1 Abrupt Ice Age Shifts in Southern Westerlies and Antarctic Climate Forced from **2 the North**

3 Christo Buizert¹, Michael Sigl², Mirko Severi³, Bradley R. Markle⁴, Justin J. Wettstein^{1,5},
 4 Joseph R. McConnell⁶, Joel B. Pedro^{7,8}, Harald Sodemann⁵, Kumiko Goto-Azuma⁹, Kenji Kawamura⁹,
 5 Shuji Fujita⁹, Hideaki Motoyama⁹, Motohiro Hirabayashi⁹, Ryu Uemura¹⁰, Barbara Stenni¹¹,
 6 Frédéric Parrenin¹², Feng He^{1,13}, T.J. Fudge⁴ and Eric J. Steig⁴

7 ¹College of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis OR 97331, USA

8 ²Laboratory of Environmental Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland

9 ³Department of Chemistry “Ugo Schiff”, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

10 ⁴Department of Earth and Space Science, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195, USA

11 ⁵Geophysical Institute and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, University of Bergen, 5020 Bergen,
 12 Norway

13 ⁶Desert Research Institute, Nevada System of Higher Education, Reno, NV 89512, USA

14 ⁷Centre for Ice and Climate, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

15 ⁸Antarctic Climate & Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 80,
 16 Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

17 ⁹National Institute for Polar Research, Tachikawa, Tokyo, Japan

18 ¹⁰Department of Chemistry, Biology and Marine Science, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa, Japan

19 ¹¹Department of Environmental Sciences, Informatics and Statistics, Ca' Foscari University of Venice,
 20 30172 Venezia, Italy

21 ¹²Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, IGE, 38000 Grenoble, France

22 ¹³Center for Climatic Research, Nelson Institute for Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin-
 23 Madison, Madison WI 53706, USA

The Southern Hemisphere (SH) mid-latitude westerly winds play a central role in the global climate system via Southern Ocean upwelling¹, carbon exchange with the deep ocean², Agulhas Leakage³, and possibly Antarctic ice sheet stability⁴. Meridional shifts of the SH westerlies have been hypothesized in response to abrupt North Atlantic Dansgaard-Oeschger (DO) climatic events of the last ice age^{5,6}, in parallel with the well-documented shifts of the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ)⁷. Shifting moisture pathways to West Antarctica⁸ are consistent with this view, but may represent a Pacific teleconnection pattern⁹. The full SH atmospheric-circulation response to the DO cycle and its impact on Antarctic temperature remain unclear¹⁰. Here we use five volcanically-synchronized ice cores to show that the Antarctic temperature response to the DO cycle can be understood as the superposition of two modes: a spatially homogeneous oceanic “bipolar seesaw” mode that lags Northern Hemisphere (NH) climate by about 200 years, and a spatially heterogeneous atmospheric mode that is synchronous with NH abrupt events. Temperature anomalies of the atmospheric mode are similar to those associated with present-day Southern Annular Mode (SAM) variability, rather than the Pacific South America (PSA) pattern. Moreover, deuterium excess records suggest a zonally coherent migration of the SH westerlies over all ocean basins in phase with NH climate. Our work provides a simple conceptual framework for understanding the circum-Antarctic temperature response to abrupt NH climate change. We provide observational evidence for abrupt shifts in the SH westerlies, which has previously-documented¹⁻³ ramifications for global ocean circulation and atmospheric CO₂. These coupled changes highlight the necessity of a global, rather than a purely North Atlantic, perspective on the DO cycle.

During the glacial DO cycle, abrupt variations in northward heat transport by the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) affect Greenland and Antarctic temperature oppositely (Fig. 1), via an oceanic teleconnection called the bipolar seesaw^{6,11}. Antarctica warms during Greenland cold phases (stadials), and cools during Greenland warmth (interstadials), with the gradual nature of Antarctic climate change reflecting buffering by a large heat reservoir¹¹ – likely the global ocean interior⁶. The DO cycle affects atmospheric circulation also; the ITCZ shifts southwards during stadials, and northwards during interstadials⁷. General Circulation Model (GCM) simulations suggest parallel shifts of the SH westerlies^{5,6,12}, but the available observational evidence (a deuterium excess record from West Antarctica⁸) cannot distinguish between such shifts and Pacific-only teleconnections⁹. Furthermore, the impact of the atmospheric circulation changes on Antarctic climate remains unknown, and models are inconclusive on this question^{10,13}.

We use water stable isotope ratios, a proxy for site temperature¹⁴, from five Antarctic ice cores: WAIS (West Antarctic Ice Sheet) Divide (WDC), EPICA (European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica) Dronning Maud Land (EDML), EPICA Dome C (EDC), Dome Fuji (DF) and Talos Dome (TAL). WDC is synchronized to Greenland ice cores at high precision via atmospheric methane (Fig. 1a, b)¹⁵; here we synchronize WDC to the other cores in the 10-57 ka before present (BP) interval using volcanic markers (Methods; Extended Data Figure 1), greatly improving our ability to study the timing of regional Antarctic climate variations relative to Greenland. The Antarctic response to DO events is investigated using a stacking technique, in which 19 individual events are aligned at the midpoint of their abrupt methane transition in the WDC core, and averaged to obtain the shared climatic signal (Methods).

Antarctica cools in response to DO warming (Fig. 2a, b), consistent with the bipolar seesaw theory^{6,11}. In the Antarctic mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stack, the cooling onset occurs about two centuries after the abrupt NH event, providing validation of earlier results from West Antarctica¹⁵. There is a spatial pattern to the Antarctic response, however. A step-like divergence from the mean signal is seen around $t \approx 0$ yr (i.e., synchronous with NH climate), with the interior East Antarctic Plateau sites (DF and EDC) warming, and EDML cooling (Fig. 2c). This instantaneous warming over the Plateau is particularly pronounced at DO events 1, 8, 12 and 14 (Fig. 1d, red curve).

Using principal component analysis (PCA, see Methods), we find that two modes of variability explain over 96% of signal variance in the five stacked records (Fig. 2d). The first principal component (PC1, 83% of variance explained) has the triangular shape of the Antarctic Isotope Maximum events – the classic thermal bipolar seesaw signal¹¹ – with a spatially homogeneous expression (Fig. 2f). The two-century lag behind Greenland warming identifies PC1 as an ocean-propagated response¹⁵.

The second principal component (PC2, 13% of variance explained) is a step-like function with a heterogeneous spatial pattern (Fig. 2g). This mode is very different from the bipolar seesaw. The PC2 response is synchronous with NH warming within precision (28 ± 40 year lag); this timing, and additional evidence presented below, suggest this mode represents an atmospheric teleconnection. The PCA does not necessarily separate physical processes. We assume two underlying teleconnections: oceanic (two-century lag) and atmospheric (synchronous). Some amount of each process is included in PC1, as evident by some immediate warming around $t=0$. We perform a rotation of the PCA vectors (Methods) to isolate the “purely” oceanic and atmospheric responses (Fig. 2e). The associated estimate of the atmospherically-forced

temperature anomaly (Fig. 2h) is cooling at EDML, warming at DF, EDC and TAL, and a negligible response at WDC; this pattern is robustly reproduced using different methods (Extended Data Fig. 6). The magnitude of the Antarctic atmospheric response is roughly proportional to the Greenland ice core $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ perturbation (Extended Data Fig. 4).

The Antarctic response to DO cooling is qualitatively similar to the DO warming case. The ocean seesaw warming response is delayed by 226 ± 44 years and the EOF2 spatial pattern has the opposite sign – i.e. additional warming at EDML, and cooling on the interior East Antarctic Plateau (Extended Data Fig. 7). The atmospheric signal over Antarctica is much weaker for the DO cooling case, with PC2 explaining only 9% of variance. This difference is likely due to the fact that DO warmings are more abrupt and of larger magnitude than DO coolings.

To better understand the atmospheric mode, we turn to deuterium excess (d), a proxy for vapor source conditions¹⁶ commonly used to identify changes in atmospheric circulation and vapor transport pathways^{8,17,18}. In isotope-enabled GCM simulations, Antarctic d is anti-correlated with the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) index^{8,19}. This anti-correlation can be understood conceptually: when the SH westerlies are displaced equatorward (negative SAM phase), Antarctic moisture will originate further north where sea-surface temperature (SST) is higher and relative humidity lower (Extended Data Fig. 8b), both of which act to make d more positive¹⁶. We use the logarithmic definition of deuterium excess (d_{ln}), which better preserves isotopic moisture source information than the linear definition^{8,20}.

The Antarctic mean d_{ln} response (Figs. 3a, b) lags NH climate by 8 ± 48 years for DO warming, and 9 ± 42 years for DO cooling, respectively, consistent with previous results for WDC⁸. The

observed d_{in} response is consistent with a shift in the meridional position of the SH westerly winds and vapor origin, such that they move equatorward in response to NH warming, and poleward in response to NH cooling. The timing suggests propagation to the SH high-latitudes via an atmospheric teleconnection. The d_{in} response is largest for the interior Plateau sites (DF, EDC), possibly because their vapor source areas are more distant from confounding local effects such as the sea-ice edge²¹. The response is weak or absent at EDML; possibly because SH westerlies' variability is relatively weak in the Atlantic sector (Extended Data Fig. 9), or because of regional effects such as wind-driven changes to the sea ice, gyre circulation or Weddell Sea deep convection²². Critically, the four cores that do show a clear d_{in} response collectively sample water vapor from all ocean basins (Extended Data Fig. 8a), suggesting the changes to the SH atmospheric circulation are zonally coherent and involve all ocean basins (rather than just the Pacific basin as demonstrated previously with WDC).

Figure 4a compares the two independent signals we attribute to a change in atmospheric circulation: PC2 of the $\delta^{18}O$ response, and the Antarctic mean d_{in} response. Their time evolution is nearly identical, suggesting they are distinct but consistent manifestations of the atmospheric circulation change. The SAM and Pacific-South American (PSA) pattern are the leading modes of large-scale SH atmospheric variability with strong influence on Antarctic temperature^{9,23}. We focus our analysis on East Antarctica, where we infer the largest response. The SAM (Fig. 4b) clearly impacts East Antarctic surface air temperature (SAT) strongly (correlation $|r|$ up to 0.65), and with the correct sign to explain the warming seen at EDC, DF and TAL. East Antarctic warming is seen for a more negative SAM index, driven primarily by anomalous atmospheric heat advection²⁴ (the observed cooling at EDML is discussed below). The PSA (Fig. 4c), on the

other hand, is not meaningfully correlated with SAT at the East Antarctic sites ($|r|$ at or below 0.15). We further create a synthetic index that is the projection of the atmospheric loadings (Fig. 2h) onto the reanalysis SAT anomaly at the core locations (Methods). The patterns in SAT and geopotential height associated with this index (Fig. 4d) closely resemble those of the SAM, with warming in East Antarctica, and roughly annular geopotential height anomalies.

These tests suggest that the SAM is the closest present-day analog to the temperature response we identify in the ice core record, corroborating our independent evidence from the d_{in} data. While the PSA pattern may have been active during the DO cycle, it does not dominate the Antarctic response.

Our data-based inferences on the timing and sign of changes to the SH westerlies/SAM are consistent with coupled atmosphere-ocean GCM simulations in which AMOC transitions are induced by North Atlantic fresh-water forcing^{6,12,25}. Such model simulations show a positive shift in SAM index in response to AMOC shutdown and vice versa (Fig. 3a, b); this shift is synchronous with the applied forcing within uncertainty (Extended Data Table 1). Our observed atmospheric response is more gradual than the model-simulated SAM shift, possibly because of (multi-decadal) data resolution in some cores and the fact that the d_{in} signal integrates over a large moisture source area extending to 20°S.

Next, we address differences between the ice-core data and the modern-day correlation pattern (Fig. 4b), most notably at EDML. The reanalysis correlation pattern captures the SAT response to monthly internal SAM variability, representing atmospheric heat advection anomalies²⁴. The ice cores, on the other hand, record the response to a persistent long-term

shift in SAM^{13,26}, driving changes in SST, stratification and sea ice extent^{22,26}. We speculate that on longer timescales the oceanic influence of the Weddell Sea drives the cooling at EDML, due to e.g. enhanced sea ice cover²² and stratification, and a weakening of the wind-driven Weddell Gyre. The negligible warming response at WDC is consistent with the relatively weak influence of the SAM in West Antarctic seen in monthly reanalysis (Fig. 4b). Our observations may help constrain the long-term response to a persistent SAM shift, on which GCMs disagree¹³.

Last, we want to highlight additional structure in the Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stacks that is currently not part of scientific discourse on interhemispheric climate coupling. Most notably, Antarctic warming appears to slow down around $t=-400$ yr (Fig. 2b), forming a secondary change point that precedes the abrupt DO warming events²⁷. Likewise, the rate of Antarctic cooling appears to increase 200 years prior to the abrupt DO cooling events (Extended Data Fig. 7b). These secondary change points are subtler than the ones analyzed in this work, have no apparent corresponding features in Antarctic d_{in} or Greenland climate, and remain unexplained.

In conclusion, our results show that Antarctica is influenced by NH abrupt climate change on two distinct time scales, representing a slow oceanic and a fast atmospheric teleconnection. In particular, we provide observational evidence for zonally-coherent meridional shifts in the SH westerly winds in phase with Greenland DO events, and its impact on Antarctic temperature. Such shifts have implications for global ocean circulation, Southern Ocean upwelling and productivity, and atmospheric CO_2 ¹⁻³. It is therefore paramount to consider the DO cycle from a global, rather than a purely North Atlantic perspective.

175 **References:**

- 176 1 Marshall, J. & Speer, K. Closure of the meridional overturning circulation through Southern
177 Ocean upwelling. *Nature Geosci* **5**, 171-180 (2012).
- 178 2 Toggweiler, J. R., Russell, J. L. & Carson, S. R. Midlatitude westerlies, atmospheric CO₂, and
179 climate change during the ice ages. *Paleoceanography* **21**, PA2005, doi:10.1029/2005pa001154
180 (2006).
- 181 3 Biastoch, A., Boning, C. W., Schwarzkopf, F. U. & Lutjeharms, J. R. E. Increase in Agulhas leakage
182 due to poleward shift of Southern Hemisphere westerlies. *Nature* **462**, 495-U188,
183 doi:10.1038/nature08519 (2009).
- 184 4 Pritchard, H. D. *et al.* Antarctic ice-sheet loss driven by basal melting of ice shelves. *Nature* **484**,
185 502-505, doi:10.1038/nature10968 (2012).
- 186 5 Lee, S. Y., Chiang, J. C., Matsumoto, K. & Tokos, K. S. Southern Ocean wind response to North
187 Atlantic cooling and the rise in atmospheric CO₂: Modeling perspective and paleoceanographic
188 implications. *Paleoceanography* **26** (2011).
- 189 6 Pedro, J. B. *et al.* Beyond the bipolar seesaw: Toward a process understanding of
190 interhemispheric coupling. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **192**, 27-46, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.05.005
191 (2018).
- 192 7 Schneider, T., Bischoff, T. & Haug, G. H. Migrations and dynamics of the intertropical
193 convergence zone. *Nature* **513**, 45-53 (2014).
- 194 8 Markle, B. R. *et al.* Global atmospheric teleconnections during Dansgaard-Oeschger events.
195 *Nature Geosci* **10**, 36-40, doi:10.1038/ngeo2848 (2017).
- 196 9 Ding, Q., Steig, E. J., Battisti, D. S. & Kuttel, M. Winter warming in West Antarctica caused by
197 central tropical Pacific warming. *Nature Geosci* **4**, 398-403, doi:10.1038/ngeo1129 (2011).
- 198 10 Buiron, D. *et al.* Regional imprints of millennial variability during the MIS 3 period around
199 Antarctica. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **48**, 99-112, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.05.023 (2012).
- 200 11 Stocker, T. F. & Johnsen, S. J. A minimum thermodynamic model for the bipolar seesaw.
201 *Paleoceanography* **18**, 1087, doi:10.1029/2003pa000920 (2003).
- 202 12 Rind, D. *et al.* Effects of glacial meltwater in the GISS coupled atmosphere-ocean model: 2. A
203 bipolar seesaw in Atlantic Deep Water production. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
204 *Atmospheres* (1984–2012) **106**, 27355-27365 (2001).
- 205 13 Kostov, Y. *et al.* Fast and slow responses of Southern Ocean sea surface temperature to SAM in
206 coupled climate models. *Clim. Dyn.* **48**, 1595-1609, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3162-z (2017).
- 207 14 Jouzel, J. *et al.* Validity of the temperature reconstruction from water isotopes in ice cores. *J.*
208 *Geophys. Res.* **102**, 26471-26487, doi:10.1029/97jc01283 (1997).
- 209 15 WAIS-Divide-Project-Members. Precise interpolar phasing of abrupt climate change during the
210 last ice age. *Nature* **520**, 661-665, doi:10.1038/nature14401 (2015).
- 211 16 Merlivat, L. & Jouzel, J. Global climatic interpretation of the deuterium-oxygen 18 relationship
212 for precipitation. *J. Geophys. Res.* **84**, 5029-5033, doi:10.1029/JC084iC08p05029 (1979).
- 213 17 Masson-Delmotte, V. *et al.* GRIP Deuterium Excess Reveals Rapid and Orbital-Scale Changes in
214 Greenland Moisture Origin. *Science* **309**, 118-121 (2005).
- 215 18 Masson-Delmotte, V. *et al.* Abrupt change of Antarctic moisture origin at the end of Termination
216 II. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 12091-12094, doi:10.1073/pnas.0914536107 (2010).
- 217 19 Schmidt, G. A., LeGrande, A. N. & Hoffmann, G. Water isotope expressions of intrinsic and
218 forced variability in a coupled ocean-atmosphere model. *J. Geophys. Res.* **112** (2007).
- 219 20 Uemura, R. *et al.* Ranges of moisture-source temperature estimated from Antarctic ice cores
220 stable isotope records over glacial–interglacial cycles. *Clim. Past* **8**, 1109-1125, doi:10.5194/cp-8-
221 1109-2012 (2012).

- 21 Sodemann, H. & Stohl, A. Asymmetries in the moisture origin of Antarctic precipitation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **36**, L22803, doi:10.1029/2009gl040242 (2009).
- 22 Lefebvre, W., Goosse, H., Timmermann, R. & Fichefet, T. Influence of the Southern Annular Mode on the sea ice–ocean system. *J. Geophys. Res.* **109**, C09005, doi:10.1029/2004JC002403 (2004).
- 23 Thompson, D. W. J. & Wallace, J. M. Annular Modes in the Extratropical Circulation. Part I: Month-to-Month Variability*. *J. Clim.* **13**, 1000-1016, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<1000:amitec>2.0.co;2 (2000).
- 24 Sen Gupta, A. & England, M. H. Coupled ocean-atmosphere-ice response to variations in the Southern Annular Mode. *J. Clim.* **19**, 4457-4486 (2006).
- 25 Liu, Z. *et al.* Transient Simulation of Last Deglaciation with a New Mechanism for Bolling-Allerod Warming. *Science* **325**, 310-314, doi:10.1126/science.1171041 (2009).
- 26 Ferreira, D., Marshall, J., Bitz, C. M., Solomon, S. & Plumb, A. Antarctic Ocean and Sea Ice Response to Ozone Depletion: A Two-Time-Scale Problem. *J. Clim.* **28**, 1206-1226, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00313.1 (2014).
- 27 Raisbeck, G. M. *et al.* An improved north–south synchronization of ice core records around the 41 kyr 10Be peak. *Clim. Past* **13**, 217-229, doi:10.5194/cp-13-217-2017 (2017).
- 28 Grootes, P. M., Stuiver, M., White, J. W. C., Johnsen, S. & Jouzel, J. Comparison of oxygen isotope records from the GISP2 and GRIP Greenland ice cores. *Nature* **366**, 552-554 (1993).
- 29 Rhodes, R. H. *et al.* Enhanced tropical methane production in response to iceberg discharge in the North Atlantic. *Science* **348**, 1016-1019, doi:10.1126/science.1262005 (2015).
- 30 Dee, D. P. *et al.* The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* **137**, 553-597, doi:10.1002/qj.828 (2011).

Acknowledgements. This work is funded through the U.S. National Science Foundation, grants ANT-1643394 (to C.B. and J.J.W.), ANT-1643355 (to T.J.F. and E.J.S), AGS-1502990 (to F.H.); the Swiss National Science Foundation grant 200021_143436 (to H.S.); CNRS/INSU/LEFE projects IceChrono and CO2Role (to F.P.); JSPS KAKENHI grants 15H01731 (to K.G.-A., H.M. and M.H.), 15KK0027 (to K.K.) and 26241011 (to K.K., S.F. and H.M.); MEXT KAKENHI grant 17H06320 (to K.K., H.M. and R.U.); European Research Council under the European Community’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)/ERC grant agreement 610055 (to J.B.P.) ; and the NOAA Climate and Global Change Postdoctoral Fellowship program, administered by the University Corporation for Atmospheric Research (F.H.). We acknowledge high-performance computing support from Yellowstone (ark:/85065/d7wd3xhc) provided by NCAR’s

Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, sponsored by the NSF. This research used resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No DE-AC05-00OR22725. This is TALDICE publication No 52.

Author Contributions. Data analysis by C.B., M.Se., M.Si. and J.J.W.; manuscript preparation by C.B.; volcanic ice core synchronization by M.Si, M.Se., C.B., J.R.M., F.P., S.F. and T.J.F.; GCM simulations and interpretation by F.H., J.B.P. and J.J.W.; Moisture tagging/tracing experiments by B.R.M. and H.S.; Ice core water isotope analysis by K.G-A, K.K., H.M., M.H., R.U., B.S. and E.J.S.; all authors discussed the results and contributed towards improving the final manuscript.

Author Information. Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Christo Buizert (buizertc@oregonstate.edu).

Figure 1 | Records of abrupt glacial climate variability. a, Greenland Summit (average of GISP2 and GRIP²⁸) ice core $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. **b,** WDC methane²⁹. **c,** Antarctic 5-core average d_{in} anomaly. **d,** Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ anomaly at EDML (blue), the Antarctic Plateau (average of DF and EDC, red) and 5-core average (black); offset for clarity. All records are synchronized to the WAIS Divide WD2014 chronology; Antarctic data are shown as anomalies relative to present. DO interstadial periods marked in grey and numbered; Heinrich stadials marked in blue. Isotope ratios are on the VSMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water) scale. Thin curves show records at original

resolution (ranging from ~5 to ~50 years), with the thick lines a moving average (300 and 150 year window for Antarctic and Greenland data, respectively).

Figure 2 | The Antarctic climate response to DO warming. **a**, Stack of NGRIP $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. **b**, Stack of Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ at indicated locations, with “Plateau” the average of DF and EDC. **c**, As in **b**, but with 5-core mean subtracted. **d**, First two principal components of the Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stacks (1500 yr window), with percentage of variance explained (offset for clarity). PC1 is strongly correlated ($r = 0.998$) to the Antarctic mean. Linear fit to PC1 ($t = -400$ to $t=0$ interval) is shown to highlight the response around $t = 0$. **e**, Rotated PC1 and PC2 vectors representing proposed oceanic and atmospheric modes, with fits from change point analysis (Methods). The oceanic mode lags by 211 ± 42 years; the atmospheric mode by 28 ± 40 years (1σ bounds; Extended Data Table 1). **f-g**, Empirical Orthogonal Functions EOF1 and EOF2 associated with PC1 and PC2 in **d**, scaled to show the magnitude in permil. **h**, Spatial pattern associated with the atmospheric mode as shown in **e**, scaled to permil. Isotope ratios are on the VSMOW scale.

Figure 3 | Deuterium excess and the SH westerlies. **a**, DO warming: Greenland $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stack (turquoise); 5-core average Antarctic d_{in} stack (orange with BREAKFIT result, see Extended Data Table 1); SAM index (here the leading principal component of sea level pressure variability south of 20°S) following a freshwater-forced AMOC perturbation in CCSM3 (Community Climate System Model version 3) model simulations (grey with fit from change-point analysis, see Extended Data Table 1). **b**, as **a**, but for DO cooling. **c**, Magnitude of Antarctic d_{in} response to DO

warming in permil. The weak d_{in} trend before and after the abrupt jump likely reflects the SST of SH vapor source waters following the thermal bipolar seesaw^{8,11}. **d**, as **c**, but for DO cooling. Isotope ratios are on the VSMOW scale.

Figure 4 | Attribution of the atmospheric mode of Antarctic temperature variability. a,

Comparison of PC2 of the 5 Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stacks as in Fig. 2d (pink, left axis) and the Antarctic mean d_{in} stack as in Fig. 3a (black, right axis). Isotope ratios are on the VSMOW scale. **b,**

Correlation between a standardized monthly SAM index and SAT (2-meter temperature) in ERA-Interim³⁰ for 1979-2017 (shading, scale bar on right) with superimposed 850 hPa geopotential height regressions (10 m contours) and the ice core atmospheric temperature mode from Fig.

2h (circles, scale bar from Fig. 2). Note that regions of anti-correlation are colored red (i.e.

warming in response to negative SAM shift). **c**, as panel **b**, but for a standardized PSA index. The

SAM and PSA are here taken to be PC1 and PC2 of the 850 hPa geopotential height field south

of 20°S, respectively. **d**, as panel **b**, but for a synthetic index of the atmospheric mode created

by regressing ERA-Interim SAT anomalies at the ice core sites onto the coefficients in Fig. 2h

(Methods).

Methods

Volcanic ice core synchronization: Volcanic reference horizons provide the most precise way to synchronize ice-core age scales³¹⁻³⁵. Within the last decade, progress has been made in volcanically linking the EPICA (European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica) Dome C (EDC) ice core to the EPICA Dronning Maud Land (EDML) core³¹, the Talos Dome (TAL) core³², and the Dome Fuji (DF) core³³. Here we provide new volcanic stratigraphic links between the WAIS (West Antarctic Ice Sheet) Divide ice core (WDC) and the EDML, EDC and TAL cores, based on pattern matching of volcanic peaks in high-resolution records of either sulfur (WDC) or sulfate (EDML, EDC, TAL). Extended Data Fig. 1b summarizes the various synchronizations, with previously published ones indicated with grey arrows, and the new ones presented here indicated with colored arrows.

Volcanic synchronization via sulfur/sulfate and/or ECM (electrical conductivity measurements) records is a commonly-used technique for Antarctic ice cores, and we rely on previously established methods described in detail elsewhere³¹⁻³⁵. Matches are made by identifying sequences of sulfur peaks that have the same relative spacing in both cores^{31-33,35}. Additional confidence in the match points comes from approximately proportional acid concentration levels, smooth variations in the resulting annual layer thickness between stratigraphic tie-points, and in some cases a distinctive shape of the common signals in the different ice cores. We identify 773 volcanic ties between WDC and EDML, 396 between WDC and EDC, and 425 between WDC and TAL (Source Data).

Stratigraphic matching was performed independently by two authors (M.Si. and M.Se.), and then compiled and compared by a third author (C.B.). Both analysts use an iterative approach, in which they first identify the major, unambiguous events. After marking these events (or clusters thereof), they re-align the data sets, and replot them with the marked events now overlapping. At this point, usually several of the smaller events are nearly on top of each other. These events are then marked, and the data is replotted with the newly marked events overlapping, et cetera.

We distinguish three cases: “doubly”, “singly”, and “inconsistently” identified events. Doubly identified matches are cases where both workers identify the same stratigraphic match between two cores for a given volcanic event (within a margin of a few cm). Singly identified matches are cases where only one of the two workers identified a stratigraphic match. Inconsistently identified matches are cases where a single volcanic event in one core is linked to two different volcanic events in the other core. Around 99% of the singly identified events were found by M.Si., demonstrating a difference in event detection threshold. For example, in the WDC-EDC synchronization the median volcanic peak sizes in WDC non-sea salt Sulfur (nssS) were 67.7 and 31.4 ppb for the doubly and singly identified matches, respectively, demonstrating that analyst M.Se. is more conservative in assigning match points. For comparison, the background nssS level is 15 ± 4 ppb.

Here, all the doubly identified events are all assumed correct, and retained. In the (relatively rare) case of an inconsistently matched event, the stratigraphic links suggested by both workers are discarded to avoid ambiguity. Sequences of singly identified events are retained only if they occur in between two doubly identified match points. In the case of an inconsistently identified event (which is discarded), all singly identified matches adjacent to it are discarded also, until another doubly identified match is encountered. In all three synchronizations, the vast bulk of the singly identified matches come from the same worker, suggesting a difference in style and event detection threshold between the two workers. We give some examples below of hypothetical sequences of tie points, and how they are dealt with. Let “**d**”, “**s**” and “**i**” denote doubly, singly, and inconsistently identified tie points, respectively.

d-s-s-s-d: This is a hypothetical series of three **s** tie points in between two **d** tie points. Because both workers agree on the tie points on either end, there is no reason to assume the **s** tie points are incorrect; it simply reflects the fact that one worker (M.Se) is much more conservative in assigning tie points than the other worker (M.Si). Therefore all tie points are retained in the final synchronization (**d-s-s-s-d**). Singly identified ties are retained in such cases irrespective of how many are in the series (for example a series of 10 **s** ties would be retained if bracketed on either side by a **d**).

d-i-d: In this case the **i** tie is removed, but the **d** ties are retained resulting in the sequence **d-d** in the final synchronization.

d-s-s-i-s-d: The **i** tie is removed in all cases. However, in this example the **s** tie points occur adjacent to an **i** tie point, which casts doubt on their reliability. Therefore they are removed together with the inconsistent tie, and only the sequence **d-d** is retained in the final synchronization.

The matching is described below for the 10-61ka interval of interest (the WDC-EDC synchronization extends back only to 57 ka BP).

In synchronizing WDC and EDC, the two workers identified 473 matches, out of which 103 were doubly, 8 inconsistently, and 362 singly identified (all but two of which by M.Si.). The final selection has retained the 103 doubly identified matches, and 293 of the singly identified matches; 69 singly identified matches were discarded as they were bracketed on either side by an inconsistent match. The 8 inconsistently identified matches all differed by less than 70 cm in EDC (or about 65 years).

In synchronizing WDC and EDML, the two workers identified a total of 793 matches, out of which 247 were doubly identified, 3 matches were inconsistently identified (all adjacent, and not separated by doubly identified events), and 543 were singly identified (all by M.Si.). The final selection has retained the 247 doubly identified matches, and 526 of the singly identified matches; 17 singly identified matches were discarded as they were bracketed on either side by an inconsistent match. The 3 inconsistently identified matches all differed by less than 1.6 m in EDML (or about 65 years).

Talos Dome proved to be the most difficult of the cores to synchronize, presumably because the layers are more strongly compressed in this intermediate depth core. The first attempt at synchronization yielded 55 doubly and 5 inconsistently identified matches, with most of the errors in the 770 to 905 m depth range (up to 5 m offsets in TAL). In light of this inconsistency, the workers reviewed their volcanic ties throughout the core, with particular focus on the problematic interval. In the revised synchronization, the workers identified a total of 437 matches, out of which 253 were doubly identified, 4 matches were inconsistently identified (all adjacent, and not separated by doubly identified events), and 180 were singly identified (all but 9 by M.Si). The final selection has retained the 253 doubly identified matches, and 172 of the singly identified matches; 8 singly identified matches were discarded. The 4 inconsistently identified matches all differed by less than 75 cm in TAL (or about 75 years). So while the final synchronization shows good agreement between both workers, we feel obliged to also report the first, less successful attempt. The TAL depth range that is hardest to synchronize to WDC (between 770 and 905 m TAL depth, or 14.9 to 25.2 ka BP) also yielded no matches to EDC in a published study³² (see yellow triangles at bottom of Extended Data Fig. 1a). Note that this problematic 15-25 ka time interval does not influence the main results of this work – none of the abrupt DO events used in the stacking lie in this interval (DO 2 is excluded from the stacking because the absence of an abrupt CH₄ signal precludes precise synchronization to Greenland¹⁵).

The number of doubly and inconsistently identified events provides one way to assess the reliability of the volcanic synchronization; the percentage of inconsistently identified events (out of the pool of doubly and inconsistently identified events) ranges from 1% to 7% for the three cores. Errors tend to occur in clusters of adjacent picks, due to the misidentification of a sequence of peaks; seen in this light the WDC-EDML and WDC-TAL synchronizations each only contain a single inconsistently identified sequence. The observed inconsistencies were always relatively small, and on the order of a few decades. A second method of assessing the reliability is via the redundancy offered by having multiple cores. Whenever three ice cores in Extended Data Fig. 1b are connected via three independent synchronizations (i.e., whenever the arrows form a triangle), this offers the possibility to test the internal consistency of the synchronization. Over the age interval of interest (the last 61 ka) 213 ties had previously been identified between EDML and EDC³⁶, as well as 102 ties between TAL and EDC. This introduces a degree of redundancy that allows testing the internal consistency of the synchronization. EDC, TAL and EDML are volcanically synchronized within the AICC2012 (Antarctic Ice Core Chronology)³⁶, while WDC uses the independent WD2014 time scale^{37,38}. For each volcanic tie point, the difference in WD2014 age minus the AICC2012 age is shown in Extended Data Fig. 1a. EDC and EDML are volcanically synchronized over the last 60ka (blue triangles), and therefore the excellent agreement between the blue (WDC age minus EDML age) and red (WDC age minus EDC age) curves in Extended Data Fig. 1a shows the volcanic framework to be internally consistent. Likewise, for the period 25-42 ka BP and <13 ka BP, where TAL and EDC are volcanically synchronized (yellow triangles), the yellow (WDC age minus TAL age) and red (WDC age minus EDC age) curves agree well, suggesting internal consistency. Beyond 42 ka BP the

yellow curve deviates from the blue and red ones, suggesting the TAL core is imperfectly synchronized within the AICC2012 chronology (due to an absence of volcanic ties at the time).

All ice cores used in this study were synchronized to the WAIS Divide WD2014 chronology^{37,38}. For the four non-WDC cores, we start from their original ice core chronologies; this is the AICC2012 chronology³⁶ for the EDML, EDC and TAL ice cores, and the DF2006 chronology for the DF core³⁹. For each core we add a time-variable offset to the WD2014 chronology that is obtained using linear interpolation between the volcanic tie points. For the EDML, EDC and TAL cores we have direct synchronizations to WDC as described above. For the Dome Fuji core, synchronization was indirect via the EDC core (Extended Data Fig. 1b). Previously, 297 tie points have been identified³³ between EDC and DF in the interval of interest (mean spacing of 173 years). These volcanic ties are transferred to WDC using the WDC-EDC synchronization described above.

Over the time interval of interest, the offset with the AICC2012 cores (EDML, EDC, TAL) ranges roughly from -330 years to + 430 years, with an average offset of -10 years (with negative values meaning WD2014 is younger than AICC2012). For the DF core the range is from -230 to 1884 years, with an average of +739 years.

Uncertainty in volcanic synchronization: There are two types of uncertainty to consider. First, the volcanic ties themselves may be incorrect, and second, in between the ties the interpolation strategy introduces an error. The first type is difficult to quantify. Either the ties have been correctly identified, and the relative age uncertainty is zero, or the ties are false, and the relative age uncertainty is infinite (i.e., we have learned nothing). Past studies have sometimes assigned Gaussian errors to volcanic tie points; while this is a practical necessity for applications that optimize the fit to multiple age constraints^{36,40-42}, it does not reflect the true uncertainty meaningfully and is not applied here.

We have high confidence in the correctness of the volcanic ties because of the internal consistency of the new volcanic ties with previously published ones (Extended Data Fig. 1a), and the fact that doubly identified ties greatly outnumber the inconsistently identified ones. We have removed inconsistent matches from the synchronization, and we here assume the remaining matches to be correct.

The second type of uncertainty is due to interpolation between volcanic ties. This introduces an age uncertainty that depends on the age difference between adjacent ties, L . We estimate the interpolation uncertainty using the layer-counted section of the WD2014 chronology, which goes back to 31.2 ka BP. To estimate the interpolation uncertainty for two volcanic markers that are, say, $L=100$ years apart, we can randomly pick thousands of 100-year intervals from the WAIS Divide WD2014 chronology. Within each of these, the age evolution deviates from the assumed linear interpolation; 1σ standard deviation of this age deviation is used as the interpolation uncertainty. Typical results are shown in Extended Data Fig. 2a for several values of L . We find that the maximum uncertainty scales as $\propto L^2$. Compared to East Antarctica, West

Antarctica receives a larger contribution of its snowfall from storm systems and synoptic activity, making accumulation rates more variable^{43,44}; the estimates given here should thus be considered conservative when used in interior Antarctica. The volcanic interpolation uncertainty for the four cores is plotted in Extended Data Fig. 2b. For DF, synchronization to WDC is done via EDC as an intermediary core, and therefore the two synchronization errors are added in quadrature.

In our synchronization we use both the singly and doubly identified tie points, and treat them equally. We acknowledge, however, that the doubly identified ties are more reliable. Therefore, we have repeated the analyses described in the main text using only the doubly identified tie points (as opposed to both singly and doubly identified tie points). We find that the conclusions of this work do not depend on this choice of tie points. Those tests are not shown here, but the alternative chronologies that use only the doubly identified tie points, and alternative versions of the manuscript figures showing those analyses were presented to the reviewers and are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Water stable isotope data: A combination of previously published and unpublished ice core water isotope data ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD) are used in this study. Deuterium excess (d_{in}) is calculated from the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD isotope ratios using the logarithmic definition of Uemura et al.²⁰:

$$d_{\text{in}} = \ln(1+\delta\text{D}) - 8.47 \ln(1+\delta^{18}\text{O}) + 0.0285 [\ln(1+\delta^{18}\text{O})]^2$$

For WDC we use previously published $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD data^{8,15,45}, measured using laser spectroscopy. Data have a typical depth resolution of 1m for the 0 to 2.3 ka BP interval, of 0.5 m for 2.3 to 56 ka BP, and of 0.25 m for 56-68 ka BP; this corresponds to an average time resolution of 17 years for the study period (11 to 61 ka BP). Using the cm-scale CFA (continuous flow analysis) record of WDC $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ instead gives identical results to those presented here⁴⁶.

For EDML we use previously published $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD data^{47,48}, measured using conventional IRMS (isotope ratio mass spectrometry). Data have a typical depth resolution of 0.5 m, corresponding to an average time resolution of 24 years for the study period.

For EDC we use previously published $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD data^{48,49}, measured using conventional IRMS. Data have a typical depth resolution of 0.55 m, corresponding to an average time resolution of 44 years for the study period.

For DF we use new and published water isotope data^{39,50,51}. Two data sets are used. The first is a data set of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measured using IRMS in the 300 to 1151 m depth range (10 to 71 ka BP) at 0.5 m resolution⁵⁰. The second is a data set of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD measured using IRMS in the 550 to 849 m depth range (23 to 45 ka BP); this data set was measured at 0.1 m resolution, and averaged into 0.5 m bins. Note that d_{in} is only available from the second data set, which spans DO/AIM (Antarctic Isotopic Maximum) events 2 through 11. In the depth range of overlap, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ data from both data sets are averaged (after correcting the second data set by + 0.213‰ to

account for a calibration offset) to produce the final time series. The combined $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record has an average time resolution of 35 years for the study period.

For TAL we use a combination of new and previously published^{10,52,53} data. Bag average, 1 m resolution $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and δD data measured using IRMS are available for the entire core. High-resolution (0.1 m) $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ data measured using IRMS are available in the 598 to 786 m (10 to 16 ka BP) and 1030 to 1282 m (37 to 65 ka BP) depth ranges. High-resolution $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ are averaged into 0.5 m bins, and combined with bag-average data for remaining depth intervals. The combined $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record has an average time resolution of 50 years for the study period.

Stacking procedure: The stacking procedure used to investigate the Antarctic climate response to abrupt DO variability is described in detail elsewhere¹⁵. In short, the individual Greenland events are aligned at the midpoint of their abrupt $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ transitions (either DO warming or DO cooling). All Antarctic events (on their volcanically-synchronized WD2014 timescales) are aligned at the midpoints of the abrupt WDC CH_4 transitions. We then average over events to obtain the shared climatic signal; to derive the north-south phasing we use the independently established CH_4 delay of 56 ± 19 years (1σ) behind $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in Greenland⁵⁴.

For one of the abrupt events (DO-10 / AIM-10), improved inter-polar synchronization data are available from ^{10}Be variations during the Laschamp event (41 ka BP) between the Greenland NGRIP core, and the Antarctic EDC and EDML cores²⁷; these timing constraints were incorporated into our stacking procedure (DO-10 only). The EDML, EDC, DF and TAL ice cores have much higher Δage uncertainty and lower resolution CH_4 records than WDC, therefore the north-south phasing precision cannot be improved by considering CH_4 synchronization for those cores also.

In this work we consider DO-0 (i.e. the YD-Holocene transition) through DO-16; DO-17 falls outside the volcanic synchronization for the EDC and DF cores. DO-2 is omitted due to the absence of a clear CH_4 response, precluding synchronization. All stacked records are shown in Extended Data Fig. 3.

Uncertainty in the timing of the stacked records comes from these sources: (1) The gas age-ice age difference (Δage) in the WDC core³⁷; (2) DO midpoint detection in the abrupt NGRIP $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record; (3) DO midpoint detection in the abrupt WDC CH_4 record; (4) Interpolation of the WDC chronology between tie points; (5) The climatic lag of atmospheric CH_4 behind Greenland $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of 56 ± 19 years (1σ)⁵⁴; (6) Trend analysis in the BREAKFIT⁵⁵ and RAMPFIT⁵⁶ fitting routines; and (7) volcanic synchronization onto the WD2014 chronology.

The combined uncertainty due to the first 5 items was assessed previously using a Monte-Carlo routine, suggesting a 1σ timing uncertainty of 38 and 41 years in the WDC stacks for DO warming and DO cooling, respectively¹⁵. The uncertainty in the trend analysis is given in Extended Data Table 1. The uncertainty in the volcanic synchronization is shown in Extended

Data Fig. 2; averaged over the stacked events the 1σ synchronization uncertainty is 0 years at WDC, 2 years at EDML, 6 years at EDC, 13 years at DF, and 2 years at TAL.

By stacking only the most prominent DO/AIM events (those following Heinrich events; i.e., DO-4, 8, 12, 14 and 17) or just the minor ones (the remainder), we find that the magnitude of the atmospherically-forced Antarctic response is larger for the former, suggesting proportionality with the climate perturbation (Extended Data Fig. 4a-4f). Proportionality of the atmospheric response is further seen for individual events (Extended Data Fig. 4g); see the figure caption for details.

Principal Component Analysis: Principal component analysis (PCA) allows different climatic modes to be identified in (paleoclimatic) time series from different locations⁵⁷. Here we perform PCA on the stacked $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ records at the 5 individual sites using the MATLAB function “pca”. The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stacks in the main manuscript combine 17 individual events – all those that fall within the volcanic synchronization interval. To get a sense for the sensitivity to including or excluding individual events, we perform additional experiments in which we stack only n events (rather than all 17). The n events are randomly sampled without replacement (i.e. any given event cannot be picked twice for each stacking). We then perform PCA of these stacked records (the same events are stacked for each of the cores), and standardize the PC vectors by taking the z-score (or standard score). Extended Data Fig. 5 shows typical results for $n=2$ and $n=8$, where for each n we repeat the experiment 50,000 times to obtain reliable statistics. Extended Data Figs. 5a and 5b show PC1 and PC2, respectively, with the solid line showing the mean of 50,000 experiments, and the shaded envelope the associated $\pm 1\sigma$ standard deviation. Extended Data Fig. 5c shows a histogram of the percentage of variance explained by each of the modes.

We find that even by stacking as few as just $n=2$ events the method can, on average, identify the oceanic and atmospheric components described in the main manuscript. Perhaps not surprisingly, we find that when including fewer events the signal-to-noise ratio decreases: with fewer events the estimated signal amplitude is smaller in both PC1 and PC2, and the uncertainty envelope is wider. As more events are included in the stacking, the percentage of variance explained by PC1 increases as the coherence between the various Antarctic cores increases due to an improved signal-to-noise ratio.

Analysis in the main manuscript uses a 1500-year window (centered around $t = 100$ yr), which is chosen because it corresponds to the recurrence time of the shortest DO cycles⁵⁸⁻⁶¹. The variance explained by the oceanic and atmospheric modes depends on the window length, as shown in Extended Data Fig. 6a. For window lengths exceeding ~ 750 years, the “oceanic” mode explains most of the variance (PC1), with the “atmospheric” mode explaining less. However, at short window lengths (< 750 year) the atmospheric mode explains most of the variance, making it PC1. Comparing PC1 at 400-year window to PC2 at 2000-year window (Extended Data Fig. 6b) illustrates the ability of the PCA to identify the atmospheric mode at different window lengths.

The cross-over behavior (i.e. the atmospheric mode shifts from being PC2 to PC1 as a function of window length) is due to the fact that the signal variance of the step-like atmospheric mode occurs chiefly within the $t = 0$ to $t = 100$ interval; signal variance of the oceanic mode depends strongly on the window length, due to its gradual nature.

Lag time analysis of PC1 and PC2 using the RAMPFIT⁵⁶ and BREAKFIT⁵⁵ routines confirms the cross-over behavior. At short window-length (<700 years), PC1 is characterized by the instantaneous /fast response of the hypothesized atmospheric teleconnection, whereas at large window-length (>800 years) it shows the 200-year delayed hypothesized oceanic teleconnection. PC2 shows the opposite behavior, though note that for PC2 at 400-year window length no meaningful solution can be found with either routine. At window lengths >700 years the lag times remain stable and vary only within the uncertainty bound.

Unless specified otherwise, a 1500-year window is used in this work.

Robustness of the atmospheric spatial pattern:

The spatial pattern we identify for the atmospheric mode is one of the main results of this work, and we here test its robustness. In Extended Data Figs. 6d–6f we compare three alternative ways of identifying the spatial pattern; the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between the shown pattern and the pattern identified in the main text (EOF 2 at 1500 yr window) is given for each. Details on the three methods are given in the figure caption. Correlation coefficients ranging from $r = 0.92$ to $r = 0.999$ suggest that identification of the atmospheric pattern is both qualitatively and quantitatively robust.

Rotated PCA vectors: PCA aims to explain the largest amount of variance, whereas our goal is to distinguish between the oceanic and atmospheric modes. While PC1 is clearly dominated by the 200-year-delayed oceanic bipolar seesaw (Fig. 2d), it appears that PC1 also captures some abrupt warming around $t = 0$, apparently due to the fact that atmospherically-induced warming is more prevalent over Antarctica than cooling (NH DO warming case). We therefore construct (admittedly somewhat subjective) oceanic and atmospheric response functions (Fig. 2e), which are derived from the principal components in the following way. Let PC1 and PC2 be the first two principal components through time; these vectors are perpendicular. We let the atmospheric response ATM be identical to PC2. The oceanic response OCE is found by rotating the PC1 vector in the plane spanned by vectors PC1 and PC2 over an angle of -13 degrees:

$$\text{OCE} = \cos(-13^\circ) \times \text{PC1} + \sin(-13^\circ) \times \text{PC2}$$

The rotation angle of -13 degrees is picked such that the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ shift at $t = 0$ is minimized. The spatial pattern associated with the ATM response (Fig. 2h) is found by multiple linear regression of the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stacks at the individual sites to OCE and ATM using the MATLAB function “regress”.

SAM, PSA and synthetic “atmospheric” indices. The SAM and PSA indices were calculated from climate model and reanalysis data as respectively the first and second mode of variability in

PCA/EOF analysis (MATLAB function “pca”) of sea-level pressure (model) or 850-hPa geopotential height (reanalysis) south of 20°S, after subtracting the long term mean and scaling the anomalies by the square root of the cosine of latitude to account for the decreased surface area closer to the poles. A synthetic index of the inferred atmospheric teleconnection is created by projecting reanalysis SAT anomalies at the ice core locations onto the atmospheric loading coefficients from Fig. 2h, the spatial signature of which is shown in Fig. 4d. Mathematically, this projection is done by multiplying the SAT anomalies with the loading coefficients, and summing over them at each monthly time step.

It is worth noting that all these inferences with respect to reanalysis data are reliant upon just five atmospheric loading coefficients from the limited (from the perspective of the large-scale circulation) spatial domain of Antarctica, so it is difficult to rigorously exclude non-SAM atmospheric influences from the temperature pattern alone.

In Extended Data Fig. 9 we compare variability in the SH westerly winds in the glacial CCSM3 (Community Climate System Model version 3) climate simulations^{25,62} to the ERA-Interim reanalysis³⁰. Both show greater variability in the Indian and Pacific sectors, relative to the Atlantic sector. Compared to ERA-interim, the CCSM3 SAM is more zonal/annular in its structure; the CCSM3 westerlies also appear to have smaller variability (some of this difference could be due to comparing annual mean with decadal mean data). In CCSM3 the forced response of the SH westerlies (at 19ka in response to increased North Atlantic freshwater, right panel) is very similar to the internal variability of the SH westerlies (middle panel), suggesting that the change in the SH atmospheric circulation induced by freshwater forcing in the North Atlantic is analogous to the existing mode of internal variability.

To estimate the magnitude of SAM shifts of the DO cycle, we analyze the changes in central East Antarctica where the signal is largest and most consistent with the present-day observed relationship (Fig. 4). Using an isotope sensitivity of 0.8 ‰ K^{-1} , the atmospherically-induced temperature anomaly in central East Antarctica (DF, EDC) is in the range of 0.20°C to 0.45°C during a DO-warming (lower and upper bound reflecting typical minor and major DO/AIM events, respectively). Regression of ERA-interim 2m temperature at DF and EDC to the SAM index shows a slope of around -1.2°C per unit of normalized SAM (the normalized SAM index time series has a standard deviation of 1), implying a shift in SAM index of around 0.2 to 0.4 normalized (modern-day) SAM units (rounded to one significant figure). This estimate assumes (1) a linear isotope-temperature response using the modern-day spatial slope, and (2) that the monthly SAM-SAT regression from monthly internal SAM variability also applies to persistent SAM shifts during the glacial; both assumptions are subject to uncertainties that we do not address here. The CCSM3 model simulates a persisting SAM shift of the same magnitude as the internal SAM variability in decadal averaged data (Fig.3); because internal SAM variability will be larger in monthly than in decadal-averaged data, the model and data-based estimates may be in agreement. The reanalysis time period is too short to derive robust estimates of decadal-averaged SAM variability.

GCM simulations. We used the TraCE-21k transient climate model simulations done with the Community Climate System Model version 3 (CCSM3)^{25,62-65}. AMOC collapse and resumption are triggered using freshwater forcing in the North Atlantic. The “DGL19k” run is used for the AMOC collapse, the “DGL-overshoot-C” run for the AMOC resumption case⁶⁴.

Moisture origin analysis. We use two separate experiments that trace moisture origin of the precipitation at the coring sites.

The first method uses a Lagrangian moisture source diagnostic⁶⁶ based on a previously published data set⁶⁷. Using the winds, temperature and humidity of the ERA-Interim reanalysis data set³⁰ covering the years 1980-2013, 5 million air parcel trajectories have been calculated covering the global atmosphere at 6-hourly resolution using the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART⁶⁸. From the analysis of specific humidity changes over time along the air parcel trajectories, moisture sources have been identified whenever specific humidity in the air parcels increased more than a threshold value of 0.1 g kg⁻¹ over a 6-hour period. The fractional contribution of each moisture source to the final precipitation at the target location (an area of 300×300 km centered on each ice core site) is obtained from calculating the amount contributed by a moisture source to the humidity already present in an air parcel. During precipitation en route, the previous sources' contributions are proportionally discounted. This results in a quantitative estimate of the contribution of surface areas to the precipitation in the target region in units of evaporation (water mass per unit area per time), including their position in terms of latitude and longitude. The moisture source contributions for each site and precipitation event have been composited into mass-weighted annual mean values and scaled with respect to the total amount of water deposited at the target region.

The second method uses water tagging in a 50-year simulation with the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM), with prescribed seasonally varying SST and modern boundary conditions. The experiment is set up to evaluate the meridional moisture source distribution, with further details and figures given in Ref. ⁸. In short, evaporation is tagged in 11 bins. One bin is the Antarctic continent (re-evaporation) and ocean and ice shelves south of 70°S; 10 are zonal bins of 5° latitude each, ranging from 20°S to 70°S. For each core, the moisture source distribution is found by evaluating the relative contributions from each of the bins for the last 30 years of the run. Two moisture source distributions were created, one for all year in which the mean annual SAM index was positive, and one for all years in which it was negative (Extended Data 8b).

Change point detection. We use two well-documented and widely-used change-point detection methods: BREAKFIT⁵⁵ and RAMPFIT⁵⁶, with results given in Extended Data Table 1. The choice of which one to use is based on the shape of the time series $x(t)$. BREAKFIT finds a single change-point, and fits a linear slope on either side; these features make it suitable for the oceanic mode / PC1 in the main manuscript. RAMPFIT finds two change-points; it is assumed $x(t)$ has constant value x_1 for $t < t_1$, then a ramp up or down until it reaches value x_2 at time t_2 , after which it remains constant at value x_2 for $t > t_2$. These features make RAMPFIT suitable for the

atmospheric mode / PC2 in the main manuscript. To find the two change-points in the d_{in} stacks, we applied both the RAMPFIT algorithm, and the BREAKFIT algorithm twice (once for each change-point). The results are comparable (Extended Data Table 1), and in the main text we report the average of both methods.

Methods References:

- 31 Severi, M. *et al.* Synchronisation of the EDML and EDC ice cores for the last 52 kyr by volcanic signature matching. *Clim. Past* **3**, 367-374, doi:10.5194/cp-3-367-2007 (2007).
- 32 Severi, M., Udisti, R., Becagli, S., Stenni, B. & Traversi, R. Volcanic synchronisation of the EPICA-DC and TALDICE ice cores for the last 42 kyr BP. *Clim. Past* **8**, 509-517, doi:10.5194/cp-8-509-2012 (2012).
- 33 Fujita, S., Parrenin, F., Severi, M., Motoyama, H. & Wolff, E. W. Volcanic synchronization of Dome Fuji and Dome C Antarctic deep ice cores over the past 216 kyr. *Clim. Past* **11**, 1395-1416, doi:10.5194/cp-11-1395-2015 (2015).
- 34 Sigl, M. *et al.* Insights from Antarctica on volcanic forcing during the Common Era. *Nature Clim. Change* **4**, 693-697, doi:10.1038/nclimate2293 (2014).
- 35 Parrenin, F. *et al.* Volcanic synchronisation between the EPICA Dome C and Vostok ice cores (Antarctica) 0–145 kyr BP. *Clim. Past* **8**, 1031-1045 (2012).
- 36 Veres, D. *et al.* The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): an optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years. *Clim. Past* **9**, 1733-1748, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1733-2013 (2013).
- 37 Buizert, C. *et al.* The WAIS Divide deep ice core WD2014 chronology - part 1: Methane synchronization (68-31 ka BP) and the gas age-ice age difference. *Clim. Past* **11**, 153-173, doi:10.5194/cp-11-153-2015 (2015).
- 38 Sigl, M. *et al.* The WAIS Divide deep ice core WD2014 chronology – Part 2: Annual-layer counting (0–31 ka BP). *Clim. Past* **12**, 769-786, doi:10.5194/cp-12-769-2016 (2016).
- 39 Kawamura, K. *et al.* Northern Hemisphere forcing of climatic cycles in Antarctica over the past 360,000 years. *Nature* **448**, 912-916, doi:10.1038/nature06015 (2007).
- 40 Bazin, L. *et al.* An optimized multi-proxy, multi-site Antarctic ice and gas orbital chronology (AICC2012): 120–800 ka. *Clim. Past* **9**, 1715-1731, doi:10.5194/cp-9-1715-2013 (2013).
- 41 Parrenin, F. *et al.* IceChrono1: a probabilistic model to compute a common and optimal chronology for several ice cores. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **8**, 1473-1492, doi:10.5194/gmd-8-1473-2015 (2015).
- 42 Lemieux-Dudon, B. *et al.* Consistent dating for Antarctic and Greenland ice cores. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **29**, 8-20, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.11.010 (2010).
- 43 Fudge, T. J. *et al.* Variable relationship between accumulation and temperature in West Antarctica for the past 31,000 years. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 2016GL068356, doi:10.1002/2016gl068356 (2016).
- 44 Fortuin, J. & Oerlemans, J. Parameterization of the annual surface temperature and mass balance of Antarctica. *Ann. Glaciol* **14**, 78-84 (1990).
- 45 WAIS Divide Project Members. Onset of deglacial warming in West Antarctica driven by local orbital forcing. *Nature* **500**, 440-444, doi:10.1038/nature12376 (2013).
- 46 Jones, T. R. *et al.* Water isotope diffusion in the WAIS Divide ice core during the Holocene and last glacial. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 2016JF003938, doi:10.1002/2016JF003938 (2017).

739 47 EPICA Community Members. One-to-one coupling of glacial climate variability in Greenland and
740 Antarctica. *Nature* **444**, 195-198, doi:10.1038/nature05301 (2006).

741 48 Stenni, B. *et al.* The deuterium excess records of EPICA Dome C and Dronning Maud Land ice
742 cores (East Antarctica). *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **29**, 146-159, doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.10.009 (2010).

743 49 Jouzel, J. *et al.* Orbital and millennial Antarctic climate variability over the past 800,000 years.
744 *Science* **317**, 793-796, doi:10.1126/science.1141038 (2007).

745 50 Kawamura, K. *et al.* State dependence of climatic instability over the past 720,000 years from
746 Antarctic ice cores and climate modeling. *Science Advances* **3**, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1600446
747 (2017).

748 51 Uemura, R. *et al.* Asynchrony between Antarctic temperature and CO₂ associated with obliquity
749 over the past 720,000 years. *Nature Communications* **9**, 961, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03328-3
750 (2018).

751 52 Stenni, B. *et al.* Expression of the bipolar see-saw in Antarctic climate records during the last
752 deglaciation. *Nat. Geosci.* **4**, 46-49, doi:10.1038/ngeo1026 (2011).

753 53 Landais, A. *et al.* A review of the bipolar see-saw from synchronized and high resolution ice core
754 water stable isotope records from Greenland and East Antarctica. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **114**, 18-32,
755 doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.01.031 (2015).

756 54 Baumgartner, M. *et al.* NGRIP CH₄ concentration from 120 to 10 kyr before present and its
757 relation to a $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ temperature reconstruction from the same ice core. *Clim. Past* **10**, 903-920,
758 doi:10.5194/cp-10-903-2014 (2014).

759 55 Mudelsee, M. Break function regression. *The European Physical Journal-Special Topics* **174**, 49-
760 63 (2009).

761 56 Mudelsee, M. Ramp function regression: a tool for quantifying climate transitions. *Computers &*
762 *Geosciences* **26**, 293-307 (2000).

763 57 Clark, P. U., Pisias, N. G., Stocker, T. F. & Weaver, A. J. The role of the thermohaline circulation in
764 abrupt climate change. *Nature* **415**, 863-869, doi:10.1038/415863a (2002).

765 58 Grootes, P. M. & Stuiver, M. Oxygen 18/16 variability in Greenland snow and ice with 10-3- to
766 105-year time resolution. *J. Geophys. Res.* **102**, 26455-26470, doi:10.1029/97jc00880 (1997).

767 59 Alley, R. B., Anandakrishnan, S. & Jung, P. Stochastic resonance in the North Atlantic.
768 *Paleoceanography* **16**, 190-198, doi:10.1029/2000pa000518 (2001).

769 60 Buizert, C. & Schmittner, A. Southern Ocean control of glacial AMOC stability and Dansgaard-
770 Oeschger interstadial duration. *Paleoceanography* **30**, 2015PA002795,
771 doi:10.1002/2015pa002795 (2015).

772 61 Ditlevsen, P. D., Kristensen, M. S. & Andersen, K. K. The recurrence time of Dansgaard-Oeschger
773 events and limits on the possible periodic component. *J. Clim.* **18**, 2594-2603,
774 doi:10.1175/jcli3437.1 (2005).

775 62 He, F. *et al.* Northern Hemisphere forcing of Southern Hemisphere climate during the last
776 deglaciation. *Nature* **494**, 81-85, doi:10.1038/nature11822 (2013).

777 63 Liu, Z. *et al.* Younger Dryas cooling and the Greenland climate response to CO₂. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
778 *Sci. U. S. A.* (2012).

779 64 He, F. *Simulating Transient Climate Evolution of the Last deglaciation with CCSM3*, University of
780 Wisconsin-Madison 2010, (2010).

781 65 Pedro, J. B. *et al.* The spatial extent and dynamics of the Antarctic Cold Reversal. *Nature Geosci*
782 **9**, 51-55, doi:10.1038/ngeo2580 (2016).

783 66 Sodemann, H., Schwierz, C. & Wernli, H. Interannual variability of Greenland winter precipitation
784 sources: Lagrangian moisture diagnostic and North Atlantic Oscillation influence. *J. Geophys.*
785 *Res.* **113**, D03107, doi:10.1029/2007JD008503 (2008).

- 67 Läderach, A. & Sodemann, H. A revised picture of the atmospheric moisture residence time.
Geophys. Res. Lett. **43**, 924-933, doi:10.1002/2015GL067449 (2016).
 68 Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P. & Wotawa, G. Technical note: The Lagrangian particle
 dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **5**, 2461-2474, doi:10.5194/acp-5-
 2461-2005 (2005).
 69 Locarnini, R. A. *et al.* in *NOAA Atlas NESDIS 73* (eds S. Levitus & A. Mishonov) (2013).
 70 Kalnay, E. *et al.* The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. *Bulletin of the American
 meteorological Society* **77**, 437-471 (1996).

Data Availability. Source Data (WDC sulfur data, volcanic tie points and water isotope data on synchronized chronologies) and derived products (stacks, PCA results, etc.) are available with the online version of the paper, and via the NOAA paleoclimate data archive.

Code Availability. MATLAB code used for the stacking procedure can be found in the supplementary information of ref.¹⁵, and is available from the corresponding author upon request.

Extended Data Figure 1 | Volcanic synchronization of Antarctic ice cores. a, Age offset between the WD2014^{37,38} (WDC) and AICC2012³⁶ (TAL, EDML, EDC) age scales, with each dot representing a volcanic tie point. Yellow and blue triangles denote the timing of TAL-EDC and EMDL-EDC volcanic ties^{32,36}, respectively. **b**, Overview of synchronizations between the ice cores used in this study. Previously published synchronizations are in grey; synchronization presented here are in color. Synchronizations within Antarctica are based purely on volcanic links; synchronization between WDC and NGRIP (Greenland) are based on atmospheric CH₄ (green arrow).

Extended Data Figure 2 | Age uncertainty due to volcanic synchronization. a, Interpolation uncertainty (1 σ) for 4 different values of L (the spacing between two adjacent volcanic tie points), based on the layer-counted WD2014 age scale³⁸. **b**, Interpolation uncertainty in synchronizing the 4 ice cores to WAIS Divide. Grey vertical lines give the timing of DO events.

Extended Data Figure 3 | Site-specific stacks of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and d_{in} . a, Stack of NGRIP $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (teal, left axis) and WDC CH₄ (green, right axis) during DO warming. **b**, As in **a**, but for DO cooling. **c**, Stack of Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ at indicated locations (see color legend) during DO warming. **d**, As in **c**, but for

820 DO cooling. **e**, Stack of Antarctic d_{In} at indicated locations (see color legend) during DO
821 warming. **f**, As in **e**, but for DO cooling. Isotope ratios are on the VSMOW scale.
822

823

824 **Extended Data Figure 4| Proportionality of the atmospheric response.** Panels **a-f** compare
825 stacks of just the major DO/AIM events (those following Heinrich events, namely DO/AIM0,
826 DO/AIM1, DO/AIM4, DO/AIM8, DO/AIM12 and DO/AIM14, left panels), and just the minor
827 DO/AIM events (the remainder, right panels). **a,b**, stacks of NGRIP $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (left axis) and CH₄ (right
828 axis). **c,d**, Stacks of Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ at indicated locations. **e,f**, Same as panels **c** and **d**, but with
829 Antarctic mean subtracted. **g**, Proportionality of the atmospheric response for individual events
830 (numbered). NGRIP event size is found via regression of individual NGRIP events to the multi-
831 event NGRIP $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stack normalized to unit variance (Fig. 2a). The Antarctic atmospheric
832 response is found via multiple linear regression of single-site, individual events to the
833 atmospheric and oceanic modes (Fig. 2e). Shown are average (dots) and standard deviation
834 (grey error bars) of the response at EDC, DF and EDML (the cores with the strongest
835 atmospheric response); the EDML response is multiplied by -1 as it has the opposite sign of the
836 response at DF and EDC. Red and blue dots denote the major and minor DO/AIM events,
837 respectively. Isotope ratios are on the VSMOW scale.

838

839 **Extended Data Figure 5 | Reducing the number of events in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stacks.** **a**, PC1 when
840 stacking 2 or 8 randomly selected events; thick line and shaded area represent the mean and
841 +/- 1 σ standard deviation of 50,000 runs, respectively. Vertical yellow bars denote the 200-year
842 period after the abrupt DO event at $t = 0$. **b**, as panel **a**, but for PC2. **c**, Histogram of percentage
843 of signal variance explained by PC1 and PC2, when stacking 2 or 8 randomly selected events.
844 Color coding as in panels **a** and **b**.

845

846 **Extended Data Figure 6| Robustness of the atmospherically-forced warming pattern.** **a**,
847 Principal Component analysis as function of window length, with percent variance explained by
848 PC1 and PC2. **b**, Comparison of PC1 at 400 year window length, to PC2 at 2000 year window
849 length, to show the cross-over of the atmospheric response (i.e. from PC1 to PC2) as a function
850 of window length. **c**, Lag time of the Antarctic PC1 and PC2 response as a function of window
851 length, assessed using BREAKFIT⁵⁵ and RAMPFIT⁵⁶ routines (see Methods for explanation of
852 which one is used). **d**, EOF1 at 400 year window length scaled to permil units. **e**, EOF2 at 2000
853 year window length scaled to permil units. **f**, Slope of linear fit to $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stacks in the $t = 0$ to $t =$
854 +200 year interval, shown as the change in permil during the 200 years. Isotope ratios are on
855 the VSMOW scale.

856

857 **Extended Data Figure 7 | The Antarctic climate response to DO cooling.** **a**, Stack of NGRIP
858 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. **b**, Stack of Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ at indicated locations. **c**, As in **b**, but with Antarctic mean
859 subtracted. **d**, First two principal components of the Antarctic $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ stacks, with percentage of

variance explained (offset for clarity) Lines show the BREAKFIT (PC1) and RAMPFIT (PC2) fits. **e-f**, Empirical Orthogonal Functions EOF1 and EOF2 associated with PC1 and PC2 in **d**, scaled to show the magnitude in permil. Isotope ratios are on the VSMOW scale.

Extended Data Figure 8 | Moisture sources of Antarctic ice core and the SAM. **a**, Mass-weighted probability distribution functions (PDFs) of Antarctic moisture sources for the five ice cores of interest ($5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ deg}^{-2}$ contour lines; the area integrated PDF equals 1). Distributions are calculated from reanalysis data^{30,67} using a Lagrangian source diagnostic^{21,66} (Methods). Parallels are plotted in 15° increments of latitude; meridians in 45° increments of longitude. **b**, Sea surface temperature (SST, black)⁶⁹ and relative humidity (RH, grey)⁷⁰ as a function of latitude. The colored curves give the latitudinal source distribution during negative SAM phase (solid curves, SAM index < 0) and positive SAM phase (dashed curves, SAM index > 0). The solid and open dots show the first moment of the source distribution during negative and positive SAM phase, respectively. Note that during a positive SAM phase moisture sources for all core locations are located closer to the Antarctic continent. Source distribution data were obtained using water tagging experiments⁸ in the Community Atmosphere Model (Methods).

Extended Data Figure 9 | Southern Annular Mode-like variability in zonal near-surface winds. **a**, ERA-Interim reanalysis (1979-2016 annual means) zonal wind speed at 10 m height regressed onto SAM index (here the first principal component of sea level pressure variability south of 20°S), expressed in units of m s^{-1} per standard deviation in the index. **b**, Same as panel **a**, but for internal variability in the CCSM3 SynTraCE model simulation^{25,62} during glacial climate prior to freshwater forcing of Heinrich stadial 1 (19.5ka to 19.01ka BP, decadal means). **c**, Same as panel **a**, but for the response to North Atlantic freshwater forcing in the CCSM3 SynTraCE model (19.1ka to 18.9ka BP, decadal means, with the freshwater forcing applied at 19ka).

Extended Data Table 1 | Change-point analysis on Antarctic response. Change-points are found using the BREAKFIT⁵⁵ or RAMPFIT⁵⁶ routine as indicated; the parameter t_{OCE} is the single change-point of the oceanic mode; t_{ATM1} and t_{ATM2} are the two change-points of the atmospheric mode, representing the onset and ending of the shift, respectively (Methods). Stated uncertainties give the 1σ value in the fitting routine only, found using a Monte Carlo moving block bootstrap analysis with 1000 iterations^{55,56}; the full uncertainty in the combined inter polar CH_4 synchronization and stacking procedure¹⁵ is estimated to be around 40 years (1σ), which can mostly be attributed to uncertainty in the WAIS Divide ice age-gas age difference Δage ³⁷. The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ PC2 and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ atmospheric modes are identical.