Are Fe based catalysts an upcoming alternative to Ni in CO₂ methanation at elevated pressure?
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ABSTRACT

The raise of regenerative but unsteadily produced energy demands a highly flexible way to store the energy for time periods when less energy is produced than consumed. In the current study, it is investigated if catalysts based on environmentally more attractive and less hazardous to health Fe might be able to be considered as an alternative to Ni catalysts in the CO₂ methanation at elevated pressure. For this a set of catalysts with 1–10 wt % Fe supported on the zeolite 13X is analysed in CO₂ methanation at 1–15 bar. The trends of activity as well as selectivity with varying Fe loading and pressure are presented. Correlation with thorough characterization of the materials shows that a very high dispersion of Fe in octahedral sites within the zeolite is necessary to generate CH₄ as the main reaction product and suppress the Fischer–Tropsch activity towards C-C coupling reactions at elevated pressure. Especially with low Fe loading such as 1 wt % high reaction rates of 42 mmol(CO₂)/(mol(Fe)∙s) with a CH₄ selectivity of 76 % at 300 °C and 10 bar are obtained. In contrast to that, highly Fe loaded catalysts tend to form increasing amounts of Fischer–Tropsch products at increasing pressure. In addition, highly Fe loaded catalysts are much more susceptible to destruction of the zeolite under reaction conditions. At the same time, highly loaded catalysts form a Fe₃C shell around the remaining support. Hence, avoiding the formation of a Fe₃C phase is crucial for high CH₄ selectivity. The results presented here therefore show that catalysts with a very high Fe-dispersion in particular can gain considerably in importance as alternatives to Ni-methanation catalysts at elevated pressure.

1. Introduction

Utilization of CO₂ is currently a hot topic in catalysis due to the chance to decrease anthropogenic CO₂ emissions on the one hand and to recycle it as a C₁ source in exchange to fossil fuels on the other hand. So called power-to-gas (PtG) and power-to-liquid (PtL) technologies enable chemical storage of surplus energy from regenerative sources by reaction of renewable H₂ with CO₂ to energy carrier such as methane (Ni > Co > Fe > Ru) [17].

Ni is the state of the art catalyst for CO₂ methanation (Eq. (1)) already since its discovery by Paul Sabatier in 1902 [4] and has been center of several studies on various supports, whereat reviews can be found elsewhere [1–3,5–14).

\[
\text{CO}_2 + 4 \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (1)
\]

Besides Ni, also other metals are active in CO₂ methanation [15,16]. Mills and Steffgen classified the important metals for methanation catalysts by its activity (Ru > Fe > Ni > Co > Mo) and selectivity to methane (Ni > Co > Fe > Ru) [17].

Ni shows high activity with a very good selectivity to CH₄. Nevertheless, traditional Ni-catalysts suffer from deactivation by sintering of the Ni particles upon heat evolution from the highly exothermic methanation reaction [18]. Deposition of coke and formation of volatile nickel carbonyls contribute to additional catalyst deactivation [19,20]. Besides, Ni is of toxicological concern. The sequences of Mills and Steffgen point out, that Fe has a very high activity for CO₂ activation but suffers from low selectivity. In contrast to Ni, iron is not toxic, much more abundant and hence around 180 times cheaper.
than nickel.

Surprisingly, only a few studies focus on optimization of Fe based catalysts for CO2 methanation. Kirchner et al. investigated bare iron oxide samples in the CO2 methanation and obtained best activity for nano-sized γ-Fe2O3 with maximum CH4 yield of 60 % at 400 °C and ambient pressure [21]. In addition, pure α-Fe2O3 based catalysts can be promoted with 2 wt % Mg in order to increase the basicity and hence interaction of CO2 with the catalysts. This promotion leads to improved CH4 yield up to 32 % at 8 bar and a GHV of 10,000 h⁻¹ [22]. The results emphasize that the methanation takes place predominantly on surface carbon and iron carbide species on promoted bulk Fe2O3 catalysts [22]. In general, the high activity of Fe for CO2 activation results from the high reverse-water-gas-shift (RWGS) activity (Eq. (2)) and especially at elevated pressure its further capability of CO hydrogenation via Fischer–Tropsch-Reaction (FTR) (Eq. (3)).

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{nCO} + m/2n + 1 \text{H}_2 \rightarrow 1/n \text{C}_n\text{H}_m + n \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)
\]

Lee et al. investigated the CO2 hydrogenation via FTR on Fe catalysts at 1–25 bar and in various H2/CO2 ratios [23]. They found that metallic Fe transforms into mixtures of magnetite and carbides under reaction conditions. Especially in the pressure range of 1–10 bar the increase of pressure leads to an increase of the chain length and higher temperature increases the CO conversion as well as CO and CH4 yield. In contrast, the produced H2O from FTR contributes to the equilibrium of the RWGS-reaction that limits the CO2 conversion [23]. In line, on K and S promoted Fe-based catalysts it was shown that the CO2 methanation activity is strongly influenced by the H2/H2O ratio effluent from the reactor [24,25]. It was claimed that conversions increase with increasing H2/CO2 ratio and cannot be further improved than their maximum CO2 conversion of 44 % obtained at 20 bar and a H2/CO2 ratio of 8 [24].

With the aim of tailoring Fe-based materials as CO2 methanation catalysts, studies on increasing the C2–C4 fraction in CO-FTR, with CH4 as an undesirable product, provide information on the direction of necessary properties for high CH4 yields: In general, iron carbides are considered as the active phase in FTR and active carbon sites contribute to the chain growth mechanism [26]. In addition, the activity and selectivity is closely related to the particle size of the Fe-based catalysts [26]. Smaller Fe nanoparticles (< 7–9 nm) lead to higher CH4 selectivity [27–29]. It was concluded, that low coordinatively unsaturated corner and edge sites are important for CH4 formation, while terrace sites of the bigger Fe particles are responsible for olefin generation [29,30]. Hence, the selectivity of Fe based catalysts for CO2 methanation could be improved by decreasing the Fe particle size. This stands in contrast to the particle size dependency of Ni based CO2 methanation catalysts, which decrease in selectivity if the particle size decrease below 2 nm [31,32].

Supporting Fe on zeolites enables a way to produce stable and highly dispersed Fe species. This has been proven by their use as highly stable selective catalytic reduction (SCR) catalysts [33–35]. Despite the high Fe dispersion, zeolites offer additional tailoring possibilities and have shown to positively influence the CO2 methanation performance of Ni-based catalysts [36]. Namely by their compensating cation [37], Si/Al ratio [38] and zeolite framework type such as FAU, BEA, MFI and MOR [39]. Due to the high affinity of zeolites to adsorb water they allow further improvement of catalytic activity by applying so called sorption enhanced conditions whereat H2O is adsorbed by the zeolite and in that way pulled away from the reaction center [40–44]. To the best or our knowledge it was not investigated yet how the combination of Fe supported on zeolites perform in CO2 methanation. In the present study a series of differently loaded Fe on zeolite catalysts are investigated at ambient and elevated pressure up to 15 bar with the aim to increase the CO2 methanation performance. In order to avoid restricting the product spectrum resulting from pore size effects within the zeolite, 13X was selected as zeolite support. On the one hand due to its relatively large and three dimensional pore structure where molecules up to a kinetic diameter of 7.3 Å can form and diffuse freely along all axis including CO, CH4, CH3OH as well as C–C coupled products up to at least C6 compounds. On the other hand a large range of Fe loadings can be theoretically ion exchanged due to the high aluminium content of 13X. As main focus the trends in activity and selectivity with increasing pressure as well as iron loading are carefully analysed and correlated with the properties of the catalysts. This leads to a justification if CO2 methanation on Fe-based catalyst will become feasible as an attractive alternative.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation — synthesis of Fe/13X

1, 5 and 10 wt % Fe/13X catalysts were synthesised by wet impregnation with a 0.05 M Fe(NO3)3 · 9H2O (99 % Sigma-Aldrich) solution in ethanol on commercial Na-13X zeolite (ZEOCHEM, Si/Al = 2.5; Faussiele structure). After ion exchange for 24 h at room temperature under intense stirring ethanol was evaporated in a rotary evaporator. The resulting solids were dried at 80 °C for 12 h and calcined at 400 °C (heating ramp = 5 K/min) for 5 h in a continuous flow of air. The 5 wt % catalyst with collapsed zeolite structure was synthesised by wet impregnation for 30 min with a 0.05 M Fe(NO3)3 · 9H2O aqueous solution on commercial Na-13X. Water was evaporated in a rotary evaporator, the resulting solid dried at 80 °C for 12 h and calcined at 500 °C (heating ramp 5 K/min) for 5 h in a flow of air.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

The weight loadings of iron of all samples were analysed via Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) on an Agilent 720 ES. The X-ray powder diffraction pattern were measured on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with Ni filtered Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) and a step size of 0.2° from 2θ = 20–90°. Crystallite sizes of the Fe-particles were calculated according the Debye–Scherrer equation using the half width of the reflex at 44.7°. UV/vis spectra were collected on a UVVISNIR Lambda 950 spectrometer from Perkin Elmer equipped with a 150 mm integration sphere to analyse the diffuse reflectance of the Fe-zeolites. The spectra where recorded in reflexion mode in a wavelength region of 800–200 nm and a step size of 5 nm. Specific surface area, pore diameter and pore diameter dispersion were analysed by N2 physisorption at 77 K in a Quantachrome Autosorb IQ TPX. All samples were degassed for 12 h in vacuum at 200 °C. The pore diameter and dispersion were analysed according the BJH method from the desorption branch and specific surface area (SSA) by using the BET method. The pressure range for analysis was defined by rouquerol analysis in order to stay in the linear regime of the BET analysis [45]. The microporous surface area was distinguished from the external and mesoporous surface area by the t-plot method. Temperature controlled analysis were performed in the same Quantachrome Autosorb IQ TPX in dynamic mode and with a thermal conductivity detector. For temperature programmed reduction (H2–TPR) all samples were degassed at 400 °C in a flow of N2 for 30 min. Subsequent to the cooling down procedure to 40 °C, TPR was started in a flow of 5 vol% H2 in N2, with a total flow rate of 25 mL/min and a heating ramp of 5 K/min up to 850 °C and isothermally treated at the end temperature for additional 30 min. NH3 was used in order to analyse the acidic properties of the zeolite in the temperature controlled desorption (TPD) experiments. Prior to the analysis all samples were reduced in a flow of 50 % H2 in N2 at 400 °C for 30 min, accordingly to the pre-treatment of the catalytic tests. Residual adsorbed hydrogen was flushed-off from the samples by additional 2 h treatment in N2 at 400 °C. Subsequently, adsorption of 10 % NH3 in N2 was performed at 100 °C and physisorbed NH3 was purged in a flow of N2 at 100 °C for...
30 min. TPD was performed in a flow of 25 mL/min N2 and a heating ramp of 10 K/min up to 800 °C. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis was performed in a Thermo Scientific Phenom XL equipped with a back scattered detector. Concurrent elemental mapping was carried out by using the integrated EDX detector.

2.3. Catalytic tests

Methanation tests were performed in fixed bed flow reactor system with an inner diameter of 6 mm at ambient and elevated pressure (5, 10, 15 bar) at a GHSV = 4186 h⁻¹. Prior to the catalytic tests all catalysts were reduced within the reactor in a flow of 50 % H2 in N2 for 30 min at 400 °C and ambient pressure. In a typical run 25 mL/min CO2, 100 mL/min H2 and 12 mL/min N2 as internal standard were supplied by mass flow controller (Bronkhorst, El Flow). During the methanation tests the temperature was raised from 200 to 400 °C in steps of 50 °C and kept constant at reaction temperature for 30 min. The composition of effluent gases from the reactor was monitored by online raman spectroscopy (Kaiser Raman RXN2 spectrometer equipped with AirHead probes). The conversion X, selectivity S and reaction rate of CO2 conversion (r(CO2)) were calculated according Eqs. (4)–(6):

$$X_{\text{CO}_2} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\text{CO}_2\text{in}} - \dot{n}_{\text{CO}_2\text{out}}}{\dot{n}_{\text{CO}_2\text{in}}}$$

(4)

$$S_{\text{CH}_4} = \frac{\dot{n}_{\text{CH}_4\text{out}}}{\sum \dot{n}_i \text{ (products)}}$$

(5)

$$r_{\text{CO}_2} = \frac{X_{\text{CO}_2}}{n_{\text{Fe\text{cat}}}}$$

(6)

With $\dot{n}_i$ as the molar flow of component $i$, and $n_{\text{Fe\text{cat}}}$ as the molar amount of Fe in the catalyst bed within the reactor.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterisation

Catalysts with three different weight loadings (1, 5, 10 wt %) of Fe on 13X were prepared via impregnation. Elemental analysis via ICP-OES confirms the presence of Fe on 13X close to the aimed amounts of Fe on the samples (Table 1).

Since the zeolite framework is prone to destruction by iron, the integrity of the structure was validated via XRD analysis. The impregnation procedure and calcination temperature strongly influences the stability of the iron impregnated zeolites. Hence, a synthesis optimization was conducted: the zeolite structure stays intact only by avoiding H2O as a solvent and using ethanol as well as de-synthesis optimization was conducted: the zeolite structure stays intact for all Fe loadings during the pre-reduction and conduction. Compared to the pure 13X, 1 wt % Fe/13X shows nearly no decrease in intensity and all catalysts show reasonable stability. In contrast, the zeolite structure of 5 wt % Fe/13X impregnated in H2O and calcined at 500 °C completely vanishes. For this reason, all catalysts were prepared in ethanol and by calcination at 400 °C and it was avoided to exceed this temperature at any time. As a pretreatment in the catalytic test a reduction of the catalysts in 50 % H2 in N2 at 400 °C for 30 min was performed. Comparison of XRD of ex situ reduced and as calcined catalysts (Fig. 1) ensure that the zeolite structure stays intact for all Fe loadings during the pre-reduction and confirm the Fe-reduction by the raise of the specific reflex of metallic Fe at 2θ of 44.7° (inset in Fig. 1). According to the Debye–Scherrer equation extracted Fe crystallite sizes from this reflex are 33 and 23 nm for 5 wt % and 10 wt % Fe/13X, respectively. Solely the reduced 1 wt % Fe/13X does not show this specific reflex. This could be due to two reasons, or a combination thereof: Either the Fe loading is too low for the sensitivity of XRD or the Fe species are highly dispersed within the framework of the zeolite.

N2 physisorption analysis confirms the presence of microporosity of all Fe/13X catalysts calcined at 400 °C. Nevertheless, the specific surface area decreases from 612 to 161 m²/g with increasing Fe loading. In line with the decreasing reflex intensity of the zeolite lattice from XRD analysis the micropore area extracted from t-plot analysis decreases from 573 down to 32 m²/g (see Table 1).

The dispersion of Fe within the zeolite framework after calcination was analysed with UV/vis spectroscopy. The line shape of the spectra arising from O → Fe3+ charge transfer are rather similar (Fig. 2). In all spectra, four distinct peaks are separated by deconvolution (Figs. S1–S3). Two strong bands are found below 300 nm that are assigned to isolated Fe3+ ions. Whereat the band centered at 205 nm attributes to charge transfer from tetrahedral coordinated Fe3+ and the band at 250 nm relates to Fe3+ in higher coordination [34]. The two bands above 300 nm arise from agglomerated Fe-species. Whereby the band from octahedral Fe3+ species in small oligomeric FeOx cluster appears at 350 nm and from large Fe oxide particles as a very broad band at 436 nm. Quantitative analysis of the deconvoluted bands shows that all samples have the same relative amount of Fe3+ in tetrahedral sites. Contrary to this, 1 wt % Fe 13X shows with 55 % of all Fe3+ ions relatively more Fe ions in dispersed and oligomeric octahedral sites. Solely 30 % of the Fe ions agglomerate to particles. In comparison to this, the two higher loaded samples have comparable fractional amounts of Fe in all sites and more than 55 % of Fe agglomerate into particles.

The reducibility of the Fe/13X catalysts was investigated by H2TPR experiments (Fig. 3). In line with the Fe loading of catalyst the intensity
of the signals increases and the features of 5 and 10 wt % Fe/13X samples are rather similar. These two samples show a very intense and broad signal between 200–550 °C with a peak maximum that shifts to lower temperatures from 442 to 405 °C with increasing Fe loading from 5 to 10 wt %. In agreement with literature these signals correspond to the reduction of Fe of the agglomerated FeOx particles and dominate the TPR [46]. In addition, two more signals appear at temperatures higher than 550 °C that go in line with the collapse of the zeolite structure.

In the TPR of 1 wt % Fe/13X three distinct peaks appear in the temperature region of zeolite’s thermal stability with peak maxima at 375, 424 and 498 °C. According to literature, reduction of Fe3+ within the zeolite structures as well as reduction of Fe2O3 to Fe3O4 from oligomeric and small cluster takes place at lower temperature [47]. The visibility of the fine structure of reduction under the same measurement conditions shows on the one hand that agglomerated FeOx-species are not the main species, and on the other hand, that Fe species coordinated on different sites of the zeolite framework are present in this sample.

Temperature programmed desorption of NH3 was performed in order to analyse the influence of the Fe loading on the zeolites acidity (Fig. S4). In line with the decrease of reflex intensity of the zeolite framework in the XRD with increasing Fe loading the total number of acid sites decreases. The main signal in the TPD appear at the same temperature region. Hence, even though the number of acid sites decreases with increasing Fe load, the acid strength as well as nature of acid sites remain constant in all samples. Therefore, it can be excluded to significantly influence the selectivity of the catalysts.

3.2. Catalytic tests

3.2.1. Influence of availability of zeolite framework on CO2 conversion

All prepared materials were investigated in a temperature region of 200–400 °C and at pressures of 1, 5, 10 and 15 bar. In a first step the two 5 wt % Fe/13X with a collapsed (prepared in H2O and calcined at 500 °C, broken lines in Fig. 4) and intact zeolite structure (calcined at 400 °C & exclusion of H2O from the synthesis, solid lines in Fig. 4) were compared by their catalytic performances. In case of the catalysts with a collapsed framework after synthesis a rather low CO2 conversion of 10 % was observed by increasing the temperature up to 400 °C, even at 15 bar. For this reason, the temperature range of the catalytic test was expanded to 550 °C for this catalyst. At 1 bar no significant CO2 conversion was observed up to 550 °C. Likewise all other investigated catalysts, the CO2 conversion increases with temperature and increasing pressure of the catalytic tests. With 5 wt % Fe on collapsed 13X reasonable CO2 conversion was achieved up to 74 % at 550 °C and 15 bar. On this catalyst, CO is the main product at low pressure. With increasing pressure, selectivity towards CH4 increases up to 85 % at 15 bar and 550 °C. No Fischer–Tropsch products were observed under any conditions.

On the contrary, 5 wt % Fe/13X with an intact zeolite framework shows already reasonable CO2 conversion of 33 % at 1 bar and 400 °C. CO2 conversion increases with temperature and pressure up to 88 % at 400 °C and 15 bar. Incipient activity is already obtained at 250 °C. Hence, the comparison of these two catalysts clearly demonstrates that an intact zeolite framework is essential to obtain and support high catalytic performances at reasonable temperatures.

3.2.2. Influence of pressure and Fe-loading on reaction rates

In order to stay in the kinetic regime the Fe-normalized reaction rates at 300 °C are used to compare the activity of produced catalysts with intact zeolite structure and different Fe loading at pressures from 1 to 15 bar (Fig. 5). The catalyst masses included in the reactor and corresponding Fe-content from ICP analysis were used to calculate the Fe molar reaction rates. The two catalysts with 5 and 10 wt % Fe/13X show similar and increasing reaction rates at increasing pressure of up to 12 and 8 mmol(CO2)/(mol(Fe)∙s), respectively. This points out that the main active sites are the same in these two catalysts. In opposition
to these results, 1 wt % Fe/13X shows much higher reaction rates at all investigated pressures up to 42 mmol(CO2)/(mol(Fe)∙s) at 10 bar. These trends show in correlation to the UV/vis analysis that finely dispersed Fe-species, which are the main species in 1 wt % Fe/13X, have a much higher catalytic activity than the agglomerated Fe-species, that are the main species of the 5 and 10 wt % loaded Fe/13X catalysts. Nevertheless, the reaction rate decreases upon further increase of the pressure from 10 to 15 bar against the principle of Le Chatelier. This might be either due to hampered desorption of one of the products from the catalyst surface or reconstruction of the Fe-species or zeolite framework at elevated pressure.

The catalytic performances at 350 °C are used to compare the variation of product selectivity of different Fe loading and at varying pressures (Fig. 6).

At 1 bar the 10 wt % Fe/13X catalyst shows a very high selectivity towards CO (S(CO) = 97 %) and minor selectivity to CH4 (Fig. 6a). With increasing pressure to 15 bar the selectivity towards C–C coupled products and CH4 increases monotonously. CH4 becomes the main product at 10 bar and reaches its maximum selectivity of 61 % at 15 bar. The selectivity to C–C-coupled products increases up to 22 %, while the selectivity towards CO decreases to 15 %.

Likewise, 5 wt % Fe/13X catalyst shows the same trend with increasing pressure (Fig. 6b). At high pressures it increases its selectivity towards the desired product CH4 up to 68 %, while the selectivity towards CO (S(CO) = 14 %) and CC-coupled products (S(CC) = 17 %) stays relatively low.

In contrast to the behavior of the two higher loaded Fe catalysts 1 wt % Fe/13X shows at 1 bar already significant CH4 selectivity of 22 % (Fig. 6c). The CO selectivity of 21 % at 350 °C and 1 bar is relatively low and selectivity towards C–C coupled products is at 56 % and therefore surprisingly high in that sequence. In opposition to the trend of 5 and 10 wt % Fe/13X as well as literature [26] on Fe-based Fischer–Tropsch catalysts, the selectivity towards C–C-coupled products decreases with increasing pressure on 1 wt % Fe/13X. The main product is CH4 from 5 to 15 bar with a selectivity up to 76 % at 10 bar and 350 °C. Comparable product selectivities of S(CO) = 11 % and S(CC) = 14 % are observed at 10 and 15 bar. This trend of decreasing selectivity towards C–C coupled products and increasing CH4 selectivity with increasing pressure is opposed to the general trend of Fe-based Fisher–Tropsch catalysts reported in literature [26], in which Fe3C is regarded as active species. But it stands in line, that more coordinative unsaturated Fe species have a higher tendency to produce CH4 [29,30].

XRD analysis of the catalyst after the catalytic tests shows a decrease of the reflexes from the zeolite framework for all samples (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, 1 wt % Fe/13X shows considerably high intensities. Hence, the integrity of the framework is still given in the mayor fraction of the sample even though a small fraction of the zeolite framework collapses. In the XRD of this sample no other reflexes from other phases than the 13X framework are visible. In contrast to the results of 1 wt % Fe catalysts 5 and 10 wt % Fe catalyst show significant decrease and a full depletion of the reflexes from the zeolite. Additionally, reflexes
from a Fe3C phase appear in the diffractograms of both catalysts after the methanation experiments. Given by the sharp shape of metallic Fe reflex in the 5 and 10 wt % Fe/13X catalysts the crystallite size of Fe increases during the catalysis. On the low loaded 1 wt % Fe/13X still no reflexes origin from metallic Fe or Fe3C, respectively, under reaction conditions. Hence, the included Fe is very stable within the framework of the zeolite.

The collapse of the zeolite with high Fe loading is visible in the SEM micrographs as well. The spherical shape of the zeolite crystallites is still visible in the used 5 wt % Fe/13X catalysts (Fig. S5). This shape nearly vanishes completely on the 10 wt % Fe/13X catalyst after operation. Larger fragments with different morphology, consisting of Al and Si, become obvious instead (Fig. 8 bottom, middle & right). In addition to this, the formation of larger Fe particles is visible, too. In comparison to SEM images of the reduced catalysts prior to the catalytic testing it seems that Fe migrates out of the zeolite particles and forms, together with deposited carbon, an outer shell around the support (Fig. 8 bottom). In the case of the used 10 wt % Fe/13X catalyst the EDX mapping indicates that residual zeolite particles do not contain Fe at all, while the concentration of Fe is comparably high on the amorphous fragments (Fig. 8). As opposed to this the spherical shape of the 1 wt % Fe/13X zeolite catalyst particles appears to be unchanged after the reaction in the SEM micrographs (Fig. 8 top). In addition, EDX analysis shows a homogeneous dispersion of Fe over the sample and no larger particle agglomerations of Fe or creation of a common Fe–C shell/layer is visible. Hence, the SEM micrographs confirm together with XRD analysis the destruction of the higher loaded zeolite during the catalytic run under formation of a Fe3C shell at the outer layer of the catalysts.

4. Conclusions

The hydrogenation of CO2 towards CH4 on differently loaded Fe/13X catalysts was investigated at ambient and elevated pressure (5–15 bar). Comparison of the catalytic performances with a catalyst with collapsed zeolite framework shows, that an intact zeolite structure and hence high dispersion of Fe within the catalyst is essential for high CO2 conversion at temperatures below 400 °C and all investigated pressures.

Catalytic tests on 10, 5 and 1 wt % Fe/13X catalysts with intact

![Fig. 7. XRD analysis of the used catalysts after catalytic testing.](image1)

![Fig. 8. SEM analysis of reduced (left) and used (centre & right) 1 wt % Fe/13X (top) and 10 wt % Fe/13X (bottom).](image2)
grading and feed into the natural gas grid becomes considerable and expensive and especially hazardous Ni-catalysts for e.g. biogas upgrading, that the utilization of Fe-based catalysts as alternative to more desired CH₄ increases up to 76% with increasing pressure at the expenses of the formation of CO and C-C coupled products.

Physico-chemical characterization before and after the catalytic run show on the one hand that in 5 and 10 wt % Fe catalysts, Fe is mainly present as agglomerated particles. This leads to a destabilization of the zeolite and further agglomeration of Fe under reaction conditions with simultaneous formation of Fe particles embedded in a Fe₃C-phase as an outer shell layer. On the other hand, in 1 wt % Fe/13X, Fe is mainly present as octahedrally coordinated dispersed and oligomeric species. This leads to a higher hydrothermal stability of the catalysts and neither formation of larger Fe agglomerates nor Fe₃C-phase formation under operation. The high dispersion of Fe within the material suppresses C–C coupling reactions at higher pressure due to confined neighboring Fe sites and this in turn supports the hydrogenation of CO₂ to methane. At 15 bar the selectivity towards CO is limited down to 8%. Even though the performance is not yet fully optimized, the presented results show, that the utilization of Fe-based catalysts as alternative to more expensive and especially hazardous Ni-catalysts for e.g. biogas upgrading and feed into the natural gas grid becomes considerable and provides essential prerequisites for the direction of further catalyst optimization.
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