DETERMINATION OF THE RESPONSE FUNCTION FOR TWO PERSONAL NEUTRON DOSEMETER DESIGNS BASED ON PADC

S. Mayer*, F. Assenmacher and M. Boschung

Division for Radiation Safety and Security, Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

*Corresponding author: sabine.mayer@psi.ch

Since 1998 neutron dosimetry based on PADC (poly allyl diglycol carbonate) is done with a so-called original Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) design at PSI. The original design (i.e. holder) was later changed. Both designs are optimised for use in workplaces around high-energy accelerators, where the neutron energy spectra are dominated by fast neutrons ranging up to some 100 MeV. In addition to the change of the dosimeter design a new evaluation method based on a microscope scanning technique has been introduced and the etching conditions have been optimised. In the present work, the responses obtained with the original and the new dosimeter designs are compared for fields of radionuclide sources and monoenergetic reference fields using the new evaluation method. The response curves in terms of the personal dose equivalent for normally incident neutrons were built as functions of the incident neutron energy.

INTRODUCTION

The Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) personal neutron dosimeter based on PADC (poly allyl diglycol carbonate) has been in operation since 1998. In its original design the dosimeter is sensitive to fast and thermal neutrons⁽¹⁾. At later stages the dosimeter design was changed, a new evaluation method based on a microscope scanning technique has been introduced, new available PADC materials and etching conditions were studied^(2, 3). Both dosimeter designs were mainly conceived for use in workplaces around high-energy accelerators, where the neutron energy spectra are dominated by fast neutrons ranging up to some 100 MeV.

The energy responses of the original and the new dosimeter designs were measured in the fields of radionuclide sources and in monoenergetic reference fields of the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB, Braunschweig). Additional measurements were carried out with the new design only in the radiation field of iThemba LABS with nominal neutron energies of 66 and 100 MeV. The response curves in terms of personal dose equivalent for normally incident neutrons were built as functions of the incident neutron energy. The responses are compared with previous values.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Original PSI design

The original personal neutron dosimeter design consists of an outer case holding together two units of a PADC detector covered on both sides with 2-mm radiators. One half of the radiator of each side is made of polyethylene to produce protons from fast

neutron elastic scattering and the other half is of polyethylene containing $\sim 1\%$ of lithium of natural abundance to produce tritons through the ${}^6\text{Li}(n, \alpha){}^3\text{H}$ reaction from incident thermal neutrons.

New PSI design

The new PSI neutron dosimeter design consists of a housing of hydrogenous material ($\sim 10\%$ hydrogen) and a PADC detector⁽⁴⁾. The housing has two dips to hold two standard LiF chips (TLD600) with dimensions $3 \times 3 \times 0.9\text{ mm}^3$ in such a way that the LiF chips are at the same location on both sides of the detector. Here the sensitivity to fast neutrons is achieved via recoil protons produced in the housing itself and to thermal neutrons with the use of the tritons (${}^3\text{H}$) produced in the nuclear reaction of ${}^6\text{Li}$ in the LiF chip.

In both designs the PADC detector material is manufactured by Track Analysis Systems Ltd (TASL), which is known under the trade name TASTRAKTM. These detectors are of thickness of 1.5 mm and size of $20 \times 25\text{ mm}^2$.

Etching procedure and evaluation

In the early 1990's at PSI, first studies on a personal neutron dosimeter were done using electrochemical etching⁽⁵⁾. However, the initially followed electrochemical etching procedure was withdrawn because of practical and technical problems. For the evaluation of the PADC detectors used in the routine personal neutron dosimeter introduced in 1998, chemical etching was chosen. Over the time the chemical etching procedure was always adjusted to the used detector material to optimise the evaluation.

RESPONSE OF PADC NEUTRON DOSEMETERS

In this work, the PADC detectors are etched using 6.35-M NaOH at 85°C for 2 h 50 min. After etching, the detectors are neutralised for 15 min in 0.1-M HCl at room temperature and then washed for 10 min in distilled water at 60°C and for 10 min in water at room temperature.

In parallel to the optimisation of the chemical process the evaluation of the detectors has been improved. The old analysing technique (AUTOSCAN60⁽¹⁾) based on simple counting of tracks has been replaced by employing microscope scanning technique with the TASLImage track analysis system⁽²⁾. With the new technique even information on single tracks is collected and flows into the evaluation algorithm.

Irradiations

The neutron irradiations were performed in radiation fields of radionuclide sources: ²⁴¹Am–Be at the calibration laboratory of PSI; ²⁵²Cf and ²⁵²Cf (D₂O moderated) at the National Physical Laboratory NPL in the UK as part of the EURADOS IC2012n intercomparison⁽⁶⁾. Moreover, they were carried out in monoenergetic fields at the PTB and in quasi-monoenergetic fields at iThemba LABS (South Africa). NPL and PTB are primary standards laboratories. The PSI calibration laboratory is an accredited secondary standards laboratory and at iThemba LABS the beam metrology is traceable to national standards via the fluence and energy distributions made by PTB.

At PTB the reference fields with nominal neutron energies from 24 keV to 19 MeV were chosen according to ISO 8529-1⁽⁷⁾, except for the 8-MeV field which is not mentioned by the ISO norm.

At iThemba LABS the irradiations were carried out in neutron fields with nominal peak energies of 66 and 100 MeV of the spectral energy fluence.

All irradiations have been performed in conformance with ISO 8529-2 and 8529-3^(8, 9). Tables 1 and 2 give an overview on the irradiation fields and the applied reference doses.

In all irradiations, four dosimeters of the new design were mounted on a 30 × 30 × 15 cm³ ISO water slab phantom. Two dosimeters of the original design, each containing two PADC, were additionally mounted

Table 2. Overview on irradiations with (quasi-) monoenergetic neutron fields and applied reference doses.

Irradiation laboratory	Nuclear reaction	Neutron energy, E_n (MeV)	Reference dose $H_p(10)$ (mSv)
PTB	⁴⁵ Sc(p,n) ⁴⁵ Ti	0.024	0.05
	⁷ Li(p,n) ⁷ Be	0.144	0.5
	⁷ Li(p,n) ⁷ Be	0.25	0.5
	⁷ Li(p,n) ⁷ Be	0.565	4.9
	T(p,n) ³ He	1.2	5.6
	T(p,n) ³ He	2.5	6.6
	D(d,n) ³ He	5.0	5.4
	D(d,n) ³ He	8.0	12.7
	T(d,n) ⁴ He	14.8	7.2
	T(d,n) ⁴ He	19.0	5.7
iThemba LABS	⁷ Li(p,n) ⁷ Be	66	1.3
PTB (IC2012n)	⁷ Li(p,n) ⁷ Be	100	1.2
	⁷ Li(p,n) ⁷ Be	0.25	1.0

on the phantom only at PTB and PSI. The phantom was always oriented perpendicular towards the source of the reference neutron field, i.e. the incidence angle was 0°. In order to ensure quality control and transferable results of each measurement campaign each time a control group of eight dosimeters irradiated with a personal dose equivalent of 3 mSv in the field of a ²⁴¹Am–Be source at PSI and a control group of eight dosimeters for background measurements have been evaluated.

At the PTB irradiations at higher energies, i.e. 5.0, 8.0 and 19 MeV the contribution of a concomitant neutron background resulting from interactions with materials in the proton beam path has to be corrected for. For this reason additional control groups of dosimeters were irradiated under blank-target conditions in order to determine the contribution of the concomitant neutron background to the signal of the dosimeters irradiated under loaded-target conditions. The reference personal dose equivalent $H_p(10)$ has been determined by PTB for the resulting monoenergetic spectrum of direct and scattered neutron fluence without concomitant neutron background. At iThemba LABS measurements were carried out at 0° and 16° scattering angle in order to apply a difference method, subtracting the instrument response for the 16° beam from that at 0°. For the 16° beam, the quasi-monoenergetic peak is greatly reduced but with almost unchanged lower energy fluence continuum. For all irradiations the peak fluence at 0° has been used for normalisation of experimental results. The ratio of peak fluence to total fluence, and the ratio of 0–16° total fluence are well known and given by the experimental setup. The resulting dose equivalent $H_p(10)$ for each irradiation has been determined by numerically

Table 1. Overview on irradiations with radionuclide sources and applied reference doses.

Irradiation laboratory	Source	Dose-equivalent average energy (MeV)	Reference dose $H_p(10)$ (mSv)
PSI	²⁴¹ Am–Be	4.4	3
NPL (IC2012n)	²⁵² Cf (D ₂ O moderated)	2.1	3
	²⁵² Cf	2.3	3 and 15

integrating the fluence spectrum weighted by interpolated fluence-to-dose conversion coefficients⁽¹⁰⁾ and integrating over the peak region only.

The PADC track scanning procedure with the TASL system determines an effective track density for an area of $13 \times 9 \text{ mm}^2$ whilst eliminating perturbations. Only the PADC side facing the neutron source was scanned. The determined track densities of the dosimeters of each group were averaged. The overall background consisting of natural radiation background, etching procedure, PADC material and analysis method was accounted for each dosimeter group by the corresponding non-irradiated control group. For the correction of a concomitant non-monoenergetic neutron continuum, the track density of the related dosimeter group was scaled by a given monitor unit quantity and subtracted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This yields a sensitivity of the different dosimeter designs for the series of (quasi-)monoenergetic neutron fields and radionuclide sources in terms of track density per cm^2 . The sensitivities were then related to the sensitivity of ^{241}Am -Be irradiated dosimeters of each design, thus yielding the response function over an energy range from 24 keV to 100 MeV neutron energy.

The response of the new dosimeter design with the LiF chip is separated into two: the so-called ‘thermal’ channel corresponds to an area of $2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^2$ of the

PADC under the slightly larger LiF chip, and the ‘fast’ channel corresponds to the remaining area minus the LiF chip and a safety margin. For all calculations the Gaussian error propagation was applied based upon $k = 2$ uncertainties of the input data.

In Figure 1 the measured and normalised neutron responses of the new measurement campaigns (full symbols) are compared with the neutron response established in 1998 (open symbols)⁽⁴⁾. Note that in this comparison for the new dosimeter design the ‘fast’ channel is plotted. In the results between the two dosimeter designs only small differences can be seen. Moreover, the new response curves exhibit a similar shape over neutron energy. Differences can be observed especially in the measurements from 250 keV to 2.3 MeV. At 250 keV the new responses are rather small, whereas at 1.2 and 2.3 MeV they are higher than the old response. However, already the old response showed some ambiguities at the latter energies. Due to the fact that in realistic fields of high-energy accelerators the dosimeter always faces a broad neutron spectrum even with a dominant component of high-energy neutrons, PSI assumes that its evaluation procedure for determining a dose in high-energy fields is still adequate. However, in a next step it is foreseen that the dosimeter response curves will be folded with spectral data of measured neutron fields for improved analysis. In addition, the response of the ‘thermal’ channel of the new dosimeter will be studied. In Figure 2 the response of this channel is plotted over energy.

Figure 1. Comparison of the neutron responses in terms of personal dose equivalent normalised to ^{241}Am -Be for the different dosimeter designs and irradiation campaigns.

Figure 2. Signal of the 'thermal' channel normalised to the signal to $^{241}\text{Am}-\text{Be}$ is plotted over energy.

CONCLUSION

The time- and cost-intensive establishment of experimentally determined response curves for personal neutron dosimeters is necessary for its dosimetric characterisation and the re-measurement in certain time intervals is obligatory for quality assurance. Therefore, the response of the two PSI designs were measured in reference fields of radionuclide sources and of (quasi-) monoenergetic beams with energies from 24 keV up to 100 MeV. At some energies the differences to the old response curve have been observed. However, in routine dosimetry at workplace fields around high-energy accelerators, where a broad neutron spectrum is faced, these differences are expected to play a minor role and therefore no changes in the routine evaluation procedure of PSI were made. However, work is in progress on folding the new response curves with already measured neutron spectra of workplace fields as well as work is in progress on including the information obtained by the evaluation of the 'thermal' channel from the area under the LiF chip.

FUNDING

This work was partly supported by the Swiss Federal Nuclear Safety Inspectorate (ENSI, contract 100979).

REFERENCES

1. Fiechtner, A. and Wernli, C. *Individual neutron monitoring with CR-39 at an accelerator centre*. Radiat. Prot. Dosim. **85**(1–4), 35–38 (1999).
2. Fiechtner-Scharrer, A., Mayer, S., Boschung, M. and Whitelaw, A. *Influence of variation of etching conditions on the sensitivity of PADC detectors with a new evaluation method*. Radiat. Prot. Dosim. **144**(1–4), 150–154 (2011).
3. Fiechtner, A., Mayer, S. and Boschung, M. *Practical consequences for the use of a personal dosimeter for fast neutrons at high-energy accelerators based on PADC detectors exposed up to one year*. Radiat. Meas. **45**(10), 1557–1559 (2010).
4. Boschung, M., Fiechtner, A., Mayer, S. and Wernli, C. *Field calibration and comparison of personal neutron dosimeter designs based on CR-39 for the use around high-energy accelerators*. Radiat. Meas. **43**(2–6), 1081–1084 (2008).
5. Azimi-Garakini, D., Boschung, M. and Wernli, C. *New etching conditions for CR-39 for neutron dosimetry*. Radiat. Prot. Dosim. **35**(2), 93–98 (1991).
6. Fantuzzi *et al.*, IC2012n, this issue.
7. International Organisation for Standardisation (2001) ISO Standard 8529-1. *Neutron reference radiations—Part 1: characteristics and methods of production*. ISO.
8. International Organisation for Standardisation (2000) ISO Standard 8529-2. *Neutron reference radiations—Part 2: dosimetry fundamentals related to the basic quantities characterising the radiation field*. ISO.
9. International Organisation for Standardisation (1998) ISO Standard 8529-3. *Neutron reference radiations—Part 3: calibration of area and personal dosimeters and determination of response as a function of energy and angle of incidence*. ISO.
10. Olsner, R. H., McLean, T. D., Justus, A. L., Devine, R. T. and Gadd, M. S. *Personal dose equivalent conversion coefficients for neutron fluence over the energy range of 20–250 MeV*. Radiat. Prot. Dosim. **138**(3), 199–204 (2010).