Surface Explosion Chemistry of Malic Acid on Cu(110)

Christian Roth · Karl-Heinz Ernst

Published online: 15 October 2011
 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2011

Abstract The thermal decomposition chemistry of malic acid adsorbed on a copper(110) surface was studied with thermal desorption spectroscopy, reflection–absorption infrared spectroscopy, low-energy electron diffraction and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy in ultrahigh vacuum. In contrast to tartaric acid on Cu(110), no differences between racemate and pure enantiomers have been identified.

Keywords Surface chemistry · Autocatalytic decomposition · Chirality · Thermal desorption spectroscopy

1 Introduction

Control of diastereomeric recognition between enantiomers of different compounds at surfaces is the key route to desired pure enantiomers via crystallization or enantioselective hydrogenation over chiral-modified heterogeneous catalysts [1, 2]. For the latter, examples are cinchona-modified platinum group metals [3] and tartaric acid-modified nickel [4, 5]. These systems provide high enantiomeric excess (ee) for hydrogenation of α -keto carboxylic acid derivatives and β -keto carboxylic acid esters or ketones [6]. It has been demonstrated that studies of well-defined model systems help to unravel details of heterogeneously catalyzed reactions [7]. Consequently, the adsorption of chiral molecules on single crystal surfaces is increasingly deployed as an approach for better understanding of chiral recognition at

surfaces [8, 9]. The adsorption and self-assembly of cinchonidine and tartaric acid on single-crystal metal surfaces has therefore been widely studied [10–19]. In particular enantiopure tartaric acid (TA) on Cu(110) became a popular model system to investigate principles of chirality transfer into 2D crystal structures [15–19]. Similar studies have been performed on racemic TA [20, 21], the achiral *meso*-TA (*m*-TA) [22], and achiral succinic acid (SU) [23] all on Cu(110). The prochiral species *m*-TA and SU become chiral upon adsorption and express 2D enantiomorphism. If additional chiral bias is introduced by doping *m*-TA and SU layers with (*R,R*)- or (*S,S*)-TA enantiomers, one enantiomorphous state is suppressed and homochirality is installed on the entire surface [24, 25]. A similar effect has been observed at small ee in monolayers of heptahelicene on Cu(111) [26, 27]. ee in TA-monolayers on Cu(110) [28] or malic acid enantiomers mixed into racemic TA monolayers also suppress formation of one mirror domain [29].

The thermally induced decomposition of these butanedioic acids on Cu(110) is characterized by the so-called “surface explosion” mechanism. This expression stands for an autocatalytic increase in reaction rate in thermally induced surface reactions, occurring in a very narrow temperature range [30]. This has been reported for various systems like formic acid/Ni(110) [31], acetic acid/Ni(110) [32] and acetic acid coadsorbed with oxygen or carbon on Rh(111), Rh(110), and Pd(110) [33–36]. Due to deprotonation of the carboxyl groups these molecules are strongly bound and intact desorption is not possible since the hydrogen has left the surface. At higher temperature, decomposition into products like CO₂, H₂O, H₂, etc. is therefore usually observed. These products are created at temperatures far above their desorption temperature and they leave the surface instantaneously. Since the decomposition is catalyzed by the metal surface, the decomposition temperature

C. Roth · K.-H. Ernst (✉)
 Nanoscale Materials Science, Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories
 for Materials Science and Technology, Überlandstrasse 129,
 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
 e-mail: Karl-Heinz.Ernst@empa.ch

Fig. 1 Malic acid (a) on Cu(110) with one (b) or both carboxylates (c)

strongly depends on coverage, i.e., increases with increasing coverage. This is due to kinetic hindrance not allowing parts of the molecule in the close-packed layer to reach down to the surface for decomposition. At saturation coverage a maximum stabilization is achieved and the decomposition temperature is now far above the decomposition temperature for an isolated molecule. However, once decomposition is initiated, the increasing number of free sites affects in turn the decomposition rate. At first approximation, the rate of decomposition (decrease in coverage) depends then on the coverage θ (number of molecules) and the number of free sites $(1 - \theta)$ [20]:

$$-d\theta/dt = A\theta(1 - \theta)e^{-E/RT} \quad (1)$$

More complicated models have been proposed as well, taking the shrinking island circumference into account [33–36]. Due to the surface explosion chemistry of TA on Cu(110), we were able to distinguish between homochiral two-dimensional (2D) crystals and heterochiral arrangements for the racemic analogue just by decomposition kinetics [20].

Here we present the thermal decomposition reaction of malic acid (MA) on Cu(110) that undergoes a surface explosion as well, but does not show differences between racemate and pure enantiomers. At monolayer saturation coverage MA is present in its single deprotonated form (Fig. 1b), no matter if the racemate or the pure enantiomers is adsorbed, and both systems show a $c(2 \times 4)$ structure [37, 38]. During the decomposition a species is formed that is thermally more stable and decomposes at higher temperature. MA forms a large number of different structures on Cu(110). For the pure enantiomer we identified eleven different phases [37], while another four different phases were found for the racemate [38], suggesting heterochiral building motifs in the racemic layer. For both cases we recently identified via STM Cu adatom rows in the molecular layer, i.e., the copper substrate is reconstructed in a chiral fashion.

2 Experimental

The experiments have been carried out in a stainless steel ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chamber ($p = 2 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar),

equipped with facilities for thermal desorption spectroscopy (TDS), low-energy electron diffraction (LEED), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and reflection-absorption infrared spectroscopy (RAIRS). The polished copper(110) single crystal (Matek, Germany) could be cooled and heated from 85 to 1000 K. The in vacuo Cu(110) surface preparation, consisting of cycles of prolonged argon ion bombardment plus annealing, has been described in detail previously [39, 40]. After this treatment, the surface did not show any impurities in XPS and the LEED pattern consisted of a sharp and bright (1×1) structure with low background intensity. (*S*)-malic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, 98–100%), (*R*)-malic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, 97%) and the racemate (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) were evaporated from a multiple-cell evaporator ($T = 65$ °C). The Cu crystal temperature during deposition was, if not stated otherwise, held at room temperature. TDS has been performed with a heating rate of 4 K/s. The desorbing molecules were identified with a Pfeiffer Prisma 200 quadrupole mass spectrometer, which was located in a differentially pumped tube with a pinhole at the front, assuring that only molecules desorbing from the sample were detected during a TDS run. RAIRS has been performed with the Bruker Vertex 70 vacuum spectrometer with external detector. Separated by CaF_2 windows from the UHV, the light path was pumped down to 0.1 mbar. Angle of incidence and of reflection in the RAIRS set-up were both 83° with respect to the surface normal. XPS peak fitting has been performed after Shirley background subtraction. We use here absolute coverage values θ , i.e., molecules per surface atoms, as well as relative coverage in monolayers (ML; $1 \text{ ML} \approx \theta = 0.25$).

3 Results

3.1 Thermal Desorption Spectroscopy

Figure 2 shows a TDS series for CO_2 evolution from (*R*)-MA on Cu(110) with increasing coverage. Two peaks are observed, one shifting strongly with increasing coverage from 450 to 512 K, another at 570 K (Fig. 2, inset) that does not shift and is saturated already at small coverage. We note that molecular CO_2 desorption from Cu(110) occurs at cryogenic temperatures, i.e., CO_2 itself is physisorbed [39]. Consequently the CO_2 signals here are due to decomposition of malate. The low temperature decomposition peak not only shifts to higher temperatures, but also shows a dramatic decrease in peak width and is therefore a clear indication for surface explosion kinetics.

After this first explosion decomposition step a molecular fragment is still left on the surface that undergoes again decomposition at higher temperature into CO_2 as well. In contrast to the low temperature peak, the width of the

Fig. 2 Series of TD spectra for CO₂ (44 amu mass fragment) with increasing coverage of (*R*)-MA. The deposition times are indicated. At monolayer saturation the narrow peak indicates the surface explosion kinetics

decomposition peaks is not as narrow. Moreover, the ratio of mass 28 amu (CO signal, not shown here) to 44 amu CO₂ desorption is substantially higher. Considering the high electron impact energy of the mass spectrometer it is safe to assume identical fragmentation rates for CO₂ into CO for the small temperature difference of the two peaks. This allows, in turn, the conclusion that CO is intrinsically formed in the second decomposition step at the surface. Another striking difference is that no H₂O desorption can be observed for the second peak at 570 K (Fig. 3). The ratio of CO₂ formed in the two decomposition reactions, i.e., areas under the TDS traces, is 0.5 (peak at 570 K divided by peak at 512 K) at saturation. The TDS results for racemic MA do not show any differences with respect to the pure enantiomers. In order to understand the

Fig. 3 TD spectra for CO₂ (44 amu), H₂O (18 amu) and H₂ (2 amu) of a saturated monolayer of (*S*)-MA. H₂O is not observed in the second peak. Spectra for CO₂ and H₂ above 521 K have been magnified by a factor of four

observed decomposition steps, we performed RAIRS and XPS studies at the corresponding temperatures.

3.2 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

The XPS oxygen 1s signal of a complete monolayer is shown in Fig. 4a. As previously identified via C 1s XPS and RAIRS, at this coverage basically only monomalate is present at the surface [37, 38]. The additional intensity at the high binding energy side in spectrum Fig. 4a is due to the carboxyl group not interacting with the surface. At 67% of the monolayer (*R*)-MA forms, like TA on Cu(110), a (1 2, −8 2) structure with both carboxylates bound to the surface (for more details about the matrix notation see Ref. [41]). The fit for the bimalate (Fig. 4b) shows therefore two peaks in a ratio of 4:1, representing the carboxylate and hydroxyl O-atoms, respectively. The peak energies are 532.7 eV (HO–CH) and 531.4 eV (COO^{δ−}). Upon heating to 520 K, the signal of the OH group disappears, while a smaller signal for the carboxylate oxygen atoms is still present. At temperatures above 600 K no oxygen is left on the surface.

The corresponding carbon 1s spectra are shown in Fig. 5. Again, the room temperature spectrum reflects the monomalate species [37, 38]. Heating to 521 K increases the intensity at the low energy side, which points to more residual carbon at the surface. In addition, the C 1s components observed for the intact molecule are still present. These are 286.2 eV (HC–OH), 287.6 eV (COO^{δ−}), 288.7 eV (COOH), 284.4 eV (CH₂) and 283.4 (residual carbon). For better comparison the spectra of the heated samples were magnified by a factor two. At temperatures above the second decomposition step at 570 K, only the CH₂ peak is left (Fig. 5c). The higher energy peaks of this 800 K spectrum are actually background noise, which also applies to the small peaks of the 521 K spectrum (Fig. 5b).

Fig. 4 O 1s XP spectra of a saturated monolayer (a), a bimalate layer (b), and after annealing to 521 K (c) and 800 K (d)

Fig. 5 C 1s XPS spectra for the saturated monolayer (dashed line in *a*), and the sample after annealing at 521 K (*b*) and 800 K (*c*). Error bars indicate the noise of the original, not smoothed spectra

In addition, we cannot exclude that carbon segregates into the Cu bulk to some extent at 800 K.

3.3 Reflection–Absorption Infrared Spectroscopy

Beyond the chemical analysis provided by XPS, this surface IR spectroscopic method allows to identify the adsorption mode of the intact molecule as well as molecular fragments at the surface after thermal-induced decomposition. Figure 6 shows the spectra for the saturated monolayer at room temperature and after thermal treatment at 521 and 800 K. Basically the following vibrational modes are identified: C=O stretching mode ($\nu_{\text{C=O}}$) at 1700 cm^{-1} , symmetric OCO stretching mode ($\nu_{\text{s}}^{\text{OCO}}$) of the carboxylate at 1432 cm^{-1} , the CH deformation band (δ^{CH}) at 1306 to 1322 cm^{-1} , and C–H stretching modes at 2967 cm^{-1} , 2930 cm^{-1} , and 2863 cm^{-1} . The room temperature spectrum (Fig. 6a) is dominated by monomaleate, indicated by the strong C=O stretching mode. However, the broad feature at 1432 cm^{-1} probably contains two bands of the symmetric carboxylate vibration $\nu_{\text{s}}^{\text{OCO}}$ at 1436 and 1419 cm^{-1} . The latter is characteristic for bimaleate which is difficult to be excluded in general under our preparation conditions.

After the surface explosion reaction the C–H stretching modes are all still observed, while the C=O stretching mode disappeared. The broad bump at 1693 cm^{-1} has a shoulder at lower wavenumbers that could stand for a monodentate carboxylate formation. Monodentate formation has been observed recently after prolonged annealing of *rac*-MA at lower temperatures [38]. The $\nu_{\text{s}}^{\text{OCO}}$ mode of the bidentate carboxylate disappeared at 521 K and

Fig. 6 RAIR spectra before (*a*) and after heating to 521 K (*b*) and 800 K (*c*)

therefore a C–O stretching mode at 1412 cm^{-1} appeared (Fig. 6a). After further heating to 800 K (Fig. 6c) only the symmetric and antisymmetric C–H stretching vibrations are observed. The $\text{H} \leftrightarrow \text{COH}$ stretching mode at 2863 cm^{-1} (or a group of symmetric and antisymmetric C–H modes at that carbon between 2880 and 2850 cm^{-1}) disappeared at 800 K as well. The broad signal at 1693 cm^{-1} is still observed after heating to 800 K, when XPS showed that no oxygen is left at the surface. Therefore, this broad feature must be an artefact that cannot be explained with the C=O vibration.

4 Discussion

From all observation it is difficult to establish a clear decomposition scenario. TDS and XPS show that in the surface explosion decomposition step at 511 K only 66% of the carboxylate groups leave the surface as CO_2 . The TDS peak at 570 K shows no explosion characteristics, does not have H_2O as product, but a higher intensity of the 28 amu fragment (CO). At least one species left after the explosion step contains a carboxyl group leading to CO_2 in the second step. Another (or the same) species has a C–O group with the single bond between C and O. However, an OH group is not identified via XPS.¹ Only CH_2 groups are still observed after heating to 800 K. Other butanedioic acids, like TA and SU, show surface explosion as well, but decompose in one step only. This leads us to the following decomposition scenario, taking the lower symmetry of MA into account. For monomaleate two different possibilities

¹ The background signal for OH in RAIRS was too high to address this question via this method.

Fig. 7 Decomposition scheme explaining the observed desorbing and remaining fragments. MA can in principle be bound in two different ways in the monomaleate mode

exist, while succinate and tartrate do not show such differences.

Figure 7 shows the two possibilities and possible consequences for decomposition pathways. With the OH group in β -position (with respect to the carboxylate group in contact with the surface) this group can reach down to the surface in order to establish another surface bond. Previous DFT calculations showed that this would have an additional stabilization effect of 0.54 eV [37]. The most stable configuration with the hydroxyl at the α -position (Fig. 7, top right) has an intramolecular hydrogen bond with a carboxylate oxygen. Although these calculations have been performed for single molecules, therefore not taking intermolecular bonding in the close-packed monolayer into account, they may become valid for the initial situation of the explosion chemistry when more space is generated. The β -hydroxyl malate becomes stabilized by forming an additional O-surface bond after losing the hydrogen. The α -hydroxyl malate, devoid of such pathway, decomposes into water, carbon dioxide, hydrogen and graphitic carbon. The stabilized intermediate decomposes at 570 K into CO, H₂ and CO₂ and methylene groups are left at the surface. This tentative decomposition model does explain most, but not all, of the observations made here.

The surface explosion temperatures for tartaric acid were different for racemate and pure enantiomers at identical coverage and adsorbate lattice periodicity. This was explained by the presence of a heterochiral lattice in case of the racemate, excluding a conglomerate of homochiral

domains. Transferring this logic to MA, one would come to the conclusion that the racemate appears in homochiral domains. However, STM showed differences in short range order for both $c(2 \times 4)$ lattices that points to a heterochiral structure for the racemate as well [37, 38]. Apparently, heterochiral α/β -hydroxyl MA pairs can be better aligned without any consequences in decomposition kinetics.

5 Conclusions

Malic acid decomposes, as other butanedioic acids do on Cu(110), in an autocatalytic reaction, called surface explosion. In contrast to tartaric acid and succinic acid, during this fast reaction one or more stable surface species survive at the surface and decompose at higher temperature into hydrocarbon fragments. No differences in decomposition temperature for racemate and pure enantiomers have been identified for malic acid.

Acknowledgments Support by the Schweizerischer National Fonds is gratefully acknowledged. We thank D. Passerone for preparing the colored part of Fig. 7.

References

- Sheldon RA (1993) Chiral technologies: industrial synthesis of optically active compounds. M Dekker, New York
- Mallat T, Orglmeister E, Baiker A (2007) Chem Rev 107:4863
- Baiker A (1997) J Mol Catal A Chem 115:473
- Izumi Y (1983) Adv Catal 32:215
- Keane MA (1997) Langmuir 13:41
- Blaser HU (1991) Tetrahedron Asymmetry 2:843
- Ertl G (2007) Nobel Lecture (2008) Angew Chem Int Ed 47:3524
- Ernst K-H (2006) Top Curr Chem 265:209
- Raval R (2009) Chem Soc Rev 38:707
- Jones TE, Baddeley CJ (2002) Surf Sci 513:453
- Jones TE, Baddeley CJ (2002) Surf Sci 519:237
- Ma Z, Lee I, Kubota J, Zaera F (2004) J Mol Catal A Chem 216:199
- Behzadi B, Ferri D, Baiker A, Ernst K-H (2007) Appl Surf Sci 253:3480
- Humblot V, Haq S, Muryn C, Hofer WA, Raval R (2002) J Am Chem Soc 124:503
- Ortega Lorenzo M, Haq S, Bertrams T, Murray P, Raval R, Baddeley CJ (1999) J Phys Chem B 103:10661
- Ortega Lorenzo M, Baddeley CJ, Muryn C, Raval R (2000) Nature 404:376
- Barbosa LAMM, Sautet P (2001) J Am Chem Soc 123:6639
- Fasel R, Wider J, Quitmann C, Ernst K-H, Greber T (2004) Angew Chem Int Ed 43:2853
- Hermse CGM, van Bavel AP, Jansen APJ, Barbosa LAMM, Sautet P, van Santen RA (2004) J Phys Chem B 108:11035
- Romer S, Behzadi B, Fasel R, Ernst K-H (2005) Chem Eur J 11:4149
- Behzadi B, Romer S, Fasel R, Ernst K-H (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:9176
- Parschau M, Behzadi B, Romer S, Ernst K-H (2006) Surf Interface Anal 38:1607

23. Humblot V, Ortega Lorenzo M, Baddeley CJ, Haq S, Raval R (2004) *J Am Chem Soc* 126:6460
24. Parschau M, Romer S, Ernst K-H (2004) *J Am Chem Soc* 126:15398
25. Parschau M, Kampen T, Ernst K-H (2005) *Chem Phys Lett* 407:433
26. Fasel R, Parschau M, Ernst K-H (2006) *Nature* 439:449
27. Parschau M, Fasel R, Ernst K-H (2008) *Cryst Growth Des* 8:1890
28. Haq S, Liu N, Humblot V, Jansen APJ, Raval R (2009) *Nat Chem* 1:409
29. Roth C, Passerone D, Ernst K-H (2010) *Chem Commun* 46:8645
30. Falconer JL, Madix RJ (1974) *Surf Sci* 46:473
31. McCarty J, Falconer JL, Madix RJ (1973) *J Catal* 30:235
32. Madix RJ, Falconer JL, Suszko AM (1976) *Surf Sci* 54:6
33. Li Y, Bowker M (1993) *Surf Sci* 285:219
34. Cassidy TJ, Allen MD, Li Y, Bowker M (1993) *Catal Lett* 21:321
35. Aas N, Bowker M (1993) *J Chem Soc Farad Trans* 89:1249
36. Bowker M, Morgan C, Couves J (2004) *Surf Sci* 555:145
37. Roth C, Passerone D, Merz L, Parschau M, Ernst K-H (2011) *J Phys Chem C* 115:1240
38. Roth C, Parschau M, Ernst K-H (2011) *ChemPhysChem* 12:1572
39. Ernst K-H, Schlatterbeck D, Christmann K (1999) *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 1:4105
40. Parschau M, Fasel R, Ernst K-H, Gröning O, Brandenberger L, Schillinger R, Greber T, Seitsonen A, Wu Y-T, Siegel JS (2007) *Angew Chem Int Ed* 46:8258
41. Merz L, Ernst K-H (2010) *Surf Sci* 604:1049