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ABSTRACT

Metallic substances, usually added to fuel as organic compounds are, as fuel additives proven to curtail particulate emissions from diesel engines and, as fuel borne catalysts (FBC), to promote regeneration of particle traps. During combustion, these substances form catalytic metal oxides and exit the combustion chamber as ultra-fine solid clusters in the mobility diameter range of 5-30 nm.

Particles of this size and composition have a health impact and should not enter the respiratory air. FBC should therefore only be used together with particle traps, which can efficiently collect these metal oxide particles at all operating conditions. This and other requirements are stipulated in the VERT suitability tests for particle trap systems. The approval procedure includes a particle size-specific analysis to verify trap penetration in trace quantities. The results of these suitability tests are reported together with methods, for sample extraction and analysis, to detect trace quantities of metallic particulate matter in fine resolution impactors.

Results of an offroad utility vehicle engine in ISO 8178 cycle are shown as an example. The results confirm that modern particle filters can trap these ultrafine particles with an efficiency >99%.

Further research is needed to investigate whether operation conditions may exist, particularly during regeneration of fully loaded traps at high space velocity conditions, where noticeably higher emissions occur.

The results substantiate the necessity to very carefully test particle trap systems with FBC regeneration, and verify their secondary emissions.

RESEARCH MOTIVATION

Catalyst fuel additives are a recognized effective method to curtail soot formation during the engine combustion, and to promote trap regeneration [1].

The catalytic effects of these additives substantially lower the soot ignition temperature by diminishing the activation energy [2]. The soot light-off is significantly accelerated and simultaneously the formation of polycyclic aromatics inhibited [3, 4].

The additive substances are mixed with the fuel mainly as metal organic compounds in concentrations of 5-20 mg metal per kg fuel. They are carefully formulated to mix perfectly and are stable with the diesel fuel. The additives are well distributed within each fuel micro-droplet in the combustion process. The catalytic effective metal oxides are only formed during the combustion.

Fig. 1: Particle size distribution in the exhaust of a Diesel engine with and without fuel additive [5]

These metal oxides form tiny clusters of solid particles in the range 5 - 30 nm. These are embedded in the soot particles. That is desirable. Alternatively, particularly at high dosage rates, these could also be emitted independently of the soot particles.
The exhaust gases from diesel engines, using such FBC dotted fuels, often have bimodal particle distributions (Fig.1). This phenomenon, releasing metal oxide particles in the sub-micron range, is suspected to be injurious because of the heavy metal particles. Hence, it is addressed in the Swiss Ordinance on Air Pollution Control OAPC [6]. The phenomenon has also been meticulously investigated by [7, 8] the VERT project for implementing particle trap technology in offroad deployment in Switzerland.

The FBC in particle trap technology have mainly been Iron, Copper, Strontium, Cerium and Platinum. The toxicity of these metals in the form emitted by Diesel engines is the subject of many investigations not yet concluded [9,10]. Moreover, the pertinent metal oxide particles, similar to all insoluble particles in the sub-micron range, must be regarded as possibly highly injurious [11].

The deployment of FBC without efficient filtration of these particles must therefore be discouraged. On the other hand together with particle traps, the use of FBC is very advisable, because these promote the regeneration particularly in critical highly emitting engines deployed under varying load. Moreover, FBC are suitable to lower the energy requirements for active regeneration methods. FBC are thus gaining relevance both for equipping new engines and retrofitting existing engines [12].

The prerequisite for approving such trap systems with additive regeneration is adequate testing. Under no circumstances shall toxic quantities of these heavy metal substances be emitted into respiratory air. That is the topic of this paper.

**SWISS STIPULATIONS**

FBC used with particle traps must comply with the directives of the Swiss environmental authority [13]. The stipulations are experimental verification of emissions during representative suitability tests:

- VSET/1: (VERT secondary emissions test): Proof that the fuel additives do not form any additional gaseous toxic substances [14]. The test procedure comprises analysis of about 200 substances, e.g. PAH, Nitro-PAH, Dioxins, Furanes, etc.[15].
- VSET/2: Size-specific metal analysis of cleaned gas after the trap to determine the trap penetration of additive particles. The evaluation is substance specific. Metals that normally occur in exhaust gas (Iron, Copper) must have lower emission levels than the baseline.
- VFT (VERT filter test): For each trap/additive combination, proof is required that the filtration rate for additive particles in the size range 20-200 nm is comparable with rates for soot particles. A bimodal distribution in the cleaned gas, indicating an increased concentration of additive particles in certain size classes, is not permissible.

Moreover, all new additive substances must be registered and their toxicity classified. An automatic dosage of the additive is mandatory for trap deployment in road traffic. The dosage arrangement must also have an electronic safety mechanism that automatically stops additive dosage on detection of any trap failure. Using additives without particle traps is generally prohibited in Switzerland.

**VSET TEST METHODOLOGY**

The purpose of the VSET test is to identify traces of toxic emissions. Hence, the test cycle must fulfill these conditions:

- The test cycle must be representative of typical operational deployment.
- The test cycle must contain worst case conditions for the formation of secondary toxic emissions.
- The cycle must be long enough to collect an adequate sample for trace analysis.
- The sampling procedure must be optimized for the analyte to be determined (filter material, sampling equipment, etc.).

This test procedure was introduced during the implementation of trap technology for offroad machines. The pertinent certification cycle ISO 8178 C1 was adopted.

![Fig. 2: Test cycle for VSET as per ISO 8178/4 C1](image)

The cycle is normally driven in the sequence shown. The holding times in minutes per operating point correspond to the weighting factor. The entire duration of the cycle is thus 100 minutes, which includes the transition from one operating point to the next. The cycle is driven two to four times in sequence to provide a sufficient sampling period. Thus the sampling is throughout a total cycle time of 200 to 400 minutes. This cycle is an industry-wide consensus considered representative for the operation of construction site machines. All characteristic conditions for the formation and emission of toxic substances are simulated during the test. Consequently, these pollutants are a realistic proportion of the integral result.
Fig. 3 is an example of the exhaust gas temperature distribution before and after the trap.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 3:** Temperature profiles before/after trap during four ISO 8178/4 C1 cycles

The described test cycle traverses the entire temperature range from idling temperature to full load and is held sufficiently long at each point. Besides the normal operating states, the cycle also contains the regeneration phase. During regeneration the deposited soot is converted under the catalytic influence of the FBC principally to $\text{CO}_2$, along with some $\text{CO}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

Factualy, this test sequence contains all important operating conditions of the trap; namely deposition, regeneration and continuous soot combustion at higher temperature, i.e. clean trap. This is decisive for the realistic evaluation of deposition and the penetration of additive particles.

- From the undiluted exhaust gas, a flow proportional controlled sample is taken for the integral chemical analysis [15]. The undiluted extraction was chosen to obtain sufficient quantity of toxic substances within the given time. Even for toxic substances that are only expected in trace quantities, e.g. PCDD/F, this sample extraction method provides sufficient accuracy.
- From the dilution tunnel or, in the shown schematic, the partial dilution tunnel (AVL Smart Sampler), samples are taken for the particle analysis. A high dilution level is necessary to prevent condensate artifacts in this sample extraction method. Hence, it is essential that the subsequent measurement procedures have a very high sensitivity to correctly analyze the diluted samples.

The size classification of the particles using SMPS and NanoMet was described in a previous paper [16]). This paper focuses on the size-specific metal analysis. The aim of the investigation was to partition the aerosol into size classes. The collected particulate matter, in each size class, is examined chemically and also to characterize morphology and crystalline structure.

The size classification was done using the electrical low-pressure impactor ELPI, (Model Dekati). It partitions the sample into 12 particle size classes in the range of 20 nm to 1 µm [17]. Figure 5 schematically illustrates the functioning of an impactor.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 5:** Principle of an impactor for (aerodynamic) particle size classification of a sample

The particles are captured, according to size, on polycarbonate foils in the individual impactor stages. The impactor can process a volume flow of 10 l/min. Thus only a partial (approx. 1:8000) portion of the exhaust gas quantity is available for sampling. Therefore, great care and high accuracy are necessary during the sampling, processing and analyses.

For the standard VSET test, the entire sampling is done once with and once without particle trap. The difference is interpreted as due to the particle trap. It is an acceptable assumption for back pressures up to 200 mbar, which do not modify the pertinent emission pattern of the engine.
METAL ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

The ultra-trace determination of metals requires a consequent optimization of the whole sampling procedure, sample preparation and analysis. Sampling mistakes can cause misleading results [18].

Sample preparation and analysis

All samples are digested with acid mixtures in a microwave oven. The vessels used for the digestion should be carefully cleaned before use to achieve best detection limits.

All cleaning digestions must be determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry ICPMS to check whether the contamination level is low enough. Only ultra-clean vessels should be used. Figure 6 shows an example of cleaning digestion levels and vessel choice for aerosol filter digestions.

Depending on the filter material and the analyte element, sampling filters are digested by the following acid mixtures in a microwave oven:

1. Nitric acid \( \text{HNO}_3 \)
2. A mixture of nitric acid \( \text{HNO}_3 \) and hydrogen peroxide \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)
3. A mixture of nitric acid, hydrochloric acid \( \text{HCl} \) and hydrogen peroxide \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)

Only acids of ultra-pure quality (Merck) are used for digestion.

The resulting solutions are analyzed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS). Depending on the analyte, a quadrupole ICPMS (ELAN 6000, Perkin Elmer/Sciex) or a high resolution ICPMS (ELEMENT 2, Thermofinnigan) is used.

Reliable results can only be achieved if the detection limit of the entire procedure, including sampling, sample preparation and analysis are determined. Therefore, blanks of fresh filter material and field-blanks (processed as similarly as possible to sampling filters in the field) should be sampled, digested and determined.

Contamination risk and memory effects are not negligible especially for ubiquitous metals. Usually the metal determination is more limited by the sampling procedure and sampling preparation than by detection limits of the analytical method [18].

UTILITY ENGINE TESTS WITH CE AND FE ADDITIVES

Test engine
Manufacturer: LIEBHERR
Type: 914 T
Displacement: 6.11 liter
Rated power: 105 kW at 2'000 RPM
Turbocharger without charge-air cooling

Cycle
ISO 8178/4 C1
8 operating points
Total cycle time: 100 min
Cycle is driven twice
Transition from operating point to operating point is part of the total cycle as typical transient phases.

Fuel
Diesel fuel as per EN SN 590
Sulfur content: 94 ppm
Poly aromatics: 3.5 ppm
Density: 0.832
Cetane number: 56.6

Lubricant
DEA DES/6069
Sulfur content: 5 ppm
TBN: 0.55 mg KOH/g

FBC for trap regeneration
Cerium content: 12 ppm
Iron content: 5 ppm
Manufacturer: Rhodia Electronics, France
**Results**

Figure 9 shows the Cerium and Iron content of the 12 ELPI stages as mass per impactor stage. The base levels of Iron and Cerium were determined using Diesel fuel without additive (designated R020) and without particle trap. Subsequently, additive was mixed with the same Diesel fuel and samples taken without and with particle trap, to analyze for Ce and Fe.

The following designations are used in the charts:
- RO: Reference without additive without trap
- CO: Without trap, 10 ppm Chlorine
- CF: With trap 10 ppm Chlorine
- HCO: Without trap, 100 ppm Chlorine
- HCF: With trap, 100 ppm Chlorine

The supplementary Chlorine serves to improve PCDD/F verification and increase sensitivity.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 8:** Test rig schematic for the VERT suitability testing of particle trap systems.

**Fig. 9:** Size specific metal mass found on the impactor filter in μg/filter

The Cerium content of the no-additive reference fuel sample R020 is close to the detection limit. Significant quantities of Cerium are detected for the samples CO21 and HCO24 from the additive fuel without particle trap. In comparison, the samples CF22 and HCF23, taken from cleaned gas after the particle trap, only contained extremely low Cerium concentrations.

The results are less clear for Iron, a ubiquitous element present in high concentrations throughout the technical system. Under the given test conditions, Iron originating from the additive could not be clearly distinguished from the Iron of other sources (engine, fuel, test facility, sampling unit, ambient air, etc.).

A mass balance was deduced by comparing the measured analyte content with the total mass of additive content. To do this, the metal mass on the ELPI foils are extrapolated for the total emissions, based on the dilution ratio in sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive quantity</th>
<th>Cerium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>473 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass ELPI without trap</td>
<td>2.05 μg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass ELPI with trap</td>
<td>0.037 μg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass exhaust gas without trap</td>
<td>15.37 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mass exhaust gas with trap</td>
<td>0.27 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition in engine</td>
<td>457 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition in trap</td>
<td>15.1 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emitted into ambient</td>
<td>0.27 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtration rate in engine</td>
<td>96.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtration rate in trap</td>
<td>98.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total filtration rate system</td>
<td>99.94 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions factor</td>
<td>0.96 μg/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 μg/Nm³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Mass balance for additive Cerium

Total mass per cycle
the measured values. For iron, the blanks have a value of about 30% of the measured values. Hence, the background levels do not create measurement uncertainty.

The detection limit of the procedure (determined from 3x standard deviation of 5 blank measurements) is in the range of 0.0001 to 0.01 μg Cerium per filter. For iron it is 0.04 to 0.2 μg per filter. This is, particularly for Cerium, at a safe level below the measured values.

There is no estimate for the system deposition in the entire experimental setup. It could possibly cause an over-estimation of deposition in the engine and an under-estimation of the filtration rate in the particle trap.

The test cycle spans both accumulation and regeneration phases, i.e., the results of Tables 1 and 2 are an integral of the entire depositions. Hence, it is impossible to distinguish between different operating phases; i.e., the emission quantities cannot be assigned to individual phases.

The results substantiate that the additive substances are trapped to a very high percentage. This proves that the pollution of the environment is very low. Nevertheless, only such metals can be permitted as additive substances, which are known to be not toxic.

Ongoing investigations will verify whether the trap behavior changes during prolonged operations.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Metallic additives can be added to the fuel and finely mixed to promote catalytic effects of their oxides during light-off of the deposited soot at low operating temperatures. Additives only escape into the environment in comparatively negligible quantities, when an appropriate particle trap is employed. Comprehensive secondary emission measurements, within the framework of the VERT suitability tests for trap systems, show that for all certified additives more than 99% of the additives are trapped.

An unexpectedly high proportion of the additive substances is deposited in the engine and exhaust system. Only 10% reached the particle trap during these tests. This observation can be explained as the initial phase of a deposition phenomenon. Hence, after prolonged operation, substantially more additive substance, if not the entire mass, would reach the trap and be efficiently retained there.

These observations support the concept of the VERT trap suitability tests. VERT stipulates a comprehensive investigation of secondary emissions from all trap regeneration systems having catalytic function (coating and additive). The VERT specifications also stipulate for FBC- systems a supplementary size-specific metal analysis in the after-trap flow, and also verification of the filtration response during accumulation and regeneration phases. This test is mandatory for every
combination of additive with every trap type, both in new state and after 2000 operating hours.
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