sediments for high-resolution paleoclimate reconstructions and as archives for past volcanic activity. The comparison of both lakes suggests that the eight identified tephras spanning from BC 1900 (T8) to AD 900 (T1) provide a robust chrono-stratigraphic framework and tephrachronology that can be used for further paleoenvironmental studies in this area. The eight tephras are dated to c. 1950 BC, c. 1700 BC, at c. 300 BC, c. 50 BC, c. 90 AD, c. 160 AD, c. 400 AD and at c. 900 AD.

Despite inherent dating difficulties for young sediments with very low initial \(^{210}\text{Pb}\) activities and corresponding age uncertainties, we found in both lakes that bSi flux responds sensitively to annual temperatures during the calibration period (AD 1900–2006). This result has been developed independently for both lakes suggesting that bSi flux contains a reproducible and robust signal for annual temperatures.

The proxy-climate calibration model has been validated and used to reconstruct subdecadal-scale temperatures for the past 1600 years back to AD 400. Most of the reconstructed temperatures are within the calibration range. The cool 5th century was followed by warmer temperatures between AD 600 and AD 1150. Rapid cooling started around AD 1200 and persisted through AD 1450. Highly variable conditions with pronounced multidecadal temperature amplitudes and peak warmth around AD 1480, AD 1560 and AD 1680 were followed by cooling that culminated around AD 1900.
Our record compares well with the multi-decadal temperature variability documented in the few paleo-temperature archives available in southern South America. However, the spatial variability of 20th century temperatures, particularly the enigmatic observed subregional cooling in the 2nd half of the 20th century points to the importance of regional climatic heterogeneities that are still insufficiently resolved in the available paleo-temperature data sets and dynamically not understood.
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Table Captions:
Table 1: Samples and radiocarbon ages for Lago Castor and Laguna Escondida. The calibration was made with the SHCal04 Southern Hemisphere Calibration curve (McCormac et al., 2004).

Figure captions:

Fig.1: Left: Map of southern South America and location of the study sites (modified from Araneda et al., 2007). Top center: bathymetry of Laguna Escondida and location of the two different coring sites. Bottom center: bathymetry of Lago Castor and coring site. Right: spatial correlation map (top) and related p-value (bottom) for annual temperature between our study site (arrow and white square) and the rest of South America (see method section for details).

Fig. 2a) $^{137}$Cs, $^{226}$Ra and $^{210}$Pb activity profiles (2 sigma error of the measurements), and $^{210}$Pb age models with dating uncertainty for Lago Castor. The Figure to the right shows the combined $^{210}$Pb and $^{14}$C age-model for the entire core calculated with the $^{14}$C clam model (Blaauw, 2010) and the ages of the tephra CAS T1 – T8. b) shows the same for Laguna Escondida.

Fig. 3: Relative Absorption Band Depth centered between 660-670 nm ($\text{RABD}_{(660,670)}$) indicative of total chlorin, and spectral index $R_{570}/R_{630}$ (indicative of lithogenic concentration) derived from VIS-RS, scanning XRF data for Ca and Ca/Fe, C/N mass ratio and TOC, biogenic silica concentration and flux rates, MAR and water content of (a) Lago Castor and (b) Laguna Escondida.
Fig. 4: Mean annual temperature for the study area (grid cell 45.5–44.5°S and 72.5–71.5°W; CRU TS 3.0, 7-years triangular filtered; top), 7-years filtered bSi flux data for Lago Castor (middle) and Laguna Escondida (bottom).

Fig. 5: Reconstructed annual temperature anomalies (wrt 20th century mean) derived from bSi flux data from Laguna Escondida. The thick black line represents 7-year smoothed temperature data used for calibration (CRU TS 3.0, AD 1900-2006). The thin black line represents the reconstructed temperature with the corresponding upper and lower 95% confidence interval. The dashed line shows the 30 years running mean. Inset: 30-year filtered summer and winter multi-proxy temperature reconstructions for southern South America by Neukom et al. (2011). Colors denote different regions of southern South America.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table S1: Stratigraphic position, thickness and age of the tephras T1 to T8 in Laguna Escondida and Lago Castor with dating uncertainty.

Supplementary Table S2: Chemical compositions (in wt %) of tephra samples T3, T4, T6 and T8 in Laguna Escondida and Lago Castor. Asterisks denote replicates.

Supplementary Fig. S1: Photographs of the cores CAS-09-1 (21.5 m water depth) and ESC-09-5e (9.8 m water depth) and tephras T1 to T8.

Supplementary Fig. S2: Elemental ratios of the tephras T3, T4, T6 and T8 in Laguna Escondida and Lago Castor.
Supplementary Fig. S3: SiO$_2$ vs K$_2$O and Zr for the tephra samples T3, T4, T6 and T8 from Lago Castor (red bold asterisks, this study) and Laguna Escondida (red asterisks, this study) compared with the three known Holocene tephras from Hudson volcano (black data, Naranjo and Stern, 1998): crosses denote samples of lava, solid circles denote bulk pumice for the 6700-, 3600-, and the 2200-BP eruptions and other eruptions (open circles) from Hudson Volcano compared with samples from other volcanoes in the Southern Volcanic Zone SSVZ (Maca, Cay, Mentolat, and Melimoyu) and in the Austral Volcanic Zone (AVZ). Solid squares show tephras from Los Toldos, Argentina, and Tierra del Fuego assigned to the 3600- and 6700-BP eruption of Hudson Volcano.
Figure 4
Click here to download high resolution image

The graph shows the historical temperature and bSi flux data from 1900 to 2000 AD.

- Temperature (°C)
- Laguna Escondida
- Lago Castor

The temperature data indicates fluctuations throughout the period, with peaks around 1910, 1930, and 1970. The bSi flux data for Laguna Escondida shows a similar pattern, with a peak around 1970. The data for Lago Castor exhibits a distinct increase in the latter part of the 20th century.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab code</th>
<th>Sediment Depth(cm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>14C yr BP</th>
<th>Calibrated Midpoint</th>
<th>± sigma range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lago Castor:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta - 305745</td>
<td>14.6-15.2</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>570 ± 30</td>
<td>AD 1410</td>
<td>AD 1328 - 1445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poz-33733</td>
<td>17.8-18.3</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>795 ± 30</td>
<td>AD 1259</td>
<td>AD 1220 - 1291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poz-33734</td>
<td>23.1-23.6</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>1040 ± 30</td>
<td>AD 1099</td>
<td>AD 1166 - 1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-305746</td>
<td>23.8-24.2</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>1400 ± 30</td>
<td>AD 682</td>
<td>AD 636 - 769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta - 305747</td>
<td>25.6-26.0</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>1580 ± 30</td>
<td>AD 530</td>
<td>AD 430 - 608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poz-31858</td>
<td>30.5-31.5</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>1930 ± 30</td>
<td>AD 162</td>
<td>AD 71 - 244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta - 305748</td>
<td>51.6-52.0</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>2500 ± 30</td>
<td>BC 567</td>
<td>BC 753 - 402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poz-31859</td>
<td>60.0-61.0</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>3125 ± 35</td>
<td>BC 1333</td>
<td>BC 1432 - 1218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poz-3860</td>
<td>60.0-61.0</td>
<td>leaf remain</td>
<td>3100 ± 35</td>
<td>BC 1320</td>
<td>BC 1415 - 1133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laguna Escondida:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poz-34647</td>
<td>20.0-20.5</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>760 ± 30</td>
<td>AD 1297</td>
<td>AD 1231 - 1384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poz-31861</td>
<td>29.5-30.5</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>1155 ± 30</td>
<td>AD 942</td>
<td>AD 881 - 1004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poz-31862</td>
<td>80.5-81.5</td>
<td>bulk</td>
<td>2805 ± 35</td>
<td>BC 892</td>
<td>BC 987 - 809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>Depth (cm)</td>
<td>Thickness (cm)</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESC-09-5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC-T1</td>
<td>30.2 - 30.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>AD 950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC-T2</td>
<td>44.0 - 45.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>AD 400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC-T3</td>
<td>45.5 - 51.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>AD 160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC-T4</td>
<td>52.0 - 53.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>AD 90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC-T5</td>
<td>56.5 - 56.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>BC 50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC-T6</td>
<td>66.0 - 68.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>BC 300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAS-09-1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS-T2</td>
<td>28.0 - 29.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>AD 400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS-T3</td>
<td>32.0 - 34.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>AD 160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS-T4</td>
<td>36.0 - 37.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>AD 90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS-T5</td>
<td>42.5 - 43.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>BC 50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS-T6</td>
<td>48.0 - 49.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>BC 300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS-T7</td>
<td>65.0 - 65.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>BC 1700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS-T8</td>
<td>68.0 - 70.0+</td>
<td>&gt;2.0</td>
<td>BC 1950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ESC T3</td>
<td>ESC T3*</td>
<td>ESC T4</td>
<td>ESC T4*</td>
<td>ESC T6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb₂O</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂O₃</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs₂O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>