This document is the accepted manuscript version of the following article:
http://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA07412F

ARTICLE

Fine-tuning of the dielectric properties of polysiloxanes by chemical modification
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A series of novel polysiloxanes is presented that have glass transition temperatures and dielectric properties of which are systematically fine-tuned by utilizing thiol–ene post-polymerization reactions. The pendant vinyl groups of a high molecular weight polymethylvinylsiloxane P1 were exhaustively reacted with the thiol compounds 1-butanol (2) and 3-mercaptopropanitrile 3 both separately to give polymers P2 and P3, respectively, as well as in various ratios x,y so as to create materials P2,P3 x,y with greatly differing contents of the polar nitrile group y. All modifications proceeded quantitatively as was confirmed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. Because of the presence of the polarizable thioether and nitrile groups, the resulting siloxane polymers exhibit permittivity ranging from ε’ = 4.7 to 18.4 for P2 and P3, respectively. The ε’ values of all polymers P2,P3 carrying more than one kind of thiolether group lie within this range. Additionally, broadband dielectric spectroscopy measurements of P2, P2,P3, and P3 have been conducted in the temperature range from -150 °C to 60 °C and the frequency range from 0.1 Hz to 1 MHz. Due to their high permittivity, polymers P2, P2,P3, and P3 are attractive candidates for dielectric elastomer actuators and flexible electronics.

Introduction

Elastomers are chemically or physically cross-linked polymers that have low calorimetric glass transition temperatures (Tg), show large strains and reversible deformations. 1 Their mechanical properties can be tuned all the way from soft to stiff by changing the cross-link density from low to high. There is an increasing need for elastomers that have a high dielectric permittivity (ε’). Such materials are attractive for many fields of applications including flexible electronics, actuators, energy harvesting, and artificial muscles 2 and are created by either blending or chemical modification. 3 It has been shown that polarizable fillers can increase the ε’, however, such blending approaches often lead to worsened elastic properties. 4

Permittivity can also be increased by introducing polar groups through chemical modification of the polymeric material. This approach has the downside that it often leads to an undesired increase of Tg which detrimentally impacts the elastic properties. 5 Thus, the chemical modification approach requires subsequent measures to lower the Tg of the initially obtained polymer. That this strategy can be successful is illustrated by metallization polymers with fluorinated side chains. 6 While their Tg’s were unattractively high, hydrogenation of the polymers resulted in a product with a 40 °C lower Tg. Hydrogenation converts unsaturated backbone units into saturated ones and therefore increases backbone flexibility. Plasticisers have also been used to decrease Tg. 7 Even rigid plastics such as poly(vinyl chloride) can be made flexible when plasticizers are added. 8

Inspired by the work of Feast et al., 9 a different approach was recently suggested. 10 The idea was to start from a polymer with such a low Tg that in spite of the inherent increase in Tg by the introduction of polar groups, the Tg of the product would still be attractively low. Polysiloxanes show the lowest Tg among polymers, are easily accessible and cheap, and allow chemical functionalization. This makes them attractive candidates for such endeavour. Meanwhile, several groups have reported on polysiloxanes modified with polar groups which showed increased permittivity. For example, small dipoles like nitrile, chloro, and trifluoropropyl as well as large dipoles such as 4-nitroaniline and 4-nitrobenzene, have been used. 11 The functionalization is done either by using a hydrosilylation reaction 12 or an azide-alkyne Huisgen cycloaddition. 13 The attachment of the polar groups via a
Pt-catalysed hydrosilylation reaction between the Si-H bonds of the polymer chain and the vinyl group present in the polar entity turned out to be non-quantitative resulting in a tendency for unwanted cross-linking through the residual Si-H bonds. This reaction is also sensitive towards various impurities such as thiols and amines which can poison the rather expensive Pt catalyst. Finally, this chemistry is problematic whenever materials are concerned where residual traces of Pt are not acceptable. The azide-alkyne cycloaddition has been extensively used for the functionalization of polymers. This reaction was recently used to attach the polar nitrobenzene to polysiloxanes containing azide pendant groups and polymers with increased permittivity were obtained.

Despite the progress achieved in making functional polysiloxanes with increased ‘ε’ accessible, the molecular weights of these polymers were too low to allow their cross-linking into robust elastomeric materials with large strain at break. At this point the present work sets in. It opens access to high molecular weight functionalized polysiloxanes with increased permittivity and overcomes the above mentioned drawbacks by combining a more robust reaction for the chemical functionalization with the avoidance of a heavy metal catalyst. We here report a series of new polysiloxanes containing varying amounts of polar nitrile groups starting from polymethylenolsiloxane (P1) via a thiol-ene addition. The nitrile functional group was selected because of its polarity and low volume, and therefore it should be easy to polarize by an electric field. The pendant vinyl groups of a high molecular weight P1 were exhaustively reacted with the thiol compounds 1-butanolthiol (2) and 3-mercaptopropionitrile 3 both separately to give polymers P2 and P3, respectively, as well as in various ratios x,y so as to create polymers P2,P3, with greatly differing contents of the polar nitrile group (y). These polymers were characterized by 1H NMR, 13C NMR, FTIR, GPC, and EA. Additionally, the dielectric properties of these polymers were investigated as a function of dipole concentration. Finally, broadband dielectric spectroscopy measurements on selected samples were conducted at temperatures ranging from -150 °C to +60 °C and frequencies between 0.1 Hz to 1 MHz. Preliminary experiments show that it is possible to cross-link these polymers to robust elastomers.

**Results and discussion**

A series of hydroxyl end-functionalized siloxane polymers P2, P3 and P2,P3, that have nitrile pendant groups was prepared starting from P1 as shown in Scheme 1. For reasons that were outlined in the introduction it was important to start the synthesis from a siloxane polymer that combines high molecular weight with a large concentration of lateral vinyl groups for post-polymerization modification. Since such siloxanes are not commercially available, P1 was synthesized starting from V4 under anionic polymerization conditions. The thermally labile tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) initiator was used because the purification of the highly viscous polymer is easily performed by heating above 140 °C. At this temperature the TMAH is decomposing to volatile inactive compounds (triethylamine and methanol) that can be removed together with residual cyclic siloxanes by high vacuum distillation. This reaction gave the starting polymer P1 in 93 % yield as a highly viscous liquid. P1 was analyzed by 1H NMR, 13C NMR, IR and GPC. The molecular weight of the resulting polymer and its distribution were determined as Mn = 72 kDa, Mw = 169 kDa, D = 2.4 by GPC using polydimethylsioxane (PDMS) standards. P1 was also prepared in 100 g scale and it was always this very batch that was used for subsequent functionalization. Using the exact same batch of starting material minimized the influence of end-groups or molecular weight on the permittivity.

For the post-polymerization, a wide range of polar thiols can in principle be used. We focused on 3 because it is easily accessible in one step by addition of in-situ generated H2S to acrylonitrile in 60% yield. Additionally, the nitrile group has a large dipole moment and a rather low volume and it should therefore be easy to polarize. While the click reaction to pendant vinyl groups of polymers has been reported in the literature, preliminary tests were done to confirm that this reaction also proceeds extremely well for our starting materials. For the thiol-ene addition thermo- or photo-labile radical initiators can be used. Reaction of P1 with 3 using azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) in refluxing THF was not completed after 3.5 h whereas the reaction with the photo sensitive radical initiator 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (DMPA) was completed in less than five minutes. We therefore focussed on the photo-induced addition which turned out to be fast and reliable. After these orienting studies had been completed, starting material P1 was reacted with thiols 2, 3 and various ratios of 2/3. To avoid uncontrolled cross-linking between the vinyl groups of the siloxane polymers, an excess of thiols to the vinyl groups was used. Total conversion of the vinyl groups was obtained within 5 min (proved by 1H NMR). This allowed us preparation of a series of polymers P2, P3 and P2,P3, with different contents of polar nitrile group (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1 Synthesis of starting polysiloxane P1 from monomer V4 and the post-polymerization modification of P1 with thiols 2 and 3 to polymers P2 and P3 and to polymers P2,P3, in which varying ratios of 2 and 3 were incorporated.](image-url)

To remove the excess of unreacted thiols, first the THF was partially removed and the polymer was precipitated with methanol. The crude polymer was repeatedly dissolved in toluene and precipitated with methanol, until the excess of thiol and other impurities were removed. Fig. 1 shows the 1H NMR spectra of P1, P2, P3, and P2,P3. The disappearance of the vinyl signals of P1 at about δ = 6 ppm and the appearance of new signals in the aliphatic part of the spectra of P2, P3 and P2,P3, due to the addition of the thiols to the vinyl groups are clear proofs of successful coupling (Fig. S1). In addition to this, the disappearance of the vinyl carbons at δ = 136.7 ppm and δ = 133.1 ppm in the 13C NMR spectra is noted as is the appearance of a new signal at δ = 118.6 ppm for the polymers containing CN groups (Fig. S2 and S3). The proposed structures are further supported by new signals in the aliphatic part of the 13C NMR signals.
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NMR spectra, which were assigned for sample P2-P3 by using 2D NMR techniques (Fig. S4). In line with a full conversion of the vinyl groups the IR vibration bands at 1406 cm⁻¹ (C=C in-plane bending), 1600 cm⁻¹ (C=C stretching), and at 3055 cm⁻¹ (C-H stretching) are absent in all products (Fig. S5). For the polymers P3 and P2-P3, that contain nitrile groups, the characteristic signal at 2250 cm⁻¹ is present. The content of the nitrile groups in the final copolymers was determined by comparing the integrals of the protons of Si-CH₃ groups at δ = 0.15 ppm with the integrals of the 6 CH₂ protons of the addition product of 3 to the vinyl groups which appeared between δ = 2.95 ppm and δ = 2.62 ppm. Fortunately, the reactivity of the two thiols used is similar, and therefore the amount of the nitrile groups incorporated into copolymers was as predicted. The 'H NMR spectra of all prepared copolymers are displayed in the supporting information. Typical elugrams of P2, P3 and P2-P3, are shown in supporting information and the Mₐw, Mₘ and PDI are given in Table 2. The functionalized polymers are shifted to smaller elution volumes compared to P1. All polymers contained a small fraction of a higher molecular weight (<3%) that might form due to a side reaction of the vinyl groups or a condensation of the hydroxyl-end groups.

![Fig. 1 H NMR spectra of starting P1 and of the thiol-ene addition products P2, P3 and P2-P3.](image)

Fig. 1. 'H NMR spectra of starting P1 and of the thiol-ene addition products P2, P3 and P2-P3, dichloromethane (+), methanol (×), Markovnikov product (*) in CDCl₃.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) on P1, P2, P3 and P2-P3, was also performed. The samples were heated at a rate of 10 °C per min from -150 °C to 50 °C and only the second heating curves were taken into account for Tg analysis (Fig. 2). For all polymers the Tg was well below room temperature. A steady increase in Tg with increasing proportion of nitrile groups was observed (Fig. S6). The starting polymer P1 has a Tg = -128 °C, polymer P2 without nitrile has a Tg = -97.6 °C while P3, with the maximum content of nitrile has a Tg = -52.6 °C. Furthermore, a tiny melting peak was observed in the temperature range between 0 and 25 °C (Fig. S7) which might indicate that to a very small extent these polymers might be semi-crystalline, as it is well established for PDMS.²⁰

![Fig. 2 Variation of glass transition temperature (Tg) with the content of polar groups of P2, P3 and P2-P3.](image)

TGAs recorded under He flow show that all polymers P2, P3 and P2-P3, are stable up to 300 °C where decomposition starts (with a weight loss between 70 to 75%). A negligible influence of the polar nitrile groups on the stability of polymers was observed, e.g. the maximum decomposition temperature for P2 was 400 °C while for P3 was 384 °C (Fig. S8).

To identify the type of fluctuating units in these polymers and to elucidate systematic changes in the dynamics (as indicated by the change in Tg), temperature dependent BDS measurements of the samples P2, P2-P3, and P3 were carried out. Due to the presence of some residual methanol in the polymers (see Table 2), the samples were annealed in dry nitrogen atmosphere for several hours until the remaining solvent evaporated and no change in the dielectric spectra was observed. Fig. 3 shows the dielectric permittivity and loss e' and e'' as well as the real and imaginary part of the complex conductivity ω' and ω'' for the sample P2. The dielectric loss is characterized by several relaxation processes in the temperature range between -40 and -150 °C. Further, at temperatures above -60 °C a clear conductivity contribution is present in the dielectric loss (increase at low frequencies with a slope of -1) as well as in the real part of the complex conductivity (plateau). This contribution gives rise to electrode polarization as indicated by the steep increase in the dielectric permittivity as well as the development of a maximum in the imaginary part of the complex conductivity both occurring at low frequencies in the temperature range above 20 °C. By fitting Havriliak-Negami functions to the dielectric loss spectra (Fig. S9), the mean relaxation times τ of the underlying structural relaxations are extracted.
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![Dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon'$) and loss ($\varepsilon''$) as well as the real and imaginary part of the complex conductivity ($\sigma'$ and $\sigma''$, respectively) of the sample P2 as a function of frequency for different temperatures as indicated.](image1)

**Fig. 3**

**Fig. 4** Activation plot of the mean relaxation times $\tau$ extracted from the dielectric loss spectra (Fig. 3) of sample P2. Two primary relaxations can be identified according to their Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann temperature dependence, which coincide with the calorimetric glass transition as determined by DSC, as well as a secondary relaxation which exhibits an Arrhenius type activation. The error bars indicate the uncertainty of the fit parameters; in the primary relaxations, this uncertainty is larger due to the proximity of these two processes.

![Activation plot of mean relaxation times $\tau$ extracted from the dielectric loss spectra (Fig. 3) of sample P2.](image2)

**Fig. 4** displays these relaxation times as a function of inverse temperature to identify the type of thermal activation. Two of the processes can be described by a Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) equation typical for the primary relaxation of glassy materials while a third process shows an Arrhenius-type activation which indicates secondary relaxations (see Supp. Inform.). The primary relaxations merge with decreasing temperature and coincide with the calorimetric glass transition temperature as determined by DSC.

The samples P2, P3, and P3 show similar features: two merging primary relaxations, a conductivity contribution with indications for electrode polarization and a secondary relaxation, but at different temperatures (Fig. S10–S13). Beyond this, sample P2, P31 exhibits a further secondary relaxation. In the following, these contributions are analyzed separately to compare the different temperature dependencies in the three samples.

The inset of Fig. 5 displays the mean relaxation times of the primary relaxations of P2, P2, P3, and P3 as a function of inverse temperature as well as the respective calorimetric glass transition temperatures. From sample P2 over P2, P3, to P3 (i.e. with increasing content of CN dipoles) the activation curves as well as the $T_g$ values shift to higher temperatures. A scaling with respect to the calorimetric $T_g$ results in coinciding curves (Fig. 5) indicating a common structural origin of these relaxations. In the rescaled...
graph, also the relaxations of a pure PDMS sample are shown; the faster of the two observed PDMS relaxations coincides with the faster relaxation of the polymers P2, P2,P3, and P3 while the other one is slower by about one order of magnitude compared to P2, P2,P3, and P3. For PDMS, the faster relaxation has been assigned to the segmental motion of the backbone (the structural relaxation underlying glassy dynamics) in the amorphous phase while the slower relaxation is identified with the fluctuation of those segments neighbouring crystalline domains. Therefore, we attribute the faster primary relaxation to fluctuation of the backbone segments. In contrast, the origin of the slower process in the polymers P2, P2,P3, and P3 remains unclear so far. Since the temperature dependence of the slow relaxation process is comparable to that observed in PDMS (merging of the two relaxations with decreasing temperature) a relation to crystalline domains could be suspected. However, the melting peaks observed in the DSC (Fig. S7) indicate a much smaller degree of crystallinity than required to explain the dielectric strength of the slow relaxation. Also a potential mesophase separation (into siloxane and alkyl domains) seems to be an unlikely cause since one would expect the dynamics of such domains to merge with increasing temperature while the contrary is observed. Further investigations are on the way to clarify the origin of this relaxation process.

Fig. 5 Mean relaxation time $\tau$ of the primary relaxations of the polymers P2, P2,P3, and P3 as a function of absolute inverse temperature (inset) and rescaled with respect to the corresponding calorimetric glass transition temperature $T_g$ (main frame). The error bars indicate the uncertainty of the fit parameters; in the fast primary relaxation of P3 at higher temperatures, this uncertainty increases due to the increasing proximity of the secondary relaxation. Solid and dashed lines represent fits of the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann equation to the data of the $\alpha$-relaxation in the amorphous phase (solid symbols) and the slow relaxation of yet unexplained origin (open symbols), respectively.

Fig. 6 depicts the thermal activation of the secondary relaxations of the polymers P2, P2,P3, and P3. While P2 and P3 show only one secondary relaxation each with activation energies differing by a factor of about 2 ($E_A = 23.2 \pm 0.1$ kJ/mol and $E_A = 51.8 \pm 0.3$ kJ/mol, respectively), the sample P2,P3 exhibits two secondary relaxations which coincide with those of the former polymers within the margins of experimental accuracy. The activation energies of these two are $E_A = 27.0 \pm 0.7$ kJ/mol and $E_A = 55 \pm 3$ kJ/mol.

In polymers, secondary relaxations are typically assigned to local fluctuations of the side chains. Since the side chains of P2 and P3 contain different end groups, a difference in the dynamics is anticipated. In contrast to the methyl end groups in P2, the CN terminated side chains in P3 are expected to establish dipolar interactions which slow down the dynamics. This is in accord with the secondary relaxation in P3 being slower than that in P2 as well as its larger activation energy. Furthermore, this interpretation is confirmed by the presence of two relaxations in P2,P3, which contains both types of end groups at the side chains.

**Fig. 6** Mean relaxation time $\tau$ as a function of inverse temperature of the secondary relaxations of the polymers P2, P2,P3, and P3. Inset: Dielectric relaxation strength $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the secondary relaxations of the polymers P2, P2,P3, and P3 as a function of inverse temperature. The error bars indicate the uncertainty of the fit parameters; in the case of P2,P3, the uncertainty in $\tau$ and $\Delta \varepsilon$ is larger due to the proximity of these two processes.

Since the CN end groups have a much larger dipole moment compared to the methyl groups, the former are expected to show much stronger dielectric relaxation strength. This is indeed the case as verified by the dielectric relaxation strength of the secondary relaxations of P2 and P3 (inset of Fig. 6).

However, in polymer P2,P3, the relaxation strength of the faster secondary relaxation (assigned to the methyl-terminated side chains) exceeds that of the slower relaxation although the number of both types of end groups is balanced. The reason for this is not clear but may be related to the relatively broad relaxation peaks which complicate the precise determination of the relaxation strength. Further, a mutual influence of the two different types of end groups leading to changes in the effective dielectric relaxation strength of each process may play a role.

Beyond the relaxation processes, also the conductivity contribution has been analyzed in the dielectric loss spectra to extract the DC-conductivity $\sigma_{dc}$ (Supp. Inform.). The temperature dependence of $\sigma_{dc}$ in P2, P2,P3, and P3 is shown in the inset of Fig. 7. A scaling with respect to $T_g$ unravels a quite similar thermal activation (Fig. 7) which suggests a mechanism of charge transport assisted by the glassy dynamics of the polymer matrix (also supported by the VFT
temperature dependence of $\sigma_{dc}$). Remarkable is also the fact that from P2 to P3 $\sigma_{dc}$ increases by 4 to 5 orders of magnitude. This pronounced and systematic increase also indicates an origin in the chemical structure of the polymers rather than impurity.

The dielectric properties at room temperature were investigated for all samples P2, P3 and P2, P3, in a frequency range of 0.05 Hz to 1 MHz (Fig. S14). Table 2 shows the amount of methanol that contaminates the samples (NMR), while Table 1 summarizes the $T_g'$, the $\varepsilon'$, the predicted static dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon_0$) calculated according to Onsager equation, and conductivity at 1 kHz for all prepared polymers. A linear increase in the permittivity with increasing the proportion of nitrite groups in polymers was observed from $\varepsilon' = 4.7$ for polymer P2 to a maximum $\varepsilon' = 18.4$ for P3. The increase in the permittivity for P2 to $\varepsilon' = 4.7$ as compared to regular polydimethylsiloxane is due to the presence of the thioether groups. The values of the permittivity are constant over a broad frequency range from 10$^3$ Hz to 10$^6$ Hz, but increase very much at low frequencies due to electrode polarization. An increase in conductivity with increasing the proportion of nitrite groups was observed, but the conductivity values remained in the limits of the dielectric materials.

The Onsager formula (Equation 1), which is valid for polar, non-associating liquids, can be used to calculate the molecular dipole moment ($\mu$) or the static dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon_0$) if either one is known:

$$\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty = \frac{1}{3\varepsilon_0} \frac{F}{k_B T}$$

where $\varepsilon_\infty$ is the high frequency permittivity ($\varepsilon_\infty = n^2$), $N/V$ is the number of dipoles per unit volume, $T$ is the temperature, $\varepsilon_0$ the vacuum permittivity, and $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant. A correction factor (F) is introduced (Equation 2), which corresponds to the internal field erected by adjacent dipoles.

$$F = \frac{\varepsilon_s(\varepsilon_\infty + 2)^2}{3(2\varepsilon_s + \varepsilon_\infty)}$$

The high frequency permittivity values at the lowest temperature ($\varepsilon_w$) were used for the calculations: $\varepsilon_w = 2.9$ for P2, and $\varepsilon_w = 3.5$ for P3. Dipole moments values of $\mu = 1.28$ D and $\mu = 2.78$ D were calculated for the x and y repeat units, respectively. We note that the obtained values for the dipole moments (calculated at a temperature of 22 °C) are rather large and thus it has to be expected that the respective molecular entities exhibit a pronounced dipolar interaction. Consequently, the investigated materials do not fulfil the assumptions underlying Equation 1 and the calculated dipole moments represent approximations. An extension of this formula which considers correlations between dipoles is the Kirkwood/Froehlich factor. Its practical computation, however, requires detailed knowledge of both the spatial distribution and the coupling of the correlated dipoles. This is, for polymeric materials, not yet possible since a huge number of dipoles are already coupled by the fact that they belong to the same molecule. As a consequence, Equation 1 also fails to correctly describe the temperature dependence of the dielectric strength (Fig. 6, inset) since the coupling bears further temperature dependence.

Despite these principle obstacles, one can use Equation 3 to calculate the permittivity of P2, P3:

$$\varepsilon_{100}(y_{x-y}) = \frac{\varepsilon_{20}(x_{x-y})}{2\varepsilon_0 + 4\varepsilon_0 D} + \frac{\varepsilon_{20}(x_{x-y})}{2\varepsilon_0 + 4\varepsilon_0 D}$$

which is the extension of the Onsager formula to two components where $\rho$ denotes the density of the polymers P2 and P3 ($\rho = 1.032$ g/cm$^3$ and $\rho = 1.164$ g/cm$^3$, respectively). Since the dipole moments calculated above were obtained without considering the Kirkwood/Froehlich factor the latter is effectively contained in their values. Hence, inserting these values in Equation 4 automatically adds the contribution of the correlation between the dipoles. Fig. 8 shows the calculated and the measured values for the $\varepsilon_s$ as a function of the nitrite content. A linear increase in $\varepsilon_s$ with increasing the nitrite content was observed in both cases.

![Fig. 7 DC-conductivity of the polymers P2, P2, P3, and P3 as function of absolute inverse temperature (inset) and recasted with respect to the corresponding calorimetric glass transition temperature $T_g$. The experimental uncertainty is smaller than the symbol size.](image)

![Fig. 8 Variation of static dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon_s$) of P2, P3, and P2, P3, as function of polar nitrite content: experimental (■) and predicted according](image)
to Onsager equation (continuous line) for a frequency of 1 kHz and a temperature of 22 °C.

Table 1 The \( T_g \) and the permittivity and conductivity of polymers P2, P3 and P2,P3, at 1 kHz and 22 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( T_g ) [°C]</th>
<th>( C_\ell ) ([f^{(a)} \text{F/m}^2] )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon' )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon'' )</th>
<th>( \sigma ) [S/cm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>-97.5</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.42×10(^{-11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2,P3</td>
<td>-91.6</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.64×10(^{-11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2,P3</td>
<td>-86.7</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.22×10(^{-11})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2,P3</td>
<td>-81.5</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.93×10(^{-10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2,P3</td>
<td>-75.5</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.19×10(^{-10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2,P3</td>
<td>-72.1</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>4.50×10(^{-10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2,P3</td>
<td>-66.6</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.30×10(^{-10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2,P3</td>
<td>-61.6</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>1.73×10(^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>-52.6</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1.84×10(^{-7})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)The \( \varepsilon' \) was calculated using the Onsager equation 1.

1.2.

First preliminary experiments on P3 show that such polymer, despite of its high content of polar nitrile groups, can be cross-linked to a robust elastomer with low elastic modulus (\( Y_{50\%} = 56 \text{ kPa} \)) and strain at break as high as 250% (Fig. S15 and S16). Further investigations are on the way regarding the optimization of the mechanical properties of this elastomer and its use as a dielectric in electromechanical actuators.

Conclusions

A series of polysiloxanes containing different proportions of polar nitrile groups were prepared by using thiol-ene photoaddition of thiol 2, 3 or a mixture of 2/3 to a high molecular weight P1. A quantitative conversion of the vinyl groups of P1 occurs within few min. The resulting polymers were characterized by \(^{1}H\) and \(^{13}C\) NMR, IR, GPC, DSC, TGA, and by Broadband Dielectric Spectroscopy (BDS). The latter reveal in a broad spectral and temperature range the segmental relaxation of the siloxane backbone; the scaling with \( T_g \) verifies that this is the structural relaxation underlying glassy dynamics. Another process with non-Arrhenius type thermal activation which merges with the relaxation of the siloxane segments at \( T_g \) could not yet be assigned doubtlessly. Two secondary relaxations, a fast and a slow one, can be assigned to local motion of the two types of side chain end groups (methyl and nitrile groups, respectively). This is evident from the differences in the dynamics (nitrile groups relax slower due to stronger interactions), in the activation energies (larger activation energy in nitrile groups due to stronger interactions) and in the dielectric relaxation strength (larger relaxation strength in nitrile groups due to larger dipole moment). The latter also causes a linear increase in permittivity with increasing the proportion of nitrile groups in the polymers from \( \varepsilon' = 4.7 \) for P2, to \( \varepsilon' = 9.9 \) for P2,P3\(_{2/3}\) and \( \varepsilon' = 18.4 \) for P3. First preliminary experiments show that the cross-linking of the hydroxyl end-groups with which the polymers are functionalized is possible and allows formation of elastomeric materials. Therefore, this work opens up the access to a new class of elastomeric materials with dielectric properties that can be fine-tuned. Work in this direction is on the way.

Experimental

Materials

Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were reagent grade and used as received. TMAH × 5 H₂O and 1,3,5,7-tetraaryl-1,3,5,7-tetramethylcyclopentasiloxane (V₆) were purchased from ABCR. 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (DMPA), butanethiol, NaSH, tetrakis(dimethylsiloxysilane), dibutyldilaurate, benzene, toluene and acrylonitrile were purchased from Aldrich while methanol, dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂), hexane, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and ethyl acetate were purchased from VWR. Benzene was dried over sodium using benzophenone indicator. Thiol 3\(^{18}\) and polymer P1\(^{18}\) (GPC: \( M_n = 72 \text{ kDa}, M_w = 169 \text{ kDa}, D = 2.4 \)) were prepared according to the literature.

Methods

\(^{1}H\), \(^{13}C\) and \(^{29}Si\) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 400 NMR spectrometer using a 5 mm BBO Prodigy™ CryoProbe at 400.18, 100.63 and 79.50 MHz, respectively. Chemical shifts (δ) in ppm are calibrated to residual solvent peaks (CDCl₃: δ = 7.26 and 77.16 ppm). IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor 27 ATR FT-IR. GPC was measured using an Agilent 1100 Series HPLC (Columns: serial coupled PSS SDV 5 u, 100A and PSS SDV 5 u, 1000A, Detector: DAD, 235 nm and 360 nm; refractive index) with THF as mobile phase. Calibration was done with PDMS standards and toluene was used as internal standard. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was conducted with a Perkin Elmer TGA7 at a heating rate of 20 °C min\(^{-1}\) under a nitrogen gas flow. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) investigations were undertaken on a Pyris Diamond DSC (Perkin Elmer USA) instrument under a nitrogen flow (50 mL min\(^{-1}\)), in aluminium crucibles shut with pierced lids and using a 10 mg sample mass. Photoreactions were conducted with a UVAHAND 250 GS H1 mercury vapour UV lamp from Hönle UV technology. Density measurements were done using a Mettler Toledo XP 504 balance.

For the broadband dielectric spectroscopy (BDS) measurements a high impedance Alpha Analyzer combined with a Quatro temperature controller (both from Novocontrol) has been employed to cover a broad frequency and temperature range from 0.1 Hz to 1 MHz and from -150 to 60 °C, respectively. The samples were annealed at 60 °C for about 5 h to remove any residual solvents, before isothermal measurements were performed. During the whole annealing and measurement procedure the samples were kept in dry nitrogen atmosphere. Two stainless steel discs with a diameter of 20 mm served as electrodes which were separated by three glass fibers with a diameter of ~50 μm (the exact diameter of the fibers has been determined with a micrometer screw before each measurement with an uncertainty of 1 μm).

General procedure for the synthesis of P2,P3,
To a solution of P1 (8 g) in THF (200 ml), different x:y ratios of thiols 2:3 and DMTP (0.01 eq to vinyl) were added. Table 2 includes the amount of substances used for the synthesis of P2, P3, and P2P3x. The reaction mixture was set under argon and irradiated with UVlight for 20 min. It was then concentrated under vacuum to about 50 ml. To this concentrated solution, methanol was added whereupon the polymer precipitates. The polymer was dissolved in toluene and again precipitated with methanol. The dissolution/precipitation process was repeated three times. The polymers were then dried under UV at 60 °C to give yellowish highly viscous liquids. The characterization of polymers P2, P2P3x, and P3 is given in Supporting Information.

Table 2 The amounts of reagents and reaction conditions used for the synthesis of P2, P3, and P2P3, and their composition, molecular weights and distributions, as well as the residual methanol left in the samples (Imp).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>P1^a</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>mol% CN^b</th>
<th>M_n</th>
<th>M_w</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>Imp^c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[g]</td>
<td>[mmol]</td>
<td>[g]</td>
<td>[mmol]</td>
<td>[CN]</td>
<td>[kDa]</td>
<td>[kDa]</td>
<td>[%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>144.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>219 97 2.2 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P3x</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>166.4</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>258 99 2.6 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P3y</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>275 100 2.7 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P3z</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>279 98 2.9 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P3a</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>258 93 2.8 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P3b</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>294 97 3.0 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P3c</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>294 95 3.1 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2P3d</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>11.32</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>242 86 2.8 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>232.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>464.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>124 52 2.4 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a 0.01 eq DMTP to vinyl were used; ^b theoretical mol% CN; ^c mol% CN as calculated from the 1H NMR spectra; ^d the amount of residual methanol in the polymers as determined by 1H NMR.
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