A setup for ultrafast time-resolved x-ray absorption spectroscopy
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We present a setup which allows the measurement of time-resolved x-ray absorption spectra with picosecond temporal resolution on liquid samples at the Advanced Light Source at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories. The temporal resolution is limited by the pulse width of the synchrotron source. We characterize the different sources of noise that limit the experiment and present a single-pulse detection scheme. © 2004 American Institute of Physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultrafast (femtosecond to picosecond) spectroscopy allows a better understanding of the possible pathways/mechanisms of chemical reactions. In a pump–probe experiment, which is a typical scheme for ultrafast spectroscopy, the pump pulse triggers a chemical reaction and the probe pulse takes a snapshot of the ongoing reaction at a fixed time delay. By changing the time delay between pump and probe pulse, one can observe the development of the photoinduced reaction. A complete description of chemical dynamics ideally requires, besides ultrafast temporal resolution, a spatial resolution on the atomic scale. Furthermore, since many elementary reactions in chemistry and biology take place in a solvent environment, structural techniques, which can be implemented on solvated samples, are very desirable.

One method, which provides temporal resolution in the picosecond/femtosecond domain with atomic scale spatial resolution, is ultrafast time-resolved x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). In this type of pump–probe experiment, the probe pulse consists of an x-ray pulse, while an ultrashort laser pulse triggers the reaction. The x-ray probe yields information on the oxidation state of an atom of interest and on the unoccupied valence states via x-ray absorption near-edge structure spectroscopy and information on the local molecular structure around an atom of interest via extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS).

Only a few fast and ultrafast time-resolved XAS measurements have been carried out so far. The x-ray sources used in these studies were either laser driven plasma sources or synchrotrons. These experiments generally suffer from the small signals typical of laser-induced changes to x-ray absorption spectra. Moreover, the photon flux of the x-ray source is a major limiting factor for the signal-to-noise ratio of the experiment. In the following, we limit our considerations to synchrotron radiation, which is currently the brightest and most stable and reliable source of tuneable hard x-rays.

We report on a setup realized at beamline 5.3.1 at the Advanced Light Source (ALS) at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories. The focus will be on the single-pulse detection scheme that we use in our x-ray transmission measurements, which makes it possible to isolate and characterize the different noise sources that potentially limit the experiment. The data obtained allow us to evaluate the feasibility of future experiments.

II. INSTRUMENTS AND METHOD

Figure 1 displays the main elements required for a picosecond (ps) time-resolved x-ray absorption experiment at a synchrotron. The different components consisting of x-ray source, beamline, laser system, and data acquisition will be described in the following.

A. X-ray source

Synchrotrons sources provide typically 50–100 ps long pulses of polychromatic radiation. The repetition rate of the pulses depends on the filling pattern of the storage ring and its revolution frequency. At the ALS storage ring, which has a revolution frequency of 1.52 MHz, we make use of the
“camshaft mode” operation, shown in Fig. 2. In this mode, the ring is filled with 276 electron bunches separated by 2 ns, each contributing 1.4 mA to the overall ring current. One isolated bunch, which contributes 10 mA, is placed within the 100 ns empty section of the ring. We will refer to the 276 closely packed bunches as “multibunch” and the isolated bunch as the “camshaft pulse.” A modestly fast x-ray detector can single out the radiation from the camshaft pulse, which is therefore a suitable probe pulse for the time-resolved experiment. Note that the time-resolved experiment uses only a fraction of the available flux. The multibunch is completely ignored and, in addition, the repetition rate of the experiment depends on the usually lower repetition rate of the laser system. We use an amplified femtosecond laser system which delivers intense and ultrashort pump pulses at a repetition rate of 1 kHz. Thus, the useful flux of the synchrotron is reduced by a factor of $10^5$, which makes it, compared to the laser pump pulse ($10^{15}$ photons), a weak probe pulse ($10^4$ photons).

B. Advanced Light Source beamline 5.3.1

Beamline 5.3.1 is a bend magnet beamline designed for various time-resolved experiments. The radiation is collected under a 5 mrad grazing incidence angle by a toroidal platinum-coated mirror, which provides a 1:1 double focus 24 m from the source. The focus images the electron-beam source, approximately 50 μm (vertical) × 250 μm (horizontal). A Ge(111) double monochromator with fixed output beam height (which is located 2 m upstream from the focus) selects monochromatic x rays from 2.2 keV to 12 keV with an energy resolution of $\Delta E/E = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1 \times 10^{-3}$ bandwidth, respectively (with $E$ being photon energy). A mechanical chopper placed before the monochromator cuts out an average of 95% of the x-ray flux to reduce the overall heatload on the first monochromator crystal. Details of the beamline setup are given in Ref. 9.

C. Sample chamber

The x rays enter the sample chamber (Fig. 1) through a 50 μm thick beryllium window. The window separates the ultrahigh vacuum of the beamline from the chamber, which is purged with helium at atmospheric pressure. The laser enters through a quartz window on the beamline side of the chamber. Another window allows the monitoring of the sample with a video camera. The sample is mounted on a motorized $yz$ translation stage for adjusting its position within the x-ray beam. The x-ray detector is placed about 20 cm behind the sample for transmission signal measurements.

D. Sample

Our samples are liquid solutions. A sapphire nozzle creates a thin, flat jet at the point where x ray and laser beams overlap, as indicated in Fig. 4. We typically choose a nozzle so as to obtain a thickness of the jet of 100–500 μm, depending on the experiment. The liquid jet has a velocity of ~5 m/s, which is fast enough to ensure the renewal of the sample at the repetition rate of the measurement. The liquid from the jet is caught and recycled by passing through a reservoir, also kept under a helium atmosphere.
E. Laser source

High intensity laser pulses are needed to excite a significant fraction of the sample.\textsuperscript{8,10} The laser pulse length should be similar to the x-ray probe length or shorter in order to keep the temporal resolution as high as possible. We use a Ti–sapphire-based amplified femtosecond laser system (Spectra-Physics) producing 150 fs pulses at 800 nm with a pulse energy of \( \sim 2 \text{ mJ} \) at a repetition rate of 1 kHz. By second-harmonic generation in a BBO crystal, we typically obtain 500 \( \text{fJ/pulse} \) at 400 nm.

A pump–probe experiment relies on the reproducibility of the time delay between pump and probe pulses. Therefore, the laser has to be accurately synchronized to the x-ray source. The laser oscillator is synchronized to the 500 MHz radio frequency of the storage ring by adjusting the oscillator cavity length and thus its frequency proportionally to the phase error between the rf and the sixth-harmonic of the oscillator output.\textsuperscript{11,12} The laser amplifier is synchronized to the revolution frequency of the storage ring and the opening window of the x-ray chopper. Thus, a seed pulse from the oscillator will be selected and amplified every millisecond, which coincides with a particular x-ray pulse (the camshaft pulse) on the sample.

The delay between x-ray and laser pulses can be adjusted in coarse steps of 12 ns by selecting different seed pulses from the laser oscillator. For finer tuning, a computer-controlled phase shifter (I.F. Engineering, model QPMX-499) is used to adjust the phase of the reference rf signal, which synchronizes the laser oscillator.\textsuperscript{13} The jitter between laser and x-ray pulses was estimated to be less than 10 ps.\textsuperscript{14} Typical values reported for the jitter between a laser oscillator and a synchrotron or free electron laser source are on the order of 1 ps or less.\textsuperscript{11,12}

F. Spatial overlap

The spatial overlap between x-ray and laser pulses is obtained by replacing the sample with a 50 \( \mu \text{m} \) diameter pinhole on a 25 \( \mu \text{m} \) thick tungsten substrate that is placed at the exact position of the jet. A two-dimensional translation stage (Fig. 1) moves the pinhole across the x-ray beam, while an x-ray transmission measurement maps out the beam profile. The pinhole is centered on the x-ray beam and the laser is then guided through the pinhole. The angle between laser and x-ray beam is about 15°. Figure 5 shows the vertical and horizontal beam profiles of the x-ray and the laser beams at the sample position. The x-ray beam size is vertically 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) and horizontally 260 \( \mu \text{m} \). The laser spot has a circular shape and was adjusted in size to the horizontal diameter of the x-ray spot.

G. Temporal overlap

For setting the temporal overlap, we place a fast windowless photodiode (rise time \( \sim 0.7 \text{ ns} \)) at the sample position and record both the laser and the x-ray pulses. Using a 1 GHz oscilloscope, we adjust the timing to within a few hundred picoseconds. During the experiment, the relative timing of camshaft and laser pulses is monitored constantly by simultaneously measuring the laser pulses and visible synchrotron pulses from another beamline at the same bend magnet.

For defining the temporal overlap more precisely, a pump–probe signal of a sample is used. A suitable sample to achieve this is \( \text{[Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]}^{2+} \) in water. In this case, a signal is generated by a 400 nm pulse in a 0.1 mm thick free flow-
According to central ion of the compound changes its oxidation state according to
\[ [\text{Ru}^{3+}(\text{bpy})_3]^2^+ \rightarrow [\text{Ru}^{3+}(\text{bpy})_2(bpy)^-]^2^+ \].
This product is created on a sub-ps time scale, and decays on a time scale of a few hundred ns.\(^{15}\) On a ps time scale, the onset of the photoinduced reaction is comparable to a step function. Setting the monochromator to 2840 eV and monitoring the valence shift of the Ru \(L_3\) edge as a function of pump–probe delay,\(^{7}\) we obtain a pump–probe signal, which shows this step function convoluted with the x-ray probe pulse length. The scan, as shown in Fig. 6, yields a cross correlation time of \(75\pm10\) ps, which includes the actual x-ray pulse width and the synchronization jitter. The accuracy of fitting the slope of the signal onset in Fig. 6 defines an upper limit for the synchronization jitter. The error bars represent the sum of all noise sources including the synchronization jitter in the region of the signal onset. Since the error bars on the plateaus before and after time zero have the same magnitude as the error bars in the signal onset, we conclude that the noise introduced by the jitter is not dominant. Therefore, with the given error bars, we can make an upper estimate for the synchronization jitter, which is \(\pm10\) ps. Higher accumulation times should narrow down the error bars and allow a better estimate.

H. Detection/data acquisition
The detector is a windowless APD (Advanced Photonix, model 394-70-72-500). The Avalanche photodiode (APD) is mounted in a sealed metal box with a 15 \(\mu\)m thick beryllium window over the active area, which protects the diode from the intense scatter of the laser pulse. In addition, a 10 \(\mu\)m foil of mylar protects the beryllium window from the humidity created by the aqueous sample upon laser excitation. The rise time of the APD is 12 ns, which is sufficiently fast to measure the isolated camshaft pulse (Fig. 3).

In order to estimate the gain of the detector, we measured the output of the APD as a function of bias voltage under two different conditions:

1. 80 fs pulses of 1.5 eV visible photons at 1 kHz pulse repetition rate, and
2. 3000 eV x-ray photons from the camshaft pulse.

The curves are plotted in Fig. 7. They were normalized to the signal at low bias, corresponding to unit gain. The signals obtained with laser light are in excellent agreement with the curve provided by the manufacturer, over the whole range of bias settings.

The deviation at higher bias voltages between visible light and x-ray measurements has been reported before,\(^{6,17}\) and was attributed to space-charge effects decreasing the electrical field in the avalanche region and local heating by the high-energy x-ray pulses. Whereas a visible photon produces only a single electron, an x-ray photon produces on the order of 1000 electrons in a very confined volume, which could locally cause a reduced gain. Note that due to the local nature of the effect, this need not give rise to an overall nonlinear response. As long as the x-ray photons are spread over a sufficiently large area of the detector, the electron pulses produced by different x-ray absorption events are independent of each other and only affect their own local gain. Therefore, the measured signal should still be proportional to the number of x-ray photons on the detector.

Indeed, the linear response of the APD (bias voltage 1750 V) was verified by measuring the signal from the camshaft pulse as a function of the camshaft current (data provided by the ALS), and both were found to be proportional (see Fig. 8). According to our measurements, the linearity of the detector is given to at least 13 000 photons per pulse detected within an estimated area of 150 \(\mu\)m \(\times\) 600 \(\mu\)m. When carrying out this experiment at an undulator beamline, which has about 10–100 times larger pulse intensities, it may be necessary to move the detector further away from the x-ray focus, so as to make use of a bigger spot size on the detector.

Figure 9 introduces the detection scheme used at beamline 5.3.1. In the experiment, the detector signal was amplified by a factor of 5 in a fast preamplifier (Stanford Research Systems Corp. model 3000). The output of the APD was amplified in a fast preamplifier and then amplified in a fast preamplifier (Stanford Research Systems Corp. model 3000) before being fed into the digitizer. The digitizer was a Tektronix TDS 754C with a bandwidth of 4 GHz and a sampling rate of 10 GSample/s. The signal was then sent to a computer via a GPIB interface and analyzed with custom software written in LabView.

![Figure 6: Pump–probe signal of aqueous \([\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^2^+\) together with a fit as a function of pump–probe time delay. The onset of the photoinduced signal (\(<300\) fs) is comparable to a step function; thus, the rise we measure is a convolution of the pump–probe effect with the width of the synchrotron pulse. The lower curve is the derivative of the fit curve (which yields a Gaussian) whose width corresponds to the cross-correlation signal, which is governed by the indicated x-ray pulse width.](Fig6)

![Figure 7: APD gain curve for visible (line) and x-ray (dots) pulses. The lower gain for x-ray pulses is attributed to space-charge effects and local heating in the avalanche region of the detector.](Fig7)
There is an advantage in further increasing the repetition rate of the measurement to 4 kHz. There is no baseline drift on the difference spectra, but there is one for the individual excited and unexcited spectra. So, when we want to compare the height of the pump–probe effect to the absolute transmission signal to estimate the overall effect, it would be profitable to actually measure the magnitude of the baseline drift on a shot-to-shot basis. When measuring at four times the laser frequency (4 kHz), the 2 kHz x-ray chopper cuts out the x-ray flux for every second data point allowing the measurement of the zero offset. Thus, we measure the pump–probe signal with high precision as

$$\log \frac{I_{\text{excited}} - I_{\text{unexcited}}}{I_{\text{unexcited}}}$$

(1)

where $I_{\text{excited}}$ and $I_{\text{unexcited}}$ are the transmission signals through the laser-illuminated and dark samples, respectively; $I_{\text{excited}}^0$ and $I_{\text{unexcited}}^0$ are the corresponding zero offsets (without x rays). The transformation of the static transmission spectra into absorption spectra via

$$\log \frac{I_0}{I_{\text{unexcited}}}$$

(2)

is carried out more roughly by scanning the x-ray energy once with ($I_{\text{unexcited}}$) and once without ($I_0$) the sample in the beam.

During an energy or a time-delay scan, the data acquisition process is set to a halt until the new experimental conditions have settled, i.e., while the monochromator is moving or the laser timing is adjusted, no data are recorded.

In order to discriminate between electronic noise in our detection scheme and true fluctuations in the x-ray flux, we measured the pulse–height distribution of the APD output with and without x rays on the detector. The left-hand side curve in Fig. 10 shows the pulse–height distribution with the x-ray shutter closed, which gives a measure for the total electronic noise in our detection system. In this example, the distribution measured with a typical flux of x rays on the detector is about 20 times higher. If we make the reasonable assumption that the electronic noise is independent of the photon statistics, then the electronic noise in the x-ray mea-

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
measurement is negligible since the total noise is calculated from the square root of the sum of the squared standard deviations. In the case of the example in Fig. 10, reducing the electronic noise to zero would only reduce the overall noise from 0.1271 V to 0.1270 V. Note that the pulse–height distribution is very well described by a Gaussian distribution.

By measuring the noise in the photon flux as a function of the flux (Fig. 8), we find a square law dependence of the noise, which is characteristic for shot noise. For other sources of noise, e.g., noise entering through variations in the throughput of the beamline optics (due to vibrations), we would expect a deviation from the square law dependence. Therefore, we conclude that no other significant noise sources play a role, and that, consequently, our noise measurement should be a precise measure for the absolute photon flux.

We calculate the photon flux via the measurement of the pulse–height distribution and application of the equation:

$$\frac{\bar{S}^2}{\sigma^2} = \text{photons/pulse},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\bar{S}$ is the average signal of the pulse–height distribution and $\sigma$ is the corresponding standard deviation. With a camshaft current of 4.6 mA at an x-ray energy of 2850 eV, we measured a standard deviation of 0.0374 V on a signal of 2.0209 V. For the shot-noise limited case, this corresponds to 2915 photons per pulse on the detector. Correction for the transmission of beryllium windows is 0.1271 V to 0.1270 V. Note that the pulse–height distribution is the corresponding standard deviation. With a camshaft current of 4.6 mA, the x-ray OFF case is the pulse–height distribution and application of the equation:

III. DISCUSSION

We have developed a setup at a synchrotron radiation source, which is capable of monitoring laser-induced transient x-ray absorption with ps temporal resolution, and a sensitivity that allows the measurement of changes in x-ray absorption on the order of 1 mOD. The statistical analysis reveals that the noise in the x-ray probe signal is fully governed by the shot noise of the x-ray photon source.

The limiting factor of the temporal resolution of this setup is the duration of the synchrotron pulse. By exploiting the femtosecond-slicing technique, which is now in commission at the ALS, and under construction at the Swiss Light Source (SLS), we could descend to the hundreds of femtosecond time domain. This way, the temporal resolution of our laser pump–x-ray probe experiment would improve by three orders of magnitude. The available flux from the slicing technique, as employed by the SLS, has been esti-
mated to deliver about 100–1000 photons/pulse to the beamline. Therefore, we envisage the possibility of performing our experiments with this source with a large gain in temporal resolution, at the expense of a moderate reduction in the signal-to-noise ratio.
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