Advances in understanding ye'elimite-rich cements

Mohsen Ben Haha ^a, Frank Winnefeld ^b, Alexander Pisch ^c

^a Global Research and Development, HeidelbergCement AG, Oberklamweg 2-4, 69181 Leimen, Germany

^b Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Laboratory for Concrete and Construction Chemistry, Überlandstrasse 129, CH-8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

^c University of Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, SIMaP, 38000 Grenoble, France

Abstract

In this review, we discuss the recent advances in understanding ye'elimite-rich cements. These cements can be classified as follows:

(1) low belite one namely called calcium sulhoaluminate cements (CSA) where the main use is in special applications or when combined with OPC and calcium sulphate sources in structural application

(2) High belite one namely Belite Ye'elimite ferrite cement (BYF) where their development as an alternative low carbon binders is aimed to replace Portland clinker in structural applications.

The main hydrating phase at early age in both systems is ye'elimite. Its formation, its reactivity and its extent of reaction and space filling when hydrating define the properties of the cement. Recent advances in understanding these properties are critically analysed.

Recent activities on the clinker formation and production at laboratory and industrial scale are reported. Additionally, the effects of aluminates rich minerals are reviewed in detail, with a focus on their influence on the hydration mechanism and the resulting hydrates assemblage and its stability in ye'elimite rich systems. Applicability of thermodynamic calculation and its limitations are discussed to underline the role of the environment at each reaction step on the hydrates assemblage.

This document is the accepted manuscript version of the following article:
Ben Haha, M., Winnefeld, F., & Pisch, A. (2019). Advances in understanding ye'elimite-rich cements. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 123, 105778 (20 pp.).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2019.105778>

This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

1 Introduction

Sustainability is a key factor for the development of construction industry. In particular, the cement industry in the last decades tried to develop innovative solutions to enhance cement and concrete performances and to reduce the associated CO₂ emissions. The development of new binder types is an inherent part of these solutions. In this frame, ye'elimite-rich cements offer potential to lower the environmental impact [1–5].

The production and use of calcium sulphoaluminate-based clinkers (CSA) and cements was initially described by Alexander Klein in the late 1950s, mainly for the shrinkage compensation in PC-based concrete [6]. This lead to the invention of ASTM Type K cement based on the “Klein” compound or ye'elimite which was standardized in ASTM C845-04. A more general use, like in pre-cast concrete or concrete for cold environments was done in China under the name “Third Cement Series” [7].

Research activities focused in the last years either on the ternary CSA-OPC–C $\overline{S}$ systems or on the belite ye'elimite ferrite (BYF) clinkers. In all these studied systems, a particular importance was given to ye'elimite as the main reacting phase at early ages and to the silicate phases that contribute to the microstructure development and the durability of these systems at later ages. However, the activities on clinkering process and especially the associated energies, the saving or the contributions of minors (e.g. Ternesite, CA, primary and secondary C₁₂A₇, etc...) to the overall heat and the data from clinkering at industrial scale are scarce and sometimes failing. Some tentative work on the application of high temperature thermodynamics to BYF clinker formation and semi-industrial testing has been done and could partially compensate this lack of information.

Often pure ye'elimite is used as a model system to study the kinetics of dissolution of these cements. In the actual literature review, the advantages and limitations of this model system are discussed. The kinetics of dissolution and of reaction are described with all reported observed periods or stages of early hydration. The ye'elimite systems are known to be fast when calcium sulphate is added, whereas stoichiometric ye'elimite alone is a slowly reacting. The basic theory of its reaction is discussed where the rate limiting steps are reported. A focus is as well given to the role of C₁₂A₇ on the kinetics of dissolution as recent studies have shown its importance to describe the chaotic and fast reaction of some CSA.

In ye'elimite systems, the aluminate containing phases are the first reacting phases and thus the interaction between the silicate and aluminate hydrates through the pore solution is important to understand. This interaction and the reactivity of silicates is the factor defining the performance of BYF or

ternary CSA-PC-C $\overline{S}$ systems. The role of sulphate sources and their impact as well as of retarders on the kinetics of the reaction and partially on the microstructural development is reported.

Thermodynamics and its applicability at early age hydration to define the stable hydrates assemblage are discussed shortly. Its limitations are described. To identify future research needs and to guide our future research and experimental needs, a summary of recent advances with a focus on future perspectives is included.

2 Clinker formation

2.1 Fundamentals of BYF clinkers

Ye'elimate rich clinkers can be classified in two groups, low belite clinker commonly called CSA and high belite clinker named BYF. The BYF clinkers can be classified in two main groups – with and without boron.

In both cases, the main mineralogical clinker phases are belite C_2S , ye'elimate $C_4A_3\overline{S}$ and ferrite C_4AF . The main difference lies in the structural modification of C_2S and the presence of an amorphous phase. Without boron addition, C_2S crystallizes in the β -modification (larnite) as in a classical OPC clinker. In the presence of boron, the high temperature modifications α' and α can be stabilized even at low temperature [8]. The insertion mechanism of boron in the structure depends on the presence of alkali atoms in the clinker as investigated by Cuesta et al [9]. For a pure sample without alkalis, boron can replace calcium and silicon to form a $Ca_{2-x}B_x(SiO_4)_{1-x}(BO_4)_x$ solid solution. The insertion mechanism is similar to the one observed for aluminium in Ca-silicates. In the presence of sodium, the tetrahedral borate anion BO_4^{5-} transforms into a triangular-planar BO_3^{3-} anion with a nominal overall composition of $Ca_{2-x}Na_x(SiO_4)_{1-x}(BO_3)_x$ for the solid solution. This new structure proposition gives better fits in Rietveld analyses in clinkers prepared with borax additions.

The presence of an amorphous phase in the clinker is also linked to the presence of boron. Boron oxide is known to be a strong glass forming agent [10,11]. Its presence stabilizes the liquid phase and increases its viscosity. Levels of up to 16.5% of amorphous materials were measured in BYF clinkers using two different experimental methods based on X-ray diffraction [12].

Ye'elimate exists in two stable modifications, a low temperature orthorhombic and a high temperature pseudo-cubic [13]. In BYF clinkers, typically a mixture of both modifications is observed due to the stabilization of the high temperature modification by substitution of minor elements [14]. The highest substitution level is observed with Fe^{3+} replacing Al^{3+} in the structure with a maximum up to 25% (a good review of the available literature is given in [15]). The insertion level of Fe in a BYF clinker depends on the melt relations and the presence of the liquid phase [15].

The ferrite phase $\text{Ca}_2(\text{Fe}_{1-x}, \text{Al}_x)_2\text{O}_5$ ("C₄AF") is known to have a large composition range variation with respect to Al and Fe levels [16]. In the case of BYF clinkers, the composition is generally iron rich with $x=0.2-0.4$. The Fe content is therefore considerably higher than in OPC clinkers in which x varies from 0.5 to 0.6. The ferrite phase generally forms from an iron rich liquid phase during the cooling step [12]. During the clinkering process, a series of minor phases may appear in a transitory manner. These are generally Al-rich compounds such as mayenite C_{12}A_7 , krotite CA and gehlenite C_2AS (or more generally, the melilite solid solution) especially in the presence of traces of chlorine in the raw mix or the combustion gas. This is due to the fact that ye'elimite is thermodynamically unstable at low temperature with respect to $3 \text{ CA} + \text{C}\overline{\text{S}}$ (i.e. its Gibbs free energy is greater than zero). Another transitory phase, ternesite $\text{C}_5\text{S}_2\overline{\text{S}}$, has gained interest in recent years due to its hydraulic reactivity when present in BYF based cements [15]. This compound can form at temperatures $> 900^\circ\text{C}$ from $2\text{C}_2\text{S} + \text{C}\overline{\text{S}}$, but becomes unstable at higher temperature where it decomposes into C_2S and liquid. In order to stabilize its presence, a two-step cooling process is proposed [15] during which ternesite forms on cooling by reaction with the melt. Free lime levels are generally very low in BYF clinkers. In the presence of alkalis, classical soluble alkali sulphate compounds may occur, such as arcanite, apthitalite or calcium langbeinite. Other potential compounds observed are perovskite CaTiO_3 and $\text{Ca}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_8$ in high TiO_2 bearing raw mixes and periclase MgO if magnesium is present in excess to the binding capacity of the clinker mineral phases. Substantial amounts of Mg can be incorporated into the calcium silicates. Bredigite is then the main calcium silicate-bearing phase. However, at industrial production, the amount of bredigite is limited. The phase is listed among the minors.

2.2 Raw mix and alternative raw materials

As discussed before, BYF clinkers are generally characterized by high levels of alumina and sulphate. While in lab studies pure raw materials such as corundum and quartz can be used, this would be impossible for an industrial application. Suited alternative raw materials for these two components are therefore of great importance. For alumina, high quality china clay (kaolin) and bauxite are a natural choice. However, due to their high price, alternative materials are actively searched. In the literature, low calcium fly ashes (class F, class C), ceramic dust waste and aluminium anodizing sludge were tested as alumina sources [15,17,18]. For the sulphate, bag house dust, fluidized bed combustion ash, scrubber sludge, flue gas desulphurization gypsum or flue gas sludge, lignite fly ash and phospho-gypsum were used [19–23]. In addition, marble dust and calcium looping spent sorbent were employed as alternative sources for limestone [24,25]. Overall, samples prepared with these alternative raw materials gave satisfactory results in terms of mineralogical composition of the obtained clinkers.

2.3 Phase formation

There is only one experimental study which investigates the chemical reactions in BYF clinkers as a function of temperature in a detailed manner [26]. Starting material was an artificial raw mix from pure materials which was placed in a platinum capillary. The mineralogical composition with increasing temperature is studied using synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction (SXRPD) in transmission mode. The diffraction pattern of the platinum is used for temperature calibration. The phase distribution of three BYF clinkers (without, with 1% and 2% boron) is reproduced in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1 Phase composition during reaction in a BYF clinker (%wt) as a function of temperature based on Synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction experiments [26] (a) without, (b) with 1% boron and (c) with 2% boron addition (copyright needed).

A liquid phase occurs at temperatures as low as 1200°C in the boron bearing sample by melting the ferrite phase and to a minor extend by decomposing ye'elimite. It is important to mention, that this phase distribution depends on the process conditions and the volatilization of sulphate from the clinker and is therefore not independent of the experimental set-up. The importance of controlling the $\text{SO}_2(\text{g}) / \text{SO}_3(\text{g})$ partial pressures in the gas phase over the clinker was pointed out by Hanein et al [27]. If the pressure becomes lower than the equilibrium pressure at a given temperature, ye'elimite decomposes and transforms into liquid and $\text{SO}_2(\text{g})/\text{SO}_3(\text{g})$. The authors derived from their calculations an interesting new process route by using elemental sulphur mixed to the fuel as sulphate source for the clinker [28]. There is no systematic study on clinker microstructures available in the literature for BYF clinkers. However, it is consistently mentioned [29,30] that these clinkers show higher porosity and small crystal sizes as compared to OPC clinkers and therefore improved grindability.

2.4 Theoretical heat of formation and CO_2 balance for BYF clinkers

The theoretical heat of formation for BYF clinkers can be calculated from available thermodynamic data in commercial databases [31] for $\beta\text{-C}_2\text{S}$ and the $\text{Ca}_2(\text{Al,Fe})_2\text{O}_5$ solid solution and recently published data [32] for $\text{C}_4\text{A}_3\bar{\text{S}}$. The starting materials for the calculation are pure limestone CaCO_3 , kaolinite $\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4$, alumina Al_2O_3 , hematite Fe_2O_3 and anhydrite CaSO_4 . C_2S and $\text{C}_4\text{A}_3\bar{\text{S}}$ are assumed stoichiometric and for the ferrite solid solution, a composition equivalent to C_6AF_2 is assumed. For OPC, the accepted heat of formation value of 1760 kJ/kg of clinker with 67% C_3S is assumed for comparison [33]. The calculated theoretical heat of formation for a BYF clinker with 50% C_2S , 30% $\text{C}_4\text{A}_3\bar{\text{S}}$ and 20 % C_6AF_2 is 1426 kJ/kg. This value differs from the report value from Barcelo et al (1280 kJ/kg) [22], because the authors used C_4AF for the ferrite phase instead of C_6AF_2 . A theoretical value of 1426 kJ/kg corresponds to a reduction of ~20% as compared to standard OPC. However, it is obvious that such a reduction level cannot be reached in an industrial kiln due to the various heat losses along the production line (waste gas, cooler loss, kiln walls ...). As seen before, the clinkering temperature for BYF clinker is 100 to 150 K lower than for standard OPC clinkers. However, as losses do not scale linearly with temperature, it is not straightforward to evaluate how much the overall heat of clinkering (theoretical + losses) would be reduced as compared to OPC but a conservative guess would -10 to -15% for a given industrial installation. Nevertheless, there are additional advantages of the lower sintering temperature which are the reduced formation of NO_x in the combustion gas and a reduced wear of the refractory bricks in the sintering zone

of the rotary kiln. These additional advantages must be taken into account while calculating an overall cost of a BYF production.

As seen for the heat of clinkering, the total CO₂ reduction depends on the heat losses and therefore on a given installation. The theoretical savings for the chemical part can be calculated exactly because it only depends on the limestone level in the raw mix. In the case of OPC, the CO₂ from the raw materials corresponds to 546 kg/t of clinker for 67% C₃S, while the limestone level in BYF clinkers reduces the emitted CO₂ to 376 kg/t for a 50% C₂S, 30% C₄A₃ $\bar{S}$, 20% C₄AF composition which corresponds to a reduction of 31% [33].

2.5 Industrial clinker production

CSA and BYF clinkers are typically produced from mixtures of natural raw materials like limestone, clay or bauxite and calcium sulphate under oxidizing conditions. As for PC, rotary kilns should be preferably used but alternative clinker burning methods are proposed [34]. A very simplified production scheme is shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. Production scheme for CSA / BYF cements adapted from [35], raw meal: Al₂O₃/Fe₂O₃ source, CaO source, SO₃ Source and SiO₂ Source, Clinker production at 1250 +/- 50°C, Cement grinding: clinker +/- anhydrite or gypsum

Each cement plant has a particular layout and consequently some needs related to quality control have to be adapted to the situation. In addition, BYF clinker production generally requires the implementation of new quality control concepts to properly drive the process.

It is important to stress the importance of a stable raw meal composition and feed to promote formation of the targeted clinker minerals and avoid strong kiln fluctuations impacting quality and operations.

As a matter of fact, during BYF industrial production, the high fluctuations in raw meal composition influence the clinker formation at sintering zone temperature and excessive free lime in clinker may occur.

The consequence of increased temperature in the kiln is the decrease of the ye'elimite content due to sulphur evaporation, as observed in lab trials and stated before, and the formation of alite [36–38].

The most efficient clinkering temperature is around 1250°C and strongly depends on the used raw materials and mix design [2,39]. The type of used raw material has a strong impact on the clinker formation and composition as the main minerals like ye'elimite, belite and ferrite can incorporate significant amounts of foreign anions. Mineralizers to reduce the clinkering temperature such as CaF_2 might be used. These mineralizers tend to stabilize certain aluminate phases e.g. C_{12}A_7 . Additionally this should also stabilize the formation of F-ellestadite [40]. Another impact arises from the formation of intermediate phases. Depending on the alumina source used, the formation of intermediate phases, e.g. gehlenite (C_2AS), CA ... occurs and defines the kinetics of the formation in the kiln. As stated before, a novel and very promising approach using elemental sulphur and / or sulphur-rich products as main fuel for the production of CSA / BYF was presented by Hanein et al. [41]. This approach does not only enable the significant reduction of process related CO_2 emissions but at least partial replacement of conventional hydrocarbons like oil or coal. The combustion of elemental sulphur will result in the oxidation of sulphur and formation of gaseous SO_2 . The gaseous SO_2 can be absorbed from the kiln atmosphere by the reaction with some of the raw materials components. For example, in the system $\text{CaO} - \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{SiO}_2$ phases like lime, belite and calcium aluminates can react with the gaseous SO_2 to form new minerals like anhydrite, ternesite and of course ye'elimite.

As mentioned before, overburning of BYF clinker is not recommended as compared to OPC in case of difficult burnability due to increased sulphur evaporation and decomposition of ye'elimite, so strict control of raw meal production is the most adequate means to guarantee smooth kiln operation and good clinker quality.

The use of X-ray diffractometry in quality control of BYF clinker production is fundamental. Opposite to OPC, where it can be considered a useful complement to conventional XRF analyses, XRD is an essential tool to be implemented in shift labs. The achievement of proper clinker composition by well-adjusted

burning conditions is only controlled by diffractometry, since chemical analyses cannot prove the correct combination of oxides into the desired amounts of ye'elimite, belite and minors. The clinkering process is sensitive to changes in burning temperatures and the impact those variations have on the final clinker composition.

While operating clinker production, the following point should be controlled:

- O_2 in the kiln inlet has to be closely controlled and kept at a minimum level to avoid reducing conditions and SO_x emissions
- Similar chloride control as OPC clinker production is required to avoid the formation of phases like chloro-mayenite or ellestadite. This means that in certain kiln lines a by-pass would be required
- A pyrometer to monitor burning zone temperature would provide useful information on sintering conditions.

Additional measures shall be placed to reduce ring and ball formation. Better monitoring of the minors content of the raw mix (chlorides) as well as the installation of equipment that would destroy rings/balls from burner platform is needed while producing this clinker type. The kiln shall be operated at much higher rotational speed than usually set for OPC clinker production to reduce the residence time in the sintering zone. BYF clinkers have a tendency to create generally bigger clinker nodules than OPC even if higher kiln speed will be applied. However, at the same time, ye'elimite has a tendency to form small crystals (see Fig. 3) in comparison to lab clinkers which may influence its reactivity and have a positive impact on the grindability.

Fig. 3 Scanning electron micrograph of polished sections of Y and Fe-Y and industrial BYF clinker from (1: Ye'elimite, 2:Ferrite) [35]

Other major phases, typically present in CSA and BYF clinkers, are calcium silicates like belite and ternesite as well as calcium ferrites like brownmillerite and srebrodolskite. Many different minor (reactive and inert) phases could be present as well, depending on the raw mix design and the process conditions. When comparing the clinker minerals and their associated CO_2 footprint it becomes obvious that the combination of ye'elimite, belite (ternesite) and brownmillerite offers high potential for CO_2 saving compared to PC going from from 20% for high belitic systems BYF to 35% in the case of CSA [2].

Metha [39] proposed Equation 1 for the calculation of the raw mix composition. According to the author it is possible to adjust specific cement features by targeting the appropriate clinker compositions. The cement performance would mainly depend on the ratio of calcium sulphate over ye'elimite [42]. By

combining Equation 1 with a modified Bogue calculation it would be possible to define the cement properties.

Equation 1
$$C_T = 0.13 \cdot M \cdot \frac{A}{\bar{S}}$$

where C_T is the molar ratio of calcium sulphate over clinker; M is the molar ratio of calcium sulphate over ye'elimite; A is the mass % of ye'elimite in the clinker and $\bar{S}$ is the mass % of SO_3 in the used calcium sulphate and 0.13 is the stoichiometric factor containing all the conversions between mass and molar units.

3 Hydration of ye'elimite-based systems

3.1 Advantages and limitations of model systems

To simulate the reaction kinetics of the OPC, C_3S is often used as a model system. Generally, synthetic C_3S have a hydration pattern similar to OPCs regardless of their differences such as fineness or composition allowing its use as model to understand the early kinetics, duration and underlying mechanisms controlling the hydraulic reactions [43–45] (see Fig. 4a).

Synthetic ye'elimite is used as a reference system for CSA and BYF by analogy with C_3S and OPC. The heat release of different ye'elimite rich cements (CSA, BYF cements, stoichiometric ye'elimite and iron rich ye'elimite cements) normalized to ye'elimite content of the cements are shown in Fig. 4b. CSA, BYF and Fe-ye'elimite cements reveal very different hydration kinetics unlike OPC and C_3S . In addition, the hydration of synthetic ye'elimite has a different kinetics than that of CSA and BYF and Fe-ye'elimite cements. However, it is possible to use synthetic ye'elimite as a model system to explain the hydration reactions of CSA and BYF if the data are carefully analyzed.

Fig. 4 Rate of heat evolution from isothermal conduction calorimetry of (a) OPC and C₃S at 20 °C and of (b) CSA, BYF and Fe-Y with and without gypsum at 20 °C. The data are normalized per g of anhydrous ye'elimite. The water/solid ratios are 0.5 for the clinker and 2.0 for the synthetic ye'elimite systems

Fig. 5 Rate of heat evolution from isothermal conduction calorimetry of 3 different BYF industrial cements (same mineralogy, only minors and alkalis differs) at 20 °C at w/c ratio of 0.5

The model system ye'elimite presents a simplified but synthetic picture of the early behaviour of ye'elimite based systems. However, it is necessary to keep in mind that model systems do not represent full reality. It helps to simplify the problem by eliminating other interactions. The investigator can control the increase of the complexity level by adding e.g. alkali sulphates, changing the pH, etc... Using ye'elimite as model system is preferable to study the kinetics when the objectives and limitations of its use are known and precise. Studying a BYF cement, however, is not trivial as the raw materials condition its reactivity and the behaviour differs from one clinker to another (see Fig. 5).

3.2 Periods of hydration

In all systems, the calorimetric pattern of hydration clearly shows five different periods (see Fig. 6):

- Initial period "I": Wetting and rapid dissolution of a small quantity of cement
- Induction or slowdown period "II": also referred as low heat activity period
- Acceleration period "III": (Re-) Acceleration of the dissolution of ye'elimite.
- Period of main hydration "IV" including a heat deceleration phase
- Slowing of the reaction "V", continuous dissolution of the phases but with transformation, (re)crystallization and growth of hydrates

Fig. 6 Idealized hydration scheme for ye'elimite and the corresponding hydration stages from [35] (phase evolution wt% and concentration (mol/l))

At the beginning (the initial period), a rapid dissolution in contact with water takes place followed by the formation of mainly amorphous hydrates and some traces of ettringite. The precipitation of amorphous aluminium hydroxide (usually exothermic in the presence of gypsum) has been reported to cause an endothermic reduction in the heat of hydration [35]. The same observation but in alkali activated slag systems were reported by Ben Haha & al[46] where they observed the presence of an endothermic peak in calorimetry with increasing the alumina content of the slag and keeping the ratios of other oxides constant. A slowing down of the reaction occurs mainly due to a significant increase in the concentration of the different ion species in the solution.

This increase is followed by an induction period (period II) where almost no dissolution of ye'elimite is detected and where only a continuous formation of the "ettringite" is observed as crystalline detectable hydrate [47]. The formation of ettringite leads to a continuous decrease in the concentration of sulphate ions and controls directly the duration of this period. Following the decrease of the concentrations of the different ionic species in the solution, an acceleration period (period III) where a fast dissolution takes place with the beginning of monosulphate and aluminium hydroxide precipitation. The formation of ettringite slows down progressively and a total exhaustion of the sulphate ions in the solution is observed at the end of this period. The decrease of sulphate is accompanied by a considerable increase of the pH. The main hydration period including the deceleration phase (phase IV) starts once the sulphates species are no longer detected in the solution and the pH continues to increase. The pH increase promotes the formation of monosulphate and the crystallisation of gibbsite-type microcrystalline aluminium hydroxide. The duration of this period depends mainly on the dissolution rate of ye'elimite. Once dissolution is slowed down to a negligible extent, a thermodynamic equilibrium between the solution and the hydrates starts to get established. A transformation of amorphous phases and/or (re)crystallization and growth of the different hydrates present leading to a decrease in the concentration of aluminium due to the decreased solubility of the Al-bearing hydrates is observed. Over time the C-A-H gel that precipitates at early hydration time transforms towards (micro)crystalline phases like CAH_{10} , C_4AH_x or C_3AH_6 together with AH_3 whereby the composition of the (final) assemblage depends on the applied temperature and the time

3.3 Kinetics of dissolution and rate limiting steps

The pH of BYF cements [48] is higher than for CSA cements with lower belite and ferrite contents [49]. Lower pH of CSA could be the result of cleaner raw materials used for their production. At comparable alkali content, the early age pH should be same as it is buffered by type of phases and not by their content. In the literature, several hypotheses are put forward such as the polymorphism of ye'elimite [50,51] or as recently proposed the initial mineralogy composition in addition to crystallinity (morphology) of ye'elimite [52].

Geochemistry can explain the dissolution results as well as the slowdown observed after the increase in solution concentrations [43,53–55]. The dissolution rate is high at the beginning following the addition of water and thus a high degree of under-saturation is observed which promotes the dissolution of ye'elimite from the first minutes as reported by [47,50–52]. Ionic concentrations therefore increase rapidly. The increase in the concentrations of the solution, in particular aluminium and sulphates in relation to calcium ions, slows down the kinetics and limits the dissolution of anhydrous phases.

The precipitation of monosulphate should happen with the dissolution of ye'elimite to deplete instantly all released sulphate ions. However, some research groups [47,56,57] report a slow decrease in sulphate concentration with the occurrence of a slow formation of ettringite instead. Indeed, ye'elimite dissolution is generally accompanied by high concentrations of aluminium [35,47,52,58]. The low pH limits the precipitation of monosulphate while AH_3 precipitation limits the nucleation rate of ettringite as suggested from investigation of Damidot [59] and confirmed by experimental work from Bullerjahn & al [47]., Additionally thermodynamics calculation from Zajac & al [60] could confirm from theoretical calculations how the pore solution concentrations and potentially the AH_3 precipitation controls further ettringite formation. . Thus, it slows down hydration kinetics creating an induction period.

The potential absorption of sulphate at reactive dissolution sites slows the rate of dissolution as previously observed for C_3A studies [61]. An important difference between the hydration of ye'elimite and C_3A is that sulphate is part of the crystalline structure of ye'elimite and is released with calcium and aluminium where C_3A dissolution releases much less aluminium and more calcium [61] compared to ye'elimite.

The theory of the protective layer or membrane due to an instant precipitation of amorphous phases covering anhydrous grains that constitutes a diffusion barrier that would slow down the dissolution of cement materials as suggested by [62–64] can be eliminated as rate limiting factor of any period. Champenois et al [65] reported that , when the mixing solution contains boric acid (at 1 mol/L), an amorphous borate gel precipitates initially and retards cement hydration by a mechanism which is not fully understood. Several assumptions may be postulated, such as the setting of chemical conditions unfavourable to the dissolution of anhydrous cement phases, or the decrease in the diffusivity of ionic species since this compound precipitates as a very hydrophilic gel-like phase.

However, Few seconds after contact with water and before any layer covers the anhydrous grains, a slowdown of the reaction is already observed [47,52] in a highly stirred solution in suspension experiments. SEM images suggest that no layer is present while the slowing down of the dissolution process is observed [35].

3.4 Impact of mayenite on the solution composition and hydration kinetics

Bullerjahn et al [52] studied the hydration of Fe-Y in comparison to stoichiometric ye'elimite. Besides the polymorphism that was investigated previously by other groups [50,51], they found that the main mineralogical difference is the occurrence of the mayenite in the systems with Fe-rich clinker. Secondary mayenite formation is the result of the decomposition of the ye'elimite in Fe-rich clinker [66]. The lower Al / Ca ratio provided by mayenite compared to ye'elimite promotes the nucleation of ettringite. Even if

higher aluminium concentrations than stoichiometric ye'elimite are present at a given sulphate level, the high calcium concentration coupled to the high aluminium one, promotes a faster and easier nucleation of CAH_{10} and of ettringite [52,59,67,68]. This was as well confirmed by the thermodynamic calculation done by Zajac et al. [60]. Since the dissolution and nucleation processes are coupled reactions, any factor favouring one of them will in turn cause the acceleration of both [69].

Bullerjahn et al. [52] could prove that the polymorphism has a limited impact in comparison to the presence of mayenite in the Fe-rich systems. To verify their statement, they added ground synthetic mayenite to stoichiometric ye'elimite. They could reproduce the faster initial reaction as well as the high pH value observed in Fe-Y during the initial period. A shortening of the induction period was observed as well when comparing both synthetic ye'elimites and the combination of 1% mayenite and synthetic ye'elimite. In another set of experiments, the role of gypsum on the hydration kinetics of ye'elimite, Fe-ye'elimite and additionally the impact of mayenite additions to stoichiometric ye'elimite was tested in stirred suspensions (see Fig. 8). An identical curve, for both the conductivity and pH evolution between stoichiometric ye'elimite+gypsum (1M/1M) with the addition of mayenite and Fe-ye'elimite+gypsum is observed. The shape of the initial period is reproduced as well. The dissolution of mayenite, even if present in trace amounts, continues during the first few seconds until a few minutes of hydration, modifying the Al/Ca and Al/S ratios, and thus promoting nucleation and growth of ettringite. As ettringite uses more calcium than is released by the dissolution of ye'elimite, aluminium ion concentration should increase compared to calcium, which is normally the case during the acceleration period. These concentrations of the pore solution favour the precipitation of amorphous aluminium hydroxide and CAH_{10} . The formation and growth of monosulphate may be kinetically hindered. Additionally, literature reports that sulphate ions cause a faster nucleation of amorphous aluminium hydroxide [70–72]. The addition of gypsum leads to a more uniform hydration pattern with an acceleration of the reactions. Based on the findings described by several research groups [50,51] it is evident that the addition of gypsum results in a faster formation of ettringite, almost independently of the ye'elimite composition. The sharp peak at about 13 hours (see Fig. 7) is probably related to the point of the sulphate depletion and re-accelerated ye'elimite dissolution as suggested by Winnefeld and Barlag [73].

Fig. 7 Comparison of the evolution of the heat hydration of ye'elite (Y),ye'elite with 1 wt.% mayenite (Y+1% C₁₂A₇) and Fe-ye'elite (Fe-Y) at w/b=0.5 and w/b=2. Adapted from [35].

Fig. 8 Evolution of the conductivity and pH of Y+G and Y+G with 1 wt.% mayenite at w/b=100, Fe-Y+G for comparison Y/G 1M/1M. Adapted from [35].

The higher pH or faster reaction in iron-rich CSA, i.e. Ferro-sulphoaluminate cements (FAC) [74], or synthetic Fe-ye'elimite (Fe-Y: solid solution) [51] can be thus explained by the conditions promoting faster nucleation and growth of ettringite as result of mayenite dissolution and thus faster consumption of sulphates. This depletion of sulphates in the solution is the trigger for the rapid dissolution of ye'elimite and the precipitation of monosulphate. The presence of mayenite as described before results generally from the decomposition of ye'elimite when higher amount of iron is added. Indeed iron additions leads to faster ye'elimite and clinker formation, followed by a faster decomposition of the ye'elimite and sulphur volatilization. Traces of mayenite in ye'elimite-based cements might be as well not easily detectable by XRD.

A similar impact of mayenite on the hydration of calcium aluminates alone and in the presence of gypsum is already known. Raab [75] analyzed the hydration of pure krotite and in the presence of mayenite. He was able to show that the concentration of calcium compared to aluminium ions was higher in the presence of mayenite and that the induction period was shortened. Similarly, the presence of mayenite causes the acceleration of the hydration kinetics of CAC clinker [64,75].

3.5 Hydrates at early ages

3.5.1 Calcium rich aluminium hydrates

The (meta)stability of the hydrates formed is another essential aspect to consider when studying CSA and BYF cements that has been recently highlighted by Winnefeld and Lothenbach [76].

The stability of ettringite and AFm phases is often a subject of debate. These phases form solid solutions at the different stages of hydration with different stabilities. The formation kinetics, type of retarder used and the ions present in the pore solution often lead to wide range of solid solutions formed [48,77–80].

In cementitious systems, a transformation of ettringite to monosulphate or vice versa is generally observed depending on the alkalinity and sulphate concentration of the pore solution [81]. Recently, the stability of AFm and AFt has been described according to new factors such as relative humidity, water activity and temperature [82–84]. During the different hydration steps, the CSA and BYF pore solution concentrations cover a wide range of pH and sulphate concentrations. The stability range of ettringite in these systems is still under discussion. Several authors and results reported on the hydration of the different types of cements that ettringite is stable in a pH range of 9 to 13 [45,85–88]. In synthetic systems, and at higher pH (>13) a morphological change from the needle to the spherical aspect is observed [89–91]. At higher pH, the formation and stability of AFm phases are promoted over ettringite [58,92–95]. The (initial) pH of CSA / BYF and ye'elimite which varies from about 10.0 to 11.5 [47,48,73,96–100] favours the formation of ettringite.

Hydration kinetics also influences the formation of metastable phases. For C_3A [61,101], its rapid dissolution causes the massive formation of monosulphate in two hydration stages, where "AFm 14H" and "AFm 16H" are favoured to the detriment of ettringite when sulphates are consumed. The AFm 16H phase is the phase formed at the beginning, but transformed over time into AFm 14H, which is thermodynamically more stable.

Amorphous calcium aluminate hydrate (CAH) generally forms during hydration of aluminate and sulphotoaluminate cements [52,57,60,102,103] where a chemical composition close to CAH_{10} is reported [104]. This gel is a metastable phase and dissolves with the progress of hydration to form new metastable intermediate microcrystalline phases such as C_2AH_8 or C_3AH_6 which is the most thermodynamically stable phase in combination with AH_3 . Different hydrate assemblages can be formed depending on the initial water, curing conditions, calcium availability, applied temperature and curing time [105,106]. Aluminate phases are the phases whose stability is most affected. These phases are the same observed in the early hydration of ye'elimite-based cements [57,68,76]. These microscopic changes generally lead to changes

in macroscopic properties. Indeed phase transformation is generally accompanied by the release of chemically bound water which in turn creates additional porosities and a denser hydrate assemblage is in place [68].

3.5.2 Aluminium hydroxide

Recently, the microcrystalline AH_3 phase present in CSA has attracted research attention [50,51,97,107–112]. It forms besides ettringite and monosulphate from ye'elimite in the absence of significant sources of calcium hydroxide [9,41,42] and is generally X-ray amorphous. In the microstructure of hardened CSA ettringite-rich and AH_3 -rich domains can be distinguished, which exhibit different mechanical properties as determined by nanoindentation [116]. Furthermore it is suggested that AH_3 might have a positive influence on compressive strength [108].

Phenomena such as transient losses of macroscopic properties and increased porosity [68] are suggested to be related to the formation and crystallization of amorphous aluminium hydroxide.

In geochemistry and especially by studying clays, the formation, composition and stability of (oxy-)aluminium hydroxides has been investigated extensively. Aluminium hydroxide is characterized by its high water content in the amorphous state that is released during the recrystallization process. The compositions / concentrations of the solutions and the resulting nucleation rates also influence the type of aluminium hydroxide formed and its crystallinity [117,118]. The nature of the initially precipitating aluminum hydroxide changes as a function of the saturation state of the solution [118–120]. The pH below 11 [99,109–110] favours the formation of amorphous aluminium hydroxide. The increase of temperature and/or curing time increases the crystallinity. Additives, admixtures and retarders to control, delay or inhibit nucleation, influence the morphology and the crystallinity also of this hydrate [109–110]. By mass balance calculations based on QXRD and 1H -TD NMR it was found that at early hydration times aluminium hydroxide produced from the reaction of ye'elimite and gypsum contains more water than referring to the formula AH_3 (rather AH_x with $x \approx 7$) [51]. At later ages the water is released again reforming AH_3 . Bullerjahn et al. [68] reported the release of adsorbed sulphate in addition to the water contribute to the hydration reactions generating additional ettringite. Ptychographic X-ray Computed Tomography was used to study the special distribution of hydrates (**Fig. 12**), and in particular the properties of the aluminium hydroxide present in ye'elimite-containing pastes [109]. In pure ye'elimite pastes a gel with a high water content containing some calcium with the formula $(CaO)_{0.04}Al(OH)_3 \cdot 2.3H_2O$ was detected, whereas in hydrated ye'elimite blended with gypsum a gel without significant water content with the formula $(CaO)_{0.12}Al(OH)_3$ was identified. The densities of the AH_3 gels were lower (1.48 g/cm^3 and 2.05

g/cm³, respectively) than for crystalline gibbsite (2.40 g/cm³). For the density of AH₃ gel in a CSA cement paste, a value of 1.5 g/cm³ was found. AH₃ present in CSA cements has a nano-gibbsite local structure with a particle size of 3-4 nm [112]. The calcium contents in the gels probably originate from intermixing with AFm phases as evidenced by HR-TEM/EDS [107,109].

It has to be mentioned that the amorphous content of hydrated CSA-based systems as determined by QXRD [12,123] does not only contain AH₃, but also X-ray amorphous ettringite and AFm-phases as evidenced by NMR spectroscopy [123], synchrotron pair distribution function analyses [112] and mass balance calculations [124,125].

The knowledge of the conditions of its formation and transformation (change in crystallinity and morphology as well as water content) is very important to understand the hydration of these systems. Recently, Hargis et al. [113] have shown experimentally that the rapid dissolution of ye'elimite is accompanied by the formation of an aluminium hydrate "gel layer" overlying the anhydrous particle. This layer serves as a point of nucleation and growth for ettringite in the surrounding space.

The polymorphism and crystallinity of aluminium hydroxide could be thus controlled by the nucleation rate, the pH, temperature and the presence of complexing or chelating agents.

The sulphate ions in the solution have a particular impact on the nucleation and growth of aluminium hydroxide, which in turn influences its crystallization [47]. The rapid kinetics of dissolution of the ye'elimite and the high sulphate content promotes the formation of an amorphous precursor hydrate two to five times more voluminous than stoichiometric AH₃ [35]. The affinity for adsorption of sulphate ions on this amorphous phase delays its crystallization. Its further polymerization and recrystallization is accompanied by the release of these sulphates [68].

Several similarities from previous CSA studies can be drawn when we consider this information. The pH of about 10.0 to 11.5 (down to 8.5 in the presence of some retarders [48]) favours the formation of aluminium hydroxide in its amorphous form. The rapid reaction and the presence of sulphate tend to favour this amorphous formation. Depleting the sulphates in the solution and increasing the pH throughout hydration would result in the crystallization of this hydrate and the formation of bayerite [126] or gibbsite [51].

3.6 Silicate aluminate interactions

Whether in a CSA-OPC-CS ternary system or in a BYF, the microstructure is already dense with aluminate hydrates precipitated following the reactions of ye'elimite or other aluminate phases, which are often mainly complete after 1 day of hydration. Thus, the silica-rich phases (C₂S, C₃S, etc...) react in a solution that is rich in Al following the equilibrium of the latter with the aluminate-rich hydrates. The reaction of

the silicate is generally slow and do not start and/or progress for several days or weeks [4,127–129]. In BYF, belite reacts in the presence of several alumina-containing hydrates and especially in the presence of aluminium hydroxide. This presence influences both the kinetics of the calcium silicate phases and the hydrate assemblage that follows their hydration. The slow kinetics and the interaction between silicate and aluminate are due to two main phenomena:

- Densification of the microstructure; similar to what observed with the reactions of SCMs at advanced ages in the OPC system, the space occupied by the water at the beginning is gradually filled by hydrates which limits further hydration [130–132].
- The solution composition and the accumulation of anions (e.g. Al) in the pore solution [48]. These elements have been known to negatively impact the reaction of silicates as observed in several studies [133–135].

The effect of pore solution composition and ions present has been discussed in several studies. The composition of the solution at later ages of hydration is close to equilibrium [93] and is largely determined by the hydrates present in the microstructure [49,119].

The solubility of hydrates is lower than that of anhydrous materials. On the one hand, this allows the hydration process to occur, on the other hand, it indicates that hydrates have a more pronounced impact on the concentrations of the porous solution.

Despite the relatively high alumina concentrations, thermodynamic calculations indicate that the silicate phases are undersaturated (see Fig. 9) and therefore do not explain the low reaction kinetics of alite and belite observed in ye'elimite-rich systems. Ion species and concentrations in solution are strongly controlled by the dissolution of the silicate and by the precipitation of strätlingite and C-S-H.

The dissolution of C_2S is characterized by a solubility already among the lowest of all anhydrous systems [42]. In general, the lower the (under-)saturation, the faster the hydration is. It has been observed that calcium silicates are more undersaturated at lower amount of gypsum additions [57]. However, calcium silicate reacts with similar or slightly slower kinetics, indicating that another phenomenon is important. Additionally, during the first days of hydration in CSA systems, alite in ternary OPC CSA systems react more quickly when gypsum is added than in samples without gypsum while belite in BYF cements reacts slower when gypsum is added [57]. When analyzing the pore solution composition, the alumina concentration decreases more rapidly in gypsum systems since its concentration is controlled by the solubility of ettringite and gypsum [48]. The high concentration of alumina slows down the dissolution of calcium silicates as reported in previous studies on calcium aluminates [135,136]. Comparable to OPC, belite begins to react when the pH is high. A higher pH is reported to limit the effect of alumina of the pore

solution on the kinetics of calcium silicate [48]. The acceleration of the reaction of belite in BYF systems is always correlated with the crystallization and the consumption of aluminium hydroxide that it is leading to the decreasing concentration of alumina in the pore solution. This in its turn increases the pH and improves the reactivity of belite at a later age [48].

Fig. 9 Evolution of a) Al concentration, pH, and b) saturation indices of belite over time for a BYF system (dark grey zone: belite starts to react). Adapted from [134].

Other silicate phases besides belite contribute to the long-term hydration reactions. Ternesite ($C_5S_2 \overline{S}$), which may form when excess anhydrite is present in the raw meal [127], is generally considered to be an inert phase, however it is able to hydrate in the presence of AH_3 or calcium (sulpho)aluminates to form strätlingite [57,127,137] and calcium sulphate. The latter is available for further hydration reactions, i.e. ettringite formation from ye'elimite. It was found that ternesite is more reactive than β - C_2S [15,127]. Fluorellestadite ($C_9S_3 \overline{S}_3 \cdot CaF_2$) may be present in CSA clinkers when a mineralizer containing fluorine was used or the raw materials (e.g. fluorogypsum) contained significant amounts of fluoride [23,138]. This phase is hydraulically active as well, and its reactivity exceeds as well the one of β - C_2S [23,100]. Gehlenite (C_2AS), which may form in significant amounts in laboratory and also industrial clinkers, is not fully inert as well. Like in calcium aluminate cements [139], some gehlenite might react and form strätlingite on the longterm [140].

In addition to strätlingite also other hydrates form besides ettringite, monosulphate and AH_3 . Calcium silicate hydrates C-S-H occur at high belite contents and/or belite hydration degrees [76,141], but is challenging to identify especially if it occurs in low amounts [138]. CAH_{10} may form at early age in CSA clinkers and CSA cements with low contents of calcium sulphate (generally anhydrite) as explained previously, and disappears at later hydration times [76]. Hydrogarnet, often incorporating iron and silicium, which forms at ambient temperatures only in the long-term [142,143], can be found at temperatures of 40°C and above already at hydration times of 28 days and even earlier [144,145]. Selective extraction using salicylic acid/methanol can be used to improve the identification of siliceous hydrogarnet [146].

3.7 Impact of retarders

Cement hydration can be delayed in two ways: by inhibiting the dissolution of one or more reactive solids or by inhibiting hydrate precipitation.

According to the retardation of CSA in the literature, the exact and detailed mechanisms of hydration inhibition cannot be clearly identified. However, the main means of retardation can be grouped whether the retarder mainly inhibits clinker dissolution or hydrate precipitation.

Fig. 10 Effect of retarders on pH and Al concentration in pore solution and ye'elimite reaction. C: BYF clinker, CAH: BYF clinker + microanhydrite, T: Tartaric acid, B, Sodium borate, G: Sodium gluconate at W/C=2. Adapted from [48].

3.7.1 Fruit acids

Fruit acids are known calcium chelating ligands whose resulting complexes are poorly soluble in water. Comparing calcium concentrations of samples with fruit acids to reference ones, a significantly higher concentration is found. However, chelating alone cannot be the only cause of delay. This type of retarder must also ensure a certain affinity to aluminium. The increase of pH as well can destabilize the retarder chelating compounds and reaccelerate the reaction. pH values above 11.5, for example are reported to dissolve the complexes formed with aluminate and to release $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_4^-$ in the solution [147].

The limited results on CSA systems lead us to make parallels with other aluminate-based systems. The mechanisms of C_3A reaction retardation by tartrate have been described in the literature [148]. The acids adsorb directly to the surface of the C_3A and block its reaction with water. The mechanism involves chelating calcium with tartaric acid followed by precipitation of a layered calcium tartrate that covers the surface of the C_3A grains, thus inhibits further hydration.

Fruit acids inhibit the nucleation and growth of ettringite [89]. Knowing that ettringite can adsorb large amounts of negatively charged polycarboxylate superplasticizers [149], and knowing that the fruit acids used are generally negatively charged, these acids could thus adsorb on ettringite surfaces and block its growth. Indeed, the results presented by Zajac et al. [48] show that the growth of ettringite is inhibited, which leads to oversaturation of the pore solution with respect to ettringite without being able to observe any precipitation (see Fig. 10). Consumption by absorption in the volume or adsorption on the surface of the hydrates slows down the reaction. Once the retarder is consumed, the kinetics of the reaction reaccelerates as in the case of non-retarded samples.

3.7.2 Borate compounds

A low pH of the cement pore solution is observed when adding borates generally associated with the presence of $B(OH)_4^-$ species in the cement pore solution. Boric acid is a weak acid in water, with a very limited solubility at 25°C. The mononuclear species $B(OH)_3$ and $B(OH)_4^-$ are present and are generally the most abundant present in the solution. Polyborates occur only at boron concentrations above 1g/l. In cement solution generally with a pH greater than 9, $B(OH)_4^-$ is dominant [150].

If sodium borate is used as retarder, the precipitation of the mineral called dawsonite ($NaAlCO_3(OH)_2$) has been reported and suggested by Zajac et al. [48]. This mineral is known in CAC cements exposed to an alkaline environment [151]. However, this phase may only be a metastable phase that captures alkaline ions keeping the pH of the solution low. Borate ions, on the other hand, which are quickly and partially depleted from the solution, could precipitate into two hydrates: (i) ulexite $CaNa[B_5O_6(OH)_6] \cdot 5H_2O$ with a very low crystallinity, which forms transiently during the period of low thermal activity, and (ii) inyoite $Ca(H_4B_3O_7)(OH)_4 \cdot H_2O$, a calcium borate phase [78,80]. In borate systems and due to the low pH, almost no dissolution of ye'elimite is reported suggesting that the retardation is mainly driven by inhibiting the dissolution of the reactive anhydrous phases (see Fig. 10). The process by which dissolution is inhibited is not clear.

3.7.3 Sugars

Sugars are the most effective hydration inhibitors of cements. Based on several results from different studies, it has been hypothesized that the adsorption of saccharides on hydrating minerals contributes to

their effectiveness in slowing hydration [152,153]. However, there is no clear explanation of the exact mechanism of sugar delay on aluminate systems. The work of Smith et al. [154,155] shows that carboxylate glucose reacts with the $-Al(OH)$ groups of calcium aluminium hydrates. This indicates a strong adsorption of saccharinic acids on aluminium hydration products. Gluconate ion inhibits $Al(OH)_x$ precipitation, and it may block gibbsite growth [71,72]. This in its turn inhibits the growth of ettringite and monosulphate, resulting in high oversaturation of these phases.

3.8 Effect of sulphate additions

The type of calcium sulphate added does not influence the long-term stable hydrate assemblage, but influences hydration kinetics, and thus the time when certain hydrates appear, see e.g. [49,73,140,156–159]. While hemihydrate and gypsum are dissolving fast in CSA systems, some anhydrites may show a quite slow hydration kinetics and can still be detected by XRD after 28 days of hydration [140]. The incomplete hydration of anhydrite decreases the amount of ettringite after 28 d compared to a sample where only gypsum was used as calcium sulphate addition. This reduced amount of ettringite leads to a lower volume of hydrates and thus to a lower compressive strength. Blending a low amount of gypsum with an anhydrite of poor reactivity may enhance the dissolution of anhydrite and thus improve compressive strength [140]. When the calcium sulphate phases reached almost full hydration, often long-term strengths are often higher when anhydrite instead of gypsum is used and almost complete hydration has been reached [115,159], but also the opposite effect is reported in literature [76].

The amount of calcium sulphate added to the CSA clinker retards the dissolution kinetics of belite and thus also the kinetics of strätlingite formation [76,160], which is the primary product of belite hydration in CSA cements, see experimental data in e.g. [49,142,158,161] and thermodynamic calculations [76,141]. This is highlighted in Fig. 11 showing the phase development of a CSA hydrated with different additions of gypsum [160], comparing Fig. 11b (lower amount of gypsum added) with Fig. 11c (higher amount of gypsum added). There might be several reasons for this behaviour. Firstly, the more calcium sulphate is added, the more ettringite forms, the higher is the volume of hydrates and the lower the amount of available water and available space to grow hydrates. Secondly, the pore solution of CSA cements containing belite is high in aluminium as long as calcium sulphate is present, and decreases, when the calcium sulphate is depleted [49,100]. It is known from literature, see e.g. [162–164], that Al present in the pore solution delays the hydration of calcium silicates. Thirdly, strätlingite is not a thermodynamic stable phase in the presence of calcium sulphate [76,141].

3.9 Effect of w/c ratio and temperature

For complete hydration of CSA-based systems higher w/c ratios are needed than for Portland cements. Pure ye'elimite blended with 2 molar equivalents of anhydrite needs a w/c of 0.78 for complete hydration [165]. For technical CSA cements values increase with increasing amount of anhydrite added and are in the order of 0.35-0.60 [166]. Lura et al. [167] investigated the hydration of a CSA cement using various w/c between 0.40 and 1.50 by means of isothermal calorimetry. They observed that the cumulative heat after 7 d of hydration increases strongly with increasing w/c up to a w/c of 0.75, while this increase gets remarkably slower beyond a w/c of 0.75. Based on their results they suggested a calorimetric method to estimate the water demand (chemically and physically bound water) of CSA cements.

If the w/c ratios applied are below the water demand needed for complete hydration, self-desiccation occurs, and especially the hydration degrees of the slower reacting phases (i.e. belite, ferrite) remain quite low [142,160,166]. This is illustrated in Fig. 11, where the phase development of a CSA hydrated at w/c 0.80 (Fig. 11b) is compared to the phase development at w/c 0.40 (Fig. 11a) [160]. Ye'elimite and especially belite reach at w/c 0.80 much higher hydration degrees after 28 d than at w/c 0.40. This results in higher ettringite contents and a significant formation of crystalline strätlingite at the higher w/c ratio after 28 days. Furthermore the use of low w/c ratios leads to hydrated pastes with a very low porosity and permeability, see [100,142,168–172].

Hydration temperature influences hydration kinetics, but also the type of hydrate phases formed [144,145]. CAH₁₀ occurs at low to moderate temperatures (up to approximately 40°C), especially in CSA clinkers. In water-saturated conditions, ettringite in CSA remains stable until about 90°C; then it decomposes to monosulphate and calcium sulphate. Thus, CSA-based materials, which were subjected in water saturated conditions to temperatures of 90°C and higher, will undergo a delayed ettringite formation leading to severe expansion [144]. However, if hydrated CSA is heated to 80°C and higher at low relative humidity, ettringite decomposes to meta-ettringite which contains only 9-13 moles of crystal water instead of the usual 30-32 [84,173,174]. This dehydration process is associated with a high enthalpy of 600-800 J/g [173,175,176] and is reversible. Thus hydrated CSA cements are suggested as a suitable material for seasonal heat storage [144,173,175,177–179].

Fig. 11.: QXRD results for a CSA clinker containing 69 mass-% ye'elimite and 20 mass-% belite a) blended with 15 mass-% gypsum and hydrated at w/c 0.40 (g0.15_wc0.4), b) blended with 15 mass-% gypsum and hydrated at w/c 0.80 (CSAB_g0.15_wc_0.8), and c) blended with 25 mass-% gypsum and hydrated at w/c 0.80 (CSAB_g0.25_wc0.8). Taken from [160]. Copyright needed

Fig. 12.: Three-dimensional renderings of the segmented volumes derived from Ptychographic X-ray Computed Tomography showing the components for (a) ye'elimite paste after 8 days of hydration; (b) ye'elimite-gypsum (molar ratio 1:2) paste after 18 days of hydration; and (c) CSA paste (CSA clinker containing 72 mass-% ye'elimite blended with 25 mass-% anhydrite) after 22 days of hydration. Colour codes: for hydrated phases, dark blue-AFm, green-Aft, yellow-A-H gel; for anhydrous phases, brown-ye'elimite (and gypsum), purple- Ca_2SiO_4 , pink-MgO; for porosity, light blue-water porosity, red-air. For CSA paste, Aft and A-H gel are segmented together (green). Scale bar = 5 μm . Taken from [109]. Copyright needed

4 Thermodynamics modelling of hydrated ye'elimite-based systems

4.1 Thermodynamic principles

Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations, see recent review articles focussed on modelling of cementitious systems [93,141,180–182] and references cited therein, predict the composition of a system composed of aqueous, solid and gaseous phases at the temperature and pressure of interest. Such calculations require a geochemical speciation software such as GEMS or PhreeqC and are based on the relative stability of the different hydrates and aqueous species using sets of thermodynamic data compiled in thermodynamic databases containing thermodynamic data for all relevant solid, aqueous and gaseous species, see e.g. [94,183,184].

Thermodynamic modelling of the composition of hydrated cements are based on the composition and amount of reacted anhydrous material using thermodynamics to predict which hydrates are the most stable under the conditions investigated. In cement science, it can help to understand on a chemical level the consequences of factors such as cement composition, hydration, interaction with salt solution or gases, leaching, or temperature on the composition and the properties of a hydrated cementitious system. The stable hydrate phases can be calculated for fully hydrated systems or based on the chemistry of the pore solution. Hydration kinetics can be implemented by restricting the dissolution of the anhydrous phases with time which allows following the changes of solid phases and pore solution chemistry during hydration. As precipitation processes can be slow, thermodynamic equilibrium may not always be reached, thus it is important to compare the modelling results with experimental data, as determined e.g. by X-ray diffraction, thermogravimetry or analyses of the pore solution composition.

4.2 Basic hydration reactions of ye'elimite

The simplified hydration reaction of pure ye'elimite is shown in Equation 2 below resulting in the formation of monosulphate together with aluminium hydroxide. Lately the formation of monocalcium aluminate hydrate (CAH_{10}), a metastable phase, during the early hydration period of synthetic ye'elimite was reported according to Equation 3 [47,68,76,113,143].

Wang [185] speculated that in the absence of calcium sulphate ettringite and katoite may be formed together with aluminium hydroxide according to Equation 4. This assumption is supported by the findings of Song et al. [107].

The formation of other calcium aluminate hydrates such as C_3AH_6 , C_4AH_x beside CAH_{10} are reported in hydrated ye'elimite cements [76,107,186]. These are not considered in these idealized equations.

Thermodynamic modelling predicts stable ones like C_3AH_6 [76,187].

The addition of calcium sulphate promotes the formation of ettringite over monosulphate as presented in a simplified form in Equation 5 with a rate depending on the rate of the calcium sulphate dissolution [115,156].

If the amount of added calcium sulphate is less than that required for the full reaction with ye'elimite, monosulphate will form after the depletion of sulphate. This reaction could be described in a simplified way in Equation 6 .

Equation 6
$$\text{C}_4\text{A}_3\bar{\text{S}} + \text{C}\bar{\text{S}}\text{H}_2 + 26 \text{H} \rightarrow 0.5 \text{C}_6\text{A}\bar{\text{S}}_3\text{H}_{32} + 0.5 \text{C}_4\text{A}\bar{\text{S}}\text{H}_{12} + 2 \text{AH}_3$$

4.3 Thermodynamics in ye'elimite systems

In the recent years, thermodynamic modelling related to systems based on CSA cements has been increasingly used to calculate

- Solid phase changes according to compositional variations e.g. the blending ratio between ye'elimite resp. CSA clinker and calcium sulphate [5, 13, 14, 23, 51, 68], the influence of belite content on the hydration products [13, 14, 19], the amount of supplementary cementitious materials added such as limestone [76,141,188–190], fly ash [138] or metakaolin [191], or the blending with Portland cement [128,188] or hydrated lime [192].
- Solid phase changes of CSA [49,57,100,140,142,160] and CSA blended with OPC and calcium sulphate [129,190] depending on hydration kinetics; kinetics is derived from QXRD and other methods such as NMR and generally fitted with empirical equations.
- Saturation indices of hydrate phases based on the composition of the pore solution of CSA [49,57,100] and CSA blended with Portland cement and calcium sulphotoaluminate [124,129,193,194].
- Interaction of hydrated CSA-based systems with CO₂ to assess phases changes due to carbonation [172,195,196].
- Recently, It has been used to explain the early hydration of ye'elimite phase [35,60]

The CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-CaSO₄-H₂O (and thus its sub-system $\text{C}_4\text{A}_3\bar{\text{S}}-\text{C}_2\text{S}-\text{C}\bar{\text{S}}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$, which is relevant for CSA cements) system was explored by thermodynamic calculations by Damidot et al. [197,198] using the state-of-the-art databases available at that time. Recently, a new version of the CEMDATA thermodynamic database (CEMDATA 18) was published [94], which covers thermodynamic data for most of the clinker and hydrate phases relevant for CSA cements such as ettringite and AFm-phases and their solid solutions, aluminium hydroxide in various states of crystallinity, C-S-H, and C-A-H-phases. In the recent version, also hydrates such as ettringite and AFm-phases with lower content of crystal water are included [82–84], allowing the modelling of hydrated CSA cements at relative humidities below 100%.

Recently, the stable phases in the system $\text{C}_4\text{A}_3\bar{\text{S}}-\text{C}_2\text{S}-\text{C}\bar{\text{S}}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were re-calculated [76,141] using GEMS coupled with the Nagra/PSI database and the cement-specific CEMDATA database. **Fig. 13** presents the phase assemblages for the ternary blend of the anhydrous phases at a fixed value of anhydrite/ye'elimite = 15/85 by mass (molar ratio 0.8). With increasing replacement of ye'elimite by belite, strätlingite forms and Al(OH)₃ is consumed. Ettringite and strätlingite are no longer stable at replacement levels beyond 60% and 80%, respectively, while C-S-H and monosulphate are stabilized due to the availability of

sufficient calcium oxide from belite. Katoite and portlandite occur beyond approximately 75-80 mass-% belite.

More trends can be seen if the quantities of the hydrate phases are plotted in a ternary diagram $C_4A_3\overline{S}$ - C_2S - $C\overline{S}$. Ettringite (Fig. 14a) is stable in almost the entire range of the ternary system with the exception of a small region with high belite contents and very low calcium sulphate contents. Maximum ettringite contents are located around 20-40 mass-% ye'elimite, 20-40 mass-% belite and 40-50 mass-% anhydrite. C-S-H contents decrease towards lower belite contents (Fig. 14b) and C-S-H is absent in the region with both low belite (< 30 mass-%) and low anhydrite (< 30 mass-%) contents. Under these conditions, the silica provided by the belite gets incorporated into strätlingite (Fig. 14c). Strätlingite is present only at low anhydrite contents, and does not occur at high belite contents, as it is not stable in the presence of excess calcium sulphate or portlandite. The total volume of hydrates (Fig. 14d) is strongly related to the amount of ettringite in the hydrate assemblage, as ettringite is the phase incorporating the highest amount of water among the hydrates present in the system. From these calculations of the hydrates occurring in the ternary system $C_4A_3\overline{S}$ - C_2S - $C\overline{S}$ - H_2O , a phase diagram showing the 12 different stable phase assemblages can be derived [76].

The calculations of the stable phase assemblages can be combined with equations describing the dissolution kinetics of the anhydrous clinker phases. Thus, the phase changes of CSA-based systems during hydration can be modelled as shown in Fig. 15a. Ye'elimite and gypsum are modelled to dissolve rapidly, while ettringite, monosulphate and AH_3 are calculated as stable hydrate phases. The silicate containing phases belite and fluorellestadite dissolve much slower and to a lower extent, leading to the formation of strätlingite.

Also the interaction of CSA cements with supplementary cementitious systems can be modelled as shown in Fig. 15b with fly ash as an example, which is proven to show some reactions in CSA-based systems [138]. With increasing reaction degree of fly ash the modelled phase assemblage changes, as strätlingite is formed on the expenses of monosulphate as the amount of dissolved silica from the fly ash increases. At fly ash reaction degrees above 35% C-S-H forms, which was also confirmed experimentally.

4.4 Limitations of thermodynamics

As thermodynamic modelling calculates the stable phases, metastable phase assemblages cannot be easily covered. One major example is the occurrence of CAH_{10} preferably in systems with a low ratio of calcium sulphate to ye'elimite (especially when an anhydrite with low reactivity is used). CAH_{10} forms mainly between 1 and 3 days of hydration, and starts to decompose beyond 28 days at ambient temperatures [47,199]. It has to be noted that it is stable in CSA systems at temperatures below 20°C

[144]. CAH_{10} forms directly from the hydration of ye'elimite together with ettringite and aluminium hydroxide according to Equation 3, which is an alternative reaction path to the formation of monosulphate and aluminium hydroxide (Equation 2).

The occurrence of CAH_{10} is linked to the solubility of AH_3 , which decreases with time due to an increase of its crystallinity as highlighted in Fig. 7 [94,100,199,200]. In Equation 3, 2 moles of AH_3 are formed per mol of ye'elimite, whereas in Equation 2, only 0.67 moles of AH_3 are formed per mol ye'elimite. Thus in case of a highly soluble AH_3 occurring at early hydration times, the reaction according to Equation 2 would be thermodynamically more favourable than the reaction according to Equation 3, as the very stable phase ettringite is formed together with less AH_3 . The very early occurrence of CAH_{10} already after a few minutes of hydration was recently evidenced by [47]. At later ages, when AH_3 becomes less soluble and thus more stable, ettringite and CAH_{10} are destabilized in favour of monosulphate (see Fig. 16).

Another well-known case of metastability is the occurrence of hemicarboxate in CSA cements blended with limestone instead of the more stable monocarbonate, which is calculated to form [189,190]. The formation of hemicarboxate instead of monocarbonate is observed as well in hydrated Portland cements [201] and could be related either to a slow limestone dissolution kinetics or to a faster formation of hemicarboxate compared to monocarbonate. A recent study [202] revealed that in the case of Portland cements the faster formation kinetics of hemicarboxate compared to monocarbonate seems to be the main influencing factor.

Regarding thermodynamic modelling of CSA-based systems still some gaps are existing. There are several thermodynamic datasets for ye'elimite available in literature [32,203–205], which need to be critically reviewed before including a consistent data set into thermodynamic databases. Such data would be needed e.g. for calculating the hydration heat flow and the heat of hydration of ye'elimite-based systems, similar as previously done for Portland cement [206]. While thermodynamic data for ternesite was recently determined [41,205], such data seems to be missing for fluorellestadite.

Also the composition of C-S-H in hydrated CSA, which is expected to form at high belite reaction degrees, is not known. It can be hypothesized that such C-S-H would have a low Ca/Si ratio and would contain significant amounts of aluminium as in the case of hydrated Portland cement blended with Al-containing SCMs, see e.g. [207]. Currently the composition of C-S-H in CSA cements, especially the Al-intake, cannot be modelled properly as a thermodynamic model for C-A-S-H is ongoing research even for (blended) Portland cement [94].

Fig. 13.: Calculated phase diagram of the thermodynamic stable hydrate assemblages of the system ye'elimite – calcium sulphate – belite – water at a fixed molar ratio anhydrite / ye'elimite of 0.8 (anhydrite / ye'elimite = 15:85 by mass) using a w/c of 1 allowing complete hydration. Taken from [76] . Copyright needed

834

835

836

Fig. 14.: Ternary diagram $C_4A_3\overline{S} - C_2S - C\overline{S}$ at 20°C, a) ettringite, b) C-S-H, c) strätlingite contents, and d) total volume of hydrates in cm^3 per 100 g unhydrated solid. The dotted lines in Fig. 14a represent different molar ratios between ye'elimite and anhydrite. Taken from [76,140].

Fig. 15. a) Thermodynamic modelling of CSA cement hydration over time (taken from [100]), b) Calculated hydrate assemblages of a CSA-cement / fly ash blend (55/45 by mass) as a function of fly ash reaction degree (adapted from [138]). Copyright needed for a)

Fig. 16. Solubility of AH_3 as a function of time. The solubility change over time was fitted using the data from Lothenbach et al [119]. The data from Capmas and Ménétrier-Sorrentino (3 to 9 minutes) [208] and Pokrovskii and Helgeson [209] (Gibbsite) were omitted from the equation fit because their exact times could not be determined but are shown for reference. Taken from [100]. Copyright needed

5 Concluding remarks and further perspectives

In the recent years, a lot of progress has been made on understanding ye'elimite-based cements. Latest advances in clinkering focused mainly on the effect of iron content in the raw meal on ye'elimite formation and its stability. It was found that iron accelerates the ye'elimite formation. Additionally, ye'elimite containing iron seems to possess a lower thermal stability compared to the stoichiometric one and starts to decompose if the sintering temperature is too high and / or dwell time is too long. The decomposition of ye'elimite leads to the volatilization of sulphur and the formation of secondary phases such as mayenite, krotite and ferrite. The XRD detectable quantities of mayenite are typically below 1% in the solid solution ye'elimite clinker. It is furthermore possible that a part of mayenite is present in an amorphous form.

Concerning hydration kinetics, recent research activities could highlight that the polymorphism alone cannot explain the different hydration kinetics of stoichiometric ye'elimite and the iron-bearing solid solutions and what is observed in CSA and BYF cements. In the literature results or from industrial clinker analysis, the increase of iron content is coupled with a faster rate of hydration of ye'elimite. The presence of secondary mayenite, from the partial decomposition of ye'elimite, can partially explain all observed features.

867 Thermodynamic modelling has as well progressed during the last decades. It is possible to predict the pore
868 solution composition at the equilibrium with the hydrates phases present at given hydration time. Several
869 studies demonstrated as well the role of pore solution composition either on the kinetics of reaction in
870 ye'elimite based cements or on the resulting hydrate assemblage.

871 The slow reaction of silicate phase is related to the pore solution composition and the space filling by the
872 reaction of the more reactive ye'elimite. The ion concentrations in solution are strongly controlled by the
873 Al concentration and AH_3 . A high concentration limits the dissolution of silicate phases. The
874 thermodynamic modelling does not capture this phenomenon. Though, the modelling indicates that the
875 calcium silicates are more undersaturated in the samples when Al concentration is high.

876 Despite the high efforts in research on ye'elimite cements and the recent achievements as highlighted in
877 this papers, still open questions need to be solved:

878 Information on formation rate and stability of ye'elimite in correlation to iron content are missing. Besides
879 iron, several other minor elements such as alkali, boron, chromium, manganese, titanium, phosphate,
880 fluorine and many others are reported for CSA and BYF as well. It is possible that some of these elements
881 affect the formation, composition, stability and hydraulic reactivity of ye'elimite in the same way as iron.
882 Additional systematic studies are needed to better understand their impact on ye'elimite synthesis and
883 the resulting secondary phases.

884 More complex reactions and interactions could be thus investigated and potentially more industrial by-
885 products and waste could be used for the production of CSA and BYF clinkers.

886 Several minor phases such as free lime, periclase, alkali sulphates and Ca-langbeinite are present in
887 ye'elimite-based cements, depending on the choice of raw materials and the process conditions. These
888 minor phases can affect the hydration kinetics. Thermodynamic investigations show for some of them
889 (e.g. alkali sulphate, free lime) some interesting similarities to the mayenite system, especially the
890 acceleration when added together with gypsum. It would be of high scientific as well as industrial
891 relevance to study and verify the mechanisms behind these "similarities" which would enable the better
892 understanding of industrial clinker early hydration.

893 The evolution of the solution composition is the main factor determining the onset and duration of the
894 hydration stages. The understanding of the effect of the solution composition on the dissolution
895 precipitation reactions is therefore of high importance to minimize the formation of metastable phases

896 for a better mechanical performance of the cements. The effect of sulphate source or retarders or their
897 combination on the nucleation and the crystallinity of aluminium should be checked.

898 The use of retarders such borax, citrate, gluconate or tartrate was already reported for CSA and BYF
899 cements. However, the exact retarding mechanisms, efficiency and effect on the formation of the
900 metastable hydrates of some retarders remain poorly understood. It would be as well important to
901 understand how the different ion such as aluminium, calcium, sulphate interact in solution with these
902 retarders (formation of ion complexes, etc.).

903 Another necessary problem that needs to be investigated concerns the effect of the temperature on the
904 dissolution and precipitation reactions especially on the crystallinity of previously formed amorphous
905 phases such as aluminium hydroxide, which causes the release of combined water. Further insight in the
906 composition and stability of the formed hydrates could be generated and the dynamics of formation could
907 be verified against the thermodynamics. Based on this, the relationship between the time-temperature
908 history, the crystallinity, hydration state, transformation rates, densities and bound water contents of
909 hydrates and the subsequent space filling potential could be further investigated, including the
910 microstructural evolution.

911 The effect of iron and its low mobility in the pore solution of hydrating ye'elimite, CSA and BYF on the
912 nucleation, growth, composition and crystallinity of hydrates should be further studied. The question
913 regarding the morphology and the composition of C-S-H in those systems needs further investigation as
914 well.

915 It is desirable to transfer the collected results and knowledge to industrial scale. This can be done by
916 working with synthetic, model CSA and BYF cements obtained by e.g. blending ye'elimite with other
917 phases such as belite, mayenite, ferrite and different calcium sulphates such as anhydrite and gypsum.

918 For the performance characterization of these cements, cement producers should be more open to share
919 their data on concretes. Durability tests should range from accelerated laboratory testing to outdoor
920 storage of samples in real environment. This should be undertaken in close collaboration with research
921 institutions to ensure that the performance of ye'elimite cement concretes is generally comparable to
922 common concretes. Recent studies showed that BYF concretes are characterized by different strength
923 development kinetics – a rapid strength gain within the first day or two is followed by a plateau [210].
924 Depending on the binder composition and storage conditions, the strength can further increase between
925 2-3 months and 1 year. Another unique property of ye'elimite cement based concretes is their volume

shrinkage that is 2 to 3 times lower compared to common concrete. Efficiency of common admixtures, air-entrainers agent in ettringite systems should be as well studied as the latest were originally developed for Portland clinker-based concretes.

For the application of these cements, new standards and further field application are required to show their versatility. In China, the Third Cement Series is standardized since many years in GB 20472-2006. Different European cement companies obtained European technical approvals for some CSA application and standardization activities may follow. ACI has recently formed a committee on alternative cements (ACI 242), which covers also CSA. They also released the ITG-10R: Practitioner's Guide for Alternative Cements.

6 References

- [1] E. Gartner, H. Hirao, A review of alternative approaches to the reduction of CO₂ emissions associated with the manufacture of the binder phase in concrete, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 78 (2015) 126–142. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.04.012.
- [2] E. Gartner, Industrially interesting approaches to low-CO₂ cements, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 34 (2004) 1489–1498. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.01.021.
- [3] E. Gartner, T. Sui, Alternative cement clinkers, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2017). doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2017.02.002.
- [4] W. Dienemann, D. Schmitt, F. Bullerjahn, M. Ben Haha, Belite-Calciumsulfoaluminate-Ternesite (BCT) - A new low-carbon clinker Technology, *Cem. Int.* 11 (2013). doi:10.1127/1438-9134/2014/018.
- [5] M.C.G. Juenger, F. Winnefeld, J.L. Provis, J.H. Ideker, Advances in alternative cementitious binders, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2011). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.11.012.
- [6] A. Klein, G.E. Troxell, Studies of Calcium Sulfoaluminate Admixtures for Expansive Cements, *Proc. Am. Soc. Test. Mater.* (1958) 986–1008.
- [7] L. Zhang, M. Su, Y. Wang, Development of the use of sulfo- and ferroaluminate cements in China, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (1999). doi:10.1680/adcr.1999.11.1.15.
- [8] W.-H. Chae, D.-C. Park, S.-H. Choi, Early Hydration of Modified Belite Cement Prepared by Adding Borax., *Korean J. Ceram.* 2 (1996) 147-151.
- [9] A. Cuesta, E.R. Losilla, M.A.G. Aranda, J. Sanz, Á.G. De La Torre, Reactive belite stabilization mechanisms by boron-bearing dopants, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2012). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2012.01.006.
- [10] R. El Hayek, F. Ferey, P. Florian, A. Pisch, D.R. Neuville, Structure and properties of lime aluminoborate glasses, *Chem. Geol.* (2017). doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.11.025.
- [11] C. HIRAYAMA, Properties of Aluminoborate Glasses of Group II Metal Oxides: I, Glass Formation

960 and Thermal Expansion, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 44 (1961) 602–606. doi:10.1111/j.1151-
961 2916.1961.tb11666.x.

962 [12] M. Garcia-Mate, I. Santacruz, A. Cuesta, L. Leon-Reina, M.A.G. Aranda, I. Baco, V. Morin, G.
963 Walenta, E. Gartner, A.G. De la Torre, Amorphous determination in calcium sulfoaluminate
964 materials by external and internal methods, *Adv. Cem. Res.* 27 (2015) 417–423.
965 doi:10.1680/adcr.14.00026.

966 [13] A. Cuesta, A.G. De la Torre, E.R. Losilla, V.K. Peterson, P. Rejmak, A. Ayuela, C. Frontera, M.A.G.G.
967 Aranda, Structure, Atomistic Simulations, and Phase Transition of Stoichiometric Yeelimite,
968 *Chem. Mater.* 25 (2013) 1680–1687. doi:10.1021/cm400129z.

969 [14] A. Cuesta, Á.G. De La Torre, E.R. Losilla, I. Santacruz, M.A.G.G. Aranda, Pseudocubic Crystal
970 Structure and Phase Transition in Doped Ye’elimite, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 14 (2014) 5158–5163.
971 doi:10.1021/cg501290q.

972 [15] F. Bullerjahn, D. Schmitt, M. Ben Haha, Effect of raw mix design and of clinkering process on the
973 formation and mineralogical composition of (ternesite) belite calcium sulphoaluminate ferrite
974 clinker, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 59 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2014.02.004.

975 [16] G.J. Redhammer, G. Tippelt, G. Roth, G. Amthauer, Structural variations in the brownmillerite
976 series $\text{Ca}_2(\text{Fe}_{2-x}\text{Al}_x)\text{O}_5$: Single-crystal X-ray diffraction at 25 °C and high-temperature X-ray
977 powder diffraction ($25\text{ °C} \leq T \leq 1000\text{ °C}$), *Am. Mineral.* (2004). doi:10.2138/am-2004-2-322.

978 [17] P. Arjunan, M.R. Silsbee, D.M. Roy, Sulfoaluminate-belite cement from low-calcium fly ash and
979 sulfur-rich and other industrial by-products, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (1999). doi:10.1016/S0008-
980 8846(99)00072-1.

981 [18] E.B. da Costa, E.D. Rodríguez, S.A. Bernal, J.L. Provis, L.A. Gobbo, A.P. Kirchheim, Production and
982 hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate-belite cements derived from aluminium anodising sludge,
983 *Constr. Build. Mater.* (2016). doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.06.022.

984 [19] Y. Shen, J. Qian, Y. Huang, D. Yang, Synthesis of belite sulfoaluminate-ternesite cements with
985 phosphogypsum, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 63 (2015) 67–75.
986 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2015.09.003.

987 [20] A. Rungchet, C.S. Poon, P. Chindapasirt, K. Pimraksa, Synthesis of low-temperature calcium
988 sulfoaluminate-belite cements from industrial wastes and their hydration: Comparative studies
989 between lignite fly ash and bottom ash, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* (2017).
990 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.06.013.

991 [21] B.J. DUVALLET, T.; RATHBONE, R. F.; HENKE, K. R.; ROBERT, Low-Energy , Low CO₂ - Emitting
992 Cements Produced from Coal Combustion By-Products and Red Mud, in: *World Coal Ash Conf.*,
993 2009.

994 [22] I.A. Chen, M.C.G. Juenger, Incorporation of coal combustion residuals into calcium
995 sulfoaluminate-belite cement clinkers, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* (2012).
996 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.04.006.

997 [23] A. Telesca, M. Marroccoli, F. Winnefeld, Synthesis and characterization of calcium sulfoaluminate
998 cements produced by different chemical gypsums, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (2019) in press.

- 999 doi:10.1680/jadcr.18.00122.
- 1000 [24] E.A. El-Alfi, R.A. Gado, Preparation of calcium sulfoaluminate-belite cement from marble sludge
1001 waste, *Constr. Build. Mater.* (2016). doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.03.103.
- 1002 [25] A. Telesca, M. Marroccoli, M. Tomasulo, G.L. Valenti, H. Dieter, F. Montagnaro, Calcium looping
1003 spent sorbent as a limestone replacement in the manufacture of portland and calcium
1004 sulfoaluminate cements, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* (2015). doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b00394.
- 1005 [26] Á.G. De La Torre, A.J.M. Cuberos, G. Álvarez-Pinazo, A. Cuesta, M.A.G. Aranda, In situ powder
1006 diffraction study of belite sulfoaluminate clinkering, *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* (2011).
1007 doi:10.1107/S0909049511005796.
- 1008 [27] T. Hanein, A. Elhoweris, I. Galan, F.P. Glasser, Campbell, M.N. Bannerman, Thermodynamic data
1009 of ye'elemite ($C_4A_3\bar{S}$) for cement clinker equilibrium calculations., in: 35th Cem. Concr. Sci.
1010 Conf., 2015.
- 1011 [28] T. Hanein, I. Galan, A. Elhoweris, S. Khare, S. Skalamprinos, G. Jen, M. Whittaker, M.S. Imbabi,
1012 F.P. Glasser, M.N. Bannerman, Production of belite calcium sulfoaluminate cement using sulfur as
1013 a fuel and as a source of clinker sulfur trioxide: pilot kiln trial, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (2016).
1014 doi:10.1680/jadcr.16.00018.
- 1015 [29] P. Xue, A. Xu, D. He, Q. Yang, G. Liu, F. Engström, B. Björkman, Research on the sintering process
1016 and characteristics of belite sulphoaluminate cement produced by BOF slag, *Constr. Build. Mater.*
1017 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.06.098.
- 1018 [30] S. Muzhen, D. Junan, W. Zongdao, L. Xiaoxin, Research on the Chemical Composition and
1019 Microstructures of Sulpho-Aluminate Cement Clinker, in: 9th Int. Congr. Chem. Cem., New Delhi,
1020 1992: pp. 94–100.
- 1021 [31] C.W. Bale, P. Chartrand, S.A. Degterov, G. Eriksson, K. Hack, R. Ben Mahfoud, J. Melançon, A.D.
1022 Pelton, S. Petersen, FactSage thermochemical software and databases, *Calphad Comput.*
1023 *Coupling Phase Diagrams Thermochem.* (2002). doi:10.1016/S0364-5916(02)00035-4.
- 1024 [32] A. Pisch, A. Pasturel, On the heat of formation of ye'elimite $Ca_4Al_6O_{12} \times SO_4$ using density
1025 functional theory, *Adv. Cem. Res.* 0 (n.d.) 1–7. doi:10.1680/jadcr.18.00128.
- 1026 [33] L. Barcelo, J. Kline, G. Walenta, E. Gartner, Cement and carbon emissions, *Mater. Struct. Constr.*
1027 47 (2014) 1055–1065. doi:10.1617/s11527-013-0114-5.
- 1028 [34] Y.-P. Jacob, M. Pasquier, D. Renie, G. Beauvent, Optimised vertical-shaft kiln of producing
1029 sulfoaluminous clinker, US9422192B2, 2012.
- 1030 [35] F. Bullerjahn, Characterisation and hydration of ye'elimite containing cements, EPFL, 2018.
1031 http://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/254873/files/EPFL_TH7953.pdf.
- 1032 [36] D. Londono-Zuluaga, J.I. Tobón, M.A.G. Aranda, I. Santacruz, A.G. De la Torre, Clinkering and
1033 hydration of belite-alite-ye'elimite cement, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* (2017).
1034 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.04.002.
- 1035 [37] F. Puertas, M.T.B. Varela, S.G. Molina, Kinetics of the thermal decomposition of $C_4A_3\bar{S}$ in air,

1036 Cem. Concr. Res. (1995). doi:10.1016/0008-8846(95)00046-F.

1037 [38] B. Touzo, K.L. Scrivener, F.P. Glasser, Phase compositions and equilibria in the CaO–Al₂O₃–
1038 Fe₂O₃–SO₃ system, for assemblages containing ye’elimite and ferrite Ca₂(Al,Fe)O₅, Cem. Concr.
1039 Res. 54 (2013) 77–86. doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2013.08.005.

1040 [39] P.K. Mehta, Investigations on energy saving cements, World Cem. Technology. (1980).

1041 [40] Y. Pliego-Cuervo, F.P. Glasser, Phase relations and crystal chemistry of apatite and silicocarnotite
1042 solid solutions, Cem. Concr. Res. (1978). doi:10.1016/0008-8846(78)90033-9.

1043 [41] T. Hanein, I. Galan, F.P. Glasser, S. Skalamprinos, A. Elhoweris, M.S. Imbabi, M.N. Bannerman,
1044 Stability of ternesite and the production at scale of ternesite-based clinkers, Cem. Concr. Res. 98
1045 (2017) 91–100. doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2017.04.010.

1046 [42] L. Zhang, Microstructure and performance of calcium sulfoaluminate cements, PhD thesis,
1047 University of Aberdeen, UK, 2000.

1048 [43] P. Juilland, E. Gallucci, Morpho-topological investigation of the mechanisms and kinetic regimes
1049 of alite dissolution, Cem. Concr. Res. 76 (2015) 180–191.
1050 doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2015.06.001.

1051 [44] J.W. Bullard, H.M. Jennings, R.A. Livingston, A. Nonat, G.W. Scherer, J.S. Schweitzer, K.L.
1052 Scrivener, J.J. Thomas, Mechanisms of cement hydration, Cem. Concr. Res. 41 (2011) 1208–1223.
1053 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.09.011.

1054 [45] B. Lothenbach, T. Matschei, G. Möschner, F.P. Glasser, Thermodynamic modelling of the effect of
1055 temperature on the hydration and porosity of Portland cement, Cem. Concr. Res. 38 (2008) 1–18.
1056 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.08.017.

1057 [46] M. Ben Haha, B. Lothenbach, G. Le Saout, F. Winnefeld, Influence of slag chemistry on the
1058 hydration of alkali-activated blast-furnace slag - Part II: Effect of Al²⁺O³,
1059 Cem. Concr. Res. 42 (2012) 74–83. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.08.005.

1060 [47] F. Bullerjahn, E. Boehm-Courjault, M. Zajac, M. Ben Haha, K. Scrivener, Hydration reactions and
1061 stages of clinker composed mainly of stoichiometric ye’elimite, Cem. Concr. Res. (2019).
1062 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.10.023.

1063 [48] M. Zajac, J. Skocek, F. Bullerjahn, M. Ben Haha, Effect of retarders on the early hydration of
1064 calcium-sulpho-aluminate (CSA) type cements, Cem. Concr. Res. 84 (2016).
1065 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.02.014.

1066 [49] F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, Hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate cements — Experimental
1067 findings and thermodynamic modelling, Cem. Concr. Res. 40 (2010) 1239–1247.
1068 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0008884609002294> (accessed January 17,
1069 2019).

1070 [50] A. Cuesta, G. Álvarez-Pinazo, S.G.G. Sanfélix, I. Peral, M.A.G.M.A.G. Aranda, A.G. De la Torre,
1071 Hydration mechanisms of two polymorphs of synthetic ye’elimite, Cem. Concr. Res. 63 (2014)
1072 127–136. doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2014.05.010.

- 1073 [51] D. Jansen, A. Spies, J. Neubauer, D. Ectors, F. Goetz-Neunhoeffler, Studies on the early hydration
1074 of two modifications of ye'elimite with gypsum, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 91 (2017) 106–116.
1075 doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2016.11.009.
- 1076 [52] F. Bullerjahn, M. Zajac, M. Ben Haha, K.L. Scrivener, M. Ben Haha, K.L. Scrivener, M. Ben Haha,
1077 K.L. Scrivener, Factors influencing the hydration kinetics of ye'elimite; effect of mayenite, *Cem.*
1078 *Concr. Res.* (2019). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.10.026.
- 1079 [53] S.L. Brantley, A.A. Olsen, Reaction Kinetics of Primary Rock-Forming Minerals under Ambient
1080 Conditions, in: *Treatise Geochemistry Second Ed.*, 2013. doi:10.1016/B978-0-08-095975-7.00503-
1081 9.
- 1082 [54] G.E. Brown, T.P. Trainor, A.M. Chaka, Geochemistry of mineral surfaces and factors affecting
1083 their chemical reactivity, in: *Chem. Bond. Surfaces Interfaces*, 2008. doi:10.1016/B978-
1084 044452837-7.50008-3.
- 1085 [55] L. Nicoleau, E. Schreiner, A. Nonat, Ion-specific effects influencing the dissolution of tricalcium
1086 silicate, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 59 (2014) 118–138. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2014.02.006.
- 1087 [56] D. Jansen, Dissolution of ye'elimite -personal communications, (2018).
- 1088 [57] M. Zajac, J. Skocek, C. Stabler, F. Bullerjahn, M. Ben Haha, Hydration and performance evolution
1089 of belite–ye'elimite–ferrite cement, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (2019) in press. doi:10.1680/jadcr.18.00110.
- 1090 [58] S.W.W. Tang, H.G.G. Zhu, Z.J.J. Li, E. Chen, H.Y.Y. Shao, Hydration stage identification and phase
1091 transformation of calcium sulfoaluminate cement at early age, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 75 (2015)
1092 11–18. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0950061814012185> (accessed
1093 January 30, 2019).
- 1094 [59] D. Damidot, Calculation of critically supersaturated domains with respect to ettringite in the CaO-
1095 Al₂O₃-CaSO₄-H₂O system at 20°C, in: *12th Int. Congr. Chem. Cem.*, Montreal, Canada, 2007.
- 1096 [60] M. Zajac, J. Skocek, F. Bullerjahn, B. Lothenbach, K. Scrivener, M. Ben Haha, Early hydration of
1097 ye'elimite: insights from thermodynamic modelling, *Cem. Concr. Res.* under revi (2019).
- 1098 [61] H. Minard, S. Garrault, L. Regnaud, A. Nonat, Mechanisms and parameters controlling the
1099 tricalcium aluminate reactivity in the presence of gypsum, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 37 (2007) 1418–
1100 1426. doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2007.06.001.
- 1101 [62] S. Goto, K.S. You, K. Ioku, H. Fujimori, Amorphous Layers Controlling the Rate of Hydration of
1102 Cement Minerals, *J. Ceram. Soc. Japan*, Bd. 112 (2004) 1308–1310.
- 1103 [63] W. Lan, F.P. Glasser, Hydration of calcium sulphaaluminate cements, *Adv. Cem. Res.* 8 (1996)
1104 127–134.
- 1105 [64] A.D. Capmas, D. Ménétrier-Sorrentino, D. Damidot, Effect of temperature on setting time of
1106 calcium aluminate cements, in: *Proc. Int. Symp. Calcium Aluminate Cem.*, Cambridge, Great
1107 Britain, 1990.
- 1108 [65] J.-B. Champenois, C.C.D. Coumes, A. Poulesquen, P. Le Bescop, D. Damidot, Beneficial use of a
1109 cell coupling rheometry, conductimetry, and calorimetry to investigate the early age hydration of

- 1110 calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Rheol. Acta*. 52 (2013) 177–187.
- 1111 [66] T. Scholten, Untersuchungen an sulfatischen Klinkerphasen CO₂-armer Zemente, PAPIERFLIE,
1112 Technische Universität Clausthal, 2017.
- 1113 [67] F.M. Lea, P.C. Hewlett, Lea's chemistry of cement and concrete, Elsevier, 2004.
- 1114 [68] F. Bullerjahn, J. Skocek, M. Ben Haha, K. Scrivener, Chemical shrinkage of ye'elimite with and
1115 without gypsum addition, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 200 (2019) 770–780.
1116 doi:10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2018.12.170.
- 1117 [69] L. Nicoleau, A. Nonat, A new view on the kinetics of tricalcium silicate hydration, *Cem. Concr. Res.*
1118 86 (2016) 1–11. doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2016.04.009.
- 1119 [70] P.H. Hsu, Aluminum Hydroxides and Oxyhydroxides, in: *Miner. Soil Environ.*, Soil Science Society
1120 of America, Madison, WI, 1989: pp. 331–378. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser1.2ed.c7>.
- 1121 [71] H. De Hek, R.. Stol, P.. De Bruyn, Hydrolysis-precipitation studies of aluminum(III) solutions. 3.
1122 The role of the sulfate ion, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 64 (1978) 72–89. doi:10.1016/0021-
1123 9797(78)90336-3.
- 1124 [72] K.P. Prodromou, Formation of Aluminum Hydroxides as Influenced by Aluminum Salts and Bases,
1125 *Clays Clay Miner.* 43 (1995) 111–115.
- 1126 [73] F. Winnefeld, S. Barlag, Calorimetric and thermogravimetric study on the influence of calcium
1127 sulfate on the hydration of ye'elimite, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* 101 (2009) 949–957.
1128 doi:10.1007/s10973-009-0582-6.
- 1129 [74] L. Zhang, M. Su, Y. Wang, Development of the use of sulfo-and ferroaluminate cements in China,
1130 *Adv. Cem. Res.* 11 (1999) 15–21.
1131 <http://www.icevirtuallibrary.com/content/article/10.1680/adcr.1999.11.1.15>.
- 1132 [75] B. Raab, Synthese und Charakterisierung nanoskaliger hydraulisch hochreaktiver Phasen des
1133 Portland- und Tonerdezements, Halle, Univ., 2010.
- 1134 [76] F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, Phase equilibria in the system Ca₄Al₆O₁₂SO₄ - Ca₂SiO₄ - CaSO₄ -
1135 H₂O referring to the hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate cements, *RILEM Tech. Lett.* 1 (2016)
1136 10–16.
- 1137 [77] J.-B. Champenois, A. Mesbah, C. Cau Dit Coumes, G. Renaudin, F. Leroux, C. Mercier, B. Revel, D.
1138 Damidot, Crystal structures of Boro-AFm and sBoro-AFt phases, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 42 (2012)
1139 1362–1370. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2012.06.003.
- 1140 [78] C. Cau Dit Coumes, M. Dhoury, J.B. Champenois, C. Mercier, D. Damidot, Combined effects of
1141 lithium and borate ions on the hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Cem. Concr. Res.*
1142 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.03.006.
- 1143 [79] H. Poellmann, S. Auer, H.J. Kuzel, R. Wenda, Solid solution of ettringites. Part II: Incorporation of
1144 B(OH)₄⁻ and CrO₄²⁻ in 3CaO·Al₂O₃·3CaSO₄·32H₂O, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (1993). doi:10.1016/0008-
1145 8846(93)90107-K.
- 1146 [80] J.-B. Champenois, M. Dhoury, C. Cau Dit Coumes, C. Mercier, B. Revel, P. Le Bescop, D. Damidot,

1147 Influence of sodium borate on the early age hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Cem.*
1148 *Concr. Res.* 70 (2015) 83–93. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2014.12.010.

1149 [81] P. Feng, C. Miao, J.W. Bullard, Factors Influencing the Stability of AFm and AFt in the Ca–Al–S–O–
1150 H System at 25°C., *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 99 (2016) 1031–1041. doi:10.1111/jace.13971.

1151 [82] L.G. Baquerizo, T. Matschei, K.L. Scrivener, M. Saeidpour, L. Wadsö, Hydration states of AFm
1152 cement phases, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 73 (2015) 143–157. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.02.011.

1153 [83] L.G. Baquerizo, T. Matschei, K.L. Scrivener, M. Saeidpour, A. Thorell, L. Wadsö, Methods to
1154 determine hydration states of minerals and cement hydrates, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 65 (2014) 85–95.
1155 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2014.07.009.

1156 [84] L.G. Baquerizo, T. Matschei, K.L. Scrivener, Impact of water activity on the stability of ettringite,
1157 *Cem. Concr. Res.* 79 (2016) 31–44. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.07.008.

1158 [85] B. Lothenbach, F. Winnefeld, C. Alder, E. Wieland, P. Lunk, Effect of temperature on the pore
1159 solution, microstructure and hydration products of Portland cement pastes, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 37
1160 (2007) 483–491. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2006.11.016.

1161 [86] P.W. Brown, J. V. Bothe, The stability of ettringite, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (1993).
1162 doi:10.1680/adcr.1993.5.18.47.

1163 [87] R. Barbarulo, H. Peycelon, S. Leclercq, Chemical equilibria between C-S-H and ettringite, at 20 and
1164 85 °C, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2007). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.04.013.

1165 [88] T. Nishikawa, K. Suzuki, S. Ito, K. Sato, T. Takebe, Decomposition of synthesized ettringite by
1166 carbonation, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (1992). doi:10.1016/0008-8846(92)90130-N.

1167 [89] A.M. Cody, H. Lee, R.D. Cody, P.G. Spry, The effects of chemical environment on the nucleation,
1168 growth, and stability of ettringite $[\text{Ca}_3\text{Al}(\text{OH})_6]_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 26\text{H}_2\text{O}$, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 34 (2004) 869–
1169 881. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2003.10.023.

1170 [90] W. Prince, M. Espagne, P.C. Aitcin, Ettringite formation: A crucial step in cement superplasticizer
1171 compatibility, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2003). doi:10.1016/S0008-8846(02)01042-6.

1172 [91] P.K. Mehta, Scanning electron micrographic studies of ettringite formation, *Cem. Concr. Res.*
1173 (1976). doi:10.1016/0008-8846(76)90115-0.

1174 [92] T. Matschei, B. Lothenbach, F.P. Glasser, Thermodynamic properties of Portland cement hydrates
1175 in the system $\text{CaO}-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-\text{SiO}_2-\text{CaSO}_4-\text{CaCO}_3-\text{H}_2\text{O}$, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 37 (2007) 1379–1410.
1176 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.06.002.

1177 [93] D. Damidot, B. Lothenbach, D. Herfort, F.P. Glasser, Thermodynamics and cement science, *Cem.*
1178 *Concr. Res.* 41 (2011) 679–695. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.03.018.

1179 [94] B. Lothenbach, D.A. Kulik, T. Matschei, M. Balonis, L. Baquerizo, B. Dilnesa, G.D. Miron, R.J.
1180 Myers, Cemdata 18: A chemical thermodynamic database for hydrated Portland cements and
1181 alkali-activated materials, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 115 (2019) 472–506.
1182 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.04.018.

1183 [95] R. Wang, P.M. Wang, Formation of hydrates of calcium aluminates in cement pastes with

1184 different dosages of SBR powder, *Constr. Build. Mater.* (2011).
 1185 doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.07.013.

1186 [96] S. Berger, G. Aouad, C. Cau Dit Coumes, P. Le Bescop, D. Damidot, Leaching of calcium
 1187 sulfoaluminate cement pastes by water at regulated pH and temperature: Experimental
 1188 investigation and modeling, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 53 (2013) 211–220.
 1189 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2013.06.014.

1190 [97] Y. Zhang, J. Chang, J. Zhao, Y. Fang, Nanostructural characterization of $\text{Al}(\text{OH})_3$ formed during the
 1191 hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* (2018). doi:10.1111/jace.15536.

1192 [98] Y. Zhang, N. Li, W. Yan, Effect of sulfur on the ion concentration of pore solution and the
 1193 hydration of calcium aluminate cement, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* (2015).
 1194 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2015.05.004.

1195 [99] M. Andac, F.P. Glasser, Pore solution composition of calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Adv. Cem.*
 1196 *Res.* 11 (1999) 23–26.

1197 [100] C.W. Hargis, B. Lothenbach, C.J. Müller, F. Winnefeld, Further insights into calcium
 1198 sulfoaluminate cement expansion, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (2019) in press. doi:10.1680/jadcr.18.00124.

1199 [101] A. Quennoz, K.L. Scrivener, Hydration of C3A-gypsum systems, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2012).
 1200 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2012.04.005.

1201 [102] J. Bizzozero, K.L. Scrivener, Limestone reaction in calcium aluminate cement-calcium sulfate
 1202 systems, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2015). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.05.019.

1203 [103] C. Gosselin, E. Gallucci, K. Scrivener, Influence of self heating and Li_2SO_4 addition on the
 1204 microstructural development of calcium aluminate cement, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2010).
 1205 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.06.012.

1206 [104] K. Scrivener, A. Capmas, Calcium aluminate cements, *Adv. Concr. Technol. Const. Mater.* 2 (2003)
 1207 1–2. doi:10.1016/B978-075065686-3/50278-0.

1208 [105] M.J. Sánchez-Herrero, A. Fernández-Jiménez, A. Palomo, Alkaline hydration of tricalcium
 1209 aluminate, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* (2012). doi:10.1111/j.1551-2916.2012.05348.x.

1210 [106] V. Antonovič, J. Keriene, R. Boris, M. Aleknevičius, The effect of temperature on the formation of
 1211 the hydrated calcium aluminate cement structure, in: *Procedia Eng.*, 2013.
 1212 doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2013.04.015.

1213 [107] F. Song, Z. Yu, F. Yang, Y. Lu, Y. Liu, Microstructure of amorphous aluminum hydroxide in belite-
 1214 calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 71 (2015) 1–6.
 1215 doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2015.01.013.

1216 [108] J. Chang, Y.Y. Zhang, X.P. Shang, J.Y. Zhao, X. Yu, Effects of amorphous AH_3 phase on mechanical
 1217 properties and hydration process of $\text{C}_4\text{A}_3\text{S}-\text{CSH}_2-\text{CH}-\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 133
 1218 (2017) 314–322. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.111.

1219 [109] A. Cuesta, A.G. De la Torre, I. Santacruz, P. Trtik, J.C. Da Silva, A. Diaz, M. Holler, M.A.G. Aranda,
 1220 Chemistry and Mass Density of Aluminum Hydroxide Gel in Eco-Cements by Ptychographic X-ray

1221 Computed Tomography, *J. Phys. Chem. C*. 121 (2017) 3044–3054.

1222 [110] Y. Zhang, J. Chang, Microstructural evolution of aluminum hydroxide gel during the hydration of
1223 calcium sulfoaluminate under different alkali concentrations, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 180 (2018)
1224 655–664. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.06.010.

1225 [111] Y.Y. Zhang, J. Chang, J. Ji, AH₃ phase in the hydration product system of AFt-AFm-AH₃ in calcium
1226 sulfoaluminate cements: A microstructural study, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 167 (2018) 587–596.
1227 doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.02.052.

1228 [112] A. Cuesta, J.D. Zea-Garcia, A.G.D. la Torre, I. Santacruz, M.A.G. Aranda, Synchrotron pair
1229 distribution function analyses of ye'elimite-based pastes, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (2019) in press.
1230 doi:10.1680/jadcr.18.00097.

1231 [113] C.W. Hargis, A.P. Kirchheim, P.J.M.M. Monteiro, E.M. Gartner, Early age hydration of calcium
1232 sulfoaluminate (synthetic ye'elimite, C(4)A(3)(S)over-bar) in the presence of gypsum and varying
1233 amounts of calcium hydroxide, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 48 (2013) 105–115.
1234 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2013.03.001.

1235 [114] J. Chang, X. Yu, X.P. Shang, J.Y. Zhao, Y.Y. Zhang, A reaction range for hydration of calcium
1236 sulfoaluminate with calcium sulfate and calcium hydroxide: theory and experimental validation,
1237 *Adv. Cem. Res.* 28 (2016) 664–674. doi:10.1680/jadcr.16.00045.

1238 [115] F. Winnefeld, S. Barlag, Influence of calcium sulfate and calcium hydroxide on the hydration of
1239 calcium sulfoaluminate clinker, *ZKG Int.* 62 (2009) 42–53.

1240 [116] C.L. Hu, D.S. Hou, Z.J. Li, Micro-mechanical properties of calcium sulfoaluminate cement and the
1241 correlation with microstructures, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 80 (2017) 10–16.
1242 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.02.005.

1243 [117] X. Carrier, E. Marceau, J.F. Lambert, M. Che, Transformations of γ -alumina in aqueous
1244 suspensions. 1. Alumina chemical weathering studied as a function of pH, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*
1245 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2006.12.074.

1246 [118] A. Violante, P.M. Huang, Formation Mechanism of Aluminum Hydroxide Polymorphs, *Clays Clay*
1247 *Miner.* 41 (n.d.) 590–597. doi: 10.1346/CCMN.1993.0410509.

1248 [119] B. Lothenbach, L. Pelletier-Chaignat, F. Winnefeld, Stability in the system CaO–Al₂O₃–H₂O, *Cem.*
1249 *Concr. Res.* 42 (2012) 1621–1634. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2012.09.002.

1250 [120] H. van Straten, P. de Bruyn, Precipitation from Supersaturated Aluminate Solutions, *J. Colloid*
1251 *Interface Sci.* (1984).

1252 [121] X. hong GUAN, G. hao CHEN, C. SHANG, ATR-FTIR and XPS study on the structure of complexes
1253 formed upon the adsorption of simple organic acids on aluminum hydroxide, *J. Environ. Sci.*
1254 (2007). doi:10.1016/S1001-0742(07)60073-4.

1255 [122] X. Du, Y. Wang, X. Su, J. Li, Influences of pH value on the microstructure and phase
1256 transformation of aluminum hydroxide, *Powder Technol.* (2009).
1257 doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2008.11.008.

- 1258 [123] D. Gastaldi, G. Paul, L. Marchese, S. Irico, E. Boccaleri, S. Mutke, L. Buzzi, F. Canonico, Hydration
1259 products in sulfoaluminate cements: Evaluation of amorphous phases by XRD/solid-state NMR,
1260 Cem. Concr. Res. 90 (2016) 162–173. doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2016.05.014.
- 1261 [124] L. Pelletier-Chaignat, F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, G. Le Saout, C.J. Müller, C. Famy, Influence of
1262 the calcium sulphate source on the hydration mechanism of Portland cement–calcium
1263 sulphoaluminate clinker–calcium sulphate binders, Cem. Concr. Compos. 33 (2011) 551–561.
1264 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2011.03.005.
- 1265 [125] J.J. Wolf, D. Jansen, F. Goetz-Neunhoffer, J. Neubauer, Mechanisms of early ettringite formation
1266 in ternary CSA–OPC–anhydrite systems, Adv. Cem. Res. (2018) 1–11. doi:10.1680/jadcr.18.00115.
- 1267 [126] A.B. Kudryavtsev, T. V. Kouznetsova, A. V. Pyatkova, Proton relaxation and high resolution solid
1268 state Al 27 NMR study of hydration of calcium oxide sulfoaluminate, Cem. Concr. Res. (1990).
1269 doi:10.1016/0008-8846(90)90031-R.
- 1270 [127] F. Bullerjahn, M. Zajac, M. Ben Haha, CSA raw mix design: effect on clinker formation and
1271 reactivity, Mater. Struct. (2014). doi:10.1617/s11527-014-0451-z.
- 1272 [128] L. Pelletier, F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, The ternary system Portland cement–calcium
1273 sulphoaluminate clinker–anhydrite: Hydration mechanism and mortar properties, Cem. Concr.
1274 Compos. 32 (2010) 497–507. doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2010.03.010.
- 1275 [129] G. Le Saoût, B. Lothenbach, A. Hori, T. Higuchi, F. Winnefeld, Hydration of Portland cement with
1276 additions of calcium sulfoaluminates, Cem. Concr. Res. 43 (2013) 81–94.
1277 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2012.10.011.
- 1278 [130] A. Telesca, M. Marroccoli, M.L. Pace, M. Tomasulo, G.L. Valenti, P.J.M. Monteiro, A hydration
1279 study of various calcium sulfoaluminate cements, Cem. Concr. Compos. 53 (2014) 224–232.
1280 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2014.07.002.
- 1281 [131] P. Chaunsali, P. Mondal, Physico-chemical interaction between mineral admixtures and OPC-
1282 calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cements and its influence on early-age expansion, Cem. Concr. Res.
1283 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.11.003.
- 1284 [132] L. Pelletier, F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, The ternary system Portland cement–calcium
1285 sulphoaluminate clinker–anhydrite: Hydration mechanism and mortar properties, Cem. Concr.
1286 Compos. (2010). doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2010.03.010.
- 1287 [133] E. Pustovgar, R.K. Mishra, M. Palacios, J.-B. d’Espinose de Lacaillerie, T. Matschei, A.S. Andreev,
1288 H. Heinz, R. Verel, R.J. Flatt, Influence of aluminates on the hydration kinetics of tricalcium
1289 silicate, Cem. Concr. Res. 100 (2017) 245–262. doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2017.06.006.
- 1290 [134] M. Zajac, S. Hoock, C. Stabler, M. Ben Haha, Effect of hydration kinetics on properties of
1291 compositionally similar binders, Cem. Concr. Res. 101 (2017).
1292 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.08.005.
- 1293 [135] J. Nehring, J. Neubauer, S. Berger, F. Goetz-Neunhoffer, Acceleration of OPC by CAC in binary
1294 and ternary systems: The role of pore solution chemistry, Cem. Concr. Res. (2018).
1295 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.02.012.

- 1296 [136] E. Pustovgar, R.P. Sangodkar, A.S. Andreev, M. Palacios, B.F. Chmelka, R.J. Flatt, J.-B. d'Espinose
1297 de Lacaille, Understanding silicate hydration from quantitative analyses of hydrating tricalcium
1298 silicates, *Nat. Commun.* 7 (2016) 10952. doi:10.1038/ncomms10952.
- 1299 [137] M. Montes, E. Pato, P.M. Carmona-Quiroga, M.T. Blanco-Varela, Can calcium aluminates activate
1300 ternesite hydration?, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 103 (2018) 204–215.
1301 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.10.017.
- 1302 [138] L.H.J. Martin, F. Winnefeld, E. Tschopp, C.J. Müller, B. Lothenbach, Influence of fly ash on the
1303 hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 95 (2017) 152–163.
1304 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.02.030.
- 1305 [139] C. Gosselin, K.L. Scrivener, Microstructure development of calcium aluminate cements
1306 accelerated by lithium sulphate, in: C. Fentiman, R. Mangabhai, K.L. Scrivener (Eds.), *Calcium*
1307 *Aluminate Cem. Proc. Centen. Conf. 2008*, Palais Des Papes, Avignon, Fr. June 30 - July 2, 2008,
1308 IHS BRE Press, Garston, Watford, UK, 2008: pp. 109–122.
- 1309 [140] F. Winnefeld, L.H.J. Martin, C.J. Müller, B. Lothenbach, Using gypsum to control hydration kinetics
1310 of CSA cements, *Constr. Build. Mater.* (2017). doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.07.217.
- 1311 [141] B. Lothenbach, F. Winnefeld, Thermodynamic modelling of cement hydration: Portland cements
1312 – blended cements – calcium sulfoaluminate cements, H. Pöllmann *Cem. Mater. Compos. Prop.*
1313 *Appl. Gruyter*, Berlin, Boston. , 2017, Pp. 103-143. (n.d.).
- 1314 [142] V. Morin, P. Termkhajornkit, B. Huet, G. Pham, Impact of quantity of anhydrite, water to binder
1315 ratio, fineness on kinetics and phase assemblage of belite-ye'elimite-ferrite cement, *Cem. Concr.*
1316 *Res.* 99 (2017) 8–17. doi:10.1016/J.CEMCONRES.2017.04.014.
- 1317 [143] S. Berger, C.C.D. Coumes, P. Le Bescop, D. Damidot, Influence of a thermal cycle at early age on
1318 the hydration of calcium sulphoaluminate cements with variable gypsum contents, *Cem. Concr.*
1319 *Res.* 41 (2011) 149–160. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.10.001.
- 1320 [144] J. Kaufmann, F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, Stability of ettringite in CSA cement at elevated
1321 temperatures, *Adv. Cem. Res.* 28 (2016) 251–261. doi:10.1680/jadcr.15.00029.
- 1322 [145] P.M. Wang, N. Li, L.L. Xu, Hydration evolution and compressive strength of calcium
1323 sulfoaluminate cement constantly cured over the temperature range of 0 to 80 °C, *Cem. Concr.*
1324 *Res.* 100 (2017) 203–213. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.05.025.
- 1325 [146] N. Chitvoranund, F. Winnefeld, C.W. Hargis, S. Sinthupinyo, B. Lothenbach, Synthesis and
1326 hydration of alite-calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (2017).
1327 doi:10.1680/jadcr.16.00071.
- 1328 [147] F.R. Venema, J.A. Peters, H. van Bekkum, Multinuclear magnetic resonance study of the
1329 interaction of aluminium(III)-(+)-tartrate complexes with additional metal ions in aqueous
1330 solution, *Inorganica Chim. Acta.* (1992). doi:10.1016/S0020-1693(00)85511-5.
- 1331 [148] M. Bishop, A.R. Barron, Cement hydration inhibition with sucrose, tartaric acid, and
1332 lignosulfonate: Analytical and spectroscopic study, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* (2006).
1333 doi:10.1021/ie060806t.

- 1334 [149] J. Plank, P. Chatziagorastou, C. Hirsch, New model describing distribution of adsorbed
1335 superplasticizer on the surface of hydrating cement grain, *Jianzhu Cailiao Xuebao/Journal Build.*
1336 *Mater.* (2007).
- 1337 [150] J. Wolska, M. Bryjak, Methods for boron removal from aqueous solutions - A review,
1338 *Desalination.* (2013). doi:10.1016/j.desal.2012.08.003.
- 1339 [151] L. Fernández-Carrasco, F. Puertas, M.T. Blanco-Varela, T. Vázquez, J. Rius, Synthesis and crystal
1340 structure solution of potassium dawsonite: An intermediate compound in the alkaline hydrolysis
1341 of calcium aluminate cements, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2005). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.04.018.
- 1342 [152] J. Cheung, A. Jeknavorian, L. Roberts, D. Silva, Impact of admixtures on the hydration kinetics of
1343 Portland cement, *Cem. Concr. Res.* (2011). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.03.005.
- 1344 [153] L. Zhang, L.J.J. Catalan, R.J. Balec, A.C. Larsen, H.H. Esmaeili, S.D. Kinrade, Effects of saccharide
1345 set retarders on the hydration of ordinary portland cement and pure tricalcium silicate, *J. Am.*
1346 *Ceram. Soc.* (2010). doi:10.1111/j.1551-2916.2009.03378.x.
- 1347 [154] B.J. Smith, A. Rawal, G.P. Funkhouser, L.R. Roberts, V. Gupta, J.N. Israelachvili, B.F. Chmelka,
1348 Origins of saccharide-dependent hydration at aluminate, silicate, and aluminosilicate surfaces,
1349 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (2011). doi:10.1073/pnas.1104526108.
- 1350 [155] B.J. Smith, L.R. Roberts, G.P. Funkhouser, V. Gupta, B.F. Chmelka, Reactions and surface
1351 interactions of saccharides in cement slurries, *Langmuir.* (2012). doi:10.1021/la3015157.
- 1352 [156] S. Sahu, J. Havlica, V. Tomkova, J. Majling, Hydration behavior of sulfoaluminate belite cement in
1353 the presence of various calcium sulfates, *Thermochim. Acta.* 175 (1991) 45–52.
1354 doi:10.1016/0040-6031(91)80244-d.
- 1355 [157] S. Allevi, M. Marchi, F. Scotti, S. Bertini, C. Cosentino, Hydration of calcium sulphoaluminate
1356 clinker with additions of different calcium sulphate sources, *Mater. Struct.* 49 (2016) 453–466.
- 1357 [158] G. Alvarez-Pinazo, I. Santacruz, M.A.G. Aranda, A.G. De la Torre, Hydration of belite-ye'elimate-
1358 ferrite cements with different calcium sulfate sources, *Adv. Cem. Res.* 28 (2016) 529–543.
1359 doi:10.1680/jadcr.16.00030.
- 1360 [159] M. García-Maté, A.G. De la Torre, L. León-Reina, E.R. Losilla, M.A.G. Aranda, I. Santacruz, M.
1361 García-Mate, A.G. De la Torre, L. Leon-Reina, E.R. Losilla, M.A.G. Aranda, I. Santacruz, Effect of
1362 calcium sulfate source on the hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate eco-cement, *Cem. Concr.*
1363 *Compos.* 55 (2015) 53–61. doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2014.08.003.
- 1364 [160] Y. Jeong, C.W. Hargis, S.C. Chun, J. Moon, The effect of water and gypsum content on strätlingite
1365 formation in calcium sulfoaluminate-belite cement pastes, *Constr. Build. Mater.* (2018).
1366 doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.01.153.
- 1367 [161] I. Santacruz, Á.G. De la Torre, G. Álvarez-Pinazo, A. Cabeza, A. Cuesta, J. Sanz, M.A.G. Aranda,
1368 Structure of stratlingite and effect of hydration methodology on microstructure, *Adv. Cem. Res.*
1369 (2016). doi:10.1680/adcr.14.00104.
- 1370 [162] H. Minard, Etude intégrée des processus d'hydratation, de coagulation, de rigidification et de
1371 prise pour un système C3S-C3A-sulfates-alcalins, PhD-Thesis, Univ. Bourgogne, Dijon, Fr. 2003.

- 1372 (n.d.).
- 1373 [163] A. Garrault, A. Nonat, Y. Sallier, L. Nicoleau, On the origin of the dormant period of cement
1374 hydration, 13th Int. Congr. Chem. Cem. Madrid, Spain, July 3-8, 2011. (n.d.).
- 1375 [164] A. Schöler, B. Lothenbach, F. Winnefeld, M.B. Haha, M. Zajac, H.-M. Ludwig, Early hydration of
1376 SCM-blended Portland cements: A pore solution and isothermal calorimetry study, *Cem. Concr.*
1377 *Res.* 93 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.11.013.
- 1378 [165] G. Bernardo, A. Telesca, G.L. Valenti, A porosimetric study of calcium sulfoaluminate cement
1379 pastes cured at early ages, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 36 (2006) 1042–1047.
1380 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2006.02.014.
- 1381 [166] F.P.P. Glasser, L. Zhang, High-performance cement matrices based on calcium sulfoaluminate–
1382 belite compositions, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 31 (2001) 1881–1886. doi:10.1016/S0008-8846(01)00649-
1383 4.
- 1384 [167] P. Lura, F. Winnefeld, X. Fang, A simple method for determining the total amount of physically
1385 and chemically bound water of different cements, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* (2017).
1386 doi:10.1007/s10973-017-6513-z.
- 1387 [168] L. Zhang, F.P. Glasser, Investigation of the microstructure and carbonation of CS⁺A-based
1388 concretes removed from service, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 35 (2005) 2252–2260.
1389 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.08.007.
- 1390 [169] F.P. Glasser, J. Marchand, E. Samson, Durability of concrete - Degradation phenomena involving
1391 detrimental chemical reactions, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 38 (2008) 226–246.
1392 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.09.015.
- 1393 [170] F. Winnefeld, M. Ben Haha, B. Lothenbach, Hydration mechanisms of calcium sulfoaluminate
1394 cements assessed by scanning electron microscopy and thermodynamic modeling, *Int. Congr.*
1395 *Chem. Cem. Madrid, Spain, July 3-8, 2011, 7 Pp.* (n.d.).
- 1396 [171] H. Beltagui, G. Jen, M. Whittaker, M.S. Imbabi, The influence of variable gypsum and water
1397 content on the strength and hydration of a belite-calcium sulphoaluminate cement, *Adv. Appl.*
1398 *Ceram.* 116 (2017) 199–206. doi:10.1080/17436753.2017.1289722.
- 1399 [172] F. Winnefeld, C.W. Hargis, S. Steiner, J. Kaufmann, A. Borgschulte, M. Marchi, S. Allevi, B.
1400 Lothenbach, Carbonation resistance of calcium sulfoaluminate cement mortars, *Conf. to Celebr.*
1401 *Centen. LMC Karen Scrivener's 60th Birthday, Lausanne, Switzerland, August 19-22, 2018.* (n.d.) 4
1402 pp.
- 1403 [173] L.J. Struble, P.W. Brown, Heats of dehydration and specific-heats of compounds found in
1404 concrete and their potential for thermal-energy storage, *Sol. Energy Mater.* 14 (1986) 1–12.
1405 doi:10.1016/0165-1633(86)90008-0.
- 1406 [174] Q. Zhou, E.E. Lachowski, F.P. Glasser, Metaettringite, a decomposition product of ettringite, *Cem.*
1407 *Concr. Res.* 34 (2004) 703–710. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2003.10.027.
- 1408 [175] F. Winnefeld, J. Kaufmann, Concrete produced with calcium sulfoaluminate cement – a potential
1409 system for energy and heat storage, *First Middle East Conf. Smart Monit. Assess. Rehabil. Civ.*

- 1410 Struct. Dubai, United Arab Emirates, Febr. 8-10, 2011, Pap. No. 70. (n.d.).
- 1411 [176] K. Ndiaye, S. Ginestet, M. Cyr, Thermal energy storage based on cementitious materials: A
1412 review, *Aims Energy*. 6 (2018) 97–120. doi:10.3934/energy.2018.1.97.
- 1413 [177] J. Kaufmann, F. Winnefeld, Zementbasierter chemischer Energiespeicher (Cement-based
1414 chemical energy stores), Swiss Pat. Appl. No. 00840/10, May 27, 2010, PCT Appl. No.
1415 WO2011147748 A1, December 1, 2011. (n.d.).
- 1416 [178] K. Ndiaye, S. Ginestet, M. Cyr, Modelling and experimental study of low temperature energy
1417 storage reactor using cementitious material, *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 110 (2017) 601–615.
1418 doi:10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.08.157.
- 1419 [179] K. Ndiaye, S. Ginestet, M. Cyr, Experimental evaluation of two low temperature energy storage
1420 prototypes based on innovative cementitious material, *Appl. Energy*. 217 (2018) 47–55.
1421 doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.02.136.
- 1422 [180] B. Lothenbach, D. Damidot, T. Matschei, J. Marchand, Thermodynamic modelling: state of
1423 knowledge and challenges, *Adv. Cem. Res.* 22 (2010) 211–223. doi:10.1680/adcr.2010.22.4.211.
- 1424 [181] B. Lothenbach, M. Zajac, Application of thermodynamic modelling to hydrated cements, *Cem.*
1425 *Concr. Res.* (2019) in press.
- 1426 [182] B. Lothenbach, Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations in cementitious systems, *Mater. Struct.*
1427 43 (2010) 1413–1433. doi:10.1617/s11527-010-9592-x.
- 1428 [183] P. Blanc, X. Bourbon, A. Lassin, E.C. Gaucher, Chemical model for cement-based materials:
1429 Thermodynamic data assessment for phases other than C–S–H, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 40 (2010) 1360–
1430 1374. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.04.003.
- 1431 [184] W. Hummel, U. Berner, E. Curti, F.J. Pearson, T. Thoenen, Nagra/PSI chemical thermodynamic
1432 data base 01/01, *Radiochim. Acta*. 90 (2002) 805–813.
- 1433 [185] J. Wang, Hydration mechanism of cements based on low-CO₂ clinkers containing belite,
1434 ye’elimite and calcium aluminoferrite, Université des Sciences et Technologie de Lille-Lille I,
1435 2010. <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00595554/>.
- 1436 [186] I. Kaprálik, F. Hanic, Phase relations in the subsystem C₄A₃S–C₂SH₂–CH₂–H₂O of the system
1437 CaO–Al₂O₃–CS₂–H₂O referred to hydration of sulfoaluminate cement, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 19
1438 (1989) 89–102. doi:10.1016/0008-8846(89)90069-0.
- 1439 [187] H.F. Taylor, *Cement Chemistry*, n.d.
- 1440 [188] F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, Thermodynamic modeling of the hydration of calcium
1441 sulfoaluminate cements blended with mineral additions, 1st Int. Conf. Sulphoaluminate Cem.
1442 *Mater. Eng. Technol.* Wuhan, China, Oct. 23-24, 2013. (n.d.) 212–228.
- 1443 [189] L.H.J. Martin, F. Winnefeld, C.J. Müller, B. Lothenbach, Contribution of limestone to the hydration
1444 of calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 62 (2015) 204–211.
1445 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2015.07.005.
- 1446 [190] L. Pelletier-Chaignat, F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, C.J. Müller, Beneficial use of limestone filler

- 1447 with calcium sulfoaluminate cement, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 26 (2012) 619–627.
1448 doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.06.065.
- 1449 [191] M.T. Pedersen, B. Lothenbach, F. Winnefeld, J. Skibsted, Hydrate phase assemblages in calcium
1450 sulfoaluminate – metakaolin – limestone blends, F. Martirena, A. Favier, K. Scrivener (Eds.),
1451 RILEM Bookseries Vol. 16, *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Calcined Clays Sustain. Concr.* December 5-7, 2017.
1452 (n.d.) 352–357.
- 1453 [192] C. Cau Dit Coumes, O. Farcy, P. Antonucci, J.-B. Champenois, D. Lambertin, A. Mesbah, Design of
1454 self-desiccating binders using CSA cement: influence of the cement composition and sulfate
1455 source, *Adv. Cem. Res.* (2019) in press. doi:10.1680/jadcr.18.00100.
- 1456 [193] P. Chaunsali, P. Mondal, Influence of Calcium Sulfoaluminate (CSA) Cement Content on Expansion
1457 and Hydration Behavior of Various Ordinary Portland Cement-CSA Blends, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*
1458 (2015). doi:10.1111/jace.13645.
- 1459 [194] P. Chaunsali, P. Mondal, Hydration and early-age expansion of calcium sulfoaluminate cement-
1460 based binders: experiments and thermodynamic modeling, *J. Sustain. Cem. Mater.* 5 (2016) 259–
1461 267. doi:10.1080/21650373.2015.1060184.
- 1462 [195] C.W. Hargis, B. Lothenbach, C.J. Müller, F. Winnefeld, Carbonation of calcium sulfoaluminate
1463 mortars, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 80 (2017) 123–134. doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.03.003.
- 1464 [196] D. Gastaldi, F. Bertola, F. Canonico, L. Buzzi, S. Mutke, S. Irico, G. Paul, L. Marchese, E. Boccaleri, A
1465 chemical/mineralogical investigation of the behavior of sulfoaluminate binders submitted to
1466 accelerated carbonation, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 109 (2018) 30–41.
1467 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.04.006.
- 1468 [197] D. Damidot, S.J. Barnett, F.P. Glasser, D.E. Macphee, Investigation of the CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-CaSO₄-
1469 CaCO₃-H₂O system at 25°C by thermodynamic calculation, *Adv. Cem. Res.* 16 (2004) 69–76.
1470 doi:10.1680/adcr.16.2.69.36253.
- 1471 [198] D. Damidot, F.P. Glasser, Investigation of the CaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-H₂O system at 25 C by
1472 thermodynamic calculations, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 25 (1995) 22–28.
- 1473 [199] F. Winnefeld, B. Lothenbach, Phase equilibria in the system Ca₄Al₆O₁₂SO₄-Ca₂SiO₄-CaSO₄-
1474 H₂O referring to the hydration of calcium sulfoaluminate cements, *RILEM Tech. Lett.* 1 (2016)
1475 10–16.
- 1476 [200] H. Winkler, Über mechanische Eigenschaften von normalfestem und hochfestem Beton unter
1477 besonderer Berücksichtigung des Elastizitätsmoduls, BAM Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung
1478 und -prüfung, Berlin, 2010.
- 1479 [201] B. Lothenbach, G. Le Saout, E. Gallucci, K. Scrivener, Influence of limestone on the hydration of
1480 Portland cements, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 38 (2008) 848–860. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2008.01.002.
- 1481 [202] M. Zajac, A. Rossberg, G. Le Saout, B. Lothenbach, Influence of limestone and anhydrite on the
1482 hydration of Portland cements, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 46 (2014) 99–108.
1483 doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2013.11.007.
- 1484 [203] W.L. Wang, X.D. Chen, Y. Chen, Y. Dong, C.Y. Ma, Calculation and Verification for the

- 1485 Thermodynamic Data of $3\text{CaO} \times 3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \times \text{CaSO}_4$, Chinese J. Chem. Eng. 19 (2011) 489–495.
1486 doi:10.1016/s1004-9541(11)60011-6.
- 1487 [204] J. Havlica, S. Sahu, Thermodynamics and kinetics in the system $\text{Ca}_4(\text{Al}_6\text{O}_{12})(\text{SO}_4)\text{-CaSO}_4 \times 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ -
1488 H_2O up to 75°C , 9th Int. Congr. Chem. Cem. Noember 23-28, 1992, New Delhi, India. Volume IV
1489 (n.d.) 157–163.
- 1490 [205] S. Skalamprinos, I. Galan, T. Hanein, F. Glasser, Enthalpy of formation of ye’elimite and ternesite,
1491 J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 131 (2018) 2345–2359. doi:10.1007/s10973-017-6751-0.
- 1492 [206] D. Jansen, F. Goetz-Neunhoeffler, B. Lothenbach, J. Neubauer, The early hydration of Ordinary
1493 Portland Cement (OPC): An approach comparing measured heat flow with calculated heat flow
1494 from QXRD, Cem. Concr. Res. 42 (2012) 134–138. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.09.001.
- 1495 [207] B. Lothenbach, K. Scrivener, R.D. Hooton, Supplementary cementitious materials, Cem. Concr.
1496 Res. 41 (2011) 1244–1256.
1497 <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0008884610002632>.
- 1498 [208] A. Capmas, D. Ménétrier-Sorrentino, The effect of temperature on the hydration of calcium
1499 aluminate cement, Proc. Unified Int. Tech. Conf. Refract. First Bienn. Worldw. Conf. Refract.
1500 Novemb. 1-4, 1989, Anaheim, CA, USA, Vol. 2. Ed. by L. J. Trostel, Jr. Am. Ceram. Soc. Westerville,
1501 OH, USA, . (n.d.) 1157–1170.
- 1502 [209] V.A. Pokrovskii, H.C. Helgeson, Thermodynamic properties of aqueous species and the solubilities
1503 of minerals at high pressures and temperatures: The system $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-H}_2\text{O-NaCl}$, Am. J. Sci. 295
1504 (1995) 1255–1342. doi:10.2475/ajs.295.10.1255.
- 1505 [210] K. Quillin, Performance of belite–sulfoaluminate cements, Cem. Concr. Res. 31 (2001) 1341–
1506 1349. doi:10.1016/S0008-8846(01)00543-9.