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Abstract

Double imaging photoelectron photoion coincidence spectroscopy ($i^2$PEPICO) with tunable synchrotron vacuum ultraviolet radiation was used to record threshold ionization mass spectra of the halocyclohexanes C₆H₁₁X (X = Cl, Br and I). Calculations show that experimental dissociative ionization thresholds correspond to thermochemical limits. Among the processes observed (X loss, followed by C₂H₄ or C₃H₆ loss; C₂H₃Cl loss; HCl loss, followed by CH₃ or C₂H₄ loss), halogen atom loss can be used to derive enthalpies of formation and C–X bond energies in the cation. As an ancillary value, we propose a new proton affinity for cyclohexene at PA₂₉₈K(c-C₆H₁₀) = 771.5 ± 1.7 kJ mol⁻¹. The halogen loss onsets, 10.74 ± 0.06 eV, 10.125 ± 0.005, and 9.474 ± 0.005 eV thus yield $\Delta_f H^{\circ}_{298K}(C₆H₁₁X (g)) = -164.4 ± 6.2, -114.4 ± 2.3, $ and $-56.3 ± 2.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for X = Cl, Br, and I, respectively. The last two agree with DFT-calculated isodesmic reaction energies very well, as opposed to G4 theory for X = Br. The C–X bond energy in the cation is lowest for X = Br. This is the sum result of the weakening C–X bond in the neutral and the increasing stabilization of the parent ion with increasing halogen size.
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1. Introduction

Reaction mechanisms are governed by short-lived reactive intermediates connected by ephemeral transition states. Velocity map (double) imaging photoelectron photoion coincidence spectroscopy (i^2PEPICO) represents a universal, multiplexed, sensitive, and selective approach to detect and identify elusive intermediates in complex reaction mixtures.\(^2\) The photoion mass-selected photoelectron spectrum often exhibits vibrational fine structure and allows for isomer-specific assignment, which then helps establish the reaction mechanism, \(e.g.,\) in bimolecular processes relevant in combustion and astrochemistry\(^3\) or in catalysis.\(^4\)-\(^5\)

Conformer-specific reactivity was observed, \(e.g.,\) in the photolysis of the 1-iodopropane\(^6\) and propanal\(^7\) ions as well as in thiophenols\(^8\) and the dissociative photoionization (DPI) of alanine.\(^9\) This was the reason why we set out to apply PEPICO to monosubstituted cyclohexanes, one of the prototypical examples for conformational isomerism.\(^10\) They have two distinct low-energy conformers, the equatorial (eq-) and axial (ax-) chair structures, with lifetimes on the order of µs at room temperature and years at −150 °C.\(^11\) The equatorial preference for larger ligands is typically attributed to steric effects, but hyperconjugation plays just as an important role.\(^12\) Furthermore, dispersion interaction governs the systematic, albeit counterintuitive, changes in the silacyclohexane conformation behavior.\(^13\) The analysis of the threshold photoelectron spectrum (TPES) and the breakdown diagram of halosilacyclohexanes revealed little about their conformers, but shed light on the electronic structure and the effect of silicon on the bonding of the molecule. The strong silicon–halogen bond, together with silicon’s greater propensity to small bond angles, opens up unusual fragmentation pathways and results in the electrophilic halogen staying trapped in the cation.\(^14\) The TPES of fluorocyclohexane, on the other hand, was clearly found to be conformer-dependent.\(^15\) It also revealed that the excitation energy to the first electronic excited state is 0.03 eV vs. 0.3 eV in the
otherwise almost isoenergetic axial and equatorial cation, respectively. Thus, large electronic structure changes may accompany conformational isomerism even when the energy change is negligible. We also located the conical intersections coupling the low-lying electronic states, and identified the conformer-specific spectral fingerprints of the heavier monohalogenated cyclohexanes by equation-of-motion coupled cluster ionization energy calculations and Franck–Condon simulations of the TPES.\textsuperscript{17} Thus, PEPICO was confirmed to be a conformer-selective detection tool.

By using monochromatic vacuum ultraviolet ionizing radiation and discriminating for threshold, \textit{i.e.}, near-zero kinetic energy electrons, we control the photoionization energy balance and can tune the internal energy deposited in the parent ion and available for fragmentation.\textsuperscript{1, 18} This allows us to reveal the dissociative photoionization (DPI) mechanism and accurate activation energies by statistical modeling,\textsuperscript{19} as shown for 1-iodoalkanes, halosilacyclohexanes, fluorocyclohexane or bromobutyne isomers.\textsuperscript{14, 16, 20-21} Furthermore, if the dissociative photoionization onsets correspond to the thermochemical threshold, they can also be used in an ion cycle to derive accurate enthalpies of formation, as was done for the C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{5}Br isomers.\textsuperscript{22} We expected the halogen loss fragment to show up in chlorocyclohexane and were sure it would drive the DPI mechanism in bromo- and iodocyclohexane based on calculations, the analysis of electron ionization mass spectra, and previous photoionization mass spectrometry experiments by Sergeev et al.\textsuperscript{15, 23} Therefore, we decided to go beyond the original motivation of the cyclohexane studies of conformer-selective detection and the conformer dependence of electronic structure. Here, we plot and model the breakdown diagram of chloro-, bromo-, and iodocyclohexane, determine accurate halogen-loss thresholds and use them to study C–X bonds and the thermochemistry of monohalogenated cyclohexanes.

Carbon–halogen bonds are relevant and their energetics important, because of efforts to form them catalytically and relax the harsh reaction conditions required now,\textsuperscript{24} and to understand the halogen bond between electrophilic halogen-containing and nucleophilic moieties of a molecule.\textsuperscript{25} PEPICO was in fact also used to confirm
the role of radical chemistry in catalytic upgrading of natural gas by oxyhalogenation.\textsuperscript{26-27} Furthermore, accurate thermochemical data for heavier halogen-containing species are few and far between.\textsuperscript{28} This leads to a lack of test data to benchmark theoretical methods. As we will show here, standard density functional theory (DFT) approaches have been found to deliver accurate isodesmic reaction energies, but the accuracy of the G4 composite method for bromocyclohexane leaves much to be desired.

2. Experimental and Computational

Double imaging photoelectron photoion coincidence (\textsuperscript{2}PEPICO) experiments were carried out on halogenated cyclohexane samples (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}X, X = Cl, Br, and I; from Sigma–Aldrich) using the CRF-PEPICO endstation at the VUV beamline of the Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute. The setup has been described in detail elsewhere,\textsuperscript{29-30} and only a brief overview is given here. VUV photons from a bending magnet were dispersed by a grazing incidence monochromator with a 600 lines/mm laminar grating with an energy resolution of 2 meV at 8 eV. Before entering the ionization chamber, the VUV photons were focused into a differentially pumped gas filter, filled with a mixture of argon and neon to suppress higher-order radiation above 15.7 eV. The absolute photon energy was calibrated using autoionization lines of argon in the first and second order.

Samples were seeded into the ionization chamber through a needle valve at room temperature. After the VUV beam intersects the effusive beam and ionizes the sample, the electrons and ions were extracted in opposite directions by a constant, 125 V cm\textsuperscript{-1}, electric field. Electrons and ions were detected by position-sensitive delay-line anode detectors (Roentdek DLD40) in velocity map imaging and, for the ions, also space focusing conditions, installed at the end of the respective flight tubes. Threshold electrons with less than 2 meV kinetic energy are projected onto the central spot of detector together with the contamination by kinetic energy electrons that are formed without an off-axis momentum component. The latter was subtracted based on the
signal in a small ring around the center spot as proposed by Sztáray and Baer.\textsuperscript{31} As the electron TOF is negligible relative to the ion TOF, electron hits are used as the start signal for the ion TOF analysis.\textsuperscript{32} Thanks to the long ion acceleration region, metastable fragmentation processes in the $10^3 < k / s^{-1} < 10^7$ range show up as broad TOF peaks, which can be used to determine the unimolecular rate constant as a function of energy. Fractional threshold ionization parent and daughter abundances are plotted in the breakdown diagram, which is modeled simultaneously with the time-of-flight distributions for metastable dissociations to obtain accurate 0 K appearance energies.

The statistical modeling approach was detailed previously,\textsuperscript{19} and only key aspects are emphasized here. Parent ions may fragment when their internal energy, \textit{i.e.}, the sum of the photon energy and the thermal energy of neutral sample less the adiabatic ionization energy and the electron kinetic energy (negligible in threshold photoionization), is equal to or higher than the dissociation barrier. If the parent ion is metastable close to the dissociative photoionization threshold, slow dissociation rate constants in $10^3–10^7$ s\textsuperscript{-1} range result in asymmetrical fragment ion peak shapes and can be obtained based on the ion optics parameters.\textsuperscript{33} In a fast dissociation without other competing processes, the integral of the internal energy distribution above the barrier yields the abundance of the fragment ion. If the parent ion is metastable or if multiple fragmentation processes compete, the abundance of fragment ions will be affected by kinetic or competitive shifts, respectively, the magnitude of which can be determined by calculating the dissociation rate constants according to RRKM theory as:\textsuperscript{34–36}

\[
k(E) = \frac{\sigma N^\dagger(E-E_0)}{h \rho(E)},
\]

where $\sigma$ corresponds to the symmetry of the reaction coordinate, $N^\dagger(E-E_0)$ is the number of states of transition state at the excess energy, $E-E_0$, above the dissociation barrier, $E_0$ is the 0 K appearance energy, $h$ is Planck’s constant and $\rho(E)$ is the density of states of the dissociating ion. The appearance energy is fitted and the lowest five vibrational frequencies of the transition state are scaled by a common factor to
reproduce the experimental rate curve. In sequential dissociation processes, the internal energy distribution of the fragment ion is obtained by partitioning the excess energy statistically among the internal degrees of freedom of the fragment ion, the neutral fragment, and the newly formed translations, without adjustable parameters.

Computed vibrational frequencies, rotational constants and appearance energies are used to construct the initial statistical model. To provide this input, reactants, products, intermediates and transition states were located on the C$_6$H$_{11}$X$^+$ ground state potential energy surface using density functional theory at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level. Gaussian-4 (G4) theory$^{37}$ is not defined for iodine, and spin–orbit coupling means that the results for open-shell bromine containing species may also be off by hundreds of meV.$^{38}$ Therefore, energies of the stationary points of species with only lighter atoms were further refined using G4 using the Gaussian 16 A.03 program package.$^{39}$ W1, CBS-QB3, and CBS-APNO calculations were carried out to support thermochemical derivations.$^{40-41}$ Isodesmic reaction energy calculations were also carried out using different DFT functionals and basis sets, including effective core potentials for X = Br and I. Further details are given in the Thermochemistry and Energetics section below. Basis sets and effective core potentials have in part been obtained from the Basis Set Exchange.$^{42}$

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Threshold ionization mass spectra and breakdown diagrams

Threshold photoionization TOF distributions were recorded in the 9.9–13.7, 9.6–15.0 and 8.9–15.0 eV photon energy range for C$_6$H$_{11}$Cl (m/z 118 and 120, 3:1), C$_6$H$_{11}$Br (m/z 162 and 164, 1:1) and C$_6$H$_{11}$I (m/z 210), respectively. Representative threshold ionization mass spectra are shown in Fig. 1. Asymmetric fragment ion peaks are seen in all three samples, namely m/z 67 at 11.10 eV for Cl and m/z 55 at 12.90 eV, 12.26 eV, and at 11.51 eV for Cl, Br, and I, respectively. These are indicative of fragment ion formation in the acceleration region of the mass spectrometer, i.e., of a metastable precursor ion, and will be modeled quantitatively to account for the kinetic
shift. Only this rate information and the threshold ionization cross section (proportional to the TPES) are lost when the threshold ionization mass spectra are reduced by plotting the fractional ion abundances as a function of photon energy in the breakdown diagram (Fig. 2). The TPES is plotted in the background in Fig. 2, because it can reveal if the dissociation mechanism is non-statistical. The statistical model assumes that the ergodic hypothesis holds, and the system can explore the whole phase space prior to dissociation. When the coupling between the electronic states is weak or the fragmentation is fast, isolated state behavior can arise, \textit{i.e.}, the fragmentation mechanism of an electronic state will be de-coupled from the rest.\textsuperscript{43-44} Such states are normally isolated in energy, as well, and isolated state behavior gives rise to a correlation between the breakdown diagram and the TPES.\textsuperscript{45-46} The absence of such a correlation indicates that statistical theory can be reliably applied to the dissociative ionization of halocyclohexanes. If the coupling between the electronic states is strong, the phase space volume, thus, the density of states of the dissociating ion is dominated by the lowest-energy, ground electronic state, and the ground state potential energy surface drives the fragmentation. This also implies conformational equilibrium, \textit{i.e.}, axial and equatorial conformers can interconvert freely. As reported in the literature\textsuperscript{11} and confirmed by our preliminary calculations, the interconversion rate between the two is on the order of $10^5$ s$^{-1}$ already at room temperature, implying well-merging below the fragmentation threshold in the cation, as well. Therefore, we only address the lowest-lying transition states and will show the equatorial cation isomer as parent ion hereafter.
Figure 1. Threshold photoionization mass spectra of (a) C₆H₁₁Cl, (b) C₆H₁₁Br and (c) C₆H₁₁I at representative photon energies.

The parent ion fractional abundance is 100% at the ionization onset in the three
samples, meaning that the potential energy well of the cation is deep enough to support the room temperature internal energy distribution of the sample at the ionization onset.\(^{47}\) As the energy is increased, the parent peak loses intensity and different fragment ion peaks are observed depending on the halogen substituent.

In chlorocyclohexane, peaks at \(m/z\) 83, 82, 81, 69, 67, 56, 55, 54, 43, 42 and 41 showed up distinctly below 14 eV photon energy (Fig. 1(a)). Among these, \(m/z\) 82 corresponds to HCl loss and is most intense at lower energies. In contrast to fluorocyclohexane,\(^{16}\) halogen atom loss also shows up at \(m/z\) 83 at higher energies, although it is never as important as in the heavier halocyclohexanes (\textit{vide infra}). The two peaks are not baseline separated (Fig. 1(a)) and center of gravity of the \(m/z\) 82–83 band was used to apportion the ion signal to the HCl and Cl loss channels.\(^{48}\) Above 12.9 eV, the \(m/z\) 56, 55 and 54 fragments appear with considerable intensity. Additional fragment ions at \(m/z\) 81, 69, 43, 42, and 41, seen at even higher energies with less than 10% abundance, are omitted in the breakdown diagram in Fig. 2(a). Of these, \(m/z\) 81 may be H loss from \(m/z\) 82 and the \(m/z\) 69 fragment ion may be produced by the loss of CH\(_2\)Cl from the parent C\(_6\)H\(_{11}\)Cl\(^+\) ion. The C\(_3\) fragment ion cluster at \(m/z\) 41–43 is too weak to propose a plausible dissociation mechanism, but \(m/z\) 41 may be a sequential dissociation product of Cl loss (see below).

There is a continuous change in the dominant fragmentation pathways of fluorocyclohexane\(^{16}\) through chloro- to bromo- and iodocyclohexane cations. Thanks to the drop in H–X as well as C–X bond energies, the HX-loss channel is suppressed in X = Br and I, and the sole low-energy channel is halogen atom loss. At ca. 1.5 eV above the halogen atom loss threshold, the C\(_4\)H\(_7\)\(^+\) fragment ion signal rises at \(m/z\) 55 with a highly asymmetric TOF profile at low energies. Since the parent ion is never metastable, the precursor to C\(_4\)H\(_7\)\(^+\) must be the X-loss C\(_6\)H\(_{11}\)\(^+\) cation. At even higher energies, the third dominant fragment channel, C\(_3\)H\(_5\)\(^+\), starts rising at \(m/z\) 41. Minor dissociative photoionization products are seen at \(m/z\) 81, 67, 43 and 42 with less than 10% abundance for X = Br and at \(m/z\) 43 and 42 for X = I. Their abundance is insufficient to propose a dissociation mechanism, and these channels are not plotted in
the breakdown diagrams in Fig. 2(b-c).
To summarize, the DPI of C₆H₁₁Cl exhibits a combination of features of fluorocyclohexane and of the heavier analogues C₆H₁₁Br and C₆H₁₁I. We can identify the parallel Cl-loss \((m/z 83)\), HCl-loss \((m/z 82)\) and C₂H₃Cl-loss \((m/z 56)\) channels from the parent ion C₆H₁₁Cl⁺, as well as the \(m/z 55\) sequential fragmentation product from \(m/z 83\) by C₂H₄ loss (similar to \(X = \text{Br and I}\)), while the \(m/z 67\) and \(54\) ions are a sequential dissociation fragment from \(m/z 82\) by CH₃ and C₂H₄ loss (similar to \(X = \text{F}\)), respectively. The fragmentation mechanism of C₆H₁₁X⁺ \((X = \text{F, Cl, Br, and I})\) is summarized in Fig. 2(d).

The breakdown diagram offers valuable clues regarding the dissociation mechanism. However, only a synergic approach between experiment, statistical modeling and potential energy surface exploration can unleash the full potential of PEPICO. In a recent study, the dissociative photoionization mechanism of 1,3-dioxolane was revealed including six chemically different fragmentation channels that lead to only three fragment ion \(m/z\) peaks. While the experimental data may present an underdetermined problem in such a case, it was still possible to establish a credible mechanism by formulating a statistical model consistent with both the experimental data and the computed energetics. Therefore, we explored the viable and energetically allowed potential energy surface of the parent and intermediate halocyclohexane fragment ions, as guided by the experimental data, using constrained optimizations scanning bond lengths and angles, and refined the reaction energetics using composite methods when applicable.

### 3.2 Dissociation pathways of C₆H₁₁Cl⁺

Although the parent ion disappears from the breakdown diagram within 0.5 eV of the ionization energy, \(i.e.,\) the potential energy well of the parent ion is relatively shallow and the density of states low at the fragmentation threshold, the HCl-loss
daughter ion peak exhibits a slight asymmetry at its onset (see $h\nu = 10.37$ eV in Fig. 1(a)). This indicates low activation entropy, similar to the dominant low-energy HF-loss channel in C$_6$H$_{11}$F.$^{16}$ However, chlorocyclohexane is the lightest sample in the halocyclohexane series, in the dissociative ionization of which halogen atom loss is also observed, albeit as a minor channel. The $m/z$ 83 fragment, seen only above 10.7 eV photon energy, corresponds to direct Cl loss, a parallel process to HCl loss. Halogen atom loss is, thus, a higher energy channel with a looser transition state and, therefore, more steeply rising fragmentation rate constant with energy. At the same energy as the direct C–Cl bond breaking channel, the C$_5$H$_7^+$ fragment ion peak also arises at $m/z$ 67. However, as seen at $h\nu = 11.10$ eV in Fig. 1(a), it exhibits a highly asymmetric TOF profile at the onset. This implies that its precursor is metastable, which, in this case, can only be the HCl-loss fragment ion at $m/z$ 82. Thus, $m/z$ 67 is the CH$_3$-loss fragment ion of the HCl-loss intermediate.

We rely on the fluorocyclohexane results when exploring the C$_6$H$_{11}$Cl$^+$ dissociation channels leading to the shared $m/z$ 82, 56, 67 and 54 fragment ions.$^{16}$ The $m/z$ 82 and 56 fragment ions are the result of HCl and C$_2$H$_3$Cl losses from the parent ion. As will be discussed later, C$_2$H$_3$Cl loss is preceded by isomerization steps. As the isomeric identity of the HCl-loss C$_6$H$_{10}$+ fragment ion at $m/z$ 82 is fluid, its sequential fragmentation steps to $m/z$ 67 and 54 are the same as those of the HF-loss fragment ion of fluorocyclohexane.

The G4-calculated fragmentation pathways from the C$_6$H$_{11}$Cl$^+$ cation leading to the $m/z$ 83, 82 and 56 ions are displayed in Fig. 3, together with the unobserved direct C–H bond fission channel leading to [12] shown for completeness. In the lowest energy fragmentation channel to C$_6$H$_{10}$+ + HCl at 0.43 eV, Cl forms a bond with an H from the meta position [5] via the transition state [4]‡ at 0.33 eV. Note that the G4-computed product energy is higher than the transition state energy for [5] and [4]‡ as well as for [3] and [2]‡. This is due a discrepancy between the density functional theory and the more expensive wave function theory potential energy surfaces, which are used in G4 to determine the geometry and the energy at the geometry,
respectively.\textsuperscript{37, 52} Because G4 involves up to CCSD(T) coupled cluster wave function theory calculations, we are inclined to assume that the computed energetics represents the potential energy landscape quite well. This suggests that HCl may be lost along a purely attractive reaction energy curve or a submerged transition state. The analogous HF loss in C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}F\textsuperscript{+} is kinetically controlled and an H atom from the \textit{para} position is extracted to yield the more energetic fragment ion [3]. With respect to the parent ion, [3] lies at 0.62 eV, \textit{i.e.}, ca. 0.2 eV higher than [5], and can also be produced by HCl loss without a reverse barrier, \textit{i.e.}, the dissociative photoionization threshold is determined by the product energetics. The isomerization path connecting these two HX-loss products and further sequential dissociation pathways from \textit{m/z} 82 to \textit{m/z} 67 and 54 have already been discussed detailed for C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}F\textsuperscript{+}.\textsuperscript{16}

![Figure 3. Reaction energetics of the initial fragmentation pathways of the chlorocyclohexane cation to yield the fragment ions at \textit{m/z} 83, 82 and 56, as well as of the direct C–H bond fission. Energies are relative to the neutral equatorial C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}Cl and evaluated with the G4 composite method.](image)

The lowest energy C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}Cl-loss pathway from C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}Cl\textsuperscript{+} to the \textit{m/z} 56 fragment is also analogous with the C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3}F-loss pathway in C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}F\textsuperscript{+}.\textsuperscript{16} First, the C1–C6 bond is broken over transition state [6]\textsuperscript{‡} at 11.46 eV, followed by the ring-opening to [7] at 11.29 eV. DFT geometry optimization predicts transition state [8]\textsuperscript{‡} for the H-transfer from C4 to the terminal carbon atom C6 in [9] at 10.60 eV, but the process is downhill
according to G4 energies. Finally, the C2–C3 is bond broken and C₂H₃Cl is released at 11.49 eV to yield the 1-butene cation at \( m/z \) 56 \([10]\). Here again, the product energies are the highest, although by a smaller margin than in HCl loss, which suggest that the dissociative ionization threshold may correspond to the reaction energy.

The C–Cl bond energy was calculated at 0.77 eV in the chlorocyclohexane cation, much less than the C–F bond breaking energy of 1.90 eV in C₆H₁₁F⁺. This explains the appearance of the halogen-atom-loss fragment ion at \( m/z \) 83. Sequential channels from \( m/z \) 83 to \( m/z \) 55 and 41 are the dominant channels in bromo- and iodocyclohexane and will be discussed there.

### 3.3 Dissociation pathways of C₆H₁₁Br⁺ and C₆H₁₁I⁺

Because of the declining C–X and X–H bond energies as a function of halogen atom size, the threshold to hydrogen halogenide loss increases while that to halogen atom loss drops precipitously in the halocyclohexane series.\(^{15}\) As a result, the first and dominant dissociation channel is the loss the halogen atom yielding the \( m/z \) 83 fragment, \([11]\), in both C₆H₁₁Br⁺ and C₆H₁₁I⁺. DFT scans suggest that halogen loss takes place without a reverse barrier. Fig. 4 only shows the mutual DPI pathways after halogen loss, \textit{i.e.}, the dissociation pathway of the cyclohexyl cation.

Both energetics arguments and the slope of the breakdown curves suggest that the \( m/z \) 55 \([18]\) and 41 \([20]\) fragment ions are formed in consecutive dissociation steps of the X-loss fragment ion at \( m/z \) 83 \([11]\) from X = Br and I. In the reaction pathways shown in Fig. 4, the energy is referenced to the cyclohexyl cation \([11]\). The first sequential fragment of \( m/z \) 83 is the \( m/z \) 55 fragment ion, \([18]\), by ethylene loss (28 amu). In a ring-opening step, a hydrogen atom is transferred from C4 to C3 over transition state \([12] \dagger\) at 1.21 eV. The resulting intermediate \([13]\) at 0.81 eV undergoes H-transfer from C5 to C2 over a five-membered ring transition state \([14] \dagger\) at 1.67 eV. The intermediate state \([15]\) contains a three-membered ring and is only 0.05 eV less stable than the cyclohexyl cation. A hydrogen transfer from the CH₃ group, which is attached to the three-membered ring, to an adjacent carbon over transition state \([16] \dagger\) at 1.57 eV yields the next intermediate \([17]\) at 1.23 eV. This can lose C₂H₄ directly to
yield the trans-1-methylallyl cation [18] at 1.51 eV.\textsuperscript{53} For completeness, the more energetic cis-1-methylallyl cation [19] is also shown at 1.65 eV, which can be produced via the transfer of the other C5 hydrogen. In C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}Br and C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{11}I, the m/z 55 and 41 breakdown curves in Figure 2 (b) and (c) exhibit a broad crossover region and slowly changing abundances, which correspond to slowly changing rate constant ratios and, hence, to competing fragmentation channels. Indeed, the 3-propenyl fragment ion [20] at m/z 41 can be produced from the intermediate [13] by direct bond fission yielding the CH\textsubscript{3}CHCH\textsubscript{2} neutral fragment at an energy of 2.17 eV.

It is important to note that the cyclohexyl cation can also ring contract to the more stable methylcyclopentyl [22] cation over transition state [21]\textsuperscript{‡} at 1.00 eV. In fact, hydride abstraction from cyclohexane is known to yield the 1-methylcyclopentyl cation,\textsuperscript{54} and the product ion was indistinguishable from 1-methylcyclopentyl in cyclohexene protonation experiments, too.\textsuperscript{55} This suggests that isomerization will also readily take place in our experimental conditions. However, our calculations indicate that the lowest energy fragmentation pathways from the methylcyclopentene cation all lead over the cyclohexyl structure, as plotted in Fig. 4.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Dissociation pathways of the cyclohexyl ion to produce the fragment ions at m/z 55 and 41. The energies of intermediates and transition states are calculated using the G4 composite method, relative to the m/z 83 ion [11].
3.4 Unimolecular dissociation model

Based on the calculated reaction paths, we set up a statistical model to reproduce the experimental breakdown diagram and asymmetric daughter ion peaks. In C₆H₁₁Cl, the lowest-energy decomposition channel is the loss of HCl molecule, and the 0 K appearance energy ($E_0$) of the m/z 82 ion is determined as 10.52 ± 0.01 eV (Fig. 2(a)). This value is consistent with the calculated DPI threshold to [5] at 10.47 eV. The model $E_0(C_6H_{11}^+/C_6H_{11}Cl)$ for Cl loss is affected by a competitive shift, i.e., the breakdown curve is indicative of the relative rate constants and requires extrapolation to the threshold. The experimental value, 10.74 ± 0.06 eV, still agrees reasonably well with the calculated result of 10.81 eV to [11]. The competitive shift affects the next parallel fragment channel, m/z 56, much more, and the breakdown curve rises slowly near threshold. The model result suggests a competitive shift in excess of 1 eV at $E_0(C_4H_8^+)$ = 11.24 ± 0.09 eV. The fitted appearance energy is significantly lower than the calculated value of 11.49 eV to [10]. A possible reason for this deviation is that the HCl-loss rate constant rises less steeply at high energies than predicted by the statistical model, and the model overestimates the competitive shift.

Sequential CH₃ loss and C₂H₄ loss from m/z 82 lead to the m/z 67 and 54 product ions, respectively. Similar to the case of HF-loss in the DPI of fluorocyclohexane, the HCl-loss product at m/z 82 will undergo significant stabilization: the cyclohexene and 1-methylcyclopentene cations are 0.83 and 1.18 eV more stable than the prompt fragment ion by meta-H elimination (see Fig. 5 in ref. 16). Thus, the effective potential energy well of the m/z 82 cation is deep, which leads to a large density of states at the sequential fragmentation thresholds. Taking this into account to model the kinetic shift, similarly to the fluorocyclohexane analogue, explains the slow dissociation rate constants despite the small energy gap between the HCl and sequential methyl loss onsets, and yields a fitted $E_0(C_3H_{11}^+/C_6H_{11}Cl)$ of 10.78 ± 0.06 eV and $E_0(C_4H_9^+/C_6H_{11}Cl)$ of 11.16 ± 0.09 eV (Fig. 2(a)). These values are 0.26 eV and 0.64 eV higher, respectively, than the HCl-loss threshold at 10.52 ± 0.01 eV. These can be compared with a previously computed potential energy of the m/z 82...
cation, in which the m/z 67 and 54 sequential dissociation threshold was found to be 0.45 eV and 0.95 eV above the prompt HCl-loss product ion at m/z 82, respectively. A small fraction of the m/z 82 signal persists at high photon energies, which may indicate that HCl loss may also proceed over a sizeable reverse barrier forming a stabilized fragment ion directly, after which the kinetic energy release decreases the internal energy of the m/z 82 fragment available for consecutive dissociation steps.

The primary halogen-loss fragment at m/z 83 (C₆H₁₁⁺) yields m/z 55 and m/z 41 ions in consecutive ethene and propene losses, respectively. In the energy range of the X = Cl breakdown diagram, only m/z 55 was observed at a threshold energy of $E_0(C_6H_7^+/C_6H_{11}Cl) = 12.11 \pm 0.09$ eV. This implies a $E_0(C_6H_7^+/C_6H_{11}Cl) - E_0(C_6H_{11}^+/C_6H_{11}Cl) = 1.37$ eV barrier to ethene loss from C₆H₁₁⁺, which is also lower than the 1.50 eV barrier deduced from the statistical model to the DPI of bromo- and iodoxyloxane (vide infra) and the G4-computed activation energy of 1.67 eV ([11] → [14]‡ → [18] in Fig. 4).

As discussed previously, only halogen atom loss was observed in the primary DPI of C₆H₁₁Br and C₆H₁₁I, and, thus, the consecutive dissociation channels are shared. The 0 K appearance energies for C–Br or C–I bond breaking are determined experimentally as $E_0(C_6H_{11}^+/C_6H_{11}Br) = 10.125 \pm 0.005$ eV (Fig. 2b) and $E_0(C_6H_{11}^+/C_6H_{11}I) = 9.474 \pm 0.005$ eV (Fig. 2c), respectively. The fitted 0 K appearance energies for the two sequential dissociation channels m/z 55 and 41, i.e., ethene and propene loss, are $E_0(C_4H_7^+/C_6H_{11}Br) = 11.62 \pm 0.06$ eV and $E_0(C_4H_7^+/C_6H_{11}Br) = 12.24 \pm 0.06$ eV for C₆H₁₁Br, as well as $E_0(C_4H_7^+/C_6H_{11}I) = 10.97 \pm 0.06$ eV and $E_0(C_4H_7^+/C_6H_{11}Br) = 11.58 \pm 0.06$ eV for C₆H₁₁I. Thus, the barrier to the m/z 55 and 41 fragments from the cyclohexyl cation at m/z 83 is 1.50 eV and 2.12 eV, respectively, based on the statistical model for C₆H₁₁Br as well as 1.50 eV and 2.11 eV, respectively, based on the C₆H₁₁I data. These results are also in agreement with the quantum chemical calculations, which yield 1.67 eV and 2.17 eV, respectively ([11] → [14]‡ → [18] and [11] → [20] in Fig. 4). We can also observe that the m/z 83 signal is underestimated by the model close to its disappearance.
Similar to the HCl-loss breakdown curve in chlorocyclohexane, the reason could be that a more stable C$_6$H$_{11}$\(^{+}\) isomer, \textit{i.e.}, the methyleclopentyl cation is formed over a reverse barrier, which entails excess kinetic energy release, thereby reducing the \textit{m/z} 83 fragment ion internal energy and stabilizing it. However, the effect is not observed in Cl, small in Br, and more pronounced in I. Thus, an alternative explanation is that the less stable $^2$P$_{1/2}$ halogen atoms are also formed besides the $^2$P$_{3/2}$ spin–orbit state. This could account for 0.11, 0.46, and 0.94 eV less internal energy in the fragment ion\textsuperscript{38,56-57} explaining the increasing persistence of the \textit{m/z} 83 signal in the Cl < Br < I series, respectively.

3.5 Thermochemistry and energetics

Appearance energies in chloro-, bromo-, and iodo-cyclohexane correspond to product energies and may be used in ion cycle calculations.

As far as X = Cl is concerned, the lowest-energy HCl-loss threshold is confounding, partly because H-abstraction from the \textit{meta} position yields a metastable fragment, which may then isomerize to the cyclohexene or the methyleclopentene cation, and partly because the stability of the \textit{m/z} 83 ion is markedly smaller experimentally than predicted computationally.

Direct C–Cl bond fission yields the cyclohexyl cation, \textit{i.e.,} protonated cyclohexene at $E_0 = 10.74 \pm 0.06$ eV = 1036.2 \pm 5.8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). The proton affinity (PA) of cyclohexene was reported relative to that of acetone by Lias et al.,\textsuperscript{55} which converts to PA(c-C$_6$H$_{10}$) = 783 \pm 14 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) using the revised acetone PA by Hunter and Lias.\textsuperscript{58} Hunter and Lias also reevaluated the PA(c-C$_6$H$_{10}$), and list it as 784.5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\). The cyclohexene enthalpy of formation was measured very carefully by Steele et al. using (rotating) bomb calorimetry both by combustion and by hydrogenation as $\Delta_f^0 H_{298K}(c$-C$_6$H$_{10}$, g) = $-4.32 \pm 0.98$ kJ mol\(^{-1}\).\textsuperscript{59} This converts to 27.2 \pm 1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at 0 K using the G4-computed thermal enthalpy of gaseous cyclohexene (17.2 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and the standard elemental thermal enthalpies.\textsuperscript{60} The G4, CBS-QB3, CBS-APNO and W1 calculated 0 K proton affinity of cyclohexene can be averaged to 766.7 \pm 1.7 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) using double the standard deviation as uncertainty, which can be converted to
771.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ at 298 K. The cyclohexene enthalpy of formation, the cyclohexene proton affinity and the 0 K heat of formation$^{28}$ of H$^+$ of 1528.08 kJ mol$^{-1}$ thus yield

$$\Delta H_{0K}(c\text{-C}_6\text{H}_{11}^+g) = 788.5 \pm 2.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}.\text{ Together with } \Delta H_{0K}(\text{Cl}) = 119.62 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1},$$

as reported by the Active Thermochemical Tables (ATcT), and $E_0(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}^+/\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Cl}) = 1036.2 \pm 5.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, this yields $\Delta H_{0K}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Cl}, g) = -128.1 \pm 6.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, which, using the elemental thermal enthalpies and the G4-computed thermal enthalpy of 21.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$, converts to $-164.4 \pm 6.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K. This value includes the 0.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ effect of the conformational equilibrium on the thermal enthalpy (see below).

For comparison, the most recent experimental value has been reported at $-166.5 \pm 1.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{61} We have also evaluated the 0 K isodesmic reaction energies of

$$\text{CH}_2\text{Cl} + \text{C}_6\text{H}_{12} \rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Cl} + \text{CH}_4 \text{ and }$$  (2)

$$\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{Cl} + \text{C}_6\text{H}_{12} \rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Cl} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6, \text{ (3)}$$

using the 0 K (chloro)alkane heats of formation as listed in the Active Thermochemical Tables. The heat of formation of liquid cyclohexane was reported as $-156.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1},$\textsuperscript{62} which, together with the enthalpy of vaporization of $32.98 \pm 0.02 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1},$\textsuperscript{63} yields $-123.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K, and, using the G4 thermal enthalpy of 17.7 kJ mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta H_{0K}(\text{c-C}_6\text{H}_{12}, g) = -83.8 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ at 0 K. The G4, CBS-QB3, and WI isodesmic reaction energies could be used to derive $\Delta H_{0K}(\text{c-C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Cl}, g) = -131.8 \pm 3.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, which converts to $\Delta H_{298K}(\text{c-C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Cl}, g) = -168.6 \pm 3.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Thus, the DPI modeling result and the isodesmic reaction energy calculations both confirm the previous literature value but are less accurate. The uncertainty in the experimental result is due to the competitive shift, since Cl-loss is not the lowest-energy channel.

The cyclohexyl cation ($\Delta H_{0K}(\text{c-C}_6\text{H}_{11}^+) = 788.5 \pm 2.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, see above) is also the product of halogen atom loss by direct bond cleavage in the DPI of bromo- and iodocyclohexane. The modeled 0 K appearance energies are $E_0(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}^+/\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Br}) = 10.125 \pm 0.005 \text{ eV = 976.9} \pm 0.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $E_0(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}^+/\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{I}) = 9.474 \pm 0.005 \text{ eV = 914.1} \pm 0.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Together with ATcT values of $\Delta H_{0K}(\text{Br}(g)) = 117.91 \pm 0.06 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta H_{0K}(\text{I}(g)) = 107.157 \pm 0.002 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, we can derive
\[ \Delta fH_{0K}(C_6H_{11}Br (g)) = -70.5 \pm 2.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{ and } \Delta fH_{0K}(C_6H_{11}I (g)) = -18.4 \pm 2.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}. \]

We calculated the 0 K energy of axial conformer to be 2.2 and 2.1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) higher than that of the equatorial one in X = Br and I, respectively, using \( \omega \)B97X-D/(SDB-)-cc-pVTZ. The thermal enthalpy of the axial conformer is 0.3 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) lower for both, which means that the conformational equilibrium raises the thermal enthalpy of the equatorial conformer by 0.6 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for both X = Br and I. Adding this correction and using the elemental and the computed thermal enthalpies, these values can be converted to \( \Delta fH_{298K}(C_6H_{11}Br (g)) = -114.4 \pm 2.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \) and \( \Delta fH_{298K}(C_6H_{11}I (g)) = -56.3 \pm 2.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \), which are the first reported enthalpies of formation for these species to the best of our knowledge.

Isodesmic reaction energies were also calculated for the analogous reactions to (2) and to (3) for X = Br and to (2) for X = I. The 0 K enthalpies for formation of gaseous CH\(_3\)Br, C\(_2\)H\(_5\)Br, and CH\(_3\)I are listed as -20.88 \pm 0.18, -41.4 \pm 0.25, and 24.5 \pm 0.18 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) in ATcT, respectively. G4 and CBS-QB3 can be applied to X = Br, but none of the composite methods is defined for X = I. Therefore, we thought it would be informative to apply widely used DFT approaches to both heavy halogen samples to check their performance against the experimental energetics. We used (1) the \( \omega \)B97X-D functional\(^{64}\) with the (SDB-)-cc-pVTZ basis set including the 28- and 46-electron quasi-relativistic Stuttgart effective core potential on bromine and iodine, respectively,\(^{65-66}\) as applied in studying iodine-loss kinetics in 1-iodoalkane cations;\(^{20}\) (2) the M06-2X-D3 functional\(^{67}\) including empirical dispersion interaction corrections,\(^{68}\) with the aug-cc-pVTZ(-PP) basis set including 10- and 28-electron ECP on bromine and iodine,\(^{69}\) as applied, \textit{e.g.}, in the study of iodine catalysis;\(^{70}\) and (3) the PBE functional\(^{71-72}\) with the def2-TZVPP basis set, which includes a 28-electron ECP on iodine,\(^{69, 73}\) as applied in calculations on iodine-catalyzed benzylic C–H amination.\(^{74}\) For comparison, we also include B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) results in the derived 0 K enthalpies of formation, listed together with the experimental ones in Table 1. G4 is more accurate than the best DFT approaches by at least a factor of two for reference sets mostly containing light molecules.\(^{75}\) In contrast, G4 took almost five
times longer than PBE/def2-TZVPP to deliver one of the least consistent and the least accurate result for C₆H₁₁Br, which surprised us. Checking the details, we found that the first step in the G4 recipe, the B3LYP geometry optimization (of which the electronic energy does not figure in the G4 electronic energy) outperforms the full G4 calculations at an average error of 1.7 kJ mol⁻¹. The computed CCSD(T) basis of the G4 energies, together with the zero-point correction, is in error by −4.8 kJ mol⁻¹. In G4, there are three corrections for basis set incompleteness in electron correlation calculations, these make the agreement worse by further −3.9 kJ mol⁻¹, which is only partly counteracted by the HF extrapolation improving the agreement by 1.2 kJ mol⁻¹. This is how the average −7.5 kJ mol⁻¹ disagreement comes to be (see Table 1). Thus, there does not seem to be a single well-defined G4 computation step responsible for the discrepancy. The second insight, by which we were positively surprised, is that the other methods, at least beyond B3LYP/6-311G(d,p), delivered energetics within the uncertainty of the experiment. Thus, it appears that isodesmic reaction energy calculations provide a quite robust route to determining the energetics of closed-shell species containing even iodine.

With the help of the C₆H₁₁X (X = Cl, Br, and I) ionization energies of 10.06, 9.71, and 8.99 eV,¹⁷ and the reported X-loss appearance energies herein, we can also obtain the C–X bond energy in the halocyclohexane cations as 66, 40, and 47 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. The ionization of eq-C₆H₁₁Br entails significant geometry relaxation to the ground pseudo-A" cation state, which means that its ionization energy is not well defined. However, if we consider the sharp resonance at 9.85 eV (which was previously believed to correspond to the adiabatic ionization energy of the equatorial conformer),⁷⁶ the derived C–Br bond energy evaluates to 26 kJ mol⁻¹ in the corresponding A’ cation state. This U-shaped trend, is, however, only counterintuitive on first sight. The Atomic Polar Tensor (APT) charges⁷⁷ on the halogen in the halocyclohexane cations increase monotonically from −0.52 in X = F to 0.37 in X = I. On the one hand, the decreasing electrophilicity of the substituent stabilizes the ion, and leads to a drop in ionization energy. When a neutral halogen is lost from the
cation, the charge remains quantitatively on the cycloalkyl cation, which means that this stabilization effect is lost, raising the bond energy in the halocyclohexane cations for heavier halogens. On the other hand, the C–X bond energy, corresponding to C₆H₁₁X → C₆H₁₁⁺ + X⁺, decreases in the F → I series in the neutral; a trend, which is faithfully reproduced by the X-loss appearance energy in DPI (C₆H₁₁X → C₆H₁₁⁺ + X⁺ + e⁻), only shifted by the ionization energy of the cyclohexyl radical. The resultant of these two effects is that the C–X bond energy in the halocyclohexane cations is minimal for X = Br.
Table 1. Comparison of the experimentally derived and calculated 0 K enthalpies of formation of bromo- and iodocyclohexane in kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

Average results for the two isodesmic reactions analogous to (2) and (3) are listed for X = Br with the difference given in column \(\Delta[(2)-(3)]\). Only the analogous isodesmic reaction to (2) was studied for X = I. The computational cost is based on the relative wall time of the PBE \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Br}\) calculation and is given in parentheses for the X = I calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Comp. cost</th>
<th>(\Delta H_{\text{0K}}(\text{C}<em>6\text{H}</em>{11}\text{Br}))</th>
<th>(\Delta((2)-(3))])</th>
<th>(\Delta_{\text{Br[calc-expt]}})</th>
<th>(\Delta H_{\text{0K}}(\text{C}<em>6\text{H}</em>{11}\text{I}))</th>
<th>(\Delta_{\text{I[calc-expt]}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>expt.</td>
<td></td>
<td>-70.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-77.9</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>-18.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS-QB3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-71.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\omega\text{B97X-D/(SDB-)}\text{-cc-pVTZ})</td>
<td>1.2 (1.6)</td>
<td>-70.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-17.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M06-2X-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ(-PP)</td>
<td>4.2 (5.1)</td>
<td>-71.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-19.7</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBE/def2-TZVPP</td>
<td>1.0 (1.2)</td>
<td>-69.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-17.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1)</td>
<td>-67.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-13.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Conclusions

Halogen atom loss and sequential fragmentation of the cyclohexyl cation constitute the dissociative photoionization mechanism of bromo- and iodo-cyclohexane. In addition to Cl loss, chlorocyclohexane also exhibits DPI mechanism previously observed in fluorocyclohexane, namely HX loss followed by methyl and ethylene losses. Because HCl loss is the lowest-energy channel, Cl loss is affected by a competitive shift, increasing the uncertainty of the 0 K appearance energy. With the help of a statistical model, the X-loss $E_0$ in C$_6$H$_{11}$X has been determined as 10.74 ± 0.06 eV, 10.125 ± 0.005, and 9.474 ± 0.005 eV for X = Cl, Br, and I, respectively. The appearance energies of the consecutive steps are also reported and compared with the computed potential energy surface. In particular for X = Br and I, the good agreement between experiment and theory for the consecutive steps strongly suggest that the initial DPI product is indeed the cyclohexyl cation, and it is from this fragment that further fragmentation steps start, notwithstanding possible isomerization to the more stable 1-methylcyclopentyl cation.

The proton affinity of cyclohexene was revised with the help of extensive calculations to $PA_{298K}(c\text{-}C_6H_{10}) = 771.5 \pm 1.7$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ and used in concert with literature thermochemical data to obtain the enthalpy of formation of the cyclohexyl cation as $\Delta f^\text{H}_0\text{298K}(c\text{-}C_6H_{11}^+) = 788.5 \pm 2.2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. This leads to an experimental $\Delta f^\text{H}_0\text{298K}(C_6H_{11}\text{Cl, g}) = -164.4 \pm 6.2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, which agrees with the result of isodesmic calculations ($-168.6 \pm 3.3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) and the literature value of $-166.5 \pm 1.9$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, but is less accurate than either.

The halogen-loss onset could be determined accurately in bromo- and iodo-cyclohexane, and we report their enthalpies of formation as $\Delta f^\text{H}_0\text{298K}(C_6H_{11}\text{Br, g}) = -114.4 \pm 2.3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta f^\text{H}_0\text{298K}(C_6H_{11}\text{I, g}) = -56.3 \pm 2.3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. Halogen exchange isodesmic reaction energies were computed using various DFT approaches for X = Br and I, as well as using G4 and CBS-QB3 for X = Br. DFT approaches
deliver surprisingly accurate results. G4, however, was found to be 50 times more time consuming yet still less accurate than B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) for bromocyclohexane.

Finally, we use the halocyclohexane ionization energies to determine the C–X bond energy in the C₆H₁₀X⁺ cation, and find the C–Br bond to be the weakest. This is due to the decreasing C–X bond energy in the neutral with increasing halogen size, which competes with the stabilization of the halocyclohexane cation when using less electrophilic, larger halogen substituents.
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