Short-range magnetic interactions and spin-glass behavior in the quasi-two-dimensional nickelate $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$

Shangxiong Huangfu,¹ Zurab Guguchia,² Denis Cheptiakov,³ Xiaofu Zhang¹,¹ Hubertus Luetkens¹,²

Dariusz Jakub Gawryluk¹,⁴ Tian Shang,¹ Fabian O. von Rohr,⁵ and Andreas Schilling¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

²Laboratory for Muon Spin Spectroscopy (LMU), Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Forschungsstrasse 111, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland

³Laboratory for Neutron Scattering and Imaging (LNS), Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Forschungsstrasse 111, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland

⁴Laboratory for Multiscale Materials Experiments (LMX), Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Forschungsstrasse 111, CH-5232 Villigen, Switzerland

⁵Department of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland

(Received 26 May 2020; revised 16 July 2020; accepted 20 July 2020; published 17 August 2020)

The nickelate $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ features quasi-two-dimensional layers consisting of three stacked square-planar NiO_2 planes, in a similar way to the well-known cuprate superconductors. The mixed-valent nature of Ni and its metallic properties makes it a candidate for potentially unconventional superconductivity. We have synthesized $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ by topotactic reduction of $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ in 10% hydrogen gas, and report on measurements of powder-neutron diffraction, magnetization, and muon-spin rotation (μSR). We find that $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ shows complicated spin-glass behavior with a distinct magnetic memory effect in the temperature range from 2 to 300 K and a freezing temperature $T_s \approx 68$ K. Moreover, the analysis of μSR spectra indicates two magnetic processes characterized by remarkably different relaxation rates: a slowly relaxing signal, resulting from paramagnetic fluctuations of Pr/Ni ions, and a fast-relaxing signal, whose relaxation rate increases substantially below ≈ 70 K which can be ascribed to the presence of short-range correlated regions. We conclude that the complex spin-freezing process in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ is governed by these multiple magnetic interactions. It is possible that the complex magnetism in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ is detrimental to the occurrence of superconductivity.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.102.054423](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.054423)

Copper-based high-temperature superconductors show quasi-two-dimensional (2D) structural and electrical properties. They contain CuO_2 planes with Cu in a mixed-valent $3d^{9\pm\delta}$ configuration, and exhibit considerable antiferromagnetic correlations [1,2]. Even before [3] but also soon after their discovery [4], structurally similar layered nickelates have been proposed to be potential superconductors [5]. The rare-earth (Ln) nickelates $Ln_{n+1}\text{Ni}_n\text{O}_{2n+2}$ exhibit such a 2D layered structure. They contain n stacked square-planar NiO_2 planes, alternating with $Ln/\text{O}_2/Ln$ fluorite-type layers, which is reminiscent to the configuration of the CuO_2 planes in electron-doped cuprate superconductors [6–8]. The mixed-valence state of Ni ion between $3d^8$ and $3d^9$ in these nickelates, with a formal Ni valence of $1 + 1/n$, resembles the situation in heavily hole-doped cuprates [9–12]. Therefore, these nickel oxides have been considered as promising candidates for new superconductors [13]. Indeed, superconductivity has very recently been found in thin films of the “infinite layer” compound $\text{Nd}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{NiO}_2$ ($n = \infty$) [14–16]. To synthesize these structures, one of the common synthesis techniques is to first prepare T -type materials containing NiO_6 octahedra, and to subsequently reduce them via a low-temperature topotactic reaction to obtain T' -type structures with square-planar NiO_2 planes [7,17,18].

The related nickelate LaNiO_2 [7,17] is paramagnetic with apparently two different magnetic regimes (above and below $T \approx 150$ K, respectively), with no signs of magnetic order

[7]. Likewise, the isostructural NdNiO_2 does not show any signs of long-range magnetic order down to $T \approx 1.7$ K [19]. $\text{La}_3\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_6$ is the only known member of the $Ln_{n+1}\text{Ni}_n\text{O}_{2n+2}$ series with a bilayer ($n = 2$) structure [10]. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements down to 5 K showed the presence of antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations [20], although no long-range magnetic order develops. The trilayer ($n = 3$) versions, $Ln_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ ($Ln = \text{La}, \text{Pr}, \text{and Nd/Sm}$) to be discussed here, have an average Ni valence of $+4/3$. $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ shows a sharp phase transition from a high-temperature paramagnetic semiconducting phase to a low-temperature antiferromagneticlike insulating phase around $T \approx 105$ K [11], which has also been studied by muon-spin rotation (μSR) techniques [21]. NMR measurements revealed 2D antiferromagnetic fluctuations [22], and both magnetic and charge-ordering phenomena were inferred from x-ray and neutron-diffraction (ND) measurements [23,24]. Semiconducting behavior has also been reported for $\text{Nd}_{3.5}\text{Sm}_{0.5}\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$, with a trend to increasing metallicity under pressure [25], while the metallicity of $\text{Pr}_z\text{Nd}_{4-z}\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ was reported to increase with Pr content z [26].

By very contrast, $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ is metallic (but not superconducting) at ambient pressure between 2 and 300 K, without any signs for a possible phase transition, neither from transport nor from heat-capacity measurements [12]. At present, very little is known about the magnetic behavior of this compound. We have, therefore, performed a series of powder

ND, magnetization, and μ SR measurements on $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ powders.

We first synthesized high-quality powdered samples of $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ according to the method described in Refs. [27] and [28]. Subsequent thermogravimetric experiments suggest a resulting oxygen content of 7.98(4). The high quality of the thus obtained $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ powder was confirmed by x-ray diffraction (XRD) and powder-ND measurements (Figs. S1–S3 in the Supplemental Material [29]) [30–32] without any indication for impurities within the detection limit. The ND measurements were performed at $T = 1.5$ and 300 K and the zero-field (ZF) μ SR experiments between 5 and 300 K. The quasistatic (DC) and the alternating current (AC) magnetization were studied from 2 to 350 K and from 2 to 300 K, respectively. To cross-check the magnetic data, some of the $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ powder was reversibly transformed back into $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$. Details about sample preparation and the subsequent characterization are given in the Supplemental Material [29].

ND techniques not only probe purity and quality of crystalline compounds, but can also reveal their magnetic structure. Apart from a shift of the nuclear peaks with temperature, our corresponding refinements [29] do not indicate any long-range ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic orders in the temperature range between 1.5 and 300 K.

To study the magnetic properties further, we performed DC magnetization measurements by collecting temperature-dependent field-cooling (FC) and zero-field cooling (ZFC) magnetization $M(T)$ data in various external magnetic fields, see Figs. 1(a) and S6 [29], and by measuring magnetization $M(H)$ loops at different temperatures [Fig. 1(b)]. The magnetization M of $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ shows a similarly complex behavior as other T' -type nickelates [21–23]. While in magnetic fields larger than $\mu_0 H \approx 0.5$ T, $M(T)$ appears to be reversible within the resolution of the measurement, FC and ZFC data gradually separate with decreasing H . In $\mu_0 H \approx 0.01$ T, the corresponding $M(T)$ curves are separated up to the highest investigated temperature $T = 350$ K. In $\mu_0 H > 1$ T, a broad, peaklike structure develops that becomes more pronounced with increasing field, in a similar fashion as has been observed in $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ and attributed to the presence of superparamagnetic Ni particles [10], although the feature is much broader in our $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ data than in $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$. The corresponding maximum in $M(T)$ shifts from $T \approx 67$ K for $\mu_0 H = 1$ T to ≈ 85 K in 7 T. In Fig. 1(b), we show corresponding magnetization $M(H)$ loops taken at different temperatures. The data are hysteretic at all T , with coercitives ranging from ≈ 0.044 T at 2 K to ≈ 0.011 T at room temperature, and a remanent magnetization of the order of ≈ 1200 emu mol $^{-1}$ at 2 K and ≈ 550 emu mol $^{-1}$ at room temperature [lower inset of Fig. 1(b)], respectively, which is reminiscent of ferromagneticlike behavior.

We can interpret these data as a superposition of a ferromagneticlike contribution that is saturating in high enough fields, and a paramagnetic term that is linear in H as has been done, e.g., for NdNiO_{2+x} [19]. In Fig. 2 we show the temperature dependence of both contributions. The high-temperature part of the linear paramagnetic susceptibility above ≈ 160 K can be well fitted by a Néel-type law, $\chi_{\text{para}}(T) = C/(T + \Theta) + \chi_0$, with a Curie constant $C \approx$

FIG. 1. (a) Temperature-dependent ZFC and FC magnetization $M(T)$ measurements on $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ in various external magnetic fields up to $\mu_0 H = 7$ T; arrows indicate the direction of the measurements; some data have been multiplied by a factor for clarity. (b) Isotherm magnetization $M(H)$ loops with magnetic fields ranging from -7 to 7 T at temperatures between 2 and 350 K; the lower inset is in an expanded scale to show the hysteretic behavior in low magnetic fields, while the upper inset shows corresponding data for 10 and 350 K for $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ (dashed lines) in comparison with back-oxygenated $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ from $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ (open symbols with no detectable hysteresis) and the original $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ powder (solid lines); arrows indicate the direction of the measurements.

$6.8 \text{ emu K Oe}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, a Pauli-paramagnetic susceptibility $\chi_0 \approx 10^{-3} \text{ emu Oe}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, and $\Theta \approx 160$ K. If we assume the free-ion value for the magnetic moment of Pr^{3+} ($3.58 \mu_B$) as has been found in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ [26,27], we obtain a residual magnetic moment of $\approx 1.70 \mu_B$. This value would be consistent with the localized moment of one spin-1/2 Ni^{2+} ion, but most probably rather represents an average over the three Ni sites [12], with some delocalized 3d electrons that lead to the observed metallic behavior. In the high-temperature range above ≈ 150 K, the saturated magnetic moment M_{sat} (inset of Fig. 2) can be well fitted by a $1 - (T/T^*)^{3/2}$ law, with an extrapolated zero-temperature magnetic moment of $\approx 0.22 \mu_B \text{ mol}^{-1}$ and $T^* \approx 1100$ K.

FIG. 2. Paramagnetic susceptibility as calculated from the high-field linear part of $M(H)$ and fitted to a Néel-type law; the inset shows the high-field saturated magnetic moment obtained by subtracting the paramagnetic magnetization from $M(H)$.

If this large saturated magnetic moment were due to impurities (e.g., Ni particles as suggested in Ref. [10], with $M_{\text{sat}} = 0.66 \mu_B \text{ mol}^{-1}$), $\approx 10\%$ of $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ must have been decomposed during the topotactic reduction to $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ since the original $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ powder showed neither magnetic hysteresis nor saturation. Such a significant decomposition should have manifested itself in our x-ray and ND data, however, which is not the case. To further cross-check our $M(H)$ data, we transformed $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ back into pure-phase $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$, see upper inset of Fig. 1(b) and Supplemental Material [29]. The resulting magnetization $M(H)$ curves are identical to those of the original $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_{10}$ powder. The ferromagnetic-like hysteretic behavior completely vanished, and it must therefore be intrinsic to $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$.

As the DC magnetization data are clearly hysteretic, we performed additional time-dependent magnetization experiments, namely AC magnetic susceptibility measurements in zero static external magnetic field, and aging and memory experiments for the DC magnetization. We observe a broad peak in the temperature-dependent real part of the AC susceptibility $\chi'(T)$ for all AC frequencies f between 2 and 300 Hz, as shown in Figs. 3(a) and S7 [29]. Moreover, the peak positions shift from ≈ 74 to ≈ 89 K with increasing f , while the peak amplitudes decrease gradually, indicating a possible spin-glass freezing below the respective peak temperatures. Aging and memory measurements taken in a FC protocol also show a clear time and history dependence of the DC magnetization (see the Supplemental Material [29]), which is regarded as an experimental signature for spin-glass behavior [33]. Figure 3(b) shows the intermittent-stop cooling (ISC) data taken in $\mu_0 H = 5$ mT. In these measurements, H was switched from this value to zero, followed by a waiting period of 5000 s at constant temperature. During this time, the magnetization changed slowly with a time constant of several minutes [upper inset of Fig. 3(b)]. After that process, the magnetic field was switched back to 5 mT and the sample was further cooled down to the next temperature. In spin glasses, the spin dynamics is known to slow down as the freezing temperature T_s is approached, which impedes

FIG. 3. (a) Real part (χ') of the AC susceptibility as a function of temperature and frequency from 2 to 300 Hz; the inset of (a) shows the measurement period $\tau = 1/2\pi f$ (where f is the frequency of applied AC magnetic field) vs peak temperatures T of the real part of the AC magnetic susceptibility χ' . (b) Aging and memory measurements according to intermittent-stop cooling (ISC) and continuous warming-up (CW) processes, respectively, in an external field of 5 mT, with a waiting time in the ISC process of 5000 s; the upper inset of (b) shows the time dependence of the magnetic moment at 35 K after switching off the magnetic field, the lower inset of (b) shows the total change of the magnetic moment during the intermittent stop.

the magnetization recovery and leads to large magnetization steps in such ISC curves [34]. Indeed, these steps are largest between 30 and 50 K, and become smaller with increasing temperature but remain finite up to room temperature [lower inset of Fig. 3(b)]. Although T_s appears to have a finite value around ≈ 70 K (see below), the continuous slow freezing process persists down to the lowest investigated temperature (5 K). Upon warming the sample continuously up (continuous warming, CW) in the same constant magnetic field after such an ISC process, $M(T)$ exhibits pronounced kink-like features at each of the previous intermittent-stopping temperatures, indicating a distinct memory effect that is characteristic for many spin-glasses, including some nickel oxides [33,35,36].

To analyze the frequency dependence of the peaks in $\chi'(T)$ [inset of Fig. 3(a)], we may use a critical dynamical

scaling model which predicts a relation between the measuring frequency $f = 2\pi/t$ and the peak temperature T in $\chi'(T)$ via $\tau = \tau_0(\frac{T-T_s}{T_s})^{-z\nu}$ [37], where T_s is the freezing temperature in the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ that is determined by the system interactions, z is the dynamic critical exponent, ν is the exponent for the correlation length, and τ_0 is the flip time between relaxation attempts. The solid line in the inset of Fig. 3(a) suggests that the behavior of $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ can be well described by this model with $T_s = 68.3 \pm 0.3$ K, $z\nu = 3.8 \pm 0.2$, and $\tau_0 \approx 10^{-6}$ s. The exponent $z\nu$ is just in the range of normal glassy systems where $z\nu = 4 - 12$ [38]. The flip time τ_0 falls in the order of magnitude $10^{-6} - 10^{-9}$ s determined by the atomic spin-flip time [39]. Another way to analyze the frequency dependence of the peaks in $\chi'(T)$ is to apply the Vogel-Fulcher model, which characterizes a continuous freezing crossover into a low-temperature glassy regime [39,40], $\tau = \tau_0 \exp[E_a/k_B(T - T_0)]$, where E_a is an energy barrier, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T_0 is a characteristic temperature which is usually below the freezing temperature. The dashed line in the inset of Fig. 3(a) indicates that the same data can be well described by this model as well, with $T_0 = 60.1 \pm 0.8$ K $< T_s$, $E_a/k_B \approx 130$ K and $\tau_0 \approx 10^{-6}$ s. The energy barrier fulfills $E_a/k_B \approx 2T_s$, as observed in other spin-glasses [41]. We conclude that $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ indeed shows spin-glass behavior with a freezing temperature $T_s \approx 68$ K, which is consistent with the pronounced aging and memory effects that become most significant below ≈ 80 K [lower inset of Fig. 3(a)]. Below T_s , the saturated magnetic moment (inset of Fig. 2) also strongly increases with decreasing temperature down to the lowest investigated temperature.

To further characterize the complex magnetic behavior in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$, we performed additional ZF- μ SR measurements, where positive muons are implanted into a sample and serve as an extremely sensitive local probe to detect small internal magnetic fields and ordered magnetic volume fractions in the bulk of magnetic materials. Thus, ZF- μ SR is particularly powerful to study inhomogeneous magnetism in materials. Figure 4(a) shows corresponding spectra collected between 5 and 300 K. Different from $\text{La}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ [21], the ZF- μ SR data in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ do not show any oscillations over the whole measured temperature range. This indicates the absence of long-range magnetic order in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ down to 5 K, in agreement with our ND result. The spectra show an initial fast decay and then a much slower one. The best fit to these spectra is achieved with two exponential paramagnetic responses with different relaxation rates [42–44], $A(t) = A_0 + A_{\text{fast}} \exp(-\lambda_{\text{fast}} t) + A_{\text{slow}} \exp(-\lambda_{\text{slow}} t)$. The last two terms represent the fast and the slow relaxation, respectively, with the relaxation rates λ_{fast} and λ_{slow} for each component, and an additional constant offset A_0 . In Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), three different regimes can be clearly distinguished: (i) the temperature range 300–150 K, in which the relaxation rate of the fast component λ_{fast} shows a weak temperature dependence; (ii) below ≈ 150 K, where λ_{fast} starts to increase from $\approx 0.8 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$ at ≈ 150 K to $\approx 3 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$ at ≈ 70 K; and (iii) below ≈ 70 K, where λ_{fast} shows a strong increase and reaches an almost saturated value of $\approx 38 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$ at 5 K. The rate λ_{slow} also shows a weak T dependence down to ≈ 150 K, below which it increases from ≈ 0.1 to $\approx 0.86 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$ at 5 K. We note that the lowest value λ_{fast} is still much higher than the

FIG. 4. (a) Zero-field μ SR spectra at 5, 50, 100, 150, and 300 K; the relaxation rates of the fast component (b) and of the slow component (c) as functions of temperature are calculated from the corresponding μ SR asymmetry spectra; the inset of (b) is in an expanded scale to show the fast component relaxation rate at high temperatures; arrows mark the change of the relaxation rate.

corresponding value of λ_{slow} . Such a remarkable difference rules out the origin of these two decays being due to slight inhomogeneities, such as a compositional distribution within the polycrystalline sample, temperature gradients, or any other

small spatial variations. While it is plausible to identify λ_{slow} as originating from the paramagnetism in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$, i.e., the fluctuations of Pr/Ni ions in the paramagnetic state, the strong quantitative difference between the relaxation rates λ_{fast} and λ_{slow} indicates the presence of another source of fast muon depolarization, which is different from pure paramagnetism. In general, a fast-relaxing decay indicates the presence of strong spin-spin correlations. Stronger magnetic correlations between the spins slow down the spin fluctuations, leading to a significant rise of the relaxation rate. Thus, our μSR results indicate the slowing down of spin fluctuations starting already below ≈ 150 K, and the formation of strong short-range-order correlations below ≈ 70 K. This is consistent with the formation of a spin-glass-like state below this temperature, most likely due to the presence of short-range-ordered magnetic clusters or islands, and we estimate the volume fraction of this state to $\approx 40\%$ at 5 K.

The quantities χ_{para} , λ_{fast} and λ_{slow} all show changes in their T dependencies already at ≈ 150 K besides those at T_g . This may indicate a further transition (or crossover) taking place around this temperature, the origin of which is unknown to us at present. The change of the Néel-type magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\text{para}}(T)$ below $T \approx \Theta \approx 160$ K is too large to be solely ascribed to the nickel subsystem. It is conceivable that a that large volume fraction in the system $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ behaves as a strongly correlated paramagnet, in which Pr^{3+} has a very strong tendency to form short-range-ordered magnetic clusters, although no long-range magnetic order develops.

We cannot clearly distinguish between contributions from Pr and Ni ions in our μSR experiments, and it is likely that

both contribute to the μSR relaxation rates. Therefore, we cannot draw further conclusions about the microscopic details of the spin-glass formation. The lack of magnetic order may be due to a certain magnetic frustration, eventually leading to the formation of a spin glass of either Ni or Pr magnetic moments, or both. However, the relatively small difference between FC and ZFC magnetization data (with M_{sat} as an upper limit, see Figs. 1 and 2) rather points to a glassy state within the Ni subsystem.

To summarize, the powder-ND and μSR measurements show no evidence for long-range magnetic order in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$. Our magnetization data clearly demonstrate a spin-glass behavior with a freezing temperature $T_g \approx 68$ K and a distinct magnetic memory effect at all temperatures. Two magnetic processes are characterized by remarkably different relaxation rates: a slowly relaxing signal, resulting from paramagnetic fluctuations present at all temperatures, and a rapidly growing fast-relaxing signal due to the presence of short-range correlated regions in the glassy state below T_g . This complicated magnetic behavior may prohibit the occurrence of superconductivity in $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$. However, it is conceivable that chemical doping (i.e., a further change of the Ni valence state) suppresses the spin-glass state and eventually renders the trilayer T' -type nickelate $\text{Pr}_4\text{Ni}_3\text{O}_8$ superconducting [13].

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under Grants No. 20-175554, No. 206021-163997 and No. PZ00P2-174015. This work was partly based on experiments performed at the Swiss spallation neutron source SINQ, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland.

-
- [1] M. V. Feigel'man, V. B. Geshkenbein, and A. I. Larkin, *Physica C* **167**, 177 (1990).
 - [2] B. Keimer, S. A. Kivelson, M. R. Norman, S. Uchida, and J. Zaanen, *Nature (London)* **518**, 179 (2015).
 - [3] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60**, 585 (1988).
 - [4] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, *Z. Phys. B* **64**, 189 (1986).
 - [5] Z. Kakol, J. Spalek, and J. M. Honig, *Solid State Commun.* **71**, 283 (1989).
 - [6] Y. Tokura, H. Takagi, and S. Uchida, *Nature (London)* **337**, 345 (1989).
 - [7] M. A. Hayward, M. A. Green, M. J. Rosseinsky, and J. Sloan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121**, 8843 (1999).
 - [8] M. Uchida, K. Ishizaka, P. Hansmann, Y. Kaneko, Y. Ishida, X. Yang, R. Kumai, A. Toschi, Y. Onose, R. Arita, K. Held, O. K. Andersen, S. Shin, and Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 027001 (2011).
 - [9] V. I. Anisimov, D. Bukhvalov, and T. M. Rice, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 7901 (1999).
 - [10] V. V. Poltavets, K. A. Lokshin, T. Egami, and M. Greenblatt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 9050 (2006).
 - [11] V. V. Poltavets, K. A. Lokshin, A. H. Nevidomskyy, M. Croft, T. A. Tyson, J. Hadermann, G. Van Tendeloo, T. Egami, G. Kotliar, N. ApRoberts-Warren, A. P. Dioguardi, N. J. Curro, and M. Greenblatt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 206403 (2010).
 - [12] J. Zhang, A. S. Botana, J. W. Freeland, D. Phelan, H. Zheng, V. Pardo, M. R. Norman, and J. F. Mitchell, *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 864 (2017).
 - [13] A. S. Botana, V. Pardo, and M. R. Norman, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **1**, 021801 (2017).
 - [14] D. Li, K. Lee, B. Y. Wang, M. Osada, S. Crossley, H. R. Lee, Y. Cui, Y. Hikita, and H. Y. Hwang, *Nature (London)* **572**, 624 (2019).
 - [15] G. A. Sawatzky, *Nat. News Views* **572**, 592 (2019).
 - [16] M. Hepting, D. Li, C. J. Jia, H. Lu, E. Paris, Y. Tseng, X. Feng, M. Osada, E. Been, Y. Hikita, Y. D. Chuang, Z. Hussain, K. J. Zhou, A. Nag, M. Garcia-Fernandez, M. Rossi, H. Y. Huang, D. J. Huang, Z. X. Shen, T. Schmitt, H. Y. Hwang, B. Moritz, J. Zaanen, T. P. Devereaux, and W. S. Lee, *Nat. Mater.* **19**, 381 (2020).
 - [17] M. Crespín, P. Levitz, and L. Gatineau, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2* **79**, 1181 (1983).
 - [18] T. Yamamoto and H. Kageyama, *Chem. Lett.* **42**, 946 (2013).
 - [19] M. A. Hayward and M. J. Rosseinsky, *Solid State Sci.* **5**, 839 (2003).
 - [20] N. apRoberts-Warren, J. Crocker, A. P. Dioguardi, K. R. Shirer, V. V. Poltavets, M. Greenblatt, P. Klavins, and N. J. Curro, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 075124 (2013).
 - [21] O. O. Bernal, D. E. MacLaughlin, G. D. Morris, P.-C. Ho, Lei Shu, C. Tan, J. Zhang, Z. Ding, K. Huang, and V. V. Poltavets, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 125142 (2019).

- [22] N. Ap Roberts-Warren, A. P. Dioguardi, V. V. Poltavets, M. Greenblatt, P. Klavins, and N. J. Curro, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 014402 (2011).
- [23] J. Zhang, D. M. Pajerowski, A. S. Botana, H. Zheng, L. Harriger, J. Rodriguez-Rivera, J. P. C. Ruff, N. J. Schreiber, B. Wang, Y.-S. Chen, W. C. Chen, M. R. Norman, S. Rosenkranz, J. F. Mitchell, and D. Phelan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 247201 (2019).
- [24] J. Zhang, Y.-S. Chen, D. Phelan, H. Zheng, M. R. Norman, and J. F. Mitchell, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 8945 (2016).
- [25] K. Kobayashi, H. Yamamoto, A. Nakata, I. Umehara, and M. Uehara, *JJAP Conf. Proc.* **6**, 011106 (2017).
- [26] T. Miyatake, S. Shibutani, K. Hamada, J. Gouchi, Y. Uwatoko, K. Wakiya, I. Umehara, and M. Uehara, *JPS Conf. Proc.* **30**, 011061 (2020).
- [27] S. Huangfu, G. D. Jakub, X. Zhang, O. Blacque, P. Puphal, E. Pomjakushina, F. O. von Rohr, and A. Schilling, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 104104 (2020).
- [28] S. Huangfu, X. Zhang, and A. Schilling, [arXiv:2003.08478](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.08478).
- [29] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.054423> for more details about experimental methods, neutron-diffraction data, crystallographic data, and the magnetic data.
- [30] H. M. Rietveld, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2**, 65 (1969).
- [31] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, *Physica B* **192**, 55 (1993).
- [32] A. Amato, H. Luetkens, K. Sedlak, A. Stoykov, R. Scheuermann, M. Elender, A. Raselli, and D. Graf, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **88**, 093301 (2017).
- [33] K. Jonason, E. Vincent, J. Hammann, J. P. Bouchaud, and P. Nordblad, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3243 (1998).
- [34] L. W. Bernardi, H. Yoshino, K. Hukushima, H. Takayama, A. Tobo, and A. Ito, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 720 (2001).
- [35] J. N. Reimers, J. Dahn, J. Greedan, C. Stager, G. Liu, I. Davidson, and U. Von Sacken, *J. Solid State Chem.* **102**, 542 (1993).
- [36] A. Chatterjee, S. Majumdar, S. Chatterjee, A.-C. Dippel, O. Gutowski, M. V. Zimmermann, and S. Giri, *J. Alloy Compd.* **778**, 30 (2019).
- [37] P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **49**, 435 (1977).
- [38] J. A. Mydosh, in *Spin Glasses: An Experimental Introduction* (Taylor & Francis, London, 1993).
- [39] H. Vogel, *Phys. Z.* **22**, 645 (1921).
- [40] G. S. Fulcher, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **8**, 339 (1925).
- [41] S. Mukherjee, A. Garg, and R. Gupta, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100**, 112904 (2012).
- [42] R. Kubo and T. Toyabe, A stochastic model for low field resonance and relaxation, in *Magnetic Resonance and Relaxation*, edited by R. Blinc (North-Holland, Amsterdam 1967), pp. 810–823.
- [43] R. S. Hayano, Y. J. Uemura, J. Imazato, N. Nishida, T. Yamazaki, and R. Kubo, *Phys. Rev. B* **20**, 850 (1979).
- [44] A. Suter and B. M. Wojek, *Phys. Proc.* **30**, 69 (2012).