Microscopic coexistence of antiferromagnetic and spin-glass states
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The disordered antiferromagnet PbFe1/2Nb1/2O3 is investigated in a wide temperature range by combining Mössbauer spectroscopy and neutron diffraction experiments. It is demonstrated that the magnetic ground state is a microscopic coexistence of antiferromagnetic and spin-glass orders. This spin-glass like phase features frozen-in short-range fluctuations of the Fe$^{3+}$ magnetic moments that are transverse to the long-range ordered antiferromagnetic spin component.

Phase transitions in the presence of disorder and/or competing interactions are one of the central unresolved problems in modern condensed matter physics.1–4 With both effects present, one may encounter a freezing of microscopic degrees of freedom without conventional long-range order. In magnetic systems, the corresponding phenomenon is referred to as a spin-glass (SG) transition.5 By now, spin glasses are reasonably well understood for models with discrete (Ising) symmetries and long-range interactions.6,7 In contrast, for continuous (Heisenberg and XY) symmetries with short-range coupling, the properties and sometimes the very existence of the SG phase remain a matter of debate.8–12 An important outstanding question is whether the SG phase can coexist with true long-range order (LRO).12,13 Theory14–16 and numerical studies17–20 have consistently provided an affirmative answer; see Ref. 21 for a review. Both ferromagnetic (FM)15 and antiferromagnetic (AF)16 models demonstrate a SG freezing of spin components transverse to the long-range order parameter. The problem gained a particular urgency in the context of cuprate superconductors, where SG and AF phases are adjacent on the concentration-temperature phase diagram but appear to be mutually exclusive.22,23

On the experimental side though, the situation is much less clear-cut and hotly debated. Most hurdles on this route are the known measurement issues endemic to spin glasses.1,24,25 In addition, even if long-range order and SG are shown to appear simultaneously, it may be extremely difficult to establish their coexistence on the microscopic scale, as opposed to an inhomogeneous phase separation. A great deal of work was done on amorphous, ferromagnetic Fe$_2$Zr$_{100-x}$ alloys. While strong support for uniformly coexisting SG and LRO in these systems have been presented20,26–28 evidence pointing to a cluster-based scenario also exists.29 In crystalline materials, simultaneous AF and SG states have been observed in Fe$_{0.8}$Mn$_{0.2}$TiO$_3$ (Refs. 30 and 31) and Co$_2$(OH)$_3$PO$_4$.32 However, even in these Ising systems, the microscopic nature of such coexistence is not unambiguous.31,32

A solid experimental proof of microscopic SG and LRO coexistence in a crystalline material remains elusive. Besides finding an appropriate model compound, one has to strategically choose the experimental techniques. Momentum-resolved (scattering) experiments are well suited to probe microscopic quantities averaged over the entire sample, but do not provide spatially resolved information. In contrast, local-probe resonant methods are ideal tools for validating homogeneity on the microscopic level, but carry no information on spatial coherence. Only by merging the two approaches can the coexistence of complex phases be unambiguously established. In this Rapid Communication we report combined Mössbauer spectroscopy and neutron scattering experiments on the disordered AF PbFe$_{1/2}$Nb$_{1/2}$O$_3$ (PFN). We prove that in this crystalline Heisenberg AF system SG and true AF long-range order coexist on the microscopic scale.

Our target material, abbreviated PFN, is a well-known complex perovskite. Its structure is shown in Fig. 1 (left panel). The Pb ions reside at the corners of the unit cell, while oxygen octahedra surround the Fe and Nb sites. The material is nearly cubic with a lattice constant $a = 4.01$ Å.33 Although the spin Hamiltonian of PFN has not been established, it is believed that nearest-neighbor Heisenberg interactions are frustrated by next-nearest-neighbor AF ones. The magnetic Fe$^{3+}$ and Nb$^{5+}$ are randomly distributed over the $B$ sites of the perovskite lattice.33,34 The nonmagnetic sites locally relieve the geometric frustration of interactions in a spatially random manner. AF long-range order occurs below $T_S \sim 145$ K. The Fe$^{3+}$ moments are arranged in a simple $G$-type structure35 as shown by blue arrows in the right panel of Fig. 1. The spin-glass state emerges at a lower temperature, $T_{SG} \sim 12$ K. It is manifested in a difference between magnetization curves measured in zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled samples.36 What is known from combined muon-spin rotation ($\mu$SR) and neutron-scattering studies, is that the long-range AF order in PFN is not destroyed by the appearance of a SG state.37 However, based on the magnetoelastic (ME) experiments, it has been argued that this coexistence is a phase separation.38

In this model, the two types of order emerge independently on separate subsystems: infinite-range percolation cluster (AF) and isolated Fe$^{3+}$ ions and unblocked superantiferromagnetic Fe$^{3+}$ clusters (SG). Below we shall demonstrate that, in fact, the coexistence is a single homogeneous phase, with the magnetic moments arranged in a speromagnetlike fashion, as indicated by the red arrows in the right panel of Fig. 1(b). In our PFN samples,39 the two phase transitions are readily observed in macroscopic experiments. Figure 2(a) shows...
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magnetic dc susceptibility versus temperature obtained using ZFC and FC protocols.\textsuperscript{32} Below $T_{SG} \sim 12$ K the two curves diverge as is typical for a spin glass. SG behavior is further evidenced by the gradual frequency dependence of the rounded peak in ac susceptibility [Fig. 2(a), inset]. In contrast, the small cusp in susceptibility which is due to AF ordering at $T_{N}$ does not show noticeable history effects (see further details in the Supplemental Material).\textsuperscript{43} These observations are in agreement with previous reports,\textsuperscript{36,38,41} confirming that our samples are essentially identical to those used by other groups. Of course, as any true long-range ordering, the AF phase transition is best represented by the emergence of a new AF Bragg peak in neutron diffraction. The measured temperature dependence of the $Q = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ Bragg intensity is plotted in Fig. 2(b).\textsuperscript{44} As expected for an AF, the intensity of the magnetic Bragg peak (i.e., square of magnetization) increases smoothly below $T_{N}$. Below $T_{SG}$, a small decrease of the AF Bragg peak intensity is observed, whereas the line shape remains Gaussian. This observation is reminiscent of that seen in certain reentrant systems,\textsuperscript{30,45} and may be attributed to spin canting. As discussed below, this is precisely our interpretation of this effect in the case of PFN.

Verifying the coexistence of the SG and AF phases the microscopic level calls for the use of microscopic local probes. For this purpose Mössbauer spectroscopy employs magnetic nuclei already present in the material, in our case those of $^{57}$Fe. In a paramagnetic state, the position of the nuclear absorption line is the isomer (chemical) shift, related to the valence state of the ion. This line may exhibit additional quadrupolar splitting due to a nonspherical charge distribution around the ion, resulting in an electric field gradient at the nuclear site. In magnetically ordered phases, the degeneracy of the nuclear energy levels is further lifted by the local hyperfine field at the nuclei, generated by the static sublattice magnetization. The resulting energy intervals between the lines are then a measure of the iron magnetic moment.

The way this scenario plays out in PbFe$_{1/2}$Nb$_{1/2}$O$_3$ is illustrated by the typical Mössbauer spectra shown in Fig. 3.\textsuperscript{46} All data were collected on powder samples prepared by grinding either single crystals or ceramic material, with virtually identical results. This consistency proves that all effects described below are robust and sample independent. At $T = 200$ K, in the paramagnetic phase, a single structured line is observed [Fig. 3(a)]. The additional slight splitting is due to the above-mentioned quadrupolar effect.\textsuperscript{47} For all samples studied in this work, the spectra measured at $T > T_{N}$ can be fitted (red line in Fig. 3) assuming two types of $^{57}$Fe$^{3+}$ sites with distinct isomer shifts (blue and green lines). Attempts to fit the paramagnetic spectra with a single contribution gave less satisfactory agreement factors and a significant broadening. The two effects become progressively more pronounced in the AF phase, suggesting that the one-component model is inappropriate. As discussed elsewhere,\textsuperscript{47} the two different sites may correspond to local variations in chemical short-range order. Our analysis yields approximately equal populations of the two components.\textsuperscript{48} The obtained isomer shifts can be unambiguously attributed to trivalent high spin iron Fe$^{3+}$.

Below $T_{N}$, the single line is split due to the appearance of long-range order, as shown in Figs. 3(b)–3(d). The temperature dependence of the measured average hyperfine field $\langle B_{HF} \rangle$
FIG. 3. (Color online) Representative Mössbauer spectra of PFN taken in the paramagnetic phase (a) and below its Néel temperature [(b)–(d)]. Note a reduced velocity scale in panel (a).

is presented in Fig. 2(c). In the AF phase, it follows the magnetic order parameter, as expected. However, a crucial new observation is that the unsplit (paramagnetic) component that dominated in the paramagnetic state, is no longer present below \( T_N \). This immediately rules out the phase separation model of Ref. 38. In the latter, the low-temperature SG phase is confined to isolated spin clusters. Above \( T_{SG} \) these would simply be paramagnetic, and necessarily produce a resonance line almost identical to that above \( T_N \). In contrast, our data show that \textit{all spins in the system are involved in the AF order}. At the same time we note that the quadrupolar splitting, so clearly visible at high temperatures, is absent below \( T_N \). This indicates a wide distribution of angles between the iron spin and the local axes of the electric field gradient tensor.

Important clues to the nature of the ordered phases are obtained by a more thorough quantitative analysis.\textsuperscript{47,49} The simplest strategy is to treat the spectra as superpositions of two contributions with distinct hyperfine fields. Even so, to obtain a good fit, one has to allow for an intrinsic and temperature-dependent broadening of the absorption lines, which implies a \textit{distribution of magnetic hyperfine fields}. Assuming these distributions \( P(B_{hf}) \) to be Gaussian, and fitting the data to the resulting model, produces the fits shown in red lines in Figs. 3(b)–3(d). The procedure consistently yields equal spectral weights of the two components at all temperatures. The hyperfine fields for each one are separately plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 4(a).

Another experimental result that firmly supports a microscopic coexistence of AF and SG orders is the measured temperature dependence of the width \( \sigma_{B_{hf}} \) of the hyperfine field distribution [Fig. 4(b)]. For both sites, \( \sigma_{B_{hf}} \) gradually increases on cooling below \( T_N \) in the AF phase and reaches a broad maximum between 120 and 50 K. Below \( T \sim 50 \) K, the distribution width decreases drastically, and remains very small and constant below \( T_{SG} \sim 12 \) K. The most natural interpretation of such behavior is that line broadening is due to slow fluctuations of local magnetic fields within the Mössbauer frequency window (MHz to GHz). In this scenario, all of the available Fe spins are involved in creating the AF order, and the simultaneous narrowing of all lines at low temperatures corresponds to all of them being involved in SG freezing. Such behavior is totally inconsistent with the above-mentioned inhomogeneous model. Indeed, a partition into smaller dynamic clusters and an infinitely connected static AF cluster would result in narrower lines in the high temperature AF phase.
Our conclusion is further supported by the temperature evolution of the distribution of magnetic hyperfine fields, which is known to provide valuable clues on the spin arrangement.\textsuperscript{26,29,50–52} The distributions determined for PFN are shown in Fig. 4(c) and further details are given in the Supplemental Material.\textsuperscript{43} Whereas above \(\sim 10\) K it exhibits a pronounced double-peak shape, just a narrow peak with a slight shoulder is observed at base temperature. These findings are precisely opposite to the expectations for the inhomogeneous (clusterlike) scenario.\textsuperscript{29}

That the magnetic state below \(\sim 10\) K is homogeneous as sensed by the Mössbauer nuclei, is also supported by the nearly coinciding saturation values of \(B_{hf}\) for the two contributions. These values are close to an average hyperfine field at saturation typically found in other Fe\(^{3+}\)-based perovskites,\textsuperscript{53} suggesting a full recovery of the Fe\(^{3+}\) moment at the lowest temperature.

We are now in a position to propose a model for the low-temperature magnetic structure of PFN. As mentioned, the variation of \(B_{hf}\) above 50 K [Fig. 2(c)] roughly follows that of the AF long-range order parameter determined by neutron diffraction [Fig. 2(b)]. It stands to reason that in this regime it mainly reflects the evolution of the collinear long-range ordered AF component \(\langle S(T)\rangle\) of Fe\(^{3+}\) [Fig. 1(b), blue arrows]. The increase of mean magnetic hyperfine fields on cooling below 50 K [Fig. 2(c), green line], is reminiscent of precursor phenomena in ferromagnetic reentrant spin-glass systems such as AuFe (Refs. 54 and 55) or the mixed spinel Mg\(_{1+x}\)Fe\(_{2−x}\)Ti\(_{2}O\(_{6}\)).\textsuperscript{36} It represents a gradual freezing of transverse spin components within the Mössbauer frequency window. In other words, there occurs a tilting of the ionic moments from the \(c\) direction set by the AF long-range order [Fig. 1(b), red arrows]. The process culminates in a complete (static) freezing at \(T_{SG}\). From the change of \(B_{hf}\) observed between 50 and 4 K, we can roughly estimate the typical tilt angles to be \(\sim 30^\circ\) and \(\sim 55^\circ\).

Unlike the longitudinal component, the transverse one shows no long-range correlations. At the time scale of \(\sim 10^{−11}\) s it contributes to the static diffuse neutron magnetic scattering with a correlation length \(\sim 15\) Å,\textsuperscript{37} but adds nothing to the AF Bragg peak intensity. In our proposed model, the progressively more pronounced transverse correlations cause a canting of spins below \(T \sim 50\) K, and become liable for the reduction of the latter [Fig. 2(b), green line].

In conclusion, at low temperatures, the crystalline Heisenberg system PbFe\(_{12}Nb_{2}O_{3}\) realizes a unique combination of antiferromagnetic long-range order for one set of spin components, and a spin-glass state for the transverse ones.
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39PFN ceramics were sintered using the conventional two-stage technology described, e.g., in Ref. 40. Single crystals were prepared using the spontaneous crystallization technique as described in Ref. 41.
42Magnetization and susceptibility measurements were performed using a PPMS from Quantum Design.
44Neutron-scattering experiments were performed on a single crystal sample at the TASP three-axis cold neutron spectrometer at Paul Scherrer Institute, using \( k = 2.662 \text{Å}^{-1} \) neutrons. Sample environment was a standard “orange” cryostat. Further details are given in the Supplemental Material (Ref. 43).
46Conventional \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer absorption spectroscopy experiments in transmission geometry were performed on 60 mg/cm\(^2\) powder samples, which corresponds to 0.1 mg/cm\(^2\) of \(^{57}\text{Fe}\). The absorbers were kept within nylon containers in a static helium exchange gas atmosphere of \(\sim 0.2\) mbar in a liquid helium flow cryostat.
48Reference 47 reports a ratio 0.78:0.22.
57It is not feasible to reliably calculate the Brillouin curve for PFN, as the temperature dependence of the staggered magnetization is not a monotonous function, and the ordered moment is much reduced from the expectations for Fe\(^{3+}\) (Ref. 35).