Fixed-target pump–probe SFX: eliminating the scourge of light contamination

Guillaume Gotthard,a,b,c‡ Andrea Flores-Ibarra,d‡ Melissa Carrillo,e‡ Michal W. Kepa,b Thomas J. Mason,b,c Dennis P. Stegmann,c Bence Olasz,d Magdalena Pachota,d Florian Dworowski,‡ Dmitry Ozerov,g Bill F. Pedrini,h Celestino Padeste,e John H. Beale,c* and Przemyslaw Noglya,d*

Received 8 December 2023
Accepted 11 June 2024

Keywords: time-resolved crystallography; fixed targets; X-ray free-electron lasers; room-temperature crystallography; pump–probe; photoreceptor light–oxygen–voltage domains; serial femtosecond crystallography; light contamination; sample consumption.

X-ray free-electron laser (XFEL) light sources have enabled the rapid growth of time-resolved structural experiments, which provide crucial information on the function of macromolecules and their mechanisms. Here, the aim was to commission the SwissMX fixed-target sample-delivery system at the SwissFEL Cristallina experimental station using the PSI-developed micro-structured polymer (MISP) chip for pump–probe time-resolved experiments. To characterize the system, crystals of the light-sensitive protein light–oxygen–voltage domain 1 (LOV1) from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii were used. Using different experimental settings, the accidental illumination, referred to as light contamination, of crystals mounted in wells adjacent to those illuminated by the pump laser was examined. It was crucial to control the light scattering from and through the solid supports otherwise significant contamination occurred. However, the results here show that the opaque MISP chips are suitable for defined pump–probe studies of a light-sensitive protein. The experiment also probed the sub-millisecond structural dynamics of LOV1 and indicated that at $\Delta t = 10$ μs a covalent thioether bond is established between reactive Cys57 and its flavin mononucleotide cofactor. This experiment validates the crystals to be suitable for in-depth follow-up studies of this still poorly understood signal-transduction mechanism. Importantly, the fixed-target delivery system also permitted a tenfold reduction in protein sample consumption compared with the more common high-viscosity extrusion-based delivery system. This development creates the prospect of an increase in XFEL project throughput for the field.

1. Introduction

The emergence of serial femtosecond crystallography (SFX) at X-ray free-electron laser (XFEL) sources and the development of its synchrotron counterpart, serial synchrotron crystallography (SSX), has furthered the reach of macromolecular crystallography (MX) in time-resolved sciences and the study of protein dynamics. Although continuous efforts are being made to adapt reaction-triggering technologies, such as substrate mixing (Olmos et al., 2018; Mehrabi, Schulz, Agthe et al., 2019), optical laser pumping of a light-absorbing molecule remains the default choice for the vast majority of pump–probe experiments. This is unsurprising given the SFX community’s ongoing focus on light-sensitive proteins and/or ligands (Brändén & Neutze, 2021). However, continued
efforts have been made to find general adaptations to expand the scope of laser pump–probe methods beyond intrinsically light-sensitive proteins. These include the laser-triggered release of photocages (Schulz et al., 2022), biological photo-switches (Wranik et al., 2023) and temperature jump induction in non-photoactive targets (Wolff et al., 2023).

The very high peak brilliance of XFEL sources spurred the ‘diffraction before destruction’ approach methodology (Neutze et al., 2000; Chapman et al., 2011; Boutet et al., 2012) and created the need for rapid and efficient sample-delivery systems (also beneficial in SSX). The most widely used delivery systems include injectors using gas-focusing nozzles (DePonte et al., 2009), electrospun liquid microjets (Sierra et al., 2012) and high-viscosity extruders (HVEs), which have proved their utility for both crystals of membrane proteins grown in the lipidic cubic phase (LCP) and crystals of soluble proteins (Weierstall et al., 2014; Fromme et al., 2015; Nogly et al., 2015; Botha et al., 2015). Together these technologies have resulted in many novel insights into protein dynamics and are widely used for time-resolved SFX (TR-SFX) experiments.

The sample efficiency of jet-based delivery methods in SFX measurements is dependent upon the repetition rate of the source, the diameter and flow rate of the jet, and the X-ray energy. The flow rates of liquid jets, such as the gas dynamic virtual nozzle (GDVN), need to be high enough to maintain a liquid filament. In a continuous jet, this is very sample consumptive at \( \sim 10 \ \mu l \ min^{-1} \) for a jet running at 10 ms\(^{-1}\), although this can be offset by pulsing or dripping the jet in sync with the XFEL pulse (Weierstall, 2014). High sample consumption can also be mitigated by increasing the repetition rate of the source to reduce gaps between the illuminated parts of the jet (Gisriel et al., 2019; Grünbein et al., 2018; Wiedorn et al., 2018). Using a 100 kHz source, compared with a 100 Hz source, would reduce the distance between adjacent pulses within the jet from 100 to 0.1 mm.

HVEs operate at flow rates and jet speeds more suited to the majority of low repetition rate (>1 kHz) sources currently available (as of the time of writing, May 2024). The development of the HVE significantly increased sample efficiency compared with GDVNs, as stable filaments can be maintained with jet speeds in the hundreds of micrometres per second. This translates to sample usage rates below 0.01 \( \mu l\) min\(^{-1}\). However, despite this improvement for low-repetition-rate sources, sample consumption for HVEs could still be improved, as part of the injected sample is still not probed by the X-ray beam.

This sample efficiency is further reduced when performing pump–probe measurements. During a pump–probe experiment, the jet speed typically has to be increased to refresh the sample within the laser-excitation area to preclude shot-to-shot light contamination. This increase is due to the larger beam profile of the pump laser with respect to the X-rays, and the distance between adjacent XFEL shots needs to be safely larger than the width of the pump pulse (Smolentsev et al., 2013). Therefore, a trade-off has to be found between crystal concentration, repetition rate, and the jet width and velocities, to ensure that the sample is not overly wasted and that the experiment is properly performed. A reduction of crystal concentration and/or XFEL repetition rate will negatively impact the beam-time efficiency. Preparations for experiments often require months of work invested in purifying and crystallizing sufficient protein quantities. Therefore, any improvement in sample and beam-time efficiency is of great interest to the structural biology field.

An alternative to jet-based systems is a fixed-target delivery system where protein micro-crystals are immobilized on a solid support or chip (Zarrine-Afsar et al., 2012). These techniques emerged later than their jet-based cousins but have proved to be a robust and user-friendly sample-delivery system. Fixed targets were first applied to SFX at LCLS, where a micro-crystal slurry was applied to a silicon nitride membrane and rastered through in step with the XFEL pulse (Hunter et al., 2014). Since then, a large variety of different supports have emerged. These can be roughly grouped by whether or not they have apertures and whether those apertures are aligned to the beam during data collection (Carrillo et al., 2023). For these aperture-aligned fixed targets, the key development was the coupling of the precision silicon fabrication technique (Oghbey et al., 2016; Mueller et al., 2015) to stage motion and alignment strategies (Sherrell et al., 2015). The three prominent examples of these apertured targets are the HARE (‘hit and return’) chip (Mehraei et al., 2020), the Oxford chip (Ebrahim et al., 2019) and the micro-structured polymer (MISP) chip (Carrillo et al., 2023). Examples of the raster-based targets are the nylon mesh and enclosed film (NAM)-based sample holder (Park et al., 2020; Nam et al., 2021), the advanced lightweight encapsulator for crystallography (ALEX) mesh holder (Sherrell et al., 2022), and the MPI sheet-on-sheet (SOS) chip (Doak et al., 2018). Solid supports have typically shown a decrease in sample use compared with the jets, without the need for gas flow or electrodes (Hunter et al., 2014; Mueller et al., 2015; Doak et al., 2018). Given the utility of the fixed targets and optical lasers for time-resolved crystallography, chip developers must ensure that their tools are compatible with pump–probe experiments using optical lasers.

As for all pump–probe sample-delivery systems, a significant issue when using fixed targets is how to ensure that samples remain free from light prior to laser illumination and that only the desired crystals are illuminated at the designated moment. The former of these forms of light contamination can also be thought of as unintended pre-illumination from the environment. This environmental contamination can occur at any moment from attempting to load the sample into the delivery system and could result from a poor choice of darkroom lamps during sample loading, transporting loaded samples to the hutch or perhaps poor shielding of hutch lights/light-emitting diodes [Fig. 1(a)]. The latter comes from contamination of adjacent crystals with respect to the desired crystal from the optical laser pulse [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)]. For example, data collected during a pump–probe experiment typically interleave dark and laser-pumped XFEL exposures. If the laser and delivery-system parameters are not properly characterized, significant light contamination of both the...
interleaved ‘light’ and ‘dark’ diffraction patterns can occur. This contamination, ultimately, prevents the correct interpretation of relevant structural changes and renders the experiment pointless.

In jet and extruder-based delivery systems, the oncoming crystals are housed in the opaque enclosure of the reservoir. Environmental pre-illumination is a concern during sample preparation and loading, but, once complete, this risk is minimized due to the small area of environment exposure during data collection [Fig. 1(a)]. Nevertheless, adjacent-crystal contamination is still a significant concern. However, contamination, if it does occur, will be limited to the single axis of the jet and will likely be restricted to the immediately following shot(s) [Fig. 1(b)].

Unfortunately, both of these risks are amplified when using fixed targets. Firstly, the whole chip is left exposed to its surroundings and, therefore, the risk of environmental contamination is increased [Fig. 1(a)]. Pump-laser contamination in fixed targets is also more pervasive than in the jet since the adjacent crystals are distributed in two dimensions. This means that light contamination can propagate much further in time from the deliberately pumped crystal [Fig. 1(c)]. This could be tens of milliseconds or even seconds depending on the location of contamination and the repetition rate used for data collection. This uncertainty in the effective time delay of the probed region can ultimately make any subsequent analysis very challenging or, most likely, impossible.

XFELs are uniquely suited to the study of ultrafast dynamics on the femtosecond–microsecond scale; however, fixed targets have yet to be exploited for time-resolved work at XFELs, although their utility has been proven for similar experiments at synchrotrons (Mehrabi, Schulz, Dsouza et al., 2019). The serial crystallography with solid-support MX (SwissMX) endstation at the SwissFEL Cristallina experimental station (Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland) and PSI-developed MISP chips were designed to address this gap. However, extensive tests are obviously essential to validate these tools for optical pump X-ray probe experiments. This work describes a commissioning experiment conducted in November 2022 at the SwissFEL Cristallina experimental station. The aim was to find and understand the parameters further in time from the deliberately pumped crystal [Fig. 1(c)]. This could be tens of milliseconds or even seconds depending on the location of contamination and the repetition rate used for data collection. This uncertainty in the effective time delay of the probed region can ultimately make any intelligent subsequent analysis very challenging or, most likely, impossible.

Figure 1
Practical differences between potential regions of light contamination in fixed targets and HVEs. (a) A comparison of pump–probe in HVEs and fixed targets, highlighting the increased access of environmental stray photons to the sample when mounted in fixed targets compared with jets. (b) A simplified schematic drawing demonstrating pump-laser contamination in an HVE. Crystal \( n \) is currently illuminated with X-rays. As the jet descends, crystals \( n + 1, n + 2, \ldots \), will descend into the X-ray path. The pump laser is also currently illuminating \( n \), but is also partially illuminating \( n + 1 \) and leading to light contamination. (c) A schematic drawing of a 1:3 interleaved light:dark setup in a MISP chip with an incompatibly large laser spot for the experiment. Each ‘light well’ (A1, A3, A5, etc.) is correctly illuminated. The laser will also weakly illuminate any crystals in the ‘dark wells’ (A2, A4, A6, etc.) and lead to light contamination. Importantly, however, the light contamination will occur between both consecutive apertures (A1 to A2, 10 ms at 100 Hz) and adjacent apertures, i.e. A1 to B1. The contamination of these adjacent apertures gives rise to much longer reaction timescales; A1 to B1 = 3.24 s. (d), (e) Schematic drawings of the two potential orientations of an apertured fixed target in a pump–probe experiment. The sample area is shown in yellow, the cavities in grey and the X-ray in red. The stage path is depicted as a dashed cyan line and the pump laser is shown in blue.
that enable time-resolved pump–probe experiments using the SwissMX and MISP chips (Carrillo et al., 2022). To achieve this, a pump–probe experiment was performed collecting interleaved dark (no laser) and light (laser on) diffraction images (hereafter referred to as interleaved dark and interleaved light, respectively) in a 1:1 ratio with both transparent and opaque MISP chips. The protein used in these experiments was the light–oxygen–voltage (LOV) domain 1 from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (*Cr*LOV1). *Cr*LOV1 is a light-sensitive protein domain that undergoes a long photocycle (~200 s cycle time). This long photocycle is, therefore, ideal for the purpose of investigating light contamination in fixed targets where any unsolicited light originating from stray photons from adjacent cavities can occur on the millisecond to tens of seconds scales. A covalent thioether bond forms between the flavin mononucleotide (FMN) cofactor and Cys57 in the flavin binding pocket after photoexcitation (Fedov et al., 2003). This distinctive signature makes *Cr*LOV1 an ideal candidate to benchmark data-collection schemes using fixed targets with respect to light contamination.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Expression and purification

The expression and purification protocols have been described previously (Gotthard et al., 2023). Briefly, the expressed protein sequence was amino acids 16–133 of the Phot1 protein LOV1 domain from *C. reinhardtii*. The protein possesses an N-terminal His tag followed by a factor Xa protease site. The protein was expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 DE3 using auto-inducible media (Studier, 2005). The expressed protein was purified by Ni²⁺ affinity followed by size-exclusion chromatography. Fractions corresponding to the protein were pooled and concentrated to 10 mg ml⁻¹ for crystallization.

2.2. Crystallization

Limited proteolysis with trypsin allowed a more reproducible and controlled crystallization process (Gotthard et al., 2023). Microcrystals were grown at 293 K in batch with a 2:1 protein-to-precipitant condition ratio in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate pH 6.5, 1.0 M sodium citrate dibasic trihydrate. An average crystal size of 25 μm ± 7 μm was measured using a Leica microscope (Kaminski et al., 2022). The crystal concentration was measured using a cell counter and estimated to be 1.0–2.0 × 10⁷ crystals ml⁻¹.

2.3. Chip mounting

Firstly, the crystal concentration was adjusted by diluting the microcrystal slurry with crystallization solution to a final concentration of 1–2 × 10⁶ crystals ml⁻¹. Subsequently, 400 μl of crystalline suspension was pipetted onto a MISP chip that was made either with transparent cyclic olefin polymer (COP) film or with an opaque film made by mixing 10% (w/w) carbon black with cyclic olefin copolymer (COC) (Carrillo et al., 2023). Once loaded, the MISP chip was placed on a loading stage connected to a vacuum pump that served to remove the excess mother liquor and funnel the crystals into the wells. Filter paper was occasionally required to blot away any excess solution. After this, the chip was placed onto MISP-chip holders (Carrillo et al., 2023) that sealed the chip inside two pieces of 6 μm Mylar film and maintained the crystal hydration. This was then placed inside a darkened humidity chamber kept at 80% relative humidity and transported to the beamline. X-ray data collection was performed at the Cristallina experimental station of the SwissFEL using the SwissMX endstation. Due to concerns over crystal hydration, only five chips were consecutively loaded and kept in the humidity chamber at a time. Chips were manually mounted from the humidified chamber to SwissMX.

2.4. Beamline setup and data collection

Data were collected over a 24 h period on 27 November 2022. The X-ray beam energy was 12.4 keV with a pulse energy at the sample position of ~50 μJ. The X-rays were focused using Kirkpatrick–Baez mirrors to a spot size of ~1.5 × 1.5 μm and the repetition rate was 100 Hz. The pulse width was ~35 fs root mean square. The diffraction data were recorded on a JUNGFRAU 8 Mpixel detector. Collecting each set of five chips stored in the humidity chamber took ~50–60 min. The chips were kept at room temperature (296–298 K, depending on the location within SwissFEL).

2.5. Laser coupling

As of November 2022, the Cristallina hutch was not provided with a through-space connection to a pump laser. The SwissMX endstation was limited to a 70 m fibre connection to a nanosecond laser located in the SwissFEL laser room. Due to this constraint, the decision was taken to couple the laser to the sample position through the SwissMX on-axis-viewing (OAV) system. Such couplings have been demonstrated at synchrotron protein crystallography beamlines (Pompidor et al., 2013; Madden et al., 2013), and this solution offered the best compromise between the final achievable focus and the meshing with other instrumentation at the sample position.

For pump–probe experiments using fixed targets for sample delivery, the key parameter for avoiding light contamination of unprobed crystals by the pump laser is the laser focal-spot size. The efficiency of the fixed target is dependent upon the number of crystal locations that can be squeezed onto the chip surface. For the apertured fixed targets, this means having a small pitch between adjacent cavities. The achievable laser focus size, therefore, has a direct influence on the efficiency of the experiment, as an increase in the diameter of the focus will necessitate an increase in the aperture pitch or in the spacing of the XFEL probed cavities.

The laser spot size was estimated to be 50 × 50 μm based on its reflection from a piece of opaque COP film held at the OAV focus. The OAV system was calibrated against known distances, but it was impossible to accurately infer from this research papers
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the 1/e² or full width at half-maximum of the spot profile. The laser wavelength was set at 450 nm with a pulse energy of ~2 μJ at the sample position. The duration of the laser pulse was 3 ns (FWHM) and the laser was not polarized due to the fibre coupling. Given the average crystal dimensions of 25 × 25 × 25 μm, the ε_{50nm} for the flavin was estimated to be 11 300 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for the free flavin. Since the beam profile could not be visualized, it was not possible to determine whether the beam profile was more top-hat or Gaussian. Given this ambiguity, we estimate the mean number of photons per chromophore to be between 1.6 and 3.2 (Grünebein et al., 2020).

2.6. Pump–probe setup

X-ray data collection over the whole chip in a dark environment without any laser excitation was used as a ‘reference’ for the calculation of the Fourier difference electron-density maps (F_{obs} – F_{calc}). Light contamination from the transparent and opaque chips and the SwissMX setup was assessed using two chip orientations: ‘open’, with the wider side of the cavity directed towards the laser, and ‘flat’, with the wider side of the cavity facing the detector. All pump–probe data were collected at a 1:1 ratio of interleaved light:dark, i.e. XFEL at 100 Hz, nanosecond laser at 50 Hz, giving a laser pulse in every other aperture.

2.7. Data processing

Serial data processing was performed using the CrystFEL version 0.10.1 software suite (White, 2019). Diffraction hits were identified using the peakfinder8 and XGANDALF (Gevorkov et al., 2019) algorithms with a hexagonal unit cell (a = b = 122, c = 46 Å). Peak integration was performed using the three-rings methods in indexamajig with integration radii of 2, 3 and 5 pixels. Indexing rates were between 50 and 80%. The interleaved-dark and interleaved-light image lists were generated by labelling images with a ‘laser-on’ event generated by the SwissFEL event master. This event was passed to the JUNGFRAU detector while data were collected, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Data-collection parameters and data reduction for the first experiment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laser off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data-collection parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chip type</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chip orientation</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δτ (μs)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamline, endstation</td>
<td>ARAMIS, Cris-tallina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector</td>
<td>JUNGFRAU 8M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray energy (keV)</td>
<td>12.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser wavelength (nm)</td>
<td>~50 × 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser profile (μm)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition rate (Hz)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (μm²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P6₃22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions a, b, c (Å), α, β, γ (°)</td>
<td>122.6, 122.6, 46.6, 90, 90, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collected images</td>
<td>201760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexed lattices</td>
<td>151790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexing rate (%)</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
<td>37.11–1.42 (1.44–1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections</td>
<td>6612099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>3846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>131.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{merge} (%)</td>
<td>0.9988 (0.6806)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B factor (Å²)</td>
<td>4.97 (25.57)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
propagated with it thereafter. By following the laser events, the interleaved data could be indexed and integrated independently. Stream files were merged using partialator using the unity partiality model with a pushres option of 1.6–2.0 nm$^{-1}$. Furthermore, $hkl$ files were converted into the mtz format with $2mntz$ from the CCP4 suite (Winn et al., 2011). A resolution cut-off was applied when $CC_{1/2}$ was falling below 30%. Dataset statistics are reported in Table 1.

2.8. Isomorphous difference maps

Fourier difference electron-density maps were calculated using the phenix.fobs_minus_fobs_map program from the Phenix suite (Liebschner et al., 2019). A resolution cut-off of 1.8 Å and a sigma cut-off of 3.0 were applied, and the multiscale option was used to calculate the difference maps. The presence of contamination was observed by subtracting data collected without laser illumination (laser off) from the interleaved data (interleaved dark or light): $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark-or-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$. Assuming that no contamination can be observed, the signal from $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}}$ should also be the same as the interleaved difference map, $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}}$. Figures were prepared using PyMOL (DeLano, 2002).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Pump–probe with fixed targets

Here, we present laser-triggered pump–probe experiments using the SwissMX endstation and MISP chips at the SwissFEL Cristallina experimental station. To translate samples, SwissMX is equipped with two orthogonal linear stages (Parker), for $x$ and $y$ motions, and two additional stages of $z$ and $x$ motion (Standa). The MISP chips have a reliable active area of 162 $\times$ 162 pyramidal cavities totalling 26 244 apertures per chip. All data from the chips were collected in a serpentine-like pattern. Fig. 2 shows the final stage of the optical pump-laser coupling through the SwissMX OAV system. The setup is currently only used for in-air data collection. Therefore, scatter guards are required to catch the beam from the OAV system to the sample and from the sample to the detector face. The total exposed length to air is ~15 mm.

Data were collected on two different chip types, named ‘transparent’ and ‘opaque’ from their transparency to the visible spectrum or lack thereof, and in two different orientations, ‘open’ and ‘flat’. The transparent chips were made from commercially available 50 μm COP film, whereas the opaque chips were fabricated with an in-house cast film using COC pellets and the addition of carbon black powder (Carrillo et al., 2023)

Time-resolved spectroscopy experiments on the CrLOV1 used in this work indicate that it undergoes the formation of a covalent thioether bond between its FMN cofactor and Cys57 10 μs after photoexcitation (Kottke et al., 2003; Holzer et al., 2002), which then persists late into the photocycle (Fedoro et al., 2003; Kottke et al., 2003) (Fig. 3). Given the significant strength of the expected signal in the Fourier difference maps for the covalent bond formation, a 10 μs time delay was selected to test the suitability of the CrLOV1 crystals for TR-SFX experiments and to make use of their long (~200 s) photocycle to serve as a light-contamination indicator.

We employed a 1:1 interleaved light:dark experimental routine with the XFEL at 100 Hz and the pump laser at 50 Hz. While a comprehensive analysis of the LOV-FMN light-activated structure is beyond the scope of this article, it suffices to expect a photoactivated structure with the FMN–Cys covalent adduct formation in the laser-exposed crystals. The unpumped crystals should yield a dark-state structure without the thioether bond signature. We also collected SFX data entirely

---

**Figure 2**

A schematic drawing of the pump–probe experimental setup at the SwissMX endstation at the SwissFEL Cristallina experimental station. The pump laser (yellow) is coupled to the sample position via the endstation’s OAV system. An exchangeable dichroic mirror (light green) enables different pump wavelengths to be reflected whilst transmitting light for the chip alignment into a camera (not shown in this diagram). The X-rays pass through the centre of the drilled objective and prism of the final part of the laser coupling. Air scatter from the X-rays is minimized using pre- and post-sample scatter guards. The blue box highlights an area of the chip showing a 1:1 interleaved light:dark scheme, where X-rays are delivered to every well and laser pumps only to every other.
Figure 3
Thio-Adduct formation between CrLOV1 and its FMN cofactor upon illumination. Crystal structures of the C. reinhardtii LOV1 domain in light and dark stationary states present two conformations of the binding-site cysteine (Cys57) (Fedorov et al., 2003). Blue-light absorption causes the formation of a covalent bond between the flavin C(4a) and the thiol of Cys57 within 10 μs. The reaction proceeds through an excited flavin singlet to a triplet state that then decays monotonically to the adduct (Holzer et al., 2002; Kottke et al., 2003), in which this cysteine moves ~1.5 Å closer to the FMN-C(4a) for adduct formation (Fedorov et al., 2003). Thio-Adduct formation then triggers rearrangements throughout the whole LOV domain (Gotthard et al., 2023).

3.2. Assessment of contamination

The TR-SFX experiment was carried out with a Δt = 10 μs between the laser pump and X-ray probe pulses. Fourier difference electron-density maps were evaluated in terms of photoactivation yield and potential light contamination in the nearby wells. The transparent-chip setup yielded a positive signal indicating thioether bond formation in the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ [Fig. 4(a)], $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ [Fig. 4(b)] and $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ [Fig. 4(c)]. While it shows features characteristic of the light-activated state, the light signal present in the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ map indicates that each pump laser pulse did not only photoactivate a single well of the chip but also that the light reached the adjacent wells leading to light contamination. Although light contamination was more likely in the transparent chips, the extent of its prevalence was not expected. Interestingly, the signal for $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ was as strong as for the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}}$ maps. The light contamination was likely due to the transmission of the laser light orthogonally through the chip from the scattering source, either via interaction with the crystal, chip or both.

Next, we performed tests using the opaque MISP chips in the two orientations (open and flat). The open orientation, which allows the most light to reach the sample and maximizes the excited fraction of molecules, again yielded a positive signal, indicating the thioether bond formation in the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ map [Fig. 4(d)]. Despite yielding significantly lower signals than in the case of the transparent chips, contamination was still evident. Qualitatively similar signal was also present in the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ maps [Fig. 4(e)] and $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}}$ maps [Fig. 4(f)].

However, in the alternative chip orientation, with the flat side of the cavity facing towards the X-ray and optical laser beams (open side) and one in the opposite direction (flat side) [Figs. 1(d) and 1(e)]. The transparent chip was only tested in one orientation (open).

The TR-SFX experiment was carried out with a Δt = 10 μs between the laser pump and X-ray probe pulses. Fourier difference electron-density maps were evaluated in terms of photoactivation yield and potential light contamination in the nearby wells. The transparent-chip setup yielded a positive signal indicating thioether bond formation in the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ [Fig. 4(a)], $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ [Fig. 4(b)] and $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ [Fig. 4(c)]. While it shows features characteristic of the light-activated state, the light signal present in the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ map indicates that each pump laser pulse did not only photoactivate a single well of the chip but also that the light reached the adjacent wells leading to light contamination. Although light contamination was more likely in the transparent chips, the extent of its prevalence was not expected. Interestingly, the signal for $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ was as strong as for the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}}$ maps. The light contamination was likely due to the transmission of the laser light orthogonally through the chip from the scattering source, either via interaction with the crystal, chip or both.

Next, we performed tests using the opaque MISP chips in the two orientations (open and flat). The open orientation, which allows the most light to reach the sample and maximizes the excited fraction of molecules, again yielded a positive signal, indicating the thioether bond formation in the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ map [Fig. 4(d)]. Despite yielding significantly lower signals than in the case of the transparent chips, contamination was still evident. Qualitatively similar signal was also present in the $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{laser-off}}$ maps [Fig. 4(e)] and $F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-light}} - F_{\text{obs}}^{\text{interleaved-dark}}$ maps [Fig. 4(f)].

However, in the alternative chip orientation, with the flat side of the cavity facing towards the X-ray and optical laser beams (open side) and one in the opposite direction (flat side) [Figs. 1(d) and 1(e)]. The transparent chip was only tested in one orientation (open).

The reason for the success of the experiment in the flat orientation compared with the open orientation was likely due to the reduced potential exposure of the crystals in this orientation (Fig. 5). As stated in Materials and methods, due to issues at the beginning of beam time, the laser beam profile could not be precisely measured, only approximately inferred from scattered light off a black film. This means that the laser profile could conceivably be larger than the 50 × 50 μm estimate. Fig. 5(a) shows how an increased laser profile could enable light contamination in the open chip orientation. The flat orientation could, by restricting the view of the crystals by
the pump laser, help to prevent the contamination even with a larger laser profile. It is possible that stray scattered light of either the collimator or the chip sealing film may have been a contaminating factor [Fig. 5(b)]. Another potential contributing factor was the synchronization of the stage motion and the XFEL pulse. Subsequent experiments have shown that the XFEL pulse was delivered \(\pm 1\) ms (12 \(\mu\)m) behind its intended location, i.e. the XFEL pulse was not hitting the centre of each aperture but 12 \(\mu\)m off on the side of the aperture [Fig. 5(c)].

### 3.3. Reduction in sample consumption

Sample preparation is a laborious and challenging task for TR-SFX experiments. Various sample parameters must be considered and optimized depending on the planned experiment and delivery system used. Not only does the sample need to be of high quality but the large quantities of crystalline protein can also require months of sample production in preparation for every experiment. One of the attractive features of fixed targets is their low sample consumption when
compared with other delivery methods. The sample consumption from our fixed-target TR-SFX experiment was calculated to be only \( \sim 200 \mu g \) for the collection of 10,000 indexed lattices. For comparison, Table 2 shows the quantities of samples consumed in several jet-based experiments with 120 Hz and lower X-ray pulse repetition rates. The development of the HVE for sample delivery was a significant improvement (>10\( \times \)) when it comes to sample consumption compared with the first GD VN experiments, and has now been responsible for a considerable number of successful TR-SFX experiments (Nogly et al., 2018, 2016; Mous et al., 2022; Nango et al., 2016; Suga et al., 2017; Skopintsev et al., 2020; Claesson et al., 2020; Nass Kovacs et al., 2019; James et al., 2019; Hosaka et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2022; Maestre-Reyna et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021). However, as noted in Introduction, experiments at high pulse repetition rate XFEL facilities should significantly reduce sample consumption with GD VN delivery (Pandey et al., 2020).

The use of the MISP chip reported here required approximately ten times less sample than HVEs, with less than 1 mg of protein required for a full dataset. With every improvement in sample delivery, TR-SFX becomes more accessible to a larger scientific community and a wider range of interesting targets, many of which may not be easily overexpressed in greater quantities. Another practical point is that the preparation for the experiments can now be shortened in many cases from months to weeks, facilitating timely completion of the TR-SFX projects.

A drawback of sample delivery using the MISP chips is the time that it takes for loading and mounting each chip in a humid environment and for transporting the chips in a humidity chamber from the dark room to the beamline, 

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein [pulse mode of XFEL]</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Sample used per 10000 indexed images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photoactivatable yellow protein [120 Hz] (Pande et al., 2016; Pandey et al., 2020)</td>
<td>GDVN</td>
<td>75 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteriorhodopsin [120 Hz] (Nogly et al., 2018), halorhodopsin [50 Hz] (Mous et al., 2022)</td>
<td>HVE (LCP)</td>
<td>2.0–2.6 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isocyanide hydratase [n/a] (Dasgupta et al., 2019)</td>
<td>CoMESH</td>
<td>2.5–3.0 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOV domain 1 [100 Hz] MISP chip</td>
<td>MISP chip</td>
<td>0.20 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5

Possible explanations for the light contamination being observed in the open orientation but not in the flat orientation. (a) A schematic drawing of the X-ray/pump-laser view of the chip in the open and flat orientations. In the open view, the entire crystal is visible, enabling contamination via a large laser profile. The same large laser profile in the flat orientation does not give rise to contamination due to the restricted view of the crystals. (b) Schematic drawings showing how potential scattered pump laser from the pre-sample scatter guard could give rise to light contamination. Again, the restricted view of the crystals in the flat orientation prevents contamination of crystals in adjacent wells. (c) An image collected with the help of the Max Planck Institute, Heidelberg using an SOS chip (Doak et al., 2018) containing 1 M cadmium chloride and a schematic drawing of its implications for the MISP chip. The image was collected using the OAV camera of SwissMX. The yellow grid shows the intended shot locations. The red arrow shows the direction of travel of the stages. Due to the 1 ms offset of the stage motion and XFEL, the XFEL shot is 12 \( \mu m \) off the aperture centre.
procedure that took around half an hour for five mounted chips. In addition, light contamination was an important concern when compared with jet-based experiments, where the sample is enclosed and stored in a dark reservoir until it is finally injected in a stream. The MISP chips, and other patterned fixed targets, are also not obviously suitable for membrane protein crystals grown in LCP. These crystals are hard to separate from the cubic phase and fail to populate the apertures. However, moving the LPC-grown crystals into the much less viscous sponge phase could provide a valuable alternative. Our commissioning experiment shows that light-contamination-free SFX and TR-SFX data can still be acquired using the flat orientation of the chip. Furthermore, there is also the potential to employ robotic systems for the chip mounting that will undoubtedly increase the efficiency of beam-time usage.

4. Conclusions

XFELs have allowed for the rapid growth of time-resolved structural experiments, which provide crucial information on the function of biological machines and molecular mechanisms. This is the first experiment of its type using a light-activated protein CrLOV1 at the Cristallina endstation of the SwissFEL and using the MISP chips for sample delivery. The light contamination present with the transparent MISP chip was interesting to observe and showed the absolute necessity for using an opaque chip. With the opaque chips, the laser spot size and various other parameters are still critical to the success of the experiment. Many of these, particularly the laser spot profile, undoubtedly lead to the contamination observed in the open orientation. Future commissioning experiments will focus on enabling TR-SFX in the open orientation. This conformation has the greater propensity for crystal excitation, as the flat orientation is very unforgiving for crystals that are not perfectly sitting inside the aperture and if the chip is slightly misaligned. The reported experiments are an important step towards making XFEL fixed-target sample delivery compatible with pump–probe time-resolved experiments and making these experiments more sample efficient. Increasing sample and experimental-time efficiency will make these experiments more attainable for the general structural biology community.
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