Gap symmetry of the noncentrosymmetric superconductor W_3Al_2C

R. Gupta,^{1,*} T. P. Ying,² Y. P. Qi,³ H. Hosono,^{2,†} and R. Khasanov^{1,†}

¹*Laboratory for Muon Spin Spectroscopy, Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland*

²*Materials Research Centre for Element Strategy, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan*

³*School of Physical Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 201210, China*

(Received 1 September 2020; revised 5 May 2021; accepted 5 May 2021; published 18 May 2021)

A detailed zero-field and transverse-field muon spin relaxation/rotation (μ SR) experiments have been carried out on the recently discovered noncentrosymmetric superconductor W_3Al_2C to speculate about its superconducting ground state. Bulk nature of superconductivity below 7.6 K is confirmed through magnetization and specific heat measurements. No change in the zero field μ SR spectra collected above and below T_c is visible, ruling out the possibility of spontaneous magnetic field below T_c . This confirms that time-reversal symmetry is preserved for W_3Al_2C upon entering in the superconducting ground state. Temperature-dependent superfluid density [$\rho_s(T)$], which directly reflects the superconducting gap symmetry is obtained by the analysis of spectra obtained from the transverse-field μ SR experiments. Despite a noncentrosymmetric structure, W_3Al_2C adopts a fully gapped spin-singlet superconducting ground state as confirmed through the analysis of $\rho_s(T)$ and $C_e(T)$. The zero-temperature value of gap is $\Delta_0 = 1.139(5)$ meV with gap-to- T_c ratio $2\Delta_0/k_B T_c \approx 3.48$, classifying this material as a weakly coupled superconductors. We have also revealed that the ratio T_c/λ_{eff}^{-2} is comparable to the class of unconventional superconductors, which points towards an unconventional pairing mechanism in W_3Al_2C .

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.103.174511](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.174511)

I. INTRODUCTION

In the quest of achieving superconductivity (SC) at high temperature, there has been enormous research on cuprates, Fe-based pnictide superconductors, heavy fermion superconductors, etc. [1–3]. These class of materials possess unconventional nature of SC which transcends from the expectations of the standard BCS model. The pairing of Cooper pairs in these superconductors is mediated by the charge/magnetic/valence fluctuations [4–7], rather than the phonons as for the case of BCS superconductors. An important role is played by the crystal structure in deciding the pairing symmetry in unconventional superconductors. Most of the superconductors discovered so far possess a center of inversion in their crystal structure. The SC in these materials can be classified either as spin-singlet or spin-triplet type. No intermixing is permitted for such superconductors [8]. However, recent interests have been developed in a so-called noncentrosymmetric (NC) class of materials as they possess various exotic properties speculated through experimental studies, including unconventional SC [9,10], time reversal symmetry breaking (TRSB) in the superconducting state [11–13], topological protected surface states [14,15], etc. Due to the lack of inversion symmetry, they generate an asymmetric spin-orbit coupling (ASOC) which lifts the degeneracy of the conduction band electrons and hence resulting in the splitting of Fermi surface, i.e., splitting of spin-up and spin-down bands. As a

result both inter- or intraband Cooper pairs can be formed and hence admixture of spin-singlet and spin-triplet Cooper pairs are permitted. The mix parity in case of NC superconductors can host complex superconducting properties.

The research in the field of NC superconductors was triggered after the discovery of unusual superconducting ground state namely line nodes in heavy fermion compound $CePt_3Si$ [9]. Few other examples in this category are $CeIrSi_3$ [10], Mo_3Al_2C [16,17], Li_2Pt_3B [18,19] exhibits line nodes, whereas few others such as $LaNiC_2$ [20], $(La,Y)_2C_3$ [21], $LaPt_2Si_2$ [22], etc. show multiband SC. The effect of ASOC has been directly observed in well acclaimed weakly correlated system $Li_2(Pd,Pt)_3B$. The parent compound Li_2Pd_3B is a conventional BCS superconductor [23] which gradually transforms from spin singlet to spin triplet SC by inclusion of Pt in place of Pd [18,19,24]. The reason is attributed to the increase in strength of ASOC which is proportional to Z^4 . The few other superconductors with strong ASOC where nontrivial superconducting ground state has been observed are La_7Ir_3 [11], Re-based superconductors Re_6X ($X = Zr, Hf, Ti$) [12,13], etc. This implies that strength of ASOC plays an important role in deciding the superconducting gap symmetry. Very recently, NC Mo_3Al_2C superconductor (β Mn-type structure, space group $P4_132$) has gained a significant attention due to its similar geometrical configuration to well established unconventional superconductor $Li_2(Pd,Pt)_3B$. Strong signatures of the SC deviating from standard-BCS behavior has been speculated via following observations: absence of Hebbel-Slichter peak, a power law behavior of spin-lattice relaxation rate measured through ^{27}Al NMR, electronic specific heat [16]. Nodal type gap structure is suggested from the pressure enhanced T_c

*ritu.gupta@psi.ch

†rustem.khasanov@psi.ch

[16]. In contrast, the microscopic techniques like μ SR, tunnel diode oscillator evidence a nodeless state of the superconducting order parameter [17,25–27]. It was proposed that nodal behavior was not observed in these techniques probably due to trace fraction of triplet SC. It is natural to expect that inducing stronger ASOC might increase the chances of unconventional SC with triplet pairing.

To look for such a possibility, recently, Ying *et al.* were able to successfully grow single phase superconductor W_3Al_2C with $T_c \approx 7.5$ K, where Mo was replaced by heavier element W to enhance ASOC [28]. W_3Al_2C is isostructural to Mo_3Al_2C . Indeed, the theoretical studies using first-principles point towards the pronounced effect of ASOC on band structure and Fermi surface topology [28]. Additionally, electronic specific heat was fitted with a power law expression, hinting towards a complex gap structure. However, previous studies has been limited to only specific heat measured down to 2 K and microscopic investigations are still missing for this system. There is a clear need of comprehensive microscopic techniques to explore the superconducting gap symmetry of W_3Al_2C . This motivated us to conduct muon spin relaxation/rotation (μ SR) measurements to estimate temperature-dependent magnetic penetration depth [$\lambda(T)$], which in turn is proportional to the superfluid density [$\lambda(T) \propto n_s^{-2}(T)$], hence directly reflecting the superconducting gap symmetry.

This paper is organized in the following manner. Section II describes the sample-preparation and initial characterization procedure including the results of magnetization measurements, as well as the details about the μ SR experiments. Section III is dedicated to results and discussion part involving specific heat, TF and ZF μ SR experiments. The conclusions are reported in Sec. IV.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Sample preparation and characterization

The polycrystalline W_3Al_2C sample was synthesized by the high-pressure method with the detailed description being reported in the Ref. [28]. The optimal mole ratio of the elements W:Al:C = 3:1.8:0.8 were first ball milled for two days in a glove box to ensure homogeneity of the final product. The mixture was then pressed into pellets and sealed inside h-BN capsule. The pellets were then heated at 2173 K in an environment of high pressure of 5 GPa for 24 h, followed by a slow cooling down for one day. The sample investigated in the present study with μ SR is from the same batch, which has been used previously by Ying *et al.* [28].

To precharacterize the superconducting properties of W_3Al_2C , temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility $M(T)$ was measured in the field-cooled (FC) and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) mode in an applied field of 2 mT. The magnetization experiments were performed using a commercial physical properties measuring system (PPMS, QUANTUM design magnetometer). It can be seen from Fig. 1, $M(T)$ data display a sharp transition with width ≈ 0.1 K with the onset of superconductivity at $T_c = 7.5$ K. Bifurcation of FC and ZFC signal is an immanent feature of type-II superconductor with moderate to strong pinning,

FIG. 1. (a) The temperature-dependent magnetization $M(T)$ of W_3Al_2C collected in an applied field of 2 mT with zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) mode.

where magnetic flux is pinned upon cooling the system in an applied magnetic field.

B. Experimental techniques

Zero field (ZF) and transverse field (TF) muon spin rotation/relaxation (μ SR) experiments were carried out on GPS (General Purpose Surface) spectrometer, situated at the π E1 beamline, at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Villigen, Switzerland. The experiments were carried out in the temperature range 1.5 to 10 K at GPS and 0.25 to 10 K at DOLLY spectrometer in presence of desired magnetic field for TF and in zero field for the ZF configuration. For TF experiments, the sample was first cooled down from a temperature well above T_c to the base temperature in presence of desired magnetic field and then spectra were collected during warming up. To minimize the statistical error bars, typical counting statics was kept at $\approx 10^7$ positrons events for each data point. The TF and ZF μ SR spectra were analyzed with the help of the free software MUSRFIT [29]. Specific heat measurements were carried out down to 0.4 K using standard PPMS equipped with He-3 option.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Specific heat

To obtain the information about superconducting gap symmetry, we have carried out a detailed analysis of temperature-dependent electronic specific heat $C_e(T)$, obtained after subtracting the phononic part of specific heat. Within the framework of BCS theory, the entropy in the superconducting state S_{sc} can be expressed by the following relation: $S_{sc} = \frac{-3\gamma_n}{k_B\pi^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty [(1-f)\ln(1-f) + f\ln f] d\epsilon d\phi$, where γ_n represents the Sommerfeld coefficient in the normal state, $f = (1 + E/k_B T)^{-1}$ is the Fermi distribution function with $E = (\epsilon^2 + \Delta(T, \phi)^2)^{0.5}$, and ϕ is the azimuthal angle along the Fermi surface. $\Delta(T, \phi)$

FIG. 2. Electronic specific heat as a function of temperature $C_e(T)$ for W_3Al_2C . The red solid line through the data point shows the model fitting with s -wave type gap symmetry.

$= \Delta(T)g_\phi$, where $\Delta(T)$ is the temperature dependence and g_ϕ is the angle dependence of gap function, with latter has a value $g_\phi = 1$ for s -wave and $\cos 2\phi$ for d -wave pairing symmetry. The temperature dependence of the gap function $\Delta(T)$ is approximated in the standard way: $\Delta(T) = \Delta_0 \tanh\{1.821[1.018(T_c/T - 1)^{0.51}]\}$ [30], with Δ_0 being the gap value at 0 K. Moreover, the electronic specific heat is related to the entropy in the superconducting state by the relation $C_e = T(\frac{\delta S_{sc}}{\delta T})$. $C_e(T)$ data shown in Fig. 2 is fitted with the methodology described above. It can be seen that a single-gap s -wave model is sufficient to describe the $C_e(T)$ data, hinting towards the presence of single isotropic gap at the Fermi surface for W_3Al_2C . The gap-to- T_c ratio is $2\Delta_0/k_B T_c = 3.37$, which is pretty close to

the universal BCS value 3.53, keeping this material in the list of weakly coupled superconductor.

B. TF μ SR experiments

To further elucidate the nature of superconducting order parameter, we have carried out transverse field (TF) μ SR experiments in the mixed superconducting state of W_3Al_2C with various applied magnetic fields: 30, 100, 300, and 600 mT. The TF- μ SR asymmetry spectra were collected at several temperatures between 1.5 to 10 K for GPS spectrometer. Figure 3(a) displays two representative TF- μ SR spectra in the normal state (10 K) and in the superconducting state (1.6 K), collected in a magnetic field of 100 mT. The TF- μ SR signal in the superconducting state shows much faster damping compared to normal state due to inhomogeneous magnetic field distribution as a result of vortex lattice formation. The small damping above T_c is due to the static nuclear magnetic moments. The μ SR spectra collected was fitted to the following oscillatory decaying Gaussian function:

$$A_{TF}(t) = A_{TF}(0) \exp(-\sigma_{tot}^2/2) \cos(\gamma_\mu B_{int}t + \phi). \quad (1)$$

Here, $A_{TF}(0)$ is the initial asymmetry belonging to the sample. $\gamma_\mu/2\pi = 135.5$ MHz/T is the muon gyromagnetic ratio. B_{int} is the local internal magnetic field sensed by muons implanted in the sample, ϕ is the initial phase offset of the initial muon spin polarization with respect to positron detector. σ_{total} is the muon depolarization rate, which is comprised of the following two terms: $\sigma_{total}^2 = \sigma_{sc}^2 + \sigma_{nm}^2$. Solid lines in the Fig. 3(a) correspond to the fitting of observed spectra using Eq. (1). σ_{sc} and σ_{nm} are the muon depolarization rates associated with the flux-line lattice and the nuclear magnetic moments, respectively. σ_{nm} is expected to be intact in the entire temperature range and can be estimated by analyzing one of the spectra above T_c . Thus we can extract σ_{sc} by quadratically subtracting σ_{nm} from σ_{total} . The muon depolarization rate σ_{sc} is related to the penetration depth and hence to the superfluid density ($\sigma_{sc} \propto \lambda^{-2} \propto n_s$). Thus the superconducting gap symmetry

FIG. 3. (a) The TF- μ SR time spectra of polycrystalline W_3Al_2C collected above T_c (10 K, green symbols) and below T_c (1.6 K, orange symbols) in a magnetic field of 100 mT. Solid lines through the data represent fitting using Eq. (1). (b) Internal magnetic field as a function of temperature in an applied field of 100 mT.

FIG. 4. The field dependence of muon depolarization rate $\sigma(B)$ analyzed with modified London model, Eq. (2), which has been adapted for single-gap s -wave superconductor. Various analyses were carried out by fixing upper critical field $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ to 15.4, 50, 60, 80, and 100 T. Refer to the text for detailed description.

can be deduced from the temperature dependence of $\sigma_{sc}(T)$. Figure 3(b) shows the temperature dependence of internal magnetic field sensed by the muon in presence of 100 mT magnetic field. The flux expulsion is clearly visible from the reduced value of internal magnetic field in the superconducting state. The magnetic field and temperature evolution of $\sigma_{sc}(T, B)$ has been obtained by fitting Eq. (1) to the experimentally observed asymmetry-time spectra. Firstly, we have measured TF-spectra at base temperature 1.5 K in presence of various fields ranging from 10 to 700 mT. Figure 4 represents the variation of muon depolarization rate as a function of field $\sigma_{sc}(B)$ at 1.5 K. The $\sigma_{sc}(B)$ data were analyzed with the model presented by Serventi *et al.* [31]. In the model proposed, the second moment of magnetic field distribution within the flux-line lattice (FLL) is calculated within the framework of modified London model with following expression:

$$\overline{\Delta B^2} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{sc}}{\gamma_\mu} \right)^2 = B^2 \sum_{q \neq 0} \left[\frac{e^{-q^2 \xi^2 / 2(1-b)}}{1 + q^2 \lambda^2 / (1-b)} \right]^2. \quad (2)$$

Here, $b = B/B_{c2}$ is the reduced magnetic field, with B_{c2} as the upper critical field, ξ is the coherence length, and λ is the penetration depth. B is the mean field within FLL. The reciprocal lattice vector $q = 4\pi/\sqrt{a}(m\sqrt{3}/2, n + m/2)$, adapted for hexagonal flux line lattice, with a being the intervortex distance, m and n are the integer numbers. The applied magnetic field $B_{app} \ll B_{c2}$ (predicted value of $B_{c2} = 15.4$ T from previous study [28]), hence it is difficult to speculate about the exact value of upper critical field from $\sigma(B)$ data. Moreover, we carried out the analysis of experimental $\sigma(B)$ data using Eq. (2) with five different values of the upper critical field: 15.4, 50, 60, 80, and 100 T. It can be seen from Fig. 4, $\sigma(B)$ data have very poor consistency with $B_{c2} = 15.4$ T. The consistency becomes better as we go to higher B_{c2} values. The theoretical curve with $B_{c2} = 50$ T does not describe the data.

However, as soon we approaches 60 T, consistency becomes better, with improving further for 80 and 100 T. Although, we can not determine the absolute value of B_{c2} , but at least a lower limit on the upper critical field value, i.e., $50 \text{ T} < B_{c2}$ can be described. It is important to mention here that the data points below 100 mT are not close to the modified London model. It can be due to the oversimplified assumption of field independent coherence length by modified London model and might not be true for W_3Al_2C .

The temperature dependent $\sigma_{sc}(T)$ for four different applied fields is shown in Fig. 5(a). Figure 5(b) shows the normalized muon depolarization rate $\sigma_{sc}(T)/\sigma_{sc}(0)$ as a function of temperature combined for the three fields 100, 300, and 600 mT, which are lying above the maximum in $\sigma_{sc}(B)$. It is important to mention that only three fields above the maxima in $\sigma_{sc}(B)$ were taken into consideration for the further analysis of gap symmetry in order to keep σ_{sc} field independent [32]. Two data sets were collected at GPS and DOLLY spectrometer in presence of 100 and 300 mT field (see Fig. 5). The data points collected with GPS and DOLLY spectrometer for respective fields lie almost on top of each other. It can be seen that $\sigma_{sc}(T)$ [Fig. 5(b)] tends to saturate below $\simeq T_c/3$. This rule out already the possibility of nodes in the superconducting energy gaps at the Fermi surface. However, to further speculate about the pairing symmetry quantitatively, we have employed different superconducting gap models to analyze the muon depolarization rate $\sigma_{sc}(T)$. σ_{sc} can be calculated from the superconducting gap $\Delta(T, \phi)$ using standard local London approximation ($\xi \ll \lambda$) [33]:

$$\frac{\sigma_{sc}(T)}{\sigma_{sc}(0)} = \frac{\lambda_L^{-2}(T)}{\lambda_L^{-2}(0)} = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Delta(T, \phi)}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} \frac{E dE d\phi}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta(T, \phi)^2}}, \quad (3)$$

with $f = [1 + \exp(E/k_B T)]^{-1}$ being the Fermi distribution function and ϕ is the azimuthal angle along the Fermi surface. Description of $\Delta(T, \phi)$ is given above. Three different gap models namely s -wave without nodes, d -wave with line nodes, and a dirty d -wave model were considered for the analysis. Power law expression $1 - (T/T_c)^2$ was tested, which has been proven theoretically to best describe the case of a dirty d -wave superconductor [34]. Here, the choice of nodal gap was taken into consideration due to the prediction by Ying *et al.* where specific heat data could be fitted well with a power law rather than an exponential behavior, expected for an s -wave superconductor [28]. Figure 5(b) represents the temperature-dependent normalized muon depolarization rate fitted with the aforementioned gap models. It can be seen that momentum independent s -wave model is most compatible with the experimental data. A poor agreement can be seen between the experimental data and d -wave models at low temperature, which rules out the plausibility of line nodes in the gap around the Fermi surface. This observation upholds the conclusion drawn from $C_e(T)$ and conjointly hint towards the presence of single isotropic gap at the Fermi surface for W_3Al_2C . The best described parameter values for s -wave model are $\Delta_0 = 1.139(5)$ meV and $T_c = 7.6(2)$ K. The superconducting transition temperature T_c is similar to that obtained from the magnetization measurement. The superconducting

FIG. 5. The temperature evolution of Gaussian depolarization rate $\sigma_{sc}(T)$ (symbols) (a) for all four measured fields and (b) combined for all fields with fits using a single gap s -wave model (solid black lines), a d -wave model (orange dotted line), and a dirty d -wave model approximated through a power law expression $[1 - (T/T_c)^2]$. See text for details.

gap to T_c ratio $2\Delta_0/k_B T_c = 3.48$, which is in good agreement with the value obtained by means of $C_e(T)$ analysis.

We have also estimated inverse squared magnetic penetration depth at base temperature by analyzing σ_{sc} data using Brandt model adapted for single gap s -wave superconductors [35]:

$$\sigma_{sc}[\mu s^{-1}] \approx 4.83 \times 10^4 (1 - b)[1 + 1.21(1 - \sqrt{b})^3] \times \lambda^{-2} (\text{nm}^{-2}), \quad (4)$$

where $b = B/B_{c2}$ is reduced upper critical field. The obtained value of $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ is $4.8(2) \mu\text{m}^{-2}$. Moreover, according to Uemura classification scheme, it is widely known that T_c and $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ scales linearly with ratio $T_c/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ depends on the class of superconductors [40,41]. The unconventional class of superconductors within the picture of Bose-Einstein condensation have a large value of ratio $T_c/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2} \approx 1\text{--}20 \text{ K } \mu\text{m}^2$. This universal linear relationship between T_c and $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ does not hold for conventional BCS superconductors, which exhibits a smaller value of the ratio $T_c/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2} \approx 0.00025\text{--}0.015 \text{ K } \mu\text{m}^2$. The ratio of T_c and $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ for some famous superconductors is shown in the Uemura plot (Fig. 6). The ratio $T_c/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ for $\text{W}_3\text{Al}_2\text{C}$ is approximately $1.6 \text{ K } \mu\text{m}^2$, which is located close to the range of unconventional high temperature superconductors in the Uemura plot [40]. The high value of $T_c/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ indicates high T_c despite of low carrier density ($T_c/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2} \propto n_s/m^*$, where n_s is carrier density and m^* is the effective mass of charge carrier), which is a characteristic feature of unconventional superconductor [40]. This result strongly suggests an unconventional pairing mechanism for superconductivity in $\text{W}_3\text{Al}_2\text{C}$, rather than an conventional phonon-mediated mechanism.

C. ZF μSR experiments

Muon spin rotation/relaxation (μSR) is a very sensitive technique which can probe extremely small magnetic field of the order of 10^{-4} T . Consequently, in absence of any external applied field, even a tiny amount of spontaneous magnetic

field which may arise due to time reversal symmetry breaking in the superconducting state can be detected.

In order to search for possible magnetic field (static or fluctuating) in the superconducting state of $\text{W}_3\text{Al}_2\text{C}$, we collected various ZF spectra in the temperature range from 1.6 K to 10 K. For representative manner, we have shown in Fig. 7(a), the ZF μSR spectra collected well below (1.6 K) and well above T_c (10 K). The time dependent asymmetry

FIG. 6. The T_c vs $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ plot (Uemura plot) for cuprates (blue and orange symbols), iron pnictides (purple symbols) [36,37], transition metal (TM) dichalcogenides (green symbols) [38], and elemental superconductors (red symbols). The datapoints used for cuprates were taken from the Ref. [39]. The solid-blue, dashed orange, and green lines represent the $T_c/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}$ ratio for hole-doped cuprates, electron-doped cuprates, and TM dichalcogenides, with values 4, 1, and $0.4 \text{ K } \mu\text{m}^2$, respectively. The large solid sphere presents the data for $\text{W}_3\text{Al}_2\text{C}$. The abbreviations Bi2223, Y123, La214, NCCO, PCCO stand for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{Ca}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{10+x}$, $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}$, $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$, $\text{Nd}_{2-y}\text{Ce}_y\text{CuCo}_4$, and $\text{Pr}_{2-y}\text{Ce}_y\text{CuCo}_4$, respectively.

FIG. 7. (a) The representative ZF asymmetry-time spectra of polycrystalline W_3Al_2C measured at 1.6 K (orange symbol, well below T_c) and 10 K (green symbol, well above T_c). (b) Temperature variation of electronic relaxation rate $\Lambda(T)$ obtained by fitting Eq. (5) to the corresponding data sets. The relaxation rate did not show distinguishable changes (within the error bars) across the superconducting transition $T_c = 7.6$ K. The horizontal dashed line indicates the absence of change in the relaxation rate at T_c .

$A(t)$ collected above and below T_c does not show distinct difference. No additional relaxation of the μSR signal in the superconducting state implies the absence of spontaneous internal field upon entering in the superconducting state. In fact, this observation suggests that time reversal symmetry is preserved despite of the noncentrosymmetric structure and stronger ASOC of W_3Al_2C . The observed asymmetry-time spectra is well described by a damped static Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe function:

$$A(t) = A_s \exp(-\Lambda t) G_{KT} + A_{bg}, \quad (5)$$

where G_{KT} is the Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe function [42], accounting for the muon depolarization rate associated with the static randomly oriented local field due to nuclear magnetic moments. A_s and A_{bg} are the initial asymmetries associated to the sample and background, respectively. Λ represents the electronic spin relaxation rate, which is additionally introduced in order to account for any possible distribution of fields due to electronic spins. Gaussian Kubo Toyabe function

G_{KT} has following functional form:

$$G_{KT}(t) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(1 - \sigma_{ZF}^2 t^2) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{ZF}^2 t^2}{2}\right), \quad (6)$$

where σ_{ZF} is the width of the nuclear dipolar field (the local field distribution $\langle B_\mu \rangle = \sigma / \gamma_\mu$, where muon gyromagnetic ratio $\gamma_\mu = 135.53$ MHz/T) experienced by the muon-spin ensemble. The Gaussian depolarization rate σ_{ZF} was fixed to a value $0.1893 \mu s^{-1}$, estimated from fitting a spectra well above T_c . Figure 7(b) displays the temperature-dependent electronic relaxation rate $\Lambda(T)$. Within the experimental accuracy, no visible change in the relaxation was observed across T_c , excluding the plausibility of spontaneous internal magnetic fields which might break TRS in the superconducting state in this system. It is important to mention that internal field is thought to appear at the edges, defects, and domain wall boundaries [43], and hence might not be observed sometimes in high quality samples. For an example, no change in relaxation rate across the proposed TRSB transition temperature is seen in a high quality sample of UPT_3 [44,45]. However, the earlier μSR study of UPT_3 by Luke *et al.* [46] did show evidence of TRSB. Incidentally, more recent polar Kerr effect measurements [47] are in line with the results of Luke *et al.*, which suggests that the earlier UPT_3 samples had more defects and/or impurities. In the present case, where ZF μSR measurements is done on polycrystalline W_3Al_2C sample, disorder and scattering of charge carriers from grain boundaries is speculated through the high value of residual resistivity and negative slope of ρ versus T curve in temperature range $7.5 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 300 \text{ K}$. Therefore, we can safely conclude that time reversal symmetry is preserved in the NC W_3Al_2C upon entering in the superconducting ground state. Beside W_3Al_2C , there are few other examples of NC superconductors which did not show TRS breaking in the superconducting state, e.g., $LaPt_2Si_2$ [22], $BaPt_3Si$ [48], $LaPt_3Si$ [49], and many others. Thus, we can argue that TRS breaking is not an immanent feature of NC superconductors.

IV. CONCLUSION

The superconducting properties of noncentrosymmetric superconductor W_3Al_2C has been examined by means of magnetization, specific heat, zero field and transverse field μSR experiments. A sharp superconducting transition with transition width 0.1 K is seen at 7.5 K in the temperature dependent magnetization measurement. The ZF μSR spectra show no increase in the relaxation rate below T_c , and hence show no evidence of time-reversal symmetry breaking in the superconducting state. The Gaussian muon depolarization rate $\sigma_{sc}(T)$ obtained after the analysis of TF spectra could be reconstructed well with a single gap s -wave model. Electronic part of specific heat $C_e(T)$ could also be reproduced well with isotropic s -wave model. Thus analysis of $\sigma_{sc}(T)$ and $C_e(T)$ conjointly hint towards the nodeless superconductivity in W_3Al_2C . The field dependence of muon depolarization rate $\sigma(B)$ is analyzed using modified London model for single gap s -wave symmetry. An unconventional nature of superconductivity is suggested as T_c/λ_{eff}^2 ratio is comparable to the class of high temperature unconventional superconductors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present work has been carried out at the Swiss Muon Source ($S\mu S$), Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI, Switzerland). The research work of R.G. was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF-Grant No. 200021-175935). Y.P.Q.

is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. U1932217 and No. 11974246). The research at Tokyo Tech was supported by the MEXT Element Strategy Initiative to form a research center (Grant No. JP-MXP0112101001).

- [1] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, *Z. Phys. B* **64**, 189 (1986).
- [2] F. Steglich, J. Aarts, C. D. Bredl, W. Lieke, D. Meschede, W. Franz, and H. Schäfer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 1892 (1979).
- [3] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 3296 (2008).
- [4] N. D. Mathur, F. M. Grosche, S. R. Julian, I. R. Walker, D. M. Freye, R. K. W. Haselwimmer, and G. G. Lonzarich, *Nature (London)* **394**, 39 (1998).
- [5] G. F. Chen, Z. Li, D. Wu, G. Li, W. Z. Hu, J. Dong, P. Zheng, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 247002 (2008).
- [6] E. Morosan, H. W. Zandbergen, B. S. Dennis, J. W. G. Bos, Y. Onose, T. Klimczuk, A. P. Ramirez, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 544 (2006).
- [7] H. Q. Yuan, F. M. Grosche, M. Deppe, C. Geibel, G. Sparn, and F. Steglich, *Science* **302**, 2104 (2003).
- [8] P. W. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. B* **30**, 4000 (1984).
- [9] I. Bonalde, W. B. Bramer-Escamilla, and E. Bauer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 207002 (2005).
- [10] H. Mukuda, T. Fujii, T. Ohara, A. Harada, M. Yashima, Y. Kitaoka, Y. Okuda, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 107003 (2008).
- [11] J. A. T. Barker, D. Singh, A. Thamizhavel, A. D. Hillier, M. R. Lees, G. Balakrishnan, D. McK. Paul, and R. P. Singh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 267001 (2015).
- [12] R. P. Singh, A. D. Hillier, B. Mazidian, J. Quintanilla, J. F. Annett, D. McK. Paul, G. Balakrishnan, and M. R. Lees, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 107002 (2014).
- [13] D. Singh, J. A. T. Barker, A. Thamizhavel, D. M. Paul, A. D. Hillier, and R. P. Singh, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 180501(R) (2017).
- [14] Z. X. Sun, M. Enayat, A. Maldonado, C. Lithgow, E. Yelland, D. C. Peets, A. Yaresko, A. P. Schnyder, and P. Wahl, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6633 (2015).
- [15] H. Kim, K. Wang, Y. Nakajima, R. Hu, S. Ziemak, P. Syers, L. Wang, H. Hodovanets, J. D. Denlinger, P. M. R. Brydon, D. F. Agterberg, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, and J. Paglione, *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaao4513 (2018).
- [16] E. Bauer, G. Rogl, X.-Q. Chen, R. T. Khan, H. Michor, G. Hilscher, E. Royanian, K. Kumagai, D. Z. Li, Y. Y. Li, R. Podloucky, and P. Rogl, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 064511 (2010).
- [17] E. Bauer, C. Sekine, U. Sai, P. Rogl, P. K. Biswas, and A. Amato, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 054522 (2014).
- [18] H. Q. Yuan, D. F. Agterberg, N. Hayashi, P. Badica, D. Vandervelde, K. Togano, M. Sgrist, and M. B. Salamon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 017006 (2006).
- [19] M. Nishiyama, Y. Inada, and G.-Q. Zheng, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 047002 (2007).
- [20] J. Chen, L. Jiao, J. L. Zhang, Y. Chen, L. Yang, M. Nicklas, F. Steglich, and H. Q. Yuan, *New J. Phys.* **15**, 053005 (2013).
- [21] S. Kuroiwa, Y. Saura, J. Akimitsu, M. Hiraishi, M. Miyazaki, K. H. Satoh, S. Takeshita, and R. Kadono, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 097002 (2008).
- [22] D. Das, Ritu Gupta, A. Bhattacharyya, P. K. Biswas, D. T. Adroja, and Z. Hossain, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 184509 (2018).
- [23] R. Khasanov, I. L. Landau, C. Baines, F. La Mattina, A. Maisuradze, K. Togano, and H. Keller, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 214528 (2006).
- [24] P. Badica, S. S.-S., Jr., A. D. Alvarenga, and G. Jakob, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **23**, 105018 (2010).
- [25] A. B. Karki, Y. M. Xiong, I. Vekhter, D. Browne, P. W. Adams, D. P. Young, K. R. Thomas, J. Y. Chan, H. Kim, and R. Prozorov, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 064512 (2010).
- [26] I. Bonalde, H. Kim, R. Prozorov, C. Rojas, P. Rogl, and E. Bauer, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 134506 (2011).
- [27] P. S. Häflicher, R. Khasanov, R. Lortz, A. Petrović, K. Togano, C. Baines, B. Graneli, and H. Keller, *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.* **22**, 337 (2009).
- [28] T. P. Ying, Y. P. Qi, and H. Hosono, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 094522 (2019).
- [29] A. Suter and B. M. Wojek, *Phys. Procedia* **30**, 69 (2012).
- [30] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity* (Krieger, Malabar, FL, 1975).
- [31] R. Khasanov, R. Gupta, D. Das, A. Leithe-Jasper, and E. Svanidze, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 014514 (2020).
- [32] P. J. Hirschfeld, W. O. Putikka, and D. J. Scalapino, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 10250 (1994).
- [33] S. Serventi, G. Allodi, R. De Renzi, G. Guidi, L. Roman'o, P. Manfrinetti, A. Palenzona, C. Niedermayer, A. Amato, and C. Baines, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 217003 (2004).
- [34] E. H. Brandt, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 2349(R) (1988).
- [35] E. H. Brandt, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 054506 (2003).
- [36] R. Khasanov, H. Luetkens, A. Amato, H.-H. Klauss, Z.-A. Ren, J. Yang, W. Lu, and Z.-X. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 092506 (2008).
- [37] Z. Shermadini, J. Kanter, C. Baines, M. Bendele, Z. Bukowski, R. Khasanov, H.-H. Klauss, H. Luetkens, H. Maeter, G. Pascua, B. Batlogg, and A. Amato, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 144527 (2010).
- [38] Z. Guguchia, F. von Rohr, Z. Shermadini, A. T. Lee, S. Banerjee, A. R. Wieteska, C. A. Marianetti, B. A. Frandsen, H. Luetkens, Z. Gong, S. C. Cheung, C. Baines, A. Shengelaya, G. Taniashvili, A. N. Pasupathy, E. Morenzoni, S. J. L. Billinge, A. Amato, R. J. Cava, R. Khasanov, and Y. J. Uemura, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1082 (2017).
- [39] H. Luetkens, H.-H. Klauss, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, R. Klingeler, I. Hellmann, N. Leps, A. Kondrat, C. Hess, A. Kohler, G. Behr, J. Werner, and B. Büchner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 097009 (2008).
- [40] Y. J. Uemura, V. J. Emery, A. R. Moodenbaugh, M. Suenaga, D. C. Johnston, A. J. Jacobson, J. T. Lewandowski, J. H. Brewer, R. F. Kiefl, S. R. Kreitzman, G. M. Luke, T. Riseman, C. E. Stronach, W. J. Kossler, J. R. Kempton, X. H. Yu, D. Opie, and H. E. Schone, *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 909(R) (1988).
- [41] Y. J. Uemura, L. P. Le, G. M. Luke, B. J. Sternlieb, W. D. Wu, J. H. Brewer, T. M. Riseman, C. L. Seaman, M. B. Maple,

- M. Ishikawa, D. G. Hinks, J. D. Jorgensen, G. Saito, and H. Yamochi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 2712(E) (1992).
- [42] R. Kubo, *Hyperfine Interact.* **8**, 731 (1981).
- [43] M. Sigrist and K. Ueda, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **63**, 239 (1991).
- [44] P. Dalmás de Réotier, A. Huxley, A. Yaouanc, J. Flouquet, P. Bonville, P. Imbert, P. Pari, P. C. M. Gubbens, and A. M. Mulders, *Phys. Lett. A* **205**, 239 (1995).
- [45] W. Higemoto, K. Satoh, N. Nishida, A. Koda, K. Nagamine, Y. Haga, E. Yamamoto, N. Kimura, and Y. Onuki, *Physica B* **281**, 984 (2000).
- [46] G. M. Luke, A. Keren, L. P. Le, W. D. Wu, Y. J. Uemura, D. A. Bonn, L. Taillefer, and J. D. Garrett, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 1466 (1993).
- [47] E. R. Schemm, W. J. Gannon, C. M. Wishne, W. P. Halperin, and A. Kapitulnik, *Science* **345**, 190 (2014).
- [48] E. Bauer, R. T. Khan, H. Michor, E. Royanian, A. Grytsiv, N. Melnychenko-Koblyuk, P. Rogl, D. Reith, R. Podloucky, E.-W. Scheidt, W. Wolf, and M. Marsman, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 064504 (2009).
- [49] M. Smidman, A. D. Hillier, D. T. Adroja, M. R. Lees, V. K. Anand, R. P. Singh, R. I. Smith, D. M. Paul, and G. Balakrishnan, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 094509 (2014).