Complex and liquid hydrides for energy storage

Elsa Callini^{1,2} · Zuleyha Özlem Kocabas Atakli² · Bjørn C. Hauback³ · Shin-ichi Orimo¹² · Craig Jensen¹³ · Martin Dornheim¹⁰ · David Grant¹¹ · Young Whan Cho⁹ · Ping Chen⁷ · Björgvin Hjörvarsson¹⁴ · Petra de Jongh⁶ · Claudia Weidenthaler¹⁵ · Marcello Baricco⁴ · Mark Paskevicius⁵ · Torben R. Jensen⁵ · Mark E. Bowden⁸ · Thomas S. Autrey⁸ · Andreas Züttel^{1,2}

Received: 1 September 2015 / Accepted: 17 November 2015 / Published online: 10 March 2016
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract The research on complex hydrides for hydrogen storage was initiated by the discovery of Ti as a hydrogen sorption catalyst in NaAlH₄ by Boris Bogdanovic in 1996. A large number of new complex hydride materials in various forms and combinations have been synthesized and characterized, and the knowledge regarding the properties of complex hydrides and the synthesis methods has grown enormously since then. A significant portion of the research groups active in the field of complex hydrides is collaborators in the International Energy Agreement Task 32. This paper reports about the important issues in the field of complex hydride research, i.e. the synthesis of borohydrides, the thermodynamics of complex hydrides,

the effects of size and confinement, the hydrogen sorption mechanism and the complex hydride composites as well as the properties of liquid complex hydrides. This paper is the result of the collaboration of several groups and is an excellent summary of the recent achievements.

1 Introduction

Hydrogen storage is the main challenge of the hydrogen cycle, a closed loop that includes hydrogen production, storage and use. Such a cycle allows for storing hydrogen produced by renewable energy and does not contain carbon

✉ Andreas Züttel
andreas.zuetzel@epfl.ch

¹ Laboratory of Materials for Renewable Energy (LMER), Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering (ISIC), École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL Valais/Wallis, Sion, Switzerland

² EMPA Materials Science and Technology, Überlandstrasse 129, 8600 Sion, Switzerland

³ Physics Department, Institute for Energy Technology, Kjeller, Norway

⁴ Department of Chemistry and NIS, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

⁵ Department of Chemistry, Center for Materials Crystallography, Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center, Aarhus University, Langelandsgade 140, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

⁶ Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, Universiteitsweg 99, 3584 CG Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁷ Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China

⁸ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 902 Battelle Boulevard, P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352, USA

⁹ Future Convergence Research Division, High Temperature Energy Materials Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology, Hwarangno 14-gil 5, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-791, Republic of Korea

¹⁰ Department of Nanotechnology, Materials Technology, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Geesthacht, Germany

¹¹ University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

¹² WPI Advanced Institute for Materials Research (WPI-AIMR), Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan

¹³ Department of Chemistry, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2545 The Mall, Honolulu, HI 96822-2275, USA

¹⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Materials Physics, Box 516, 751 20 Uppsala, Sweden

¹⁵ Department of Heterogeneous Catalysis, Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany

(CO₂ neutral). Hydrogen is the most promising candidate for store energy [1], as it is the most abundant element in the universe. Hydrogen can be produced in large quantities from water on demand and represents a solution to the fluctuation in energy collection. Finding a material that allows for storing hydrogen with high hydrogen density and fast hydrogen sorption kinetics [2–4] is requested by most applications, and, therefore, several options are under consideration. Liquefied hydrogen, pressurized tanks, metal hydrides and complex hydrides have been intensively investigated. However, the interactions of the hydrogen molecules or atoms with solid materials or surfaces are not well known on an atomic level in complex hydrides. The identification of the decomposition reaction pathways of several complex hydrides, the determination of the intermediate species in dehydrogenation reactions, the emission of side products and the role of catalysts are all challenges [5] that require the most advanced characterization instruments and a profound knowledge of the chemical physics of gas–solid interactions.

The International Energy Agency (IEA) Task 32 “H₂-Based Energy Storage” addresses hydrogen-based energy storage by developing reversible or regenerative hydrogen storage materials.

In the materials under consideration, the quantitative targets for hydrogen capacities vary significantly depending on the different applications; for example, the gravimetric density is crucial for mobile applications, whereas in stationary systems, it plays a minor role [6–9]. Therefore, for each specific application, the targets related to volumetric density, thermodynamics, kinetics, cost and safety are different. A fundamental understanding of hydrogen storage mechanisms is the key to a breakthrough in the development of materials with improved properties. The focus within this task is on hydrogen in solid compounds approached by complimentary experimental, engineering and modelling (both scientific and engineering) activities. At present, Task 32 consists of 48 R&D projects led by project leaders from the participating countries. Most projects involve international collaborations, which are strongly encouraged. The projects are divided into five working groups:

- Porous materials (coordination polymer framework compounds, metal organic frameworks, zeolitic imidazolate frameworks, covalent organic frameworks and carbon-based compounds)
- Magnesium-based hydrogen and energy storage materials
- Complex and liquid hydrides (borohydrides, alanates, amides/imides systems, magnesium-based compounds, reactive hydride composites and rechargeable liquid hydrogen carriers)

- Electrochemical storage of energy (MH batteries, ion conduction)
- Heat storage—concentrated solar thermal using metal hydrides

The following countries contribute to Task 32, “H₂-Based Energy Storage”: Australia, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Israel, Italy, Japan, Lithuania, Netherlands, Norway, Korea, Sweden, Switzerland, the UK and the USA.

In this paper, we report the recent achievements of the workgroup “Complex and Liquid Hydrides.” We present the synthesis routes of novel metal borohydrides, an empirical model for the stability of the alanates and borohydrides, the reaction mechanism for the hydrogen desorption from alanates and borohydrides, the impact of nanoconfinement on the hydrogen sorption properties, the properties of the reactive composite hydrides and the synthesis and characterization of liquid hydrides.

2 Synthesis of novel borohydrides

Mechanochemistry (more commonly known as ball milling) is one of the most common methods for the preparation of novel metal borohydrides [15]. A variety of reactions can occur, and in some cases, several competing reactions are observed simultaneously. *Metathesis*, or a double substitution reaction, is a well-known mechanism for chemical reactions during ball milling, here illustrated by a reaction in the system, YCl₃–LiBH₄ (1:3), which results in the formation of Y(BH₄)₃ and LiCl, according to Eq. 1 [10–14].

In more rare cases, addition reactions occur, and a pure product can be obtained, e.g. the synthesis of KZn(BH₄)Cl₂ (see Eq. 2) [15, 16].

KZn(BH₄)Cl₂ contains the first observation of a heteroleptic complex ion containing BH₄[−] as a ligand, i.e. [Zn(BH₄)Cl₂][−], where Zn coordinates to two chloride ions and two hydrogen atoms in η²–BH₄, Cl(Zn) = 4 [15]. This clearly demonstrates that mechanochemistry can induce complex chemical reactions involving bond breaking and bond formation [16]. The first example of a mixed metal borohydride was LiSc(BH₄)₄ [17]. The mechanochemical synthesis of other new borohydrides in the system ZnCl₂–MBH₄, e.g. NaZn₂(BH₄)₅, proceeds via more complex chemical reactions during ball milling—possibly a combination of metathesis and addition reactions [18, 19]. Surprisingly, these observations suggest that ball milling

may lead to a state of chemical equilibrium rather than just a statistical distribution of reactants as expected, e.g. for the mechanical alloying of metals.

Reaction scheme (1) also illustrates a general drawback of the mechanochemical approach involving metathesis reactions, namely contamination of products by ionic compounds, e.g. binary or ternary metal halides [19]. Furthermore, the presence of halides during the synthesis of metal borohydrides tends to hamper reversible hydrogen storage due to the formation of bimetallic halides during thermal decomposition.

A novel technique for reactive ball milling under a diborane atmosphere was developed, allowing solvent-free synthesis of borohydrides at room temperature, demonstrated by the synthesis of, e.g. LiBH_4 , $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{10}$, $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, $\text{MgB}_{12}\text{H}_{12}$ and $\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ [20–24]. Similarly, $\text{Y}(\text{BH}_4)_3$ was prepared in a reaction between YH_3 and B_2H_6 [25]. This new gas–solid mechanochemical synthesis method is based on the reaction of metal hydrides with diborane to form the corresponding borohydrides or higher boranes, which may facilitate preparation of a range of other metal borohydrides in the future. In a similar manner, amorphous $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ can also be prepared by ball milling MgB_2 in a hydrogen atmosphere [26].

An alternative approach is to combine solvent-based synthesis methods with mechanochemistry. Solvent-based methods can provide a solvent-free monometallic borohydride, often by using a metal borohydride solvate as an intermediate, such as $M(\text{BH}_4)_x$, $M = \text{Mg}, \text{Mn}, \text{Y}, \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}$ [27–31]. This approach has also led to the first tri-metallic borohydrides [32–34].

Synthesis of a series of solvent- and halide-free ammine rare-earth metal borohydrides $M(\text{BH}_4)_3 \cdot n\text{NH}_3$, $M = \text{Y}, \text{Gd}, \text{Dy}$; $n = 7, 6, 5, 4, 2$ and 1 and $\text{Mn}(\text{BH}_4)_2 \cdot n\text{NH}_3$, $n = 1, 2, 3$, and 6 , via a new approach has recently been conducted, combining mechanochemistry, solvent-based methods, solid–gas reactions and thermal treatment [35, 36].

2.1 Metal borohydride halides

Three classes of metal borohydride chlorides with fully ordered structures have been identified so far: the mono metallic borohydride halide $\text{Sr}(\text{BH}_4)\text{Cl}$ [37], bimetallic $\text{KZn}(\text{BH}_4)\text{Cl}_2$ [15] and rare earth containing $\text{LiM}(\text{BH}_4)_3\text{Cl}$, $M = \text{La}, \text{Ce}, \text{Pr}, \text{Nd}, \text{Gd}$, and Sm [38–42]. The latter has an interesting structure and contains isolated tetranuclear anionic clusters $[\text{M}_4\text{Cl}_4(\text{BH}_4)_{12}]^{4-}$ with a distorted cubane M_4Cl_4 core, counterbalanced by Li^+ cations. Mixed-cation mixed-anion borohydrides with disordered structures are also observed; for example, sodium yttrium borohydride has the ideal composition $\text{NaY}(\text{BH}_4)_2\text{Cl}_2$, with some Cl substitution into the BH_4 site resulting in $\text{NaY}(\text{BH}_4)_{1.79}\text{Cl}_{2.21}$ [43]. Hence, this structure can be considered as

partly ordered. In the Li–Yb–BH_4 system, two intermediate phases are observed without lithium: $\text{Yb}(\text{BH}_4)_{1.26}\text{Cl}_{0.74}$ with space group $P-4$ and isostructural to $\beta\text{-Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ and $\text{Yb}(\text{BH}_4)_{1.7}\text{Cl}_{0.3}$ with space group $Pbca$ and isostructural to $\gamma\text{-Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ [44]. Furthermore, substitution of BH_4^- with Cl^- can also take place in the novel metal borohydrides formed during mechanochemical synthesis; for example, Rietveld refinement suggests incorporation of ~ 42 mol% of Cl^- on one of the two BH_4^- sites in $\text{Al}_3\text{Li}_4(\text{BH}_4)_{13}$ [45].

2.2 Anion substitution in metal borohydrides

Anion substitution is a relatively new method for tailoring the properties of known metal borohydrides. Substitution of BH_4^- or H^- by anions with the same charge and comparable size may lead to the formation of solid solutions. Table 1 shows that the BH_4^- complex anion may be substituted with the heavier halides, Cl^- , Br^- or I^- , and that the H^- anion may be substituted with F^- .

Initially, anion substitution was observed by in situ SR-PXD during the heating of a sample of $\text{LiBH}_4\text{–LiCl}$ (LiCl 15 mol%) at $T > \sim 120$ °C [47]. A typical anion substitution reaction is described in reaction scheme 3; that is, one solid, here LiCl , is dissolved in another solid, LiBH_4 . Larger amounts of LiCl readily dissolve in solid hexagonal $h\text{-LiBH}_4$.

The hexagonal solid solution, e.g. $h\text{-Li}(\text{BH}_4)_{0.58}\text{Cl}_{0.42}$, obtained by thermal treatment, is stable upon cooling to RT ; however, slow segregation of LiCl occurs, and $o\text{-Li}(\text{BH}_4)_{0.91}\text{Cl}_{0.09}$ is obtained after several months [47–49]. Bromide substitution clearly stabilizes the hexagonal structure of LiBH_4 to RT as a solid solution with the composition $h\text{-Li}(\text{BH}_4)_{0.5}\text{Br}_{0.5}$ [50]. Solid solutions of $\text{Li}(\text{BH}_4)_{1-x}\text{I}_x$ have been obtained by reacting LiBH_4 with $\alpha\text{-LiI}$ [51, 52]. The substitution process can be induced either by heat treatment at $T \sim 245$ °C or by mechanochemical treatment, and the solid solutions of $\text{Li}(\text{BH}_4)_{1-x}\text{I}_x$ have a broader stability range compared to other halides (from RT to the melting at 330 °C) [51]. Dissolution of 10 mol% NaCl into NaBH_4 , forming $\text{Na}(\text{BH}_4)_{0.9}\text{Cl}_{0.1}$, takes place during relatively mild mechanochemical treatment, and a higher degree of dissolution of NaCl in NaBH_4 is obtained by heating (e.g. at 300 °C), which enables full solubility in the system

Table 1 Ionic radius of halide anions, the H^- and the BH_4^- anions [46]

Anion	F^-	H^-	Cl^-	Br^-	BH_4^-	I^-
Radius (Å)	1.33	1.40	1.81	1.96	2.05	2.20

$\text{NaBH}_4\text{--NaCl}$. Ball milling of NaBH_4 with NaCl results in the formation of a $\text{Na}(\text{BH}_4)_{1-x}\text{Cl}_x$ solid solution that is stable at room temperature with x in the whole range of 0 to 1 [53]. Cl substitution increases the stability [54–56]. Anion substitution in other systems has also been investigated, e.g. $\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2\text{--CaX}_2$ with $X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$ and I [57–59] and $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2\text{--MgX}_2$ ($X = \text{Cl}$ and Br) [60].

The smaller halide ion, F^- (1.33 Å), has a similar size compared to the hydride ion, H^- (1.40 Å), and their compounds share many chemical properties; for example, the ionic compounds are often found to be isostructural. This suggests that they may substitute for each other in both ionic and covalently bonded compounds. However, there is one significant difference, namely in electronegativity, which is 2.1 and 4.0 for hydrogen and fluorine, respectively. Therefore, fluorine substitution is expected to lead to significant thermodynamic destabilization. However, thermodynamic stabilization is also possible, as seen for NaMgH_2F [61]. The first complex hydride with fluorine substitution was prepared by hydrogenation of the system NaF--Al , which provided complex hydride $\text{Na}_3\text{AlH}_{6-x}\text{F}_x$ [62, 63]. Fluoride substitution in the BH_4^- complex anion, i.e. $\text{H}^- \rightarrow \text{F}^-$, is possible, e.g. the system $\text{NaBH}_4\text{--NaBF}_4$ provided $\text{NaBH}_{2.1}\text{F}_{1.9}$, observed in a narrow temperature range of 200–215 °C by SR-PXD [64]. Mechanochemical reactions between KBH_4 and KBF_4 result in the formation of $\text{KBH}_{2.8}\text{F}_{1.2}$ and $\text{KBH}_{1.8}\text{F}_{2.2}$, formed for the 3:1 and 1:1 mixtures, respectively [65]. Both of these phases, which are isostructural with KBH_4 , are stable at room temperature. The hydrogen release from $\text{KBH}_{2.8}\text{F}_{1.2}$ takes place 433 °C lower than it does in pure KBH_4 [63]. However, some of the obtained materials, specifically $\text{NaB}(\text{H}_{1-x}\text{F}_x)_4$, appear to have relatively low thermal stability, and the released hydrogen may be contaminated with significant amounts of boron fluorides and/or borane gases and may lead to the formation of significant amounts of $\text{M}_2\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}$ [64–67].

2.3 Eutectic melting complex hydrides

Two or more complex hydrides may react and form a new compound, $\text{MM}'(\text{BH}_4)_x$, a solid solution [68, 69] or in some cases enable eutectic melting [70]. The system $x\text{LiBH}_4 + (1-x)\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ undergoes eutectic melting with a minimum temperature at ca. 200 °C in a relatively wide composition range $0.6 < x < 0.8$ [71]. The mixtures of $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--NaBH}_4$, $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--KBH}_4$, $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Mn}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, $\text{NaBH}_4\text{--KBH}_4$ and $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--NaBH}_4\text{--KBH}_4$ all displayed melting behaviour below that of the monometallic phases (up to 167 °C lower) [70–74]. Generally, each system can behave differently with respect to their physical behaviours upon melting. The molten phases can exhibit a range of physical changes including colour changes, bubbling and in some

cases frothing, or even liquid–solid phase transitions during hydrogen release [70]. The mixture with the lowest melting point is $0.725\text{LiBH}_4\text{--}0.275\text{KBH}_4$, $T_m = 105$ °C [74].

2.4 Trends in structures

Interestingly, the crystal structures of the majority of novel metal borohydrides resemble those of various oxides, as illustrated by the structures of α/α' -, β - and γ - $\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, which are isomorphous to the TiO_2 polymorphs rutile, anatase and brookite, respectively [75]. Magnesium borohydrides are structurally similar to SiO_2 compounds; for example, γ - $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ is isomorphous to a theoretically predicted zeolite-type polymorph of SiO_2 , and δ - $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ has a structure consisting of two interpenetrated cristobalite-type $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ frameworks (i.e. polymorph of SiO_2) [28, 76]. The explanation for this structural analogy between the structures of borohydrides and those of oxides is possibly the fact that BH_4^- and O^{2-} are isoelectronic. Monometallic borohydrides of the least electronegative metals, e.g. Na, K, Rb and Cs, are often considered as ionic and have high melting points and stabilities. More electronegative metals may form molecular, covalent and volatile compounds, e.g. $\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3$, $\text{Zr}(\text{BH}_4)_4$ and $\text{Hf}(\text{BH}_4)_4$ [77–80]. Between these extremes, numerous metal borohydrides with framework structures, pronounced directionality in the bonding and clearly some degree of covalence are found, e.g. $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ and $\text{Mn}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ [27, 28]. Bi- and tri-metallic borohydrides often consist of complex metal borohydride anions counterbalanced by an alkali cation. In all the structures, the more electronegative metal constitutes the central atom in the complex anions by coordination to a number of borohydride units. In contrast, the majority of metal borohydride halides crystallize with structures similar to metal halides of the same metal and are often isostructural to high temperature polymorphs [68, 69].

2.5 New properties of metal borohydrides—towards multi-functionality

The new metal borohydrides discovered during the past decade reveal an extreme diversity in structure, composition and properties, with progress towards the rational design of new materials with tailored properties, as exemplified in the following.

A new series of mixed-cation, mixed-anion borohydride chlorides based on rare-earth elements, $\text{LiM}(\text{BH}_4)_3\text{Cl}$, $M = \text{La}, \text{Gd}$ and Ce , was discovered containing isolated tetranuclear anionic clusters of $[\text{Ce}_4\text{Cl}_4(\text{BH}_4)_{12}]^{4-}$ charge-balanced by Li^+ cations [38, 40, 41]. The Li^+ ions are disordered and occupy two-thirds of the available positions and are found to be a new series of fast Li-ion conductors for metal borohydrides, as was originally reported in *h*-

LiBH_4 [81] Structural investigation using theoretical DFT methods suggests that the structures are stabilized by higher entropy rather than lower energy [40]. Solid-state NMR spectroscopy reveals a “paddle wheel” mechanism for the ion conductivity [42]. Furthermore, anion substitution in lithium borohydride significantly improves the lithium ion conductivity for LiBH_4 because the hexagonal structure may be stabilized at RT [82, 83]. The high Li-ion conductivity of $h\text{-LiBH}_4\text{-LiX}$, $X = \text{Cl, Br, I}$, may also be due to the dynamics of the BH_4 unit in the solid structures [52, 84].

The polymorph $\gamma\text{-M(BH}_4)_2$, $M = \text{Mg or Mn}$, has a 3D network of interpenetrated channels with pore sizes in the range of 6–8 Å, i.e. $\sim 30\%$ “empty space,” and is the first metal hydrides with a large permanent porosity [27, 28]. These compounds may also reversibly adsorb smaller molecules, e.g. H_2 , N_2 or CH_2Cl_2 . Rietveld refinements revealed storage capacities of $\gamma\text{-Mg(BH}_4)_2\cdot 0.80\text{H}_2$ at $p(\text{H}_2) = 105$ bar, which corresponds to a total of 17.4 wt% H_2 [27, 28].

A series of 30 new perovskite, ABX_3 , metal borohydrides were recently discovered, built from, e.g. $A = \text{K}^+, \text{Rb}^+, \text{Cs}^+, \text{NH}_4^+, \text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3^+$; $B = \text{Li}^+, \text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{Ca}^{2+}, \text{Sr}^{2+}, \text{Sn}^{2+}, \text{Pb}^{2+}, \text{Mn}^{2+}, \text{Y}^{3+}, \text{Ce}^{3+}, \text{Eu}^{2+}, \text{Yb}^{2+}, \text{Gd}^{3+}$ and $X = \text{BH}_4^-, \text{Cl}^-, \text{Br}^-, \text{I}^-$ [85]. Structural dynamics on the anion site are systematically introduced to the perovskite structure type, and anion substitution, where BH_4^- is partially replaced by the heavier halides, Cl^- , Br^- or I^- , readily occurs, contributing to a vast structural flexibility and compositional diversity. These new compounds may, in addition to hydrogen storage properties, also have optical and magnetic properties.

The novel compound, ammonium borohydride perovskite $\text{NH}_4\text{Ca(BH}_4)_3$ ($\rho_m = 15.7$ wt% H_2), can be considered the first successful stabilization of the metastable compound NH_4BH_4 with a great hydrogen content of $\rho_m = 24.5$ wt% H_2 [86]. The tailoring of hydrogen storage properties by ammonia or amide has become an established approach [6, 35, 36, 87], but ammonium NH_4^+ has so far not been considered.

A wide range of novel rare-earth metal borohydrides have also been described recently, as presented in Table 2, which reveals new trends in crystal chemistry and properties. The thermal decomposition behaviours are also reported in this table [39] (Fig. 1).

3 Thermodynamics of complex hydrides

3.1 Thermodynamic quantities

In order to understand the stability of complex hydrides, a full knowledge of thermodynamics is necessary. So, the

Gibbs free energy (G) of the compounds has to be described as a function of temperature (T) and pressure (P). If a variable stoichiometry is observed in the complex hydride, the dependence from the composition (X) needs to be known. The temperature dependence can be reached if the specific heat at constant pressure (C_p) is determined. From that, the enthalpy (H) and entropy (S) can be easily calculated by integration, and, as a consequence, G can be obtained. In order to define the standard thermodynamic properties of the compound, C_p values should be available starting from a low temperature (close to 0 K) up to room temperature (300 K). Pressure dependence of G is usually rather limited for condensed phases (i.e. solid and liquid), and, for gaseous phases, it can be easily estimated from partial pressure values. Dealing with phase transformations and scaffold interaction in complex hydrides, surface energy and interfacial energy should be known as well, possibly as a function of T and P . In this case, energy values are affected by the environmental conditions, i.e. gas or solid phases in equilibrium with the complex hydride.

When a hydrogen sorption reaction is established, G has to be known for all involved reagents and products, and a clear description of the reaction paths is mandatory in order to define the relative stability of complex hydrides. So, the knowledge of the thermodynamic properties of complex hydrides is necessary for defining the relative stability of different phases and for understanding hydrogen sorption reactions. Finally, it is worth noting that thermodynamic properties also play a key role in driving the kinetics of hydrogen sorption reactions, e.g. defining the driving force for nucleation.

3.2 Experiments

Thermodynamic quantities for complex hydrides are generally obtained by experiments. Among them, techniques involving equilibrium between solid and gas phases are of primary importance. Van't Hoff plots are widely used to obtain values for H and S . Because these values are obtained from the relationship between equilibrium hydrogen pressure and temperature, the occurrence of an uncompleted sorption reaction can also be accepted in order to determine reliable data. It is to be stressed that the determination of thermodynamic properties via Van't Hoff plots needs a high level of accuracy and reproducibility of experimental data [88, 89]. As a consequence, measurements should be performed in a large temperature range, even if this approach forces H and S to be constant in the investigated experimental conditions. In addition, it is fundamental to reach real equilibrium conditions during the measurements, as evidenced by the occurrence of flat pressure plateaux. Knudsen's cell technique [90] has

Table 2 Overview of rare-earth borohydride crystal structures

	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu
LiRE(BH ₄) ₃ Cl	X	X	X	X		X		X							
α-RE(BH ₄) ₃						X		X	X	X		X	X	X	
β-RE(BH ₄) ₃						X						X		X	
LiRE(BH ₄) ₄														X	X
RE(BH ₄) ₃						X								X	

Fig. 1 Structure types and motives observed among different lanthanide borohydrides: **a** distorted RE³⁺Cl heterocubane; **b** distorted rhenium trioxide structure type; **c** regular rhenium trioxide structure type; **d** LiSc(BH₄)₄-related structure. Rare-earth atoms are *black*, chloride atoms are *green* and lithium atoms are *blue*, and BH₄ groups are shown as *red* polyhedra. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Note that the structure of β-RE(BH₄)₃ in **(c)** shows disordered BH₄ units (visualized by *red cubes*) when analysed by X-ray diffraction. The BH₄ groups appear fully ordered when viewed by neutron diffraction

seldom been used for the determination of thermodynamic properties in complex hydrides. As an example, the thermodynamic properties of Mg(BH₄)₂ have been recently obtained by this approach [91].

Calorimetry is the foremost technique to obtain thermodynamic information for complex hydrides. DSC is also widely used [92], thanks to recent developments of equipment, able to provide reliable data under a hydrogen pressure up to 200 bar. Calorimetric techniques are generally used to study phase transformations, allowing the determination of the temperature (T_{tr}), enthalpy (ΔH_{tr}) and,

from them, entropy (ΔS_{tr}) of phase transformations. From a simple integration of the calorimetric signal obtained in isothermal conditions, phase fraction can be determined as a function of time, allowing the determination of kinetic information (e.g. activation energy and pre-exponential factor). C_p measurements have been performed for complex hydrides by differential scanning calorimeter in various temperature ranges [93]. Recently, low T measurements of C_p have also been obtained by calorimetric techniques, allowing the determination of fundamental thermodynamic properties for various systems [87].

3.3 Modelling

An estimation of the energy of formation of a complex hydride can be obtained through ab initio modelling [94]. On the basis of the density functional theory (DFT), ab initio calculations of the ground state energy, energy gradient, electronic wave function and properties (electronic, vibrational, thermodynamic and elastic) of periodic systems can be obtained. In fact, ground state energy calculations provide basic insight into the structural stability and electronic structure modification of compounds. After suitable approximations, calculated values for the enthalpy of formation (ΔH_{for}) for complex hydrides can be obtained. As an example, the ab initio modelling of borohydrides has been recently carried out [34]. In order to perform ab initio calculations, the crystal structures of the complex hydride need to be known, even if strategies to predict them have been recently suggested [95].

A full picture of the thermodynamic properties of a system can be obtained by using the CALPHAD approach [96], where the analytical description of the temperature dependence of free energy, enthalpy, entropy and specific heat is given by parametric expressions. Parameters can be obtained with a least square procedure, starting from experimental values of existing phase diagrams and thermodynamic data. G functions as a function of T for solution phases are often described in terms of Redlich–Kister polynomials, whereas for compounds, they are described on the basis of an enthalpy and entropy of formation. The base of the CALPHAD method is the availability of thermochemical data related to the investigated systems. For some complex hydrides, they are collected from suitable thermodynamic databases [97]. In the absence of experimental information, the output of DFT calculations can be used. CALPHAD assessments of various hydride systems have been carried out in recent years [98]. The suggested approach, including a combination of CALPHAD and ab initio modelling, has been recently applied to complex hydrides. As an example, equilibrium pressure–temperature (P – T) phase diagrams for NaBH_4 [99], LiBH_4 [100] and $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ [101] are shown in Fig. 2. If, for kinetic reasons, stable phases cannot be formed during hydrogen desorption, metastable phase diagrams can be easily calculated in order to explain observed experimental results [99].

3.4 Use of thermodynamics for advanced strategies

Combinations of materials or reactions can be used to tune the overall thermodynamics of a given system to achieve more favourable products or reaction temperatures. For example, the addition of Si (or Ge, Sn) metal to LiH or MgH_2 results in the formation of relatively stable group IV

alloys upon dehydrogenation, effectively destabilizing the metal hydride and increasing the equilibrium hydrogen pressure at a given temperature [102, 103]. Similarly, adding MgH_2 to LiBH_4 lowers the dehydrogenation enthalpy of the borohydride through the formation of MgB_2 [104]. The thermodynamics of the H_2 release from ammonia borane can be modified by substitution of a proton on the nitrogen; for example, $\text{H-NH}_2\text{-BH}_3 + \text{MH} \rightarrow \text{MNH}_2\text{-BH}_3 + \text{H}_2$ decreases the reaction exothermicity from ca. -20 kJ/mol to ca. -4 kJ/mol [87, 105]. Alternatively, substitution of a methylene group on nitrogen, i.e. $\text{BH}_3\text{NH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{BH}_3$, resulted in a decrease in reaction enthalpy and a change in the mechanism of H_2 release that made the ammonia borane complex more stable at 80°C and less stable at 100°C [106]. An advantage of decreasing the reaction enthalpy of the H_2 release in the chemical hydrogen storage materials is to reduce the external cooling requirements to prevent thermal runaway.

Another advanced strategy is to use the heat generated in an exothermic reaction to drive a second endothermic reaction [107], an approach also employed in the autothermal reforming of hydrocarbons [108]. Wechsler et al. proposed a mixture of an exothermic and endothermic hydrogen storage material to make a single thermoneutral reaction. Cooper describes a process with two separate reactors that can be thermally coupled. The heat from an exothermic reaction is transferred to the dehydrogenation reactor to drive this endothermic process. This concept of coupling exothermic and endothermic H_2 release pathways could also be used to release more H_2 from the cyclic CBN systems described by Liu et al. [109, 110]. In the 1,2 BN cyclohexane compounds [111], thermal release of H_2 from the BN portion of the molecule is exothermic and may be coupled with the catalytic endothermic release of H_2 from the C atoms in the ring. In the scheme below, the exothermic reaction yields 4.7 wt% H_2 and a significant amount of heat, which can be used to drive hydrogen off the carbon backbone to yield an additional 4.7 wt% H_2 , for a total of >9 wt% H_2 (Fig. 3).

Work is in progress to determine the optimum balance of rates and enthalpies for coupling endothermic and exothermic reactions in the CBN materials [112].

4 Stability of borohydrides/alanates

The stability of complex hydrides, i.e. alanates and borohydrides, is given by the enthalpy and entropy difference between the hydride and the first stable desorption product, which is in most cases different from the pure elements. Therefore, we have to distinguish between the stability and the enthalpy of the formation of a specific complex hydride. While the enthalpy of the formation of a metallic

Fig. 2 P–T phase diagram for **a** NaBH₄ [99], **b** LiBH₄ [100] and **c** Mg(BH₄)₂ [101]. Lines calculated by the CALPHAD method (continuous: stable; dashed: metastable). Points experiments (see references for details)

Fig. 3 First step of H₂ release from NH₂–BH₂ is exothermic; second step of H₂ release from CH₂CH₂ is endothermic. Using the heat released in step 1 to warm the reactor will require less external addition of heat, enhancing the overall efficiency of H₂ release

hydride is determined by the local electron density on the interstitial site where hydrogen is hosted [113, 114] and the metal structure undergoes only minor changes upon hydrogen absorption, a complex hydride is not an intercalation compound and forms multiple phases upon hydrogen desorption. The stability of an [AlH₄][−] or a

[BH₄][−] is determined by the localization of the electron on the Al and B [115, 116]. The enthalpy of formation of a series of borohydrides was computed by DFT calculation, and a linear correlation between the enthalpy of formation and the electronegativity of the cation-forming element was found [117]:

$$\Delta H[\text{kJ/mol BH}_4] = 247.4 \cdot EN - 421.2,$$

where EN is the Pauling electronegativity of M in $M(\text{BH}_4)_x$. A similar equation can be derived for alanes:

$$\Delta H[\text{kJ/mol AlH}_4] = 308 \cdot EN - 411.$$

Therefore, by applying the Pauling electronegativity of B (2.04) and Al (1.61), a general equation for the enthalpy of formation is derived (Fig. 4):

$$\Delta H[\text{kJ/mol ZH}_4] = 143 \cdot EN(\text{B, Al}) \cdot EN - 224 \cdot EN(\text{B, Al}).$$

Upon hydrogen desorption, the alanes and borohydrides form either an elemental hydride from the cation and hydrogen or the element in case the elemental hydride is not stable at the desorbing conditions. The use of additives and catalysts might change the described picture, resulting in the formation of a transient species [122, 123]. The main difference between the alanes and borohydrides is the formation of the hexahydride in the case of the alanes (this does not happen for borohydrides [124]). This introduces an additional level (intermediate) during the desorption reaction and, therefore, divides the desorption enthalpy into two parts. The general relationship between the enthalpy of formation and the enthalpy of desorption is $\Delta H_f^0 = \Delta H_m + \Delta H_{\text{des}} + \Delta H_{\text{HM}}$, where ΔH_{des} has two parts ($\Delta H_{\text{des}} = \Delta H_{\text{des}}^1 + \Delta H_{\text{des}}^2$) in the case of the formation of the hexahydride. Of course, if the desorption occurs from the solid, the melting enthalpy is zero in the equation. ΔH_{HM} is the enthalpy of formation of the elemental hydride and is given by the Pauling equation $\Delta H_{\text{HM}}[\text{kJ/mol}] = \Delta H_{\text{MM}}[\text{kJ/mol}] + 194 (EN_{\text{M}} - EN_{\text{H}})^2$. If no elemental hydride is formed, ΔH_{HM} is zero in the equation. The melting enthalpy is in the order of $\Delta H_m = 7 \text{ kJ/mol}$ [125]. The entropy change in the hydrogen desorption from

a complex borohydride is $\Delta S = \Delta S(\text{H}_2) + \Delta S(\text{MH}) + \Delta S(\text{B}) - \Delta S(\text{MBH}_4)$ and is larger than the standard entropy of hydrogen ($S^0 = 130 \text{ J/mol K}$), because $\Delta S(\text{MH}) + \Delta S(\text{B}) > \Delta S(\text{MBH}_4)$.

5 Nanoconfined complex metal hydrides

Nanosizing and scaffolding have emerged as an important strategy to control the kinetics, reversibility and equilibrium pressure for hydrogen storage in light metal hydride systems. For a detailed background, the reader is referred to some excellent reviews that have appeared recently [126–130]. In this section, we discuss a few highlights from the past 5 years (2010–2015).

5.1 Strategies to prepare nanoconfined materials

Complex metal hydrides can be confined into a matrix by *solution impregnation* (for instance, using NaAlH_4 in tetrahydrofuran) followed by heat treatment to remove the solvent [131]. Alternatively, *melt infiltration* can be applied for complex metal hydrides with low melting points (e.g. NaAlH_4 181 °C and LiBH_4 280 °C) [132, 133], despite a predicted weak interaction with carbon scaffolds [134]. Pore-confined reaction has recently been developed as an interesting alternative. One of the earliest reports is on Mg_2NiH_4 , formed by the deposition of Mg into the pores of a carbon scaffold that already contained Ni nanoparticles [135]. The resulting $\sim 4 \text{ nm}$ Mg_2Ni and Mg_2NiH_4 nanoparticles were very stable upon cycling, with sorption being achieved within 5 min at 210 °C [136]. Magnesium boron hydrides were prepared by the pre-deposition of Mg nanoparticles, followed by an exclusively pore-confined reaction (because of the high reactivity of the pore-confined nanoparticles) with diborane [137, 138]. Nanoconfined $\text{MgB}_{12}\text{H}_{12}$ was the major phase, but $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ and a range of other magnesium boron hydrides can also be formed by the addition of Ni and by tuning the synthesis conditions [138].

In addition, two or more hydrides can be co-confined. For instance, a carbon aerogel-containing MgH_2 nanoparticles was subsequently melt infiltrated with LiBH_4 [139]. Simultaneous desorption of hydrogen and formation of MgB_2 was observed, but only if a hydrogen back pressure of 5 bar was applied [140]. LiBH_4 and $\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ from a eutectic mixture, melting at 200 °C. Infiltration into mesoporous carbon materials has been achieved, and hydrogen was released at temperatures clearly lower than for any of the separate complex metal hydrides (Fig. 5) [141, 142]. Other recently reported combinations include Mg_2NiH_4 – LiBH_4 [143], LiBH_4 – MgH_2 – TiCl_4 [144] and LiBH_4 – LiAlH_4 [145]. In all cases, hydrogen release

Fig. 4 Enthalpy of formation for lithium [118, 119], sodium [120] and potassium [118, 121] alanes (red) and borohydrides (blue)

Fig. 5 *Left frame* scanning electron micrograph of a carbon aerogel, a typical host material; *right frame* hydrogen desorption, cycles 1–3 for $0.7\text{LiBH}_4\text{--}0.3\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ infiltrated in carbon aerogel [142]

temperatures are lowered significantly, but the nanoconfinement is not sufficient to fully prevent capacity fading upon cycling.

Characterization of the nanoconfined complex metal hydrides is a challenge; they usually lack long-range crystallinity (hence invalidating diffraction techniques), the carbon matrix is unfavourable for optical spectroscopy, and electron microscopy is only possible in exceptional cases [146]. Small angle scattering can provide information on the particle size distribution as well as on the roughness of the particles and scaffolds [147, 148]. Solid-state NMR cannot serve to give only quantitative information of the phases present in the pores, but also valuable information on atomic mobilities [149–151]. Also, quasi-elastic neutron scattering is increasingly used to characterize dynamics in nanoconfined LiBH_4 and NaAlH_4 [152, 153].

5.2 Combining nanoconfinement with catalysis

Porous carbon materials are also in wide use as catalyst supports, and hence, a logical idea was to explore a combination of catalyst nanoparticles with nanoconfined metal hydrides, achieving an intimate contact between the two. An early example is a Ni@C catalyst melt infiltrated with LiBH_4 . Figure 6 shows the synergistic effect of nanoconfinement and catalyst addition, with a 100–150 °C decrease in dehydrogenation temperature compared to bulk LiBH_4 , and a rapid rehydrogenation under mild conditions (320 °C and 40 bar H_2) [154]. A more detailed mechanistic study that it is not just the Ni acting as a catalyst for hydrogen association and dissociation: a nanoparticulate nickel boride phase is formed, which reversibly changes composition upon cycling and probably acts as a nucleus for phase transformations [155]. Another demonstration of the synergistic effects was the combination of a TiCl_3 -based

Fig. 6 Hydrogen absorption at 320 °C under 40 bar H_2 after H_2 desorption from macrocrystalline LiBH_4 mixed with graphite, nanoconfined LiBH_4 and nanoconfined LiBH_4 with a Ni catalyst added. Details in Ref [154]

catalyst with nanoconfined NaAlH_4 . This nanocomposite showed significantly improved hydrogen desorption kinetics compared to either nanoconfined NaAlH_4 alone or bulk NaAlH_4 ball milled with TiCl_3 [156]. An interesting recent approach is also combining metal hydrides with hydrogen gas-selective polymers, which might potentially protect against oxidation [157, 158].

5.3 Impact of confinement on hydrogen release and reversibility

Macrocrystalline complex metal hydrides such as NaAlH_4 and LiBH_4 typically only decompose and significantly release hydrogen above their melting point. Nanoconfinement leads

to hydrogen release at temperatures well below the melting point, as well as increased cycleability [132, 150, 159–164]. For instance, low loadings of distinct supported NaAlH_4 nanoparticles on carbon nanofibres, prepared by solution impregnation, release some hydrogen at room temperature, even without a Ti-based catalyst [131]. High loadings of NaAlH_4 , filling the host pores almost completely, result in a very good reversibility (>80–90 %) under relatively mild conditions without a catalyst [160, 161], but higher hydrogen release temperatures do not strongly correlate with pore size [160]. It has been postulated that this could be related to a dominating “catalytic” influence of the carbon [165, 166, 170]. However, probably more important is that not only the kinetics but also the phase equilibria are strongly influenced by nanoconfinement, as discussed in the next section.

Insight into the cycling of nanoconfined NaAlH_4 is slowly emerging. Upon decomposition of NaAlH_4 , large Al crystallites are observed, which are expelled from the nanopores [166, 167]. This is not unexpected, as Al has a much larger surface energy than the NaAlH_4 and does not wet the carbon matrix well. Nevertheless, upon rehydrogenation, the larger Al crystallites on the outside disappear, and nanoconfined NaAlH_4 can be reformed [174]. As it is unlikely that the crystalline Al (with a melting point of 660 °C) is very mobile, probably Na species as well as NaAlH_4 are mobile on the carbon surface, which allows for the reformation of nanoconfined NaAlH_4 . For LiBH_4 , it has been reported that nanoconfinement changes the decomposition pathway and limits the emission of undesired decomposition products such as diborane [163, 164].

5.4 Insight into changes in phase equilibria

Nanoconfinement decreases the thermodynamic stability of complex metal hydrides due to the non-negligible contribution of the interface energy for small particles [150, 168]. However, equilibrium decomposition temperatures depend on the energy *difference* between hydrides and decomposition products, and hence on their interface energies. For MgH_2 , a decrease in stability is expected with nanosizing, as the surface energy of MgH_2 is higher than that of Mg [169]. However, for complex hydrides like LiBH_4 and NaAlH_4 , the reverse is expected, as their specific surface energies are much lower than those of their decomposition products. Hence, nanosizing complex metal hydrides will generally lead to an effective *stabilization* of the hydride phase, hence higher equilibrium decomposition temperatures than for macrocrystalline samples, as has been experimentally confirmed for NaAlH_4 [153, 170]. However, in some cases, specific interactions with the carbon host are observed, which shift the phase equilibria in the desired direction. Reversible intercalation of Li or

Na into the carbon can occur, stabilizing the metal phase and hence lowering the equilibrium decomposition temperature, for instance, that of NaH under 1 bar H_2 pressure from 425 to 225 °C [154, 171]. Also, lithium- and Na-doped fullerenes are capable of reversibly storing 5 wt% hydrogen [172, 173].

6 Mechanism of hydrogen sorption in alanates and borohydrides

Since Bogdanovic et al. [174] presented the first experimentally determined isotherms for the hydrogen desorption and absorption in Ti-catalysed sodium alanate (NaAlH_4) at the Metal Hydrogen Conference in 1996, the role of the Ti-catalyst and the hydrogen sorption mechanism of alanates has been intensively investigated. NaAlH_4 desorbs hydrogen in three distinct steps, where Na_3AlH_6 and NaH are intermediate products of the partially dehydrogenated alanate in the process (Fig. 7):

which can also be written as follows, since AlH_3 is rapidly dissociated:

Adding up to 4 mol% of TiCl_3 to the NaAlH_4 reduces the activation energies from 118 and 124 to 80 and 96 kJ/mol H_2 , respectively [175], and the isotherm of the reabsorption of hydrogen follows the desorption isotherm with small hysteresis [174, 175, 177]. The role of the Ti as a catalyst is to increase the reaction rate for the hydrogen desorption and absorption reaction, i.e. to allow the reaction to equilibrate in the time frame of the experiment [178]. The hydrogen desorption reaction rate increases linearly as a function of the TiCl_3 that is added, up to 5 mol%; for larger quantities, the reaction rate does not increase anymore [176]. Despite years of intense investigations of a large variety of catalytic materials added to alanates, the mechanism of the catalysed reactions including the role of the catalyst remained until recently unresolved.

Quite a number of possible mechanisms for the hydrogen sorption in MAlH_4 have been proposed [6, 179]. However, all these approaches suffer from the fact that Ti catalyses both hydrogen desorption steps as well as the adsorption of hydrogen. Based on purely thermodynamic considerations, a completely symmetrical mechanism can be drawn: Ti represents a bridging atom for the transfer of the H^- and M^+ from one $[\text{AlH}_4]^-$ to the neighbouring one at the (de)hydrogenation steps (Fig. 6). On an atomistic level, the catalyst acts as a bridge to transfer the (MH) or

Fig. 7 Schematic representation of the enthalpy for the alanates a) and borohydrides formation and hydrogen desorption. While alanates show the hexahydride as intermediate, the borohydrides directly go to the elemental hydride. ΔH_f^0 is the enthalpy of formation, ΔH_m is the melting enthalpy, ΔH_{des} is the enthalpy of the hydrogen desorption, and ΔH_{HM} is the enthalpy of the elemental hydride

M^+ and H^- from one $NaAlH_4^-$ to the neighbouring one to form AlH_6^{3-} and finally to form MH . This mechanism is symmetrical, and the role of the catalyst is to build a transition state M^+-Ti-H^- [180] (Fig. 8).

Several studies [182–184] report catalytic activity of additives in $LiBH_4$; however, the result of doping $LiBH_4$ with $TiCl_3$ is not the formation of a totally reversible hydrogen storage system [185]. Recently, the formation of a volatile $Ti(BH_4)_3$ on the surface of the sample during the metathesis $TiCl_3 + LiBH_4$ [186, 187] was investigated to better understand the role of the $TiCl_3$ addition [188]. The metathesis produces $Ti(BH_4)_3$ and $LiCl$. Gaseous $Ti(BH_4)_3$ is formed on the surface of the sample and emitted. It decomposes into TiH_2 , diborane, boron and hydrogen. Titanium borohydride desorbs from the sample, depleting the element that is responsible for reversibility in the alanate systems. Rehydrogenation has been attempted. However, these experiments did not show the formation of $Ti(BH_4)_3$ [189]. The solid residue of the $TiCl_3 + LiBH_4$ decomposition reaction is Ti-depleted and cannot reabsorb hydrogen. The active centres during the decomposition reaction of $TiCl_3 + LiBH_4$ are identified as Ti-containing species. $Ti(BH_4)_3$ is extremely reactive, but it is the volatile product of a complete metathesis reaction. Although we cannot exclude the catalytic effect of Ti nanoimpurities in the residue sample, the measurements show that neither $Ti(BH_4)_3$ nor $TiCl_3$ have a catalytic effect on $LiBH_4$. The formation of $Ti(BH_4)_3$ through metathesis is the fundamental difference between alanates and borohydrides (Fig. 9).

For $TiCl_3 + NaAlH_4$, no experimental evidence has been found for the formation of an analogous Ti-containing intermediate, such as $Ti(AlH_4)_4$. Even if such an

Fig. 8 Mechanism of the hydrogen desorption from $NaAlH_4$. First two neighbouring $NaAlH_4$ transfer a NaH to the central $NaAlH_4$, which then becomes a Na_3AlH_6 , leaving two AlH_3 . The role of the catalyst is to build a bridge for the NaH , i.e. to allow the formation of a transition state where the charges are not completely separated Na^+-Ti-H^- [181]

intermediate did exist, it would only be an intermediate step of the reaction, extremely unstable and immediately decomposed into solid components. Therefore, the elements Al, Na and Ti stay in the solid phase, and hydrogen is the only emitted species during the dehydrogenation reaction. In the case of $TiCl_3 + LiBH_4$, the formation of volatile $Ti(BH_4)_3$ has no impact on the dehydrogenation kinetics of $LiBH_4$; it is not a catalyst. Because of the volatility of $Ti(BH_4)_3$, the $TiCl_3 + LiBH_4$ system becomes Ti-depleted after the first desorption, preventing, under these conditions, the reversibility of the system. Creating a

Fig. 9 Hydrogen desorption mechanism for LiBH_4 . First, the elemental hydride LiH is formed by transferring an H^- from BH_4^- to the Li^+ , leaving a BH_3 . The BH_3 at the surface has a high mobility and may either find another one to form B_2H_6 or release hydrogen

reversible hydrogen storage system based on borohydrides cannot simply be achieved with Ti additives because a metathesis reaction takes place that consumes the additive. On the contrary, a clear catalytic effect is the reason for the enhancement of hydrogen sorption kinetics in alanates, whose mechanisms have been explained above.

Peculiar for the borohydrides, the emission of diborane has also been intensively investigated. The emission of this gas is related to the thermodynamic stability of the borohydrides themselves. Borohydrides whose cations are highly electronegative (roughly $EN > 1.3$) release a significant amount of diborane during decomposition [17, 190], while the more stable ones, whose cations are less electronegative ($EN < 1.3$), emit diborane at the impurity level only [21] and above 200 °C. This provides a reliable way of predicting and tuning the properties of this class of materials for hydrogen storage [191].

7 Reactive hydride composites

As shown by Reilly and Wiswall [192], the total reaction enthalpy as well as the desorption temperature of metal and complex hydrides can be lowered by adding a reactant that reacts reversibly or irreversibly with an element or several elements of the hydride to form a stable compound. One such reversible system consisting of a complex hydride and such a reactant is [193]

LiBH_4 is highly interesting as hydrogen storage material because of its high gravimetric storage density of 18 wt%. Aluminium is a low-cost potential destabilizing agent for LiBH_4 , yielding a theoretical 8.6 wt% of hydrogen for a 2:1 (LiBH_4/Al) molar ratio.

However, measured values are lower. For example, Kang et al. [194] showed a ball-milled 2:1 ratio forming AlB_2 and LiH upon dehydrogenation at 450 °C and releasing 7.2 wt % of hydrogen after 3 h. Rehydrogenation to 5.1 wt % was only achieved at 400 °C under 100 bar of H_2 . The predicted T (1 bar) of 188 °C [197] is not observed due to uncertainty in the enthalpy of formation for AlB_2 . For example, Friedrichs et al. [195] estimated that T (1 bar) would be reached at a much higher temperature of about 335 °C.

Another approach is to use LiAlH_4 [196, 197] or NaAlH_4 [198] as a source of Al in order to produce highly dispersed, oxide-free Al through the in situ decomposition of LiAlH_4 or NaAlH_4 . The Al dispersion may be improved by decomposing the more brittle alanate during milling, rather than trying to mill ductile Al. TiCl_3 is observed to be a more suitable catalyst precursor than TiF_3 , as no hexa-alanate phase was identified [199, 200]. Using this approach, Meggough et al. [197] showed an onset decomposition temperature for LiBH_4 starting at around 290 °C compared to 380 °C for pure LiBH_4 . The enthalpy

of dehydrogenation was $38.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} (\text{H}_2)$, and, depending on the entropy of the destabilization reaction, a range of 240–300 °C for T (1 bar).

Unfortunately, these systems have a significant loss in capacity upon cycling, depending on the catalysts as well as the preparation method used [185, 194, 195, 197]. This may be diffusion related, as LiH, AlB_2 and B are physically apart and unable to reform into LiBH_4 and Al, or there may be a formation of a boride shell on the surface of the Al particles, preventing the complete reaction of Al and B into AlB_2 [197], as seen in Fig. 10. This has advantages, in that it prevents available Al with LiH from forming LiAl above 400 °C, which would also lead to a lowering of the reversible capacity. Therefore, it may be possible to improve the cycling ability of the system by optimizing the Al dispersion further so that the Al clusters are small enough to completely react, forming AlB_2 with no core.

A detailed investigation of the decomposition reactions and decay in the hydrogen storage capacity during repeated hydrogen release and uptake up to ten cycles for the reactive composite $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Al}$ (2:3) is conducted with and without the additive titanium boride, TiB_2 [201, 202]. The decomposition of $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Al}$ results in the formation of LiAl, AlB_2 and $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}$ via several reactions and intermediate compounds. The TiB_2 additive appears to have a limited effect on the decomposition pathway of the samples, but seems to facilitate formation of intermediate species at lower temperatures compared to the sample without any additives. Solid solutions of $\text{Li}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{B}_2$ or

$\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{B}_2$ are observed during decomposition, and from Rietveld refinement, the composition of the solid solution is estimated to be $\text{Li}_{0.22}\text{Al}_{0.78}\text{B}_2$. The intercalation of Li in the AlB_2 structure is further investigated by the B [14] and Al MAS NMR [30] spectra of the LiH--AlB_2 and AlB_2 samples. Hydrogen release and uptake for $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Al}$ reveal a significant loss in the hydrogen storage capacity; that is, after four cycles a capacity of about 45 % remains, and after ten cycles the capacity is degraded to approximately 15 % of the theoretically available hydrogen content. This capacity loss may be due to the formation of $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}$ as observed by B MAS NMR [14] and Raman spectroscopy [202].

The structural evolution of a 1:1 molar ratio $\text{LiBH}_4\text{:--LiAlH}_4$ system [203] showed a multi-step reaction with a total weight loss of 6.8 wt % of H_2 at 430 °C. An in situ SR-PXD experiment showed the onset decomposition temperature of molten LiBH_4 as being 50 °C less than that of pure LiBH_4 . In the range 328–380 °C, the molten LiBH_4 reacts with Al particles, forming an unidentified phase of Li–B–Al–H atoms identified by MAS NMR measurements.

To keep or even increase the high storage capacity of lightweight hydrides, however, it is necessary to use as a reactant another hydride or several other hydrides. While such systems, which are called reactive hydride composites [204], show rather complex reaction pathways, they exhibit extremely high reversible storage capacities under more or less moderate conditions.

Many such systems are mixtures of a complex and a metal hydride.

Fig. 10 Schematic representation of the formation of an AlB_2 shell around an Al particle, preventing the formation of LiAl and the reformation of LiBH_4

One very well-known and intensively studied system is the $2\text{LiBH}_4\text{--MgH}_2$ system [102, 205]. It shows a reversible hydrogen storage capacity in the range of 8–11 wt% and rather stable cycling properties [206]. Due to the lowered amount of reaction enthalpy, which accounts to 40–50 kJ/mol H_2 [102, 207] during hydrogenation, much less heat is produced if compared with both LiBH_4 and MgH_2 , and dehydrogenation is possible at temperature and pressure conditions that are not suitable for dehydrogenation of the single LiBH_4 [208, 209]. Nevertheless, due to the high activation barrier for desorption, the dehydrogenation temperature is above 350 °C for bulk material and above 250 °C for the composite in nanoscaffolds. The absorption and desorption properties can be improved by the addition of some mol% of transition metal compounds, which in many cases form very small boride nanoparticles during the ball milling and/or heating processes [210]. These boride nanoparticles very likely act as heterogeneous nucleation sites for the MgB_2 phase during desorption and therefore lead to a much finer phase distribution in the system and much better kinetics and cycling stability [211].

In the ideal case of the so-called “mutually destabilized” reactive hydride composites, however, both the components of the reactive hydride composites destabilize each other at the same time. In this case, the dehydrogenation temperature of the composite should be lower than that of the less stable component. $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--CaH}_2$ [212–214], $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--CeH}_{2+x}$ [215, 216], $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--YH}_3$ [217] and the $\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{--LiH}$ [218] systems are known examples of these mutually destabilized RHCs. The main thermodynamic driving force to decrease the dehydrogenation temperature of them is the formation of more stable dehydrogenation products such as metal borides (CaB_6 , CeB_6 and YB_4) or mixed imide ($\text{Li}_2\text{Mg}(\text{NH})_2$).

The first discovered reactive hydride composites are amide–hydride systems [219], among which the $\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{--2LiH}$ composite possesses some very attractive features, e.g. ca. 5.5 wt% hydrogen content [218, 220–222] ~ 40 kJ $(\text{molH}_2)^{-1}$ heat of desorption, theoretically allowing the release of 0.1 MPa hydrogen at ca. 353 K and good reversibility. The research efforts in the past few years have focused on kinetic and thermodynamic improvements to this composite through the introduction of effective additives. As shown in Fig. 2, the addition of 1.5 mol% triphenyl phosphate (TPP) leads to a ca. 15 K decrease in the hydrogen desorption peak temperature, which was ascribed to the TPP being able to prevent the material from aggregation/crystallization during the cycling tests [223]. Mixing 3 mol% KH with the material results in an even more pronounced kinetic improvement, i.e. a ca. 50 K peak temperature drop [224, 225]. Information derived from designed experiments and spectroscopic characterization indicates that KH actively

participates in dehydrogenation by interacting with $\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_2$ and the intermediate LiNH_2 , forming $\text{K}_2\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_4$ and $\text{Li}_3\text{K}(\text{NH}_2)_4$. Those K-containing species likely transform circularly in the dehydrogenation [$\text{KH} \leftrightarrow \text{K}_2\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_4 \leftrightarrow \text{KLi}_3(\text{NH}_2)_4$] that creates a more energy favourable pathway. The necessity for further kinetic enhancement, however, depends on the improvement of the thermodynamic properties of this composite to allow 0.1 MPa equilibrium desorption pressure at lower temperatures, especially in the ambient temperature range. Hu et al. [226] reported that the addition of 10 mol% LiBH_4 to the $\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{--2LiH}$ composite reduced the heat of dehydrogenation from 39 to 36.5 kJ $(\text{molH}_2)^{-1}$. The addition of a few molar per cent of LiBr also leads to a reduced heat of hydrogen desorption [227]. More recently, a strategy in improving the thermodynamic properties by forming a more stable intermediate has been developed. By introducing stoichiometric amounts of LiI, LiBr or LiBH_4 to the composite, hydrogen release at 0.1 MPa equilibrium pressure is thermodynamically allowed at 333, 320 or 337 K, respectively, which are tens of degrees Celsius lower than the neat composite [228] (see Fig. 11). Although the efforts above are encouraging in bringing this composite material a step closer to the practical application, the gap between thermodynamic and kinetic temperatures is still a pending subject for further investigation.

Another example for RHCs is the $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ system, where both the components are high-capacity complex hydrides and the theoretical maximum reversible hydrogen storage capacity is 12.8 wt%, which is the highest capacity among known RHC systems [71, 229].

Other examples of mutual destabilization systems containing two different complex metal hydrides may include the $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Y}(\text{BH}_4)_3$ [230] and $\text{LiBH}_4\text{--Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ systems [72]. However, it is still not clear whether there is clear

Fig. 11 Hydrogen desorption property of the $\text{Mg}(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{--2LiH}$ composite optimized with different additives

evidence supporting the direct destabilizing reaction between LiBH_4 and the other metal borohydrides, as they can also form stable metal borides by themselves without any reaction with LiBH_4 .

There are a number of issues to be addressed on the RHCs, including the mutually destabilizing systems. The first and the most complicated one is the dehydrogenation reaction path under the given thermodynamic conditions. We tend to describe only the overall reaction, which, in most cases, is too simplified to represent the actual reaction occurring. Quite often, more than two closely competing dehydrogenation reactions can proceed almost simultaneously, particularly when the thermodynamic conditions under which the dehydrogenation reaction being undergone is continuously changing. The observation of different types of closo-boranes during or after the dehydrogenation reaction of the RHCs, including borohydrides, is a good example. These mostly amorphous higher borane by-products are quite stable thermodynamically, and they seem to be more difficult to rehydrogenate than the competing metal borides. Another issue is the influence of the hydrogen back/partial pressure on the formation of the dodecaboranes instead of metal borides [231–233]. When the dehydrogenation reaction occurs below a critical hydrogen partial pressure at a given temperature, it tends to form dodecaborane, which seems not to readily react with the metal hydrides to form metal borides. All these factors not only change the dehydrogenation reaction paths but also significantly control the degree of reversibility and cycle properties. Without a clear understanding of the role of these thermodynamic parameters in both the dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation reactions, it would be very difficult to control the reactions to increase the reversible storage capacity as well as the cycle performance for practical applications. Other issues include the seemingly inherent slow reaction kinetics between different phases in the composites. However, this problem can be dealt with by adopting effective catalytic additives together with microstructure control methods such as nanostructuring or nanoconfinement [229].

Although the dehydrogenation temperatures of the RHCs are lower than those of the individual hydrides, a further decrease in dehydrogenation temperature down to around 100 °C has not yet been experimentally observed, even though there are a couple of RHCs with predicted or extrapolated values of the equilibrium dehydrogenation temperatures below 100 °C [193, 234]. One possible way to realize this challenging goal is to combine high-capacity (complex) metal hydrides with a third element/compound that may promote the formation of even more stable dehydrogenation products with a reaction enthalpy preferably below 35 kJ/molH₂.

8 Liquid hydrides

Liquid hydrides have long been considered for vehicular applications given the practicality of transport within the existing infrastructure [235, 236]. An early approach utilized in Chrysler's "Natrium" relied on the exothermic release of H₂ from aqueous solutions of NaBH_4 . While this approach did not require additional heat to release H₂, it does face three significant challenges that limit practical application: (1) aqueous solutions of NaBH_4 are not thermally stable and require caustic stabilizers; (2) the hydrolysis products are less soluble than NaBH_4 and precipitate in the reactor; and (3) regeneration of NaBH_4 requires costly off-board chemical reduction. Work on the development of more stable borohydrides followed; for example, Xu [237] investigated the properties of aqueous ammonia borane and found this to be significantly more stable than NaBH_4 . Another material with greater stability is NaB_3H_8 , synthesized and investigated by Shore [238]. Schubert [239] noted that the NaB_3H_8 provided the added advantage of greater solubility of both the starting material and the products, in part due to the formation of a mixture of products upon H₂ release.

Organic liquids, as opposed to aqueous solutions, have also been extensively investigated as liquid hydrogen carriers. Computational screening studies of cyclic endothermic hydrides showed that inclusion of heteroatoms, e.g. N or O, promotes hydrogen release at significantly lower temperatures [240]. Liu [241] incorporated an aminoborane function into a cyclic hydrocarbon to produce C–B–N compounds with significantly enhanced thermal stability. The liquid CBN materials could also be used to dissolve hydrogen-rich materials such as ammonia borane to provide an even higher density of hydrogen. This approach had two additional advantages: faster rates of H₂ release and the formation of eutectic product mixtures that reduce the melting point to provide a greater temperature range where the materials remain liquid [242].

The challenges still facing liquid carriers include efficient regeneration, development of heterogeneous catalysts and minimizing the gas/solid/liquid interface when large quantities of H₂ are released. Jensen [243] has initiated an approach using homogeneous catalysts to circumvent this latter issue. The catalysts under consideration are sufficiently active and low cost to enable H₂ release at moderate temperatures to provide the optimum onboard efficiency.

Liquid compounds based on metal borohydrides have also been developed. For the *p*-element complex hydrides, the existence of the liquid aluminium tetrahydroborate $\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3$ is known. This compound is very difficult to handle (instable and explosive in contact with air);

however, other p-element complex hydrides are possibly liquid at room temperature. Very little experimental information about the physical properties (especially thermodynamic properties, e.g. stability) is available in the literature for the complex hydrides [239, 244]. Only a few compounds benefiting from their large production are used in chemical processes and have been investigated in more detail (e.g. LiBH_4 , NaBH_4). Empirical relationships between the decomposition/melting temperature and the electronegativity of the constituting ions have been developed. These empirical relationships are useful tools for deducing properties of complex hydrides that are not fully characterized and have allowed for identification of potentially liquid hydrides among the p-element complex hydrides (e.g. tetrahydroborates of Be, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Zn or tetrahydroalanes of Mg or Y). These elements need to now be synthesized and subsequently characterized in order to confirm their potential for hydrogen storage. Three somehow new families of hydrides potentially interesting for hydrogen storage, the alkyl complex hydride, the triple complex hydrides and the hydrido tetrahydroborates, have been identified. Alkyl complex hydrides [245] such as $\text{MeAl}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, $\text{GaMe}_2(\text{BH}_4)$, LiBHEt_3 and $\text{NaAlH}_2(\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3)_2$ are compounds that are liquid or viscous at ambient conditions, with a very high gravimetric density in the case of $\text{MeAl}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ (11.2 mass % without considering the hydrogen of the methyl Me). Triple complex hydrides [246] such as $\text{LiAlH}_4\text{BH}_3$, $\text{LiAlH}_4(\text{BH}_3)_2$ and $\text{LiGaH}_4(\text{BH}_3)_4$ have low decomposition temperatures (in the range of 60 to 200 °C) and very high gravimetric densities (in the range of 10.6 to 15.4 mass%). Triple complex hydrides are in fact double complex hydrides stabilized by adding a third compound or atom group.

The unstable and difficult to handle $\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3$ is stabilized by the addition of lithium chloride, creating $\text{LiAlH}_3\text{Cl}(\text{BH}_3)_3$, which is easy to handle. $\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3$ contained in the triple complex hydride can be desorbed (with an efficiency of 98 %) by heating over 100 °C (subsequently, the liberation of hydrogen of the $\text{Al}(\text{BH}_4)_3$ occurs only at around 125 °C); moreover, the addition of lithium chloride for the stabilization has been claimed to be reversible. Hydrido tetrahydroborates [247] contain in particular $\text{HAl}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, which is viscous and non-volatile at room conditions, with a very high hydrogen content of 15.7 wt%. Further experimental and theoretical research on the stability and the reversibility of these new materials is needed as well as a deeper characterization of their physical properties (thermal and electronic/structural). This will lead to accurate models of stability, allowing the finding, the choice and possibly the tailoring of new, very efficient compounds for mobile hydrogen storage.

9 Conclusions

Recent achievements of the workgroup “Complex and liquid hydrides” of the International Energy Agency (IEA) Task 32 “ H_2 -Based Energy Storage” were presented.

Specifically, we discussed the physical and chemical properties of complex hydrides, as a candidate for hydrogen storage materials. Different challenges related to complex hydrides were addressed: their synthesis, showing routes for the production of novel metal borohydrides; their thermodynamic stability, presenting theoretical and empirical models to predict their thermodynamic properties; their reaction mechanism, analysing the effect of additives to the hydrogen sorption reactions and of nanoconfinement; their development, combining different complexes to form reactive composite hydrides; and their peculiarities, illustrating an interesting call of materials, liquid at room temperature.

The work of this task represents the combination of expertise and technology and the presented overview represents the state-of-the-art research on energy storage in complex and liquid hydrides.

Acknowledgments Financial support from the Federal Office of Energy in Switzerland for the Project No. SI/500597 “Advanced Complex Hydrides (ACH)” and the IEA Task 32 participation are acknowledged. This work was financially supported by CCEM research through the HyTech project and the SCCER “Heat & Electricity Storage” programme. The work was supported by the Danish National Research Foundation, Center for Materials Crystallography (DNRF93), the Danish Council for Strategic Research (project HyFill-Fast) and the Danish Research Council for Nature and Universe (Danscatt). We are grateful to the Carlsberg Foundation and Energistyrelsen, EUDP.

References

1. L. Quai et al., *ChemSusChem*. doi:[10.1002/cssc.201500231](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201500231)
2. A. Züttel, A. Borgschulte, L. Schlapbach, *Hydrogen as a Future Energy Carrier* (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008), pp. 427. ISBN: 978-3-527-30817-0
3. Y. Nakamori, K. Miwa, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 045126(1)–045126(9) (2006)
4. S. Takagi, S. Orimo, *Scr. Mater.* (Viewpoint Paper) **109**, 1–5 (2015)
5. A. Borgschulte et al., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 4045 (2008)
6. T. Frankcombe, *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 2164 (2012)
7. A. Borgschulte, E. Callini, B. Probst, A. Jain, S. Kato, O. Friedrichs, A. Remhof, M. Biemann, A. Ramirez-Cuesta, A. Züttel, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **115**, 17220 (2011)
8. L.H. Jepsen, M.B. Ley, Y.-S. Lee, Y.W. Cho, M. Dornheim, J.O. Jensen, Y. Filinchuk, J.E. Jørgensen, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, *Mater. Today* **17**, 129–135 (2014)
9. M.B. Ley, L.H. Jepsen, Y.-S. Lee, Y.W. Cho, J.M. Bellosta von Colbe, M. Dornheim, M. Rokni, J.O. Jensen, M. Sloth, Y. Filinchuk, J.E. Jørgensen, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, *Mater. Today* **17**, 122–128 (2014)

10. D.B. Ravnsbæk, Y. Filinchuk, R. Černý, M.B. Ley, Dr Haase, H.J. Jakobsen, Jr Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, *Inorg. Chem.* **49**, 3801–3809 (2010)
11. C. Frommen, N. Aliouane, S. Deledda, J.E. Fonnelløp, H. Grove, K. Lieutenant, I. Llamas-Jansa, S. Sartori, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, *J. Alloy. Compd.* **496**, 710–716 (2010)
12. T. Sato, K. Miwa, Y. Nakamori, K. Ohoyama, H.-W. Li, T. Noritake, M. Aoki, S. Towata, S. Orimo, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 104114(1)–104114(8) (2008)
13. T. Jaron, W. Grochala, *Dalton Trans.* **39**, 160–166 (2010)
14. Y.-S. Lee, J.-H. Shim, Y.W. Cho, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**, 12833–12837 (2010)
15. D.B. Ravnsbæk, L.H. Sørensen, Y. Filinchuk, D. Reed, D. Book, H.J. Jakobsen, F. Besenbacher, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **11**, 1608–1612 (2010)
16. J. Huot, D.B. Ravnsbæk, J. Zhang, F. Cuevas, M. Latroche, T.R. Jensen, *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **58**, 30–75 (2013)
17. H. Hagemann, M. Longhini, J.W. Kaminski, A. Wesolowski, R. Cerny, N. Penin, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, G. Severa, C.M. Jensen, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **112**, 7551–7555 (2008)
18. D. Ravnsbæk, Y. Filinchuk, Y. Cerenius, H.J. Jakobsen, F. Besenbacher, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **48**, 6659 (2009)
19. R. Černý, D.B. Ravnsbæk, P. Schouwink, Y. Filinchuk, Y. Penin, J. Teyssier, L. Smrčok, T.R. Jensen, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 1563 (2012)
20. O. Friedrichs, A. Borgschulte, S. Kato, F. Buchter, R. Gremaud, A. Remhof, A. Züttel, *Chem. A Eur. J.* **15**, 5531–5534 (2009)
21. O. Friedrichs, J.W. Kim, A. Remhof, D. Wallacher, A. Hoser, Y.W. Cho, K.H. Oh, A. Züttel, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **12**, 4600–4603 (2010)
22. O. Friedrichs, A. Remhof, A. Borgschulte, F. Buchter, S. Orimo, A. Züttel, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **12**, 10919–10922 (2010)
23. O. Friedrichs, A. Remhof, S.J. Hwang, A. Züttel, *Chem. Mater.* **22**, 3265–3268 (2010)
24. A. Remhof, Y. Yan, D. Rentsch, A. Borgschulte, C.M. Jensen, A. Züttel, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2**, 7244 (2014)
25. A. Remhof, A. Borgschulte, O. Friedrichs, P. Mauron, Y. Yan, A. Züttel, *Scr. Mater.* **66**, 280–283 (2012)
26. C. Pistidda, S. Garroni, F. Dolci, E.G. Bardají, A. Khandelwal, P. Nolis, M. Dornheim, R. Gosalawit, T. Jensen, Y. Cerenius, S. Suriñach, M.D. Baró, W. Lohstroh, M. Fichtner, *J. Alloy. Compd.* **508**, 212–215 (2010)
27. B. Richter, D.B. Ravnsbæk, N. Tumanov, Y. Filinchuk, T.R. Jensen, *Dalton Trans.* **44**, 3988–3996 (2015)
28. Y. Filinchuk, B. Richter, T.R. Jensen, V. Dmitriev, D. Chernyshov, H. Hagemann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 11162–11166 (2011)
29. N.A. Tumanov, D.A. Safin, B. Richter, Z. Lodziana, T.R. Jensen, Y. Garcia, Y. Filinchuk, *Dalton Trans.* **44**, 6571–6580 (2015)
30. M.B. Ley, M. Paskevicius, P. Schouwink, B. Richter, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, T.R. Jensen, *Dalton Trans.* **43**, 13333–13342 (2014)
31. T.D. Humphries, M.B. Ley, C. Frommen, K.T. Munroe, T.R. Jensen, B.C. Hauback, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **3**, 691–698 (2015)
32. Y. Sadikin, K. Stare, P. Schouwink, M. Brix Ley, T.R. Jensen, A. Jensen, R. Černý, *J. Solid State Chem.* **225**, 231–239 (2015)
33. R. Černý, P. Schouwink, Y. Sadikin, K. Stare, L.U. Smrčok, B. Richter, T.R. Jensen, *Inorg. Chem.* **52**, 9941–9947 (2013)
34. P. Schouwink, M.B. Ley, T.R. Jensen, L. Smrčok, R. Cerny, *Dalton Trans.* **43**, 7726–7733 (2014)
35. L.H. Jepsen, M.B. Ley, Y. Filinchuk, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, *ChemSusChem* **8**, 1452–1463 (2015)
36. L.H. Jepsen, M.B. Ley, R. Černý, Y.-S. Lee, Y.W. Cho, D. Ravnsbæk, F. Besenbacher, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, *Inorg. Chem.* **54**, 7402–7414 (2015)
37. D.B. Ravnsbæk, E.A. Nickels, R. Černý, C.H. Olesen, W.I.F. David, P.P. Edwards, Y. Filinchuk, T.R. Jensen, *Inorg. Chem.* **52**, 10877 (2013)
38. C. Frommen, M.H. Sørby, P. Ravindran, P. Vajeeston, H. Fjellvåg, B.C. Hauback, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **115**, 23591–23602 (2011)
39. J.E. Olsen, C. Frommen, T.R. Jensen, M.D. Riktor, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, *RSC Adv.* **4**, 1570–1582 (2014)
40. M.B. Ley, D.B. Ravnsbæk, Y. Filinchuk, Y.S. Lee, R. Janot, Y.W. Cho, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, *Chem. Mater.* **24**, 1654 (2012)
41. M.B. Ley, S. Boulineau, R. Janot, Y. Filinchuk, T.R. Jensen, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 21267–21276 (2012)
42. A.V. Skripov, A.V. Solonin, M.B. Ley, T.R. Jensen, Y. Filinchuk, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **117**, 14965–14972 (2013)
43. D.B. Ravnsbæk, M.B. Ley, Y.-S. Lee, H. Hagemann, V. D'Anna, Y.W. Cho, Y. Filinchuk, T.R. Jensen, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **37**, 8428–8438 (2012)
44. J.E. Olsen, C. Frommen, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, *RSC Adv.* **3**, 10764–10774 (2013)
45. I. Lindemann, R. Domènech Ferrer, L. Dunsch, Y. Filinchuk, R. Černý, H. Hagemann, V. D'Anna, L.M. Lawson Daku, L. Schultze, O. Gutfleisch, *Chem Eur J* **16**, 8707–8712 (2010)
46. R.D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **32**, 751–767 (1976)
47. L. Mosegaard, B. Møller, J.-E. Jørgensen, Y. Filinchuk, Y. Cerenius, J.C. Hanson, E. Dimasi, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**, 1299–1303 (2008)
48. L.M. Arnbjerg, D.B. Ravnsbæk, Y. Filinchuk, R.T. Vang, Y. Cerenius, F. Besenbacher, J.-E. Jørgensen, H.J. Jakobsen, T.R. Jensen, *Chem. Mater.* **21**, 5772–5782 (2009)
49. O. Zavorotynska, M. Corno, E. Pinatel, L.H. Rude, P. Ugliengo, T.R. Jensen, M. Baricco, *Crystals* **2**, 144 (2012)
50. L.H. Rude, O. Zavorotynska, L.M. Arnbjerg, D.B. Ravnsbæk, R.A. Malmkjær, H. Grove, B.C. Hauback, M. Baricco, Y. Filinchuk, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **36**, 15664–15672 (2011)
51. L.H. Rude, E. Groppo, L.M. Arnbjerg, D.B. Ravnsbæk, R.A. Malmkjær, Y. Filinchuk, M. Baricco, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, *J. Alloy. Compd.* **509**, 8299–8305 (2011)
52. N. Verdal, T.J. Udovic, J.J. Rush, H. Wu, A.V. Skripov, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **117**(2013), 12010–12011 (2018)
53. J.E. Olsen, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, *J. Alloys Compd.* **509**, L228–L231 (2011)
54. D.B. Ravnsbæk, L.H. Rude, T.R. Jensen, *J. Solid State Chem.* **184**, 1858–1866 (2011)
55. I. Llamas-Jansa, N. Aliouane, S. Deledda, J.E. Fonnelløp, C. Frommen, T. Humphries, K. Lieutenant, S. Sartori, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, *J. Alloy. Compd.* **530**, 186–192 (2012)
56. J.E. Olsen, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, *J. Alloy. Compd.* **509**, L228–L231 (2011)
57. H. Grove, L.H. Rude, T.R. Jensen, M. Corno, P. Ugliengo, M. Baricco, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, *RSC Adv.* **4**, 4736–4742 (2014)
58. L.H. Rude, Y. Filinchuk, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **115**, 7768–7777 (2011)
59. J.Y. Lee, Y.-S. Lee, J.-Y. Suh, J.-H. Shim, Y.W. Cho, Metal halide doped metal borohydrides for hydrogen storage: The case of Ca(BH₄)₂–CaX₂ (X = F, Cl) mixture. *J. Alloys Compd.* **506**, 721–727 (2010)
60. S. Hino, J.E. Fonnelløp, M. Corno, O. Zavorotynska, A. Damin, B. Richter, M. Baricco, T.R. Jensen, M.H. Sørby, B.C. Hauback, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 12482–12488 (2012)
61. D.A. Sheppard, C. Corgnale, B. Hardy, T. Motyka, R. Zidan, M. Paskevicius, C.E. Buckley, *RSC Adv.* **4**(52), 26552–26562 (2014)
62. H.W. Brinks, A. Fossdal, B.C. Hauback, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**, 5658–5661 (2008)

63. N. Eigen, U. Bösenberg, J. Bellosta von Colbe, T.R. Jensen, Y. Cerenius, M. Dornheim, T. Klassen, R. Bormann, J. Alloy. Compd. **477**, 76–80 (2009)
64. L.H. Rude, U. Filso, V. D'Anna, A. Spyratou, B. Richter, S. Hino, O. Zavorotynska, M. Baricco, M.H. Sorby, B.C. Hauback, H. Hagemann, F. Besenbacher, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **15**, 18185–18194 (2013)
65. R. Heyn, I. Saldan, M.H. Sørby, C. Frommen, B. Arnstad, A.M. Bougza, H. Fjellvåg, B.C. Hauback, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **15**, 11226–11230 (2013)
66. C. Pistidda, F. Karimi, S. Garroni, A. Rzeszutek, C. Bonatto Minella, C. Milanese, T.T. Le, L.H. Rude, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, C. Horstmann, C. Gundlach, M. Tolkiehn, P.K. Pranzas, A. Schreyer, T. Klassen, M. Dornheim, J. Phys. Chem. C **118**, 28409–28417 (2014)
67. I. Saldan, M. Schulze, C. Pistidda, R. Gosławit-Utke, O. Zavorotynska, L.H. Rude, J. Skibsted, D. Haase, Y. Cerenius, T.R. Jensen, G. Spoto, M. Baricco, K. Taube, M. Dornheim, J. Phys. Chem. C **117**, 17360–17366 (2013)
68. D.B. Ravnsbæk, Y. Filinchuk, R. Černý, T.R. Jensen, Z. Kristallogr. **225**, 557 (2010)
69. L.H. Rude, T.K. Nielsen, D.B. Ravnsbæk, U. Bösenberg, M.B. Ley, B. Richter, L.M. Arnbjerg, M. Dornheim, Y. Filinchuk, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, Phys. Status Solidi A **208**, 1754–1773 (2011)
70. M. Paskevicius, M.B. Ley, D.A. Sheppard, T.R. Jensen, C.E. Buckley, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **15**, 19774–19789 (2013)
71. J.Y. Lee, D. Ravnsbæk, Y.-S. Lee, Y. Kim, Y. Cerenius, J.-H. Shim, T.R. Jensen, N.H. Hur, Y.W. Cho, J. Phys. Chem. C **113**, 15080–15086 (2009)
72. E.G. Bardají, Z. Zhao-Karger, N. Boucharat, A. Nale, M.J. van Setten, W. Lohstroh, E. Röhm, M. Catti, M. Fichtner, J. Phys. Chem. C **115**, 6095–6101 (2011)
73. M. Ley, E. Roedern, P. Thygesen, T. Jensen, Energies **8**, 2701 (2015)
74. M.B. Ley, E. Roedern, T.R. Jensen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **16**, 24194–24199 (2014)
75. P.C. Aeberhard, K. Refson, P.P. Edwards, W.I.F. David, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 174102 (2011)
76. W.I.F. David, S.K. Callear, M.O. Jones, P.C. Aeberhard, S.D. Culligan, A.H. Pohl, S.R. Johnson, K.R. Ryan, J.E. Parker, P.P. Edwards, C.J. Nuttall, A. Amieiro-Fonseca, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **14**(2012), 11800–11801 (1807)
77. L.H. Rude, M. Corno, P. Uglierio, M. Baricco, Y.S. Lee, Y.W. Cho, F. Besenbacher, J. Overgaard, T.R. Jensen, J. Phys. Chem. C **116**, 20239 (2012)
78. S. Aldridge, A.J. Blake, A.J. Downs, R.O. Gould, S. Parsons, C.R. Pulham, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1997) 1007–1012
79. P.H. Bird, M.R. Churchill, Chem. Commun. (London) **8**, 403 (1967)
80. R.W. Broach, I.S. Chuang, T.J. Marks, J.M. Williams, Inorg. Chem. **22**, 1081 (1983)
81. M. Matsuo, Y. Nakamori, S. Orimo, H. Maekawa, H. Takamura, Appl. Phys. Lett. **224103**(1), 224103(3) (2007)
82. H. Maekawa, M. Matsuo, H. Takamura, M. Ando, Y. Noda, T. Karahashi, S. Orimo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **131**, 894–895 (2009)
83. M. Matsuo, H. Takamura, H. Maekawa, H.-W. Li, S. Orimo, Appl. Phys. Lett. **94**, 084103(1)–084103(3) (2009)
84. A.V. Skripov, A.V. Solonin, L.H. Rude, T.R. Jensen, Y. Filinchuk, J. Phys. Chem. C **116**, 26177–26184 (2012)
85. P. Schouwink, M.B. Ley, A. Tissot, H. Hagemann, T.R. Jensen, L. Smrčok, R. Černý, Nat. Commun. **5**, 5706 (2014)
86. T.K. Nielsen, A. Karkamkar, M. Bowden, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, T. Autrey, Methods to stabilize and destabilize ammonium borohydride. Dalton Trans. **42**, 680–687 (2013)
87. Z. Xiong, C.K. Yong, G. Wu, P. Chen, W. Shaw, A. Karkamkar, T. Autrey, M.O. Jones, S.R. Johnson, P.P. Edwards, Nat. Mater. **7**, 138 (2008)
88. K.J. Gross, K.R. Carrington, S. Barcelo, A. Karkamkar, J. Purewal, S. Ma, H. Zhou, P. Dantzer, K. Ott, T. Burrell, T. Semesberger, Y. Pivak, B. Dam, D. Chandra, Recommended Best Practices for the Characterization of Storage Properties of Hydrogen Storage Materials, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (2012)
89. D.P. Broom, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy **32**, 4871–4888 (2007)
90. J. Tomiska, in R.A. Rapp (Ed.), Physicochemical Measurements in Metal Research. Vol. IV, Part I, of R.F. Bunshah (Ed.), Techniques of Metal Research. New York, Interscience Publ. (1970) p. 247
91. L.N.N. Nforbi, A. Talekar, K.H. Lau, R. Chellapa, W.M. Chien, D. Chandra, H. Hagemann, Y. Filinchuk, J.C. Zhao, A. Levchenko, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy **39**, 2175–2186 (2014)
92. K. Bohmhammel, B. Christ, G. Wolf, Thermochim. Acta **271**, 67–73 (1996)
93. A. El Kharbachi, I. Nuta, F. Hodaj, M. Baricco, Thermochim. Acta **520**, 75–79 (2011)
94. J. Hafner, C. Wolverton, G. Ceder, MRS Bull. **31**, 659–665 (2006)
95. E.H. Majzoub, V. Ozoliņš, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 104115 (2008)
96. H.L. Lukas, S.G. Fries, B. Sundman, Computational Thermodynamics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007)
97. M.W. Chase, NIST-JANAF-Thermochemical Tables, 4th ed. (1998)
98. J.M. Joubert, JOM **64**, 1438–1447 (2012)
99. M. Baricco, M. Palumbo, E. Pinatel, M. Corno, P. Uglierio, Adv. Sci. Technol. **72**, 213–218 (2010)
100. A. El Kharbachi, E. Pinatel, I. Nuta, C. Chatillon, M. Baricco, Calphad **39**, 80–90 (2012)
101. E.R. Pinatel, E. Albanese, B. Civalleri, M. Baricco, J. Alloy Compd. (2015). doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2015.01.199
102. J.J. Vajo, C.C. Ahn, R.C. Bowman, B. Fultz, J. Phys. Chem. B **108**, 13977–13983 (2004)
103. A.-L. Chaudhary, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, Thermodynamic destabilisation of MgH₂ and NaMgH₃ using group IV elements Si, Ge or Sn. J. Alloys Compd. **623**, 109–116 (2015)
104. J.J. Vajo, S.L. Skeith, F. Mertens, J. Phys. Chem. B **109**, 3719–3722 (2005)
105. A.T. Luedtke, T. Autrey, Inorg. Chem. **49**, 3905–3910 (2010)
106. D. Neiner, A. Karkamkar, M. Bowden, Y.J. Choi, A. Luedtke, J. Holladay, A. Fisher, N. Szymczak, T. Autrey, Energy Environ. Sci. **4**, 4187 (2011)
107. D. Wechsler, Y. Cui, D. Dean, B. Davis, P.G. Jessop, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 17195–17203 (2008)
108. T.P. Tiemersma, T. Kolkman, J.A.M. Kuipers, M. van Sint Annaland, Chem. Eng. J. **230**(2013), 223 (2012)
109. P.G. Campbell, L.N. Zakharov, D.J. Grant, D.A. Dixon, S. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **132**, 3289–3291 (2010)
110. G. Chen, L.N. Zakharov, M.E. Bowden, A.J. Karkamkar, S.M. Whittemore, E.B. Garner, T.C. Mikulas, D.A. Dixon, T. Autrey, S. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **137**, 134–137 (2015)
111. W. Luo, L.N. Zakharov, S. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **133**, 13006–13009 (2011)
112. K. Brooks, M. Bowden, A. Karkamkar, S. Whittemore, T. Autrey, in preparation
113. P. Nordlander, J.K. Norskov, F. Besenbacher, J. Phys. F Metal Phys. **16**(9), 1161–1171 (1986)
114. J.K. Norskov, F. Besenbacher, J. Less Common Metals **130**, 475–490 (1987)
115. Puru Jena, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA (to be published)
116. W. Grochala, P.P. Edwards, Chem. Rev. **104**, 1283 (2004)

117. Y. Nakamori, K. Miwa, A. Ninomiya, H. Li, N. Ohba, S. Towata, A. Züttel, S. Orimo, *Phys Rev B* **74**, 045126(1)–045126(9) (2006)
118. M.B. Smith, G.E. Bass, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **8**, 342 (1963)
119. P. Claudy, B. Bonnetot, J.M. Letoffe, G. Turck, *Thermochim. Acta* **27**(1–3), 213–221 (1978)
120. S.C. Abrahams, J.J. Kalnajs, *Chem. Phys.* **22**, 434–436 (1954)
121. T.N. Dymova, D.P. Aleksandrov, V.N. Konoplev, T.A. Silina, N.T. Kuznetsov, *Russ. J. Coord. Chem. Koordinatsionnaia Khimiia* **19**, 529–534 (1993)
122. D.A. Sheppard et al., *J. Mater. Chem. A* **1**, 12775 (2013)
123. T.D. Humphries et al., *Chem. Comm.* (2015). doi:10.1039/c5cc03654b
124. M.P. Pitt et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 6930 (2013)
125. H.W. Langmi, G. Sean McGrady, X. Liu, C.M. Jensen, Modification of the H₂ desorption properties of LiAlH₄ through doping with Ti. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114** (23), 10666–10669 (2010)
126. P.E. De Jongh, M. Allendorf, J.J. Vajo, C. Zlotea, Nanoconfined light metal hydrides for reversible hydrogen storage. *MRS Bull.* **38**(6), 488–494 (2013)
127. M. Fichtner, Nanotechnological aspects in materials for hydrogen storage. *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **7**(6), 443–455 (2005)
128. J.J. Vajo, Influence of nano-confinement on the thermodynamics and dehydrogenation kinetics of metal hydrides. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **15**(2), 52–61 (2011)
129. T.K. Nielsen, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, Nanoconfined hydrides for energy storage. *Nanoscale* **3**(5), 2086–2098 (2011)
130. P.E. De Jongh, P. Adelhelm, Nanosizing and nanoconfinement: new strategies towards meeting hydrogen storage goals. *ChemSusChem* **3**(12), 1332–1348 (2010)
131. C.P. Baldé, B.P.C. Hereijgers, J.H. Bitter, K.P. De Jong, Facilitated hydrogen storage in NaAlH₄ supported on carbon nanofibers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**(21), 3501–3503 (2006)
132. A.F. Gross, J.J. Vajo, S.L. Van Atta, G.L. Olson, Enhanced hydrogen storage kinetics of LiBH₄ in nanoporous carbon scaffolds. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**(14), 5651–5657 (2008)
133. P. Adelhelm, J. Gao, M.H.W. Verkuijlen, C. Rongeat, M. Herich, P.J.M. Van Bentum, O. Gutfleisch, A.P.M. Kentgens, K.P. De Jong, P.E. De Jongh, Comprehensive study of melt infiltration for the synthesis of NaAlH₄/C nanocomposites. *Chem. Mater.* **22**(7), 2233–2238 (2010)
134. P. Błonski, Z. Łodziana, First-principles study of LiBH₄ nanoclusters interaction with models of porous carbon and silica scaffolds. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **39**(18), 9848–9853 (2014)
135. R. Bogerd, P. Adelhelm, J.H. Meeldijk, K.P. De Jong, P.E. De Jongh, The structural characterization and H₂ sorption properties of carbon-supported Mg_x–Ni_x nanocrystallites. *Nanotechnology* **20**(20), 204019 (2009)
136. C. Zlotea, F. Cuevas, J. Andrieux, C. Matei Ghimbeu, E. Leroy, E. Sengmany, C. Vix-Guterl, R. Gadiou, T. Martens, M. Latroche, Tunable synthesis of (Mg–Ni)-based hydrides nanoconfined in templated carbon studied by in situ synchrotron diffraction. *Nano Energy* **2**(1), 12–20 (2013)
137. Y. Yan, Y.S. Au, D. Rentsch, A. Remhof, P.E. De Jongh, A. Züttel, Reversible hydrogen storage in Mg(BH₄)₂/carbon nanocomposites. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **1**(37), 11177–11183 (2013)
138. Y.S. Au, Y. Yan, K.P. De Jong, A. Remhof, P.E. De Jongh, Pore confined synthesis of magnesium boron hydride nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **118**(36), 20832–20839 (2014)
139. T.K. Nielsen, U. Bösenberg, R. Gosławit, M. Dornheim, Y. Cerenius, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, A reversible nanoconfined chemical reaction. *ACS Nano* **4**(7), 3903–3908 (2010)
140. U. Bösenberg, D.B. Ravnsbæk, H. Hagemann, V. D'Anna, C.B. Minella, C. Pistidda, W. Van Beek, T.R. Jensen, R. Bormann, M. Dornheim, Pressure and temperature influence on the desorption pathway of the LiBH₄–MgH₂ composite system. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**(35), 15212–15217 (2010)
141. H.S. Lee, Y.S. Lee, J.Y. Suh, M. Kim, J.S. Yu, Y.W. Cho, Enhanced desorption and absorption properties of eutectic LiB₄–Ca(BH₄)₂ infiltrated into mesoporous carbon. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **115**(40), 20027–20035 (2011)
142. P. Javadian, D.A. Sheppard, C.E. Buckley, T.R. Jensen, Hydrogen storage properties of nanoconfined LiBH₄–Ca(BH₄)₂. *Nano Energy* **11**, 96–103 (2015)
143. P. Javadian, C. Zlotea, C.M. Ghimbeu, M. Latroche, T.R. Jensen, Hydrogen storage properties of nanoconfined LiBH₄–Mg₂–NiH₄ reactive hydride composites. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **119**(11), 5819–5826 (2015)
144. R. Gosławit-Utke, C. Milanese, P. Javadian, A. Girella, D. Laipple, J. Puszkiel, A.S. Cattaneo, C. Ferrara, J. Wittayakhun, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, A. Marini, T. Klassen, M. Dornheim, 2LiBH₄–MgH₂–0.13TiCl₄ confined in nanoporous structure of carbon aerogel scaffold for reversible hydrogen storage. *J. Alloy. Compd.* **599**, 78–86 (2014)
145. G. Xia, Q. Meng, Z. Guo, Q. Gu, H. Liu, Z. Liu, X. Yu, Nanoconfinement significantly improves the thermodynamics and kinetics of co-infiltrated 2LiBH₄–LiAlH₄ composites: Stable reversibility of hydrogen absorption/resorption. *Acta Mater.* **61**(18), 6882–6893 (2013)
146. M. Christian, K.F. Aguey-Zinsou, Destabilisation of complex hydrides through size effects. *Nanoscale* **2**(12), 2587–2590 (2010)
147. S. Sartori, K.D. Knudsen, Z. Zhao-Karger, E.G. Bardaji, J. Muller, M. Fichtner, B.C. Hauback, Nanoconfined magnesium borohydride for hydrogen storage applications investigated by SANS and SAXS. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**(44), 18785–18789 (2010)
148. S. Sartori, K.D. Knudsen, A. Roth, M. Fichtner, B.C. Hauback, Small-angle scattering investigations on nanoconfined sodium alanate for hydrogen storage applications. *Nanosci. Nanotechnol. Lett.* **4**(2), 173–177 (2012)
149. D.T. Shane, R.L. Corey, C. McIntosh, L.H. Rayhel, R.C. Bowman Jr, J.J. Vajo, A.F. Gross, M.S. Conradi, LiBH₄ in carbon aerogel nanoscaffolds: an NMR study of atomic motions. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**(9), 4008–4014 (2010)
150. J. Gao, P. Adelhelm, M.H.W. Verkuijlen, C. Rongeat, M. Herich, P.J.M. Van Bentum, O. Gutfleisch, A.P.M. Kentgens, K.P. De Jong, P.E. De Jongh, Confinement of NaAlH₄ in nanoporous carbon: impact on H₂ release, reversibility, and thermodynamics. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**(10), 4675–4682 (2010)
151. X. Liu, E.H. Majzoub, V. Stavila, R.K. Bhakta, M.D. Allendorf, D.T. Shane, M.S. Conradi, N. Verdal, T.J. Udovic, S.J. Hwang, Probing the unusual anion mobility of LiBH₄ confined in highly ordered nanoporous carbon frameworks via solid state NMR and quasielastic neutron scattering. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **1**(34), 9935–9941 (2013)
152. M.H.W. Verkuijlen, P. Ngene, D.W. De Kort, C. Barré, A. Nale, E.R.H. Van Eck, P.J.M. Van Bentum, P.E. De Jongh, A.P.M. Kentgens, Nanoconfined LiBH₄ and enhanced mobility of Li⁺ and BH₄[–] studied by solid-state NMR. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**(42), 22169–22178 (2012)
153. A. Remhof, P. Mauron, A. Züttel, J.P. Embs, Z. Łodziana, A.J. Ramirez-Cuesta, P. Ngene, P. De Jongh, Hydrogen dynamics in nanoconfined lithiumborohydride. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **117**(8), 3789–3798 (2013)
154. P. Ngene, R. Van Zwienen, P.E. De Jongh, Reversibility of the hydrogen desorption from LiBH₄: a synergetic effect of nanoconfinement and Ni addition. *Chem. Commun.* **46**(43), 8201–8203 (2010)
155. P. Ngene, M.H.W. Verkuijlen, Q. Zheng, J. Kragten, P.J.M. Van Bentum, J.H. Bitter, P.E. De Jongh, The role of Ni in increasing

- the reversibility of the hydrogen release from nanoconfined LiBH_4 . *Faraday Discuss.* **151**, 47–58 (2011)
156. T.K. Nielsen, M. Polanski, D. Zasada, P. Javadian, F. Besenbacher, J. Bystrzycki, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, Improved hydrogen storage kinetics of nanoconfined NaAlH_4 catalyzed with TiCl_3 nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* **5**(5), 4056–4064 (2011)
 157. K.J. Jeon, H.R. Moon, A.M. Ruminski, B. Jiang, C. Kisielowski, R. Bardhan, J.J. Urban, Air-stable magnesium nanocomposites provide rapid and high-capacity hydrogen storage without using heavy-metal catalysts. *Nat. Mater.* **10**(4), 286–290 (2011)
 158. P. Plerdsranoy, N. Wiset, C. Milanese, D. Laipple, A. Marini, T. Klassen, M. Dornheim, R. Goslawit-Utke, Improvement of thermal stability and reduction of LiBH_4 /polymer host interaction of nanoconfined LiBH_4 for reversible hydrogen storage. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **40**(1), 392–402 (2015)
 159. R.D. Stephens, A.F. Gross, S.L. Van Atta, J.J. Vajo, F.E. Pinkerton, The kinetic enhancement of hydrogen cycling in NaAlH_4 by melt infusion into nanoporous carbon aerogel. *Nanotechnology* **20** (20) (2009)
 160. T.K. Nielsen, P. Javadian, M. Polanski, F. Besenbacher, J. Bystrzycki, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, Nanoconfined NaAlH_4 : prolific effects from increased surface area and pore volume. *Nanoscale* **6**(1), 599–607 (2014)
 161. J. Gao, P. Ngene, M. Herrich, W. Xia, O. Gutfleisch, M. Muhler, K.P. de Jong, P.E. de Jongh, Interface effects in NaAlH_4 /carbon nanocomposites for hydrogen storage. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **39**(19), 10175–10183 (2014)
 162. P. Ngene, R. Van Den Berg, M.H.W. Verkuijlen, K.P. De Jong, P.E. De Jongh, Reversibility of the hydrogen desorption from NaBH_4 by confinement in nanoporous carbon. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **4**(10), 4108–4115 (2011)
 163. S. Cahen, J.B. Eymery, R. Janot, J.M. Tarascon, Improvement of the LiBH_4 hydrogen desorption by inclusion into mesoporous carbons. *J. Power Sources* **189**(2), 902–908 (2009)
 164. X. Liu, D. Peaslee, C.Z. Jost, T.F. Baumann, E.H. Majzoub, Systematic pore-size effects of nanoconfinement of LiBH_4 : Elimination of diborane release and tunable behavior for hydrogen storage applications. *Chem. Mater.* **23**(5), 1331–1336 (2011)
 165. P.A. Berseth, A.G. Harter, R. Zidan, A. Blomqvist, C.M. Araújo, R.H. Scheicher, R. Ahuja, P. Jena, Carbon nanomaterials as catalysts for hydrogen uptake and release in NaAlH_4 . *Nano Lett.* **9**(4), 1501–1505 (2009)
 166. J. Gao, P. Ngene, I. Lindemann, O. Gutfleisch, K.P. De Jong, P.E. De Jongh, Enhanced reversibility of H_2 sorption in nanoconfined complex metal hydrides by alkali metal addition. *J. Mater. Chem.* **22**(26), 13209–13215 (2012)
 167. S. Chumphongphan, U. Filsø, M. Paskevicius, D.A. Sheppard, T.R. Jensen, C.E. Buckley, Nanoconfinement degradation in NaAlH_4 /CMK-1. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **39**(21), 11103–11109 (2014)
 168. D. Blanchard, A. Nale, D. Sveinbjornsson, T.M. Eggenhuisen, M.H.W. Verkuijlen, T. Vegge, A.P.M. Kentgens, P.E. de Jongh, Nanoconfined LiBH_4 as a fast lithium ion conductor. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **25**(2), 184–192 (2015)
 169. R.W.P. Wagemans, J.H. Van Lenthe, P.E. De Jongh, A.J. Van Dillen, K.P. De Jong, Hydrogen storage in magnesium clusters: quantum chemical study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**(47), 16675–16680 (2005)
 170. W. Lohstroh, A. Roth, H. Hahn, M. Fichtner, Thermodynamic effects in nanoscale NaAlH_4 . *ChemPhysChem* **11**(4), 789–792 (2010)
 171. P. Adelhelm, K.P. De Jong, P.E. De Jongh, How intimate contact with nanoporous carbon benefits the reversible hydrogen desorption from NaH and NaAlH_4 . *Chem. Commun.* **41**, 6261–6263 (2009)
 172. J.A. Teprovich, M.S. Wellons, R. Lascola, S.-J. Hwang, P.A. Ward, R.N. Compton, R. Zidan, Synthesis and characterization of lithium-doped fullerane ($\text{Li}_x\text{-C}_{60}\text{-H}_y$) for reversible hydrogen storage. *Nano Lett.* **12**(2), 582–589 (2012)
 173. P. Mauron, M. Gaboardi, D. Pontiroli, A. Remhof, M. Ricco, A. Züttel, Hydrogen desorption kinetics in metal intercalated fullerenes. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **119**(4), 1714–1719 (2015)
 174. B. Bogdanovic, M. Schwickardi, J. Alloys Compd. **253–254**, 1 (1997)
 175. B. Bogdanovic, S. Sandrock, Catalyzed complex metal hydrides, *MRS BULLETIN/SEPTEMBER 2002*, pp 712–716
 176. G. Sandrock, K. Gross, G. Thomas, J. Alloys Compd. **339**, 299–308 (2002)
 177. W. Luo, K.J. Gross, J. Alloys Compd. **385**, 224–231 (2004)
 178. A. Leon, O. Kircher, M. Fichtner, J. Rothe, D. Schild, J. Phys. Chem. B **110**, 1192–1200 (2006)
 179. M.P. Pitt, P.E. Vullum, M.H. Sørby, H. Emerich, M. Paskevicius, C.E. Buckley, J. Walmsley, R. Holmestad, B.C. Hauback, Hydrogen absorption kinetics of the transition-metal-chloride enhanced NaAlH_4 system. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**(27), 14205–14217 (2012)
 180. Z.O. Kocabas-Atakli, E. Callini, S. Kato, and A. Züttel, to be submitted to *J. Alloys Compd.* (2015)
 181. Z.Ö.K. Atakli, E. Callini, S. Kato, A. Züttel, Catalyzed hydrogen sorption mechanism in alkali alanates, Accepted *PCCP* (2015)
 182. T. Sun, H. Wang, Q. Zhang, D. Sun, X. Yao, M. Zhu, J. Mater. Chem. **21**, 9179–9184 (2011)
 183. H. Hagemann, R. Cerny, *Dalton Trans.* **39**, 6006–6012 (2010)
 184. Z. Lodziana, A. Züttel, P. Zielinski, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **20**(46), 465210–465217 (2008)
 185. D. Blanchard, Q. Shi, C.B. Boothroyd, T. Vegge, J. Phys. Chem. C **113**, 14059–14066 (2009)
 186. R.G. Egdell, J.C. Green, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **361**, 462–466 (2008)
 187. C.J. Dain, A.J. Downs, D.W.H. Rankin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **21**(7), 534–535 (1982)
 188. E. Callini, A. Borgschulte, C.L. Hugelshofer, A.J. Ramirez-Cuesta, A. Züttel, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **118**, 77–84 (2014)
 189. A. Remhof et al., *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **340**, 012111–012118 (2012)
 190. D.B. Ravnsbæk, L.H. Sørensen, Y. Filinchuk, F. Besenbacher, T.R. Jensen, Screening of metal borohydrides by mechanochemistry and diffraction, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**, 3582–3586 (2012)
 191. H.-W. Li, Y. Yan, S. Orimo, A. Züttel, C.M. Jensen, *Energies* **4**(1), 185–214 (2011)
 192. J.J. Reilly, R.H. Wiswall, *Inorg. Chem.* **6**, 2220–2223 (1967)
 193. Y.W. Cho, J.-H. Shim, B.-J. Lee, *CALPHAD* **30**, 65–69 (2006)
 194. X.-D. Kang, P. Wang, L.-P. Ma, H.-M. Cheng, *Appl. Phys. A Mater. Sci. Process.* **89**, 963–966 (2007)
 195. O. Friedrichs, J.W. Kim, A. Remhof, F. Buchter, A. Borgschulte, D. Wallacher, Y.W. Cho, M. Fichtner, K.H. Oh, A. Züttel, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **11**, 1515–1520 (2009)
 196. S.-A. Jin, J.-H. Shim, Y.W. Cho, K.-W. Yi, O. Zabara, M. Fichtner, *Scripta Mater.* **58**, 963–965 (2008)
 197. M. Meggouh, D.M. Grant, G.S. Walker, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **115**(44), 22054–22061 (2011)
 198. D.B. Ravnsbæk, T.R. Jensen, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **71**, 1144–1149 (2010)
 199. Y. Kojima, Y. Kawai, M. Matsumoto, T. Haga, *J. Alloys Compd.* **462**, 275–278 (2008)
 200. S.-S. Liu, L.-X. Sun, Y. Zhang, F. Xu, J. Zhang, H.-L. Chu, M.-Q. Fan, T. Zhang, X.-Y. Song, J.P. Grolier, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **34**, 8079–8085 (2009)
 201. D.B. Ravnsbæk, T.R. Jensen, *J. Appl. Phys.* **111**, 112621 (2012)

202. B.R.S. Hansen, D.B. Ravnsbæk, D. Reed, D. Book, C. Gundlach, J. Skibsted, T.R. Jensen, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **117**, 7423–7432 (2013)
203. S. Soru, A. Taras, C. Pistidda, C. Milanese, M. Bonatto, C. Masolo, P. Nolis, M.D. Baró, A. Marini, M. Tolkiehn, M. Dornheim, S. Enzo, G. Mulas, S. Garroni, S. J. *Alloys Compd.* **615**(S1), S693–S697 (2014)
204. G. Barkhordarian, T. Klassen, M. Dornheim, R. Bormann, *J. Alloy. Compd.* **440**, L18–L21 (2007)
205. U. Bösenberg, S. Doppiu, L. Mosegaard, A. Borgschulte, N. Eigen, G. Barkhordarian, T.R. Jensen, Y. Cerenius, O. Gutfleisch, T. Klassen, M. Dornheim, R. Bormann, *Acta Mater.* **55**, 3951–3958 (2007)
206. J. Jepsen, C. Milanese, A. Girella, G.A. Lozano, C. Pistidda, J.M. Bellosta von Colbe, A. Marini, T. Klassen, M. Dornheim, *Int J Hydrogen Energy* **38**, 8357–8366 (2013)
207. R. Gosławit-Utke, C. Milanese, T.K. Nielsen, F. Karimi, I. Saldan, K. Pranzas, T.R. Jensen, A. Marini, T. Klassen, M. Dornheim, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **38**, 1932–1942 (2013)
208. M. Hirscher (ed), *Strategies to Alter the Reaction Enthalpies of Hydrides in Handbook of Hydrogen Storage* (Wiley, Co, Weinheim, 2010)
209. U. Bösenberg, D.B. Ravnsbæk, H. Hagemann, V. D'Anna, C.B. Minella, C. Pistidda, W. Van Beek, T.R. Jensen, R. Bormann, M. Dornheim, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**, 15212–15217 (2010)
210. U. Bösenberg, U. Vainio, P.K. Pranzas, J.M. Bellosta von Colbe, G. Goerigk, E. Welter, M. Dornheim, A. Schreyer, R. Bormann, *Nanotechnology* **20**, 204003 (2009)
211. U. Bösenberg, J.W. Kim, D. Gossler, N. Eigen, T.R. Jensen, J.M. Bellosta von Colbe, Y. Zhou, M. Dahms, D.H. Kim, R. Günther, Y.W. Cho, K.H. Oh, T. Klassen, M. Dornheim, Y.W. Cho, *Acta Mater.* **58**, 3381–3389 (2010)
212. P.E. Pinkerton, M.S. Meyer, *J. Alloys Compd.* **464**, L1 (2008)
213. J.-H. Lim, J.-H. Shim, Y.-S. Lee, Y.W. Cho, *J. Lee, Scripta Mater.* **59**, 1251 (2008)
214. J.-H. Lim, J.-H. Shim, Y.-S. Lee, J.-Y. Suh, Y.W. Cho, *J. Lee, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **35**, 6578 (2010)
215. S.-A. Jin, Y.-S. Lee, J.-H. Shim, Y.W. Cho, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **112**, 9520 (2008)
216. P. Mauron, M. Biilmann, A. Remhof, A. Züttel, J.-H. Shim, Y.W. Cho, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**, 16801 (2010)
217. J.-H. Shim, Y.-S. Lee, J.-Y. Suh, W. Cho, S.S. Han, Y.W. Cho, *J. Alloys Compd.* **510**, L9 (2012)
218. W. Luo, *J. Alloys Compd.* **381**, 284 (2004)
219. P. Chen, Z. Xiong, J. Luo, J. Lin, K.L. Tan, *Nature* **420**, 302–304 (2002)
220. Z. Xiong, G. Wu, J. Hu, P. Chen, *Adv. Mater.* **16**, 1522–1525 (2004)
221. H.Y. Leng, T. Ichikawa, S. Hino, N. Hanada, S. Isobe, H. Fujii, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **108**, 8763–8765 (2004)
222. Y. Nakamori, G. Kitahara, K. Miwa, N. Ohba, T. Noritake, S. Towata, S. Orimo, *J. Alloy. Compd.* **404–406**, 396–398 (2005)
223. J. Wang, J. Hu, Y. Liu, Z. Xiong, G. Wu, H. Pan, P. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem.* **19**, 2141–2146 (2009)
224. J. Wang, T. Liu, G. Wu, W. Li, Y. Liu, C.M. Araújo, R.H. Scheicher, A. Blomqvist, R. Ahuja, Z. Xiong, P. Yang, M. Gao, H. Pan, P. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **48**, 5828–5832 (2009)
225. J. Wang, G. Wu, Y.S. Chua, J. Guo, Z. Xiong, Y. Zhang, M. Gao, H. Pan, P. Chen, *ChemSusChem* **4**, 1622–1628 (2011)
226. J. Hu, Y. Liu, G. Wu, Z. Xiong, Y.S. Chua, P. Chen, *Chem. Mater.* **20**, 4398–4402 (2008)
227. B. Li, Y. Liu, C. Li, M. Gao, H. Pan, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2**, 3155–3162 (2014)
228. H. Cao, G. Wu, Y. Zhang, Z. Xiong, J. Qiu, P. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2**, 15816–15822 (2014)
229. H.-S. Lee, Y.-S. Lee, J.-Y. Suh, M. Kim, J.-S. Yu, Y.W. Cho, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **115**, 20027 (2011)
230. K. Park, H.-S. Lee, A. Remhof, Y.-S. Lee, Y. Yan, M.-Y. Kim, S.J. Kim, A. Züttel, Y.W. Cho, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **38**, 9263 (2013)
231. J.-H. Shim, J.-H. Lim, S. Rather, Y.-S. Lee, D. Reed, Y. Kim, D. Book, Y.W. Cho, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **1**, 59 (2010)
232. K.-B. Kim, J.-H. Shim, Y.W. Cho, K.H. Oh, *Chem. Commun.* **47**, 9831 (2011)
233. J.W. Kim, K.-B. Kim, J.-H. Shim, Y.W. Cho, K.H. Oh, *Microsc. Microanal.* **20**, 1798 (2014)
234. J. Hu, Y. Liu, G. Wu, Z. Xiong, P. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **111**, 18439 (2007)
235. M. Taube, D. Rippin, W. Knecht, D. Hakimifard, B. Milisavljevic, N. Gruenfelder, A prototype truck powered by hydrogen from organic liquid hydrides. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **9**, 595–599 (1985)
236. S. Hodoshima, H. Arai, S. Takaiwa, Y. Saito, Catalytic decalin dehydrogenation/naphthalene hydrogenation pair as a hydrogen source for fuel-cell vehicle. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **28**, 1255–1262 (2003)
237. M. Chandra, Q. Xu, A high-performance hydrogen generation system: transition metal-catalyzed dissociation and hydrolysis of ammonia-borane. *J. Power Sources* **156**, 190–194 (2006)
238. Z. Huang, X. Chen, T. Yisgedu, J.-C. Zhao, S.G. Shore, High-capacity hydrogen release through hydrolysis of NaB₃H₈. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **36**, 7038–7042 (2011)
239. D. Schubert, D. Neiner, M. Bowden, S. Whittemore, J. Holladay, Z. Huang, T. Autrey, Capacity enhancement of aqueous borohydride fuels for hydrogen storage in liquids. *J. Alloys Compd.* **645** (Supplement 1), S196–S199 (2015). doi:[10.1016/j.jallcom.2015.01.063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2015.01.063)
240. A. Cooper, Design and development of new carbon-based sorbent Systems for an effective containment of hydrogen. *Technical Report, DOE Award No. DE-FC36-04GO14006, Department of Energy, Washington, DC* (2012), pp 2231–2233
241. P.G. Campbell, L.N. Zakharov, D.J. Grant, D.A. Dixon, S.-Y. Liu, Hydrogen storage by Boron–Nitrogen heterocycles: a simple route for spent fuel regeneration. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**(10), 3289–3291 (2010)
242. S. Whittemore, M. Bowden, A. Karkamkar, K. Parab, D. Neiner, S.-Y. Liu, D. Dixon, T. Autrey, Exploring the use of carbon, nitrogen, and boron containing heterocycles in liquid hydrogen storage. in *ACS Division of Fuel Chemistry Proceedings* (2014)
243. Z. Wang, J. Belli, C.M. Jensen, Homogenous dehydrogenation of liquid organic carriers catalyzed by an iridium PCP complex. *Faraday Discuss.* **151**, 297–305 (2011)
244. S. Orimo, Y. Nakamori, J.R. Eliseo, A. Züttel, C.M. Jensen, *Chem. Rev.* **107**, 4111–4132 (2007)
245. D.K. Slattery, M.D. Hampton, Complex hydrides for hydrogen storage. in *Proceedings of the 2002 U.S. Hydrogen Program Review, NREL/CP-610-32405*, pp 1–9 (2002)
246. E. Ashby, W. Foster, Concerning the existence of “triple metal hydrides”. The reactions of lithium aluminum hydride with diborane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **88**(14), 3248–3255 (1966)
247. A.J. Downs, L.A. Jones, *Polyhedron* **13**, 2401 (1994)