Optical second harmonic signature of phase coexistence in ferroelectric|dielectric heterostructures
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An exotic coexistence of polar states is known to occur in ferroelectric|dielectric PbTiO3|SrTiO3 (PTO(STO) heterostructures. In the PTO layers with a thickness of 10–20 unit cells, in-plane-polarized regions order alongside the so-called vortex phase with a whirl-like arrangement of electric dipoles. We investigate the optical signature of these polar phases noninvasively using optical second harmonic generation (SHG) on PTO(STO)-based heterostructures. We identify the phase coexistence down to a single PTO(STO) bilayer. By comparing the SHG yield in dependence of the number of PTO layers, we further find that interlayer coupling plays an important role in setting the final polarization state. Our nonlinear optical experiments demonstrate the potential of this noninvasive approach for the identification and understanding of complex noncollinear ordering of dipole moments in oxide heterostructures and lays the groundwork for their operando investigation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Complex polar phases consisting of nanoscale arrays of electric dipole moments that exhibit a continuous spatial change in orientation were recently observed in ferroelectric|dielectric PbTiO3|SrTiO3 (PTO(STO) heterostructures [1–16]. In these complex polar phases, local negative capacitance was measured, which is considered to be a powerful new ingredient in transistor technology. Ferroelectric|dielectric heterostructures are, thus, becoming a promising platform for oxide-electronic applications [17–21]. Current investigations on the PTO(STO) system involve scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) in combination with x-ray diffraction (XRD) or piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM). In contrast to the investigation of conventional polar phases, the investigation of complex polar phases using XRD and PFM often requires a superlattice architecture because this increases the sample volume so that signals become measurable. In turn, the difficulty to identify noncollinear ordering of dipole moments noninvasively and in technologically relevant single layers prevents a deeper understanding of the formation of complex phases in these and their integration into devices.

Here we show that optical second harmonic generation (SHG) is an ideal tool for noninvasive access to the complex arrangement of dipole moments in PTO(STO) heterostructures. Our symmetry analysis of the SHG polarimetry in a PTO(STO) superlattice and a single STO-capped PTO layer reveals the presence of distinct SHG contributions from two polar phases. We specifically identify the coexistence of a ferroelectric multdomain phase with in-plane polarization ordering and a polar vortexlike phase exhibiting a net in-plane polarization. Furthermore, an oscillatory evolution of the SHG yield with the number of deposited PTO and STO constituent layers points to a previously overlooked coupling effect between the PTO layers. We find an antiparallel configuration of the in-plane polarized domains between adjacent layers which attenuates the net in-plane polarization. We believe that our noninvasive approach expedites the search for complex polar phases and the study of their time-dependent operando response to electric fields.

II. METHODS

Using a KrF (248 nm) excimer laser, we grew our PTO(STO) heterostructures on single-crystalline (110)-oriented DyScO3 (DSO) substrate (Crystec GmbH) with a miscut of 0.1°, buffered by 4-nm-thick (001)-oriented SrRuO3 (SRO). After optimizing the growth conditions, the SRO layer was deposited at 700°C in an O2 pressure of 0.1 mbar at a laser fluence of 0.9 J cm−2 and a repetition rate of 2 Hz. The PTO and STO layers were deposited at 550°C in an O2 pressure of 0.12 mbar at a laser fluence of 1.14 J cm−2 and a repetition rate of 4 and 2 Hz, respectively. Simultaneously, reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) is performed to ensure the growth quality and calibrate the film thickness with unit-cell accuracy in the Supplemental Material [22]. A Pb-enriched target (Pb1.2TiO3) was used to achieve the layer-by-layer growth mode for RHEED monitoring. The sample was cooled at 10 K/min in the oxygen growth atmosphere. Unit-cell-flat topography of the heterostructures is observed by atomic force microscopy in the Supplemental Material [22]. Crystallographic directions, henceforth, refer to the pseudocubic axes of the DSO substrate.

Samples for the STEM analysis were prepared as cross sections of the films by mechanical polishing, followed by ion milling with grazing incident Ar+ ions using a Fischione Model 1050 TEM-Mill. STEM was performed on a FEI...
Titan Themis equipped with a probe CEOS DCOR spherical aberration corrector operated at 300 kV. A probe convergence semiangle of 25 mrad was used in combination with a collection angular range for the high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) detector set to 90–170 mrad. The HAADF-STEM image used for polarization mapping was obtained from averaging a time series consisting of ten frames, followed by rigid as well as nonrigid registration using the SMART ALIGN software [23]. The time-averaged signal was denoised using a custom-developed nonlinear algorithm based on the method proposed by Yankovich et al. [25] Then, the polarization map was derived from the relative displacements of the two cation sublattices present in the PTO or STO perovskite-type structures. As the Ti cations are displaced along with the Ó octahedra, the displacement vector can be determined by measuring the polar displacement on the image plane of the Ti position from the center of mass of its four nearest Pb or Sr neighbors. In the polarization map derived from HAADF-STEM image, the dipole moments are plotted opposite to the displacement of the Ti cations.

For the frequency-doubling SHG measurements, the incident light was generated by a Ti:sapphire laser light with a pulse duration of 45 fs, a repetition rate of 1 kHz, and a wavelength of 800 nm, which was converted using an optical parametric amplifier to light with a wavelength of 1200 nm. This incident beam with a pulse energy of 30 μJ and a fluence of 0.06 J cm⁻² was focused onto the sample to a spot with a diameter of 250 μm. The optical SHG signal was generated at 600 nm and detected using a monochromator and a photomultiplier system.

III. RESULTS

A. Characterization of ordering of dipole moments in the PTO/STO superlattice

A (PTO|STO)₈|PTO superlattice where each constituent layer has a thickness of 12 unit cells was synthesized as described in Sec. II. This particular type of PTO|STO superlattice has been shown to host a phase coexistence of: (i) a so-called a₁/a₂ phase and (ii) a vortex phase in the PTO layers [5,9]. (i) In the a₁/a₂-phase, domains with a polarization along [100] or [010], termed a₁ and a₂ domains, respectively, arrange in alternating stripes. (ii) In the vortex phase, long-range ordered arrays of electric dipole vortex structures [26] extend in a tubular way along [100]. In addition to the vortexlike arrangement of dipole moments around the vortex core, the resulting tubuli exhibit a net polarization along their central axis in the [100] direction. More details on the polarization configuration in the vortex phase have been reported elsewhere [27].

We first confirm the phase coexistence of the a₁/a₂ phase and the vortex phase in our superlattice using a combination of PFM and XRD, see details in the Supplemental Material [22]. Here the sample response is averaged over the entire thickness of the superlattice heterostructure. We specifically verify the presence of the vortex phase by cross-sectional STEM imaging, see Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). We map the spatial distribution of the electric dipole moments within the superlattice in the plane perpendicular to the tubular axes of the vortices. We find the expected signature of the vortex phase, that is, a noncollinear arrangement of dipole moments into a circle around the vortex core with alternating vorticity in neighboring whirls within each PTO layer [3].

Let us now move on to the optical characterization of the polar phases in this PTO|STO superlattice by SHG. SHG denotes frequency doubling of a light wave in a material, a process that in its leading order is permitted in the absence of inversion symmetry. This makes SHG an efficient tool for the investigation of ferroelectricity at sample thicknesses down to a single unit cell [28–41]. Furthermore, the insertion of the bottom electrode is not required for detecting polarization by SHG, in contrast to PFM. SHG can only access the inversion-symmetry breaking in the plane perpendicular to the wave vector of the propagating light. We can, therefore, selectively access the in-plane polarization of our heterostructures by choosing a normal-incidence geometry [41,42]. Here, we access the in-plane polarization of our heterostructures by choosing a normal-incidence geometry [41,42] with independent selection of the polarization of the incoming light and the outgoing light parametrized by the angles α and β, respectively, as depicted in Fig. 2(a). To the best of our knowledge, the only optical SHG report on PTO|STO superlattices [5] was conducted in a near-field geometry in which the independent selection of polarization of the incoming and outgoing light is impossible, thus, preventing a detailed polarimetry analysis. We use a laser spot size of 250 μm which by far extends beyond the characteristic length scales of the phase separation into the a₁/a₂ phase and the vortex phase (about 0.5 × 5 μm²), see the PFM image in the Supplemental Material [22]. Our SHG response is, thus, representative of a statistical mix of coexisting phases in the sample. The polarization of the incoming light is rotated by an angle α ranging from 0 to 90°, and the outgoing polarization is detected parallel (||) and perpendicular (⊥) to the sample plane.
from $0^\circ$ to $360^\circ$, and the outgoing light is detected at a fixed polarization, that is, $\beta = 0^\circ$ and $\beta = 90^\circ$ with respect to [100] in the SHG polarimetry in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), respectively.

We observe a pronounced SHG signal indicating a nonzero in-plane net polarization. Extracting the details of the polar order in our superlattice from the SHG polarimetry data is difficult because of the coexistence of two different polar phases. These phases are expected to have a different spectral dependence, i.e., a unique relative value of SHG tensor components for each fundamental wavelength and in each phase. Furthermore, the SHG responses of the $a_1/a_2$ phase and the vortex phase interfere in an undetermined way. This complexity manifests itself, for example, in the polarization angle of the incoming light at which we observe maximum SHG yield, see Figs. 2(b) and 2(c). In contrast to simpler one-phase systems [35,36,42,43], this direction does not coincide with the direction of the spontaneous net polarization along one of the optical principal axes. To evaluate the polar configuration of our system from the SHG polarimetry, it is, therefore, necessary to model the SHG response in greater detail than before.

B. Modeling of the SHG response of PTO(STO) heterostructures

To model our polarimetry results, we consider the general expression for the leading-order SHG contribution,

$$P_i^{(2)}(2\omega) = \epsilon_0 \hat{\chi}^{(2)}_{ijk} E_j(\omega) E_k(\omega),$$

where $E(\omega)$ is the incoming light field, $P^{(2)}(2\omega)$ is the nonlinear polarization induced in the material, and the tensor $\hat{\chi}^{(2)}$ is linked to the point-group symmetry of the crystal. The indices $i$, $j$, and $k$ refer to the $x \parallel a$, $y \parallel b$, $z \parallel c$ axes of a Cartesian coordinate system adopted to the local orientation of the unit cell.

The 4$mm$ point-group symmetry describes both the $a_1/a_2$ phase and the net polarization of the vortex phase in tetragonal PTO. In the 4$mm$ point-group symmetry, $z$ denotes the fourfold axis which is the local direction of the spontaneous polarization, and only the tensor components $\chi_{zzz} = \chi_{zzy}$, $\chi_{zxx}$, and $\chi_{zxy}$ can be nonzero [44]. We measure the angular dependence of the SHG intensity in a global coordinate system of the substrate as defined by the axes [100], [010], and [001] introduced in Fig. 2(a). We relate the SHG contributions described in the local coordinate system of the unit cell to the global coordinate system of the sample by accounting for the rotation of the unit cell in the local coordinate system with respect to the global coordinate system and then projecting the SHG contributions along the directions of the global coordinate system given by the angles $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Previously, modeling of the SHG response was conducted only for heterostructures with a single ferroelectric phase [35,36,42,43]. In addition to the standard $a_1/a_2$ phase, our sample hosts a vortex phase as a second phase. We consider two models to describe our SHG polarimetry results: (1) a one-phase model that considers only the SHG response of the $a_1/a_2$ phase, and (2) a two-phase model that considers the independent and interfering SHG responses of the $a_1/a_2$ phase and the vortex phase. We compare the fits of these two models in Figs. 2 and 3 to answer: (a) If the one-phase model can already emulate the SHG response of the phase coexistence, and (b) if the two-phase model can appropriately describe the SHG polarimetry measurements.

In our models, we consider only the SHG response for the in-plane projection of the local polarization because of the normal-incidence geometry of our measurements. We further assume destructive interference of SHG responses of states with oppositely oriented polarization within either phase because of the phase difference of $180^\circ$ [45]. Because of the large number of parameters the models were fitted to the SHG polarimetry results with a Monte Carlo-based approach. Specifically, we used a dual-annealing algorithm as implemented in SCIPY’s optimization library [46]. This algorithm can find the global minimum of a function, and we make use of it by minimizing the residual sum of squares of the measured and the modeled intensity. We will now move on to derive explicit expressions for the ISHG intensity.

1. One-phase model

In the one-phase model of the SHG response of the $a_1/a_2$ phase, the SHG contributions for a local spontaneous polarization along [100] and [010] have equal spectral dependence of the $\hat{\chi}^{(2)}$ tensor components. We describe the fractions of the regions with local polarization pointing towards [100], [001], [010], and [001] with parameters $A^+_1$, $A^-_2$, $A^+_2$, and $A^-_2$, respectively. We express the relative fractions of
SHG signals originating from the \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) domains as \(A_1\) and \(A_2\), respectively, where \(A_1 + A_2 = 1\). This leads to

\[
A_1 = \frac{A_1^+ - A_1^-}{(A_1^+ - A_1^-) + (A_2^+ - A_2^-)}, \quad (2)
\]

\[
A_2 = \frac{A_2^+ - A_2^-}{(A_1^+ - A_1^-) + (A_2^+ - A_2^-)}. \quad (3)
\]

The SHG intensity as a function of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) angles is then

\[
I^{2\omega}(\alpha, \beta) = |A_1[-(\chi_{xxz} \cos^2 \alpha + \chi_{zzz} \sin^2 \alpha) \sin \beta + 2\chi_{xxx} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \cos \beta] + A_2[-2\chi_{xxx} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \sin \beta + (\chi_{xxx} \sin^2 \alpha + \chi_{zzz} \cos^2 \alpha) \cos \beta]|^2. \quad (4)
\]

Fits of Eq. (4) to the data [gray lines in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)] show that the one-phase model cannot satisfactorily describe the observed SHG polarimetry data of our PTO/STO superlattice even when considering a tensor \(\chi^{(2)}\) with real and imaginary components. This clearly confirms that our sample exhibits the suspected coexistence of (at least) two phases. We, thus, move onto the two-phase model to test whether it can appropriately model the SHG polarimetry measurements.

### 2. Two-phase model

We next consider the two-phase model in which the SHG contributions of the two phases have different spectral dependences, denoted with components \(\chi_{ijk}\) for the \(a_1/a_2\) phase and \(\chi'_{ijk}\) for the vortex phase. For the \(a_1/a_2\) phase, we again describe the fractions of the regions with local polarization pointing towards [100], [010], [010], and [001] with parameters \(A_1^+, A_1^-, A_2^+, A_2^-\), respectively. For the net polarization of the vortex phase, we describe the respective fractions of the regions with local polarization pointing towards [100] and [010] with parameters \(V^+\) and \(V^−\), respectively. We express the relative fractions of SHG signals originating from the \(a_1\) domains as \(A_1\), from the \(a_2\) domains as \(A_2\), and from the vortex phase as \(V\), where \(A_1 + A_2 + V = 1\). Specifically, we have

\[
A_1 = \frac{A_1^+ - A_1^-}{(A_1^+ - A_1^-) + (A_2^+ - A_2^-) + (V^+ - V^−)}, \quad (5)
\]

\[
A_2 = \frac{A_2^+ - A_2^-}{(A_1^+ - A_1^-) + (A_2^+ - A_2^-) + (V^+ - V^−)\}, \quad (6)
\]

\[
V = \frac{V^+ - V^−}{(A_1^+ - A_1^-) + (A_2^+ - A_2^-) + (V^+ - V^−). \quad (7)
\]

This leads to a SHG intensity in our polarimetry measurements according to

\[
I^{2\omega}(\alpha, \beta) = |A_1[-(\chi_{xxx} \cos^2 \alpha + \chi_{zzz} \sin^2 \alpha) \sin \beta + 2\chi_{xxx} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \cos \beta] + V[-(\chi'_{xxx} \cos^2 \alpha + \chi'_{zzz} \sin^2 \alpha) \sin \beta + 2\chi'_{xxx} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \cos \beta] + A_2[-2\chi_{xxx} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \sin \beta + (\chi_{xxx} \sin^2 \alpha + \chi_{zzz} \cos^2 \alpha) \cos \beta]|^2. \quad (8)
\]

Because the SHG contributions of the \(a_1\) domains and the net axial polarization of the vortex phase have an equivalent angular dependence on \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) [Eq. (8)], we need to consider these SHG contributions with a single set of parameters. We, therefore, define the \(X\) parameters as \(X_{ijk} = A_1\chi_{ijk} + V\chi'_{ijk}\). Equivalently, for the \(a_2\) domains, we define \(Y\) parameters as \(Y_{ijk} = A_2\chi_{ijk}\), see Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). The final expression for the SHG intensity in our polarimetry measurements [black lines in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)] is then

\[
I^{2\omega}(\alpha, \beta) = |-(\chi_{xxx} \cos^2 \alpha + \chi_{zzz} \sin^2 \alpha) \sin \beta + 2\chi_{xxx} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \cos \beta - 2\chi_{xxx} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha \sin \beta + (Y_{xxx} \sin^2 \alpha + Y_{zzz} \cos^2 \alpha) \cos \beta)|^2. \quad (9)
\]

We see in Fig. 2 (black lines) that the two-phase model reproduces the results of our SHG polarimetry very well. The \(X\) and \(Y\) values used in the fitting are listed in Fig. 3(c). We next test the spectral dependence of the SHG responses of the \(a_1/a_2\) phase and the vortex phase by scrutinizing the ratios of \(X\) and \(Y\) parameters: \(X_{ijk}/Y_{ijk} = (A_1\chi_{ijk} + V\chi'_{ijk})/A_2\chi_{ijk}\). If the two phases were associated with the same SHG spectral dependence, the ratio would be equal for any set of \(i, j, k\),

\[
X_{ijk}/Y_{ijk} \equiv A_1 + V/A_2. \quad (10)
\]

However, we observe drastic variations of \(X_{ijk}/Y_{ijk}\) including a sign reversal in Fig. 3(c), showing that the SHG responses of the \(a_1/a_2\) phase and the vortex phase have different spectral
FIG. 4. (a) and (b) Polar plots of the SHG intensity (points) versus the incident-light polarization angle $\alpha$ for a single STO-capped PTO layer with (a) $\beta = 0^\circ$ and (b) $\beta = 90^\circ$. Gray lines are fits of the one-phase model based on Eq. (4), and black lines are fits of the two-phase model based on Eq. (9). A schematic of the heterostructure is given as inset on the top right. Gray denotes PTO, black denotes STO, and upward hatching denotes the SRO-buffered DSO substrate. The intensity scale is equal in (a) and (b). (c) Values of $X_{ijk}$ and $Y_{ijk}$ obtained from fitting Eq. (9) for the case of a single STO-capped PTO layer as well as their ratio $X_{ijk}/Y_{ijk}$.

C. Observation of interlayer coupling in PTO/STO heterostructures

The PTO/STO superlattice architecture is commonly selected to increase the ferroelectric volume so that the signals probing the ferroelectric state become measurable, whereas assuming that the characteristic features of a single STO-capped PTO layer remain preserved. Using the extreme sensitivity of the SHG polarimetry, we will now verify to what extent the phase coexistence of the $a_1/a_2$ phase and the vortex phase in a single STO-capped PTO layer is, in fact, equivalent to that in the superlattice with multiple PTO/STO repetitions. The results of SHG polarimetry on a single STO-capped PTO layer are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), and they are qualitatively similar to the results of the SHG polarimetry of the superlattice in Fig. 2. By modeling the SHG polarimetry for the STO-capped PTO layer following the procedure described for Fig. 3, we again find that only the two-phase model appropriately describes the measured SHG data, see Fig. 4. This confirms the presence of the vortex phase in coexistence with the $a_1/a_2$ phase even in a single STO-capped PTO layer, in agreement with the Ref. [9]. Although it seems that as anticipated, the superlattice behaves as “many STO-capped PTO layers,” there is one interesting detail where this scaling effect does not hold.

Surprisingly, despite identical laser-excitation parameters, the SHG yield of a (PTO|STO)$_8$|PTO superlattice is lower than that of a single STO-capped PTO layer even though the amount of ferroelectric material in the superlattice exceeds that of the STO-capped PTO layer by a factor of 9, see Fig. 5. Such a dependence is in striking contradiction to the understanding that a superlattice architecture would increase the measured signals proportionally to the increase in the volume of ferroelectric material. For an explanation of this discrepancy, we recall that the SHG emission is sensitive to the sign of polarization. Specifically, PTO layers with opposite polarization directions will emit SHG light waves with a 180°-phase difference that would cancel out in superposition. The two times smaller SHG yield of the superlattice compared to the SHG yield of the STO-capped PTO layer points exactly to such a cancellation between SHG waves originating in different PTO layers of the superlattice [45]. In order to verify this assumption, we compare the SHG yield of PTO/STO heterostructures with a different number of periods. Specifically, we integrate the signal of the SHG polarimetry data for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ijk$</th>
<th>$X_{ijk}$</th>
<th>$Y_{ijk}$</th>
<th>$X_{ijk}/Y_{ijk}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>zxx</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zzz</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>-0.892</td>
<td>-0.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xzx</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 5. (a) SHG intensity integrated over $\alpha$ for SHG polarimetry at $\beta = 0^\circ$ as in Figs. 2(b) and 4(a) for an uncapped PTO reference layer, a STO-capped PTO layer (PTO/STO heterostructure with an odd number of PTO layers), a PTO/STO/PTO multilayer (PTO/STO heterostructure with an even number of PTO layers), and a (PTO|STO)$_8$|PTO superlattice (PTO/STO heterostructure with an odd number of PTO layers), see the schematics. Gray denotes PTO, black denotes STO, and upward hatching denotes the SRO-buffered DSO substrate. The downward-hatched area corresponds to the background SHG intensity measured from the DSO substrate. To ensure quantitative comparability of the SHG yield of different samples, the SHG signal is normalized to a reference SHG signal gained from a SHG-active reference quartz crystal in each case. (b) Conceptual sketch of locally reversed polarization in neighboring PTO layers. Gray, blue, and red denote PTO, and black denotes STO. Straight arrows represent the $a_1/a_2$ phase, and the curved arrows represent the vortex phase.
IV. CONCLUSION

To summarize, using a noninvasive optical approach, we identified a phase coexistence of the polar $\alpha_1/\alpha_2$ phase and the polar vortex phase in PTO/STO heterostructures. SHG polarimetry evidences the presence of such as phase coexistence even in a single STO-capped PTO layer. Furthermore, by measuring the SHG yield of a series of PTO/STO heterostructures in dependence of the number of PTO and STO layers, we find an interlayer coupling effect between neighboring PTO layers that leads to a spatial correlation of in-plane polarized domains with oppositely oriented polarizations in successive layers. Specifically, this arrangement of polarization in neighboring PTO layers of PTO/STO heterostructures results in a SHG yield which is an order of magnitude larger for an odd number of PTO layers than for an even number of PTO layers. We expect that our noninvasive optical approach could be used as a convenient tool in identifying complex phases in oxide-electronic materials and permit operando monitoring of the evolution of complex ordered phases under electric fields, an important prerequisite for promoting these systems towards device functionality [17–21].
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