Controlling Orientational and Translational Order of Iron Oxide Nanocubes by Assembly in Nanofluidic Containers
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ABSTRACT: We demonstrate that spatial confinement can be used to control the orientational and translational order of cubic nanoparticles. For this purpose we have combined X-ray scattering and scanning electron microscopy to study the ordering of iron oxide nanocubes that have self-assembled from toluene-based dispersions in nanofluidic channels. An analysis of scattering vector components with directions parallel and perpendicular to the slit walls shows that the confining walls induce a preferential parallel alignment of the nanocube (100) faces. Moreover, slit wall separations that are commensurate with an integer multiple of the edge length of the oleic acid-capped nanocubes result in a more pronounced translational order of the self-assembled arrays compared to incommensurate confinement. These results show that the confined assembly of anisotropic nanocrystals is a promising route to nanoscale devices with tunable anisotropic properties.

INTRODUCTION

The self-assembly of nanoparticles (NPs) into ordered structures is a promising strategy for the production and design of nanostructured materials with novel properties. Previous work has shown that ordered arrays of NPs combine the properties of the nanocrystalline building blocks with the collective properties of the interacting NPs in the ordered structure.1,2,3 This is essential as the collective properties and organization of interacting nano-objects are key to the final performance. While the assembly of nanospheres into densely packed arrays with defined structures is well-established,4,5 nonspherical NPs are attracting rapidly growing interest due to the possibility of tuning the electronic, optical, and magnetic properties by controlling both the size and shape.6,7 However, the development of tunable and robust methods for assembling nonspherical NPs into periodically packed structures is a challenge.8,9 The structure of the mesoscale assemblies has been controlled by using light or external electrical or magnetic fields to tune the magnitude and directionality of the interparticle forces.10−12 The topography of the substrate13−15 and directional particle attachment16,17 have also been shown to have a profound effect on the resulting structures.

A conceptually simple and appealing approach for controlling the mesoscale assembly of NPs is by means of spatial confinement. In essence, the confining solid walls impose packing constraints on the particles, thus leading to their enhanced mesoscale order.18 Moreover, the resulting structures can be controlled by the confinement geometry; more pronounced ordering is expected when the confining wall separation W is commensurate with the particle size, i.e., when an integer multiple of the particle size barely fits in the confining slit, while less pronounced order is observed for incommensurate confinement. However, while mesoscale ordering of block copolymers19,20 and spherical particles21−23 in confinement has been addressed before, studies on confinement-induced ordering of nonspherical NPs are scarce.

A major challenge in studies on confinement-induced mesoscale NP assembly is the presence of confining walls, which hampers experimental characterization. Consequently, mature experimental techniques, such as grazing-incidence small-angle X-ray scattering (GISAXS),24−27 become highly challenging. We have recently developed an experimental scheme to resolve this problem28 by confining NP dispersions in specifically designed nanofluidic containers and employing small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) in transmission mode for...
structural characterization. Here we apply this unique approach to probe the assembly of magnetite nanocubes in confinement.

In this study we address the mesoscale order of spatially confined cubic NPs by drop-casting a concentrated dispersion of iron oxide nanocubes in toluene into nanofluidic containers. Next we probe the structural order at both mesoscopic and atomic length scales using simultaneous SAXS and wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS), averaged over a thousand confining channels. For a schematic of the experiment and geometric definitions we refer to Figure 1. Finally, we verify the mesoscale order in real space using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on cross sections of cleaned NP-filled channel arrays. Thereby we demonstrate that mesoscale order of the magnetite nanocubes is induced by the confining walls and that the nanocubes align one of their faces, and hence the corresponding \( \{100\} \) planes, parallel to the confining slit walls.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

### Synthesis of Magnetite Nanocubes

The synthesis and purification of iron oxide nanocubes with a narrow size distribution has been described in a recent paper.\(^{29}\) In brief, a 10 mmol iron oleate precursor was dispersed in 50 mL of 1-octadecene (Sigma-Aldrich) and 2.56 g of eicosane (Sigma-Aldrich) and mixed with 5 mmol each of sodium oleate (97%, TCI) and oleic acid (99%, TCI). The thermal decomposition of the precursor starts with degassing the solution at \( 250 \) °C for 20 min, heating until \( 325 \) °C at \( 3 \) °C/min, and keeping the mixture at \( 325 \) °C for 30 min, followed by rapid cooling to room temperature. After centrifuging the mother liquor several times with a solvent–nonsolvent mixture (toluene–ethanol), an almost dry powder indicates the removal of most synthesis organics. A storable, dispersible paste is obtained by adding oleic acid (0.05 mg of oleic acid per mg of paste) and homogenizing the toluene-dispersed nanocubes with ultrasound. For improved size distribution a 125 °C/min, and keeping the pressure of 2.5 mTorr using rf power and ICP power of 120 and 700 W, respectively. These parameters resulted in an etching speed of 110 nm/min, although one has to remember that these values depend heavily on the equipment used. The remaining HSQ mask was removed using buffered hydrofluoric acid.

### Small- and Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering

We carried out the combined SAXS and WAXS experiment at the cSAXS instrument of the Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland. We used an incident X-ray wavelength of \( \lambda = 0.100 \) nm and a beam size at the sample of approximately \( 0.2 \times 0.2 \) mm\(^2\). The incident X-rays impinged parallel to the confining surfaces and were focused onto the detector plane in order to maximize the resolution. We collected simultaneous SAXS and WAXS data in transmission geometry by mounting single-photon-counting PILATUS 2M and 300k detectors\(^{31}\) and used a Gatan Orius SC 1000 camera. The size distribution of the nanocubes was determined by semiautomatic image analysis of 4324 particles with a point resolution = 0.19 nm, operated at 200 kV).

### Transmission Electron Microscopy

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and electron diffraction were acquired using a JEOL JEM-2100F (JEOL, Japan, Schottky-type FEG, point resolution = 0.19 nm, operated at 200 kV).

### Scanning Electron Microscopy

We performed SEM imaging of both empty and NP-filled nanofluidic containers. Access into the different gratings was achieved by cleaving the silicon substrate perpendicular to the gratings’ long axis. In the former case we made use of an LEO 1550 Gemini microscope (5 kV, WD: 9.2 mm), while in the latter case we used an FEI Magellan 400 extreme high-resolution...
microscope (FEI, USA, 1 nm resolution at 3 kV, beam current 6.3 pA, WD 4 mm) using the through-lens detector in immersion mode.

**X-ray Diffraction.** XRD characterization was performed on dried nanocube paste placed on top of a thin glass capillary. Diffraction images were acquired on an Xcalibur-III single-crystal X-ray diffractometer (Oxford Instruments, United Kingdom) using Mo Kα radiation (λ_{MoKα} = 0.7093 nm) and a beam size of 500 μm. The Sapphire 3 CCD area detector was rotated around the sample at a distance of 100 mm and in a 2θ range of 0 to 80° in steps of 10° with an acquisition time of 500 s for each image. Software package MAUD v. 2.5332 was used for Rietveld refinement of the integrated diffraction patterns.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Magnetite Nanocubes and Drop-Casting.** Throughout this study we make use of cubic iron oxide NPs, as shown in the TEM images of Figure 3. The nanocubes have an average edge length of \( L_{edge} = (12.4 ± 1.0) \) nm with a standard deviation of \( \sigma = 1.0 \) nm, as determined by TEM in Figure 4. Taking into account the ca. 1.9-nm-thick stabilizing oleic acid layer, we obtain an average total edge length of \( L = (16.2 ± 1.0) \) nm.

In order to verify the size of the nanocubes, we collected SAXS data from a dilute bulk dispersion (0.08 vol % of NPs) of the cubic magnetite NPs dispersed in toluene. From the small-angle (low-q) limit of these SAXS data we obtain the radius of gyration, \( R_g = 6.4 \) nm. The average edge length thus obtained, \( L_{edge} = 2R_g = 12.8 \) nm, is in reasonable agreement with the TEM result above. Although throughout this study we use the TEM value for the average edge length \( L_{edge} \) of the NPs, the use of the slightly larger SAXS value would not affect any of our conclusions.

The nanocubes were drop-cast into the nanofluidic containers as follows. First, we drop-casted 20 μL of a concentrated, 1.9 vol % dispersion (50 mg of Fe₃O₄/mL of toluene) on top of the channel arrays. Once the solvent had slowly evaporated, we removed the excess NPs on top of the channels by gentle scraping using plastic tweezers and carried out SAXS/WAXS and SEM experiments.

**Nanofluidic Containers.** The nanofluidic containers consisted of specifically designed one-dimensional (1D) diffraction gratings. Each grating was made of 1750 parallel channels with a period of 200 nm, a depth of ~640 nm (~40 L), and an aspect ratio (channel depth/width) of approximately 5–10, distributed over a total area of 0.35 × 0.35 mm². The separation of the confining walls was systematically varied in the range of 70 nm ≤ W ≤ 103 nm, as determined by SEM. The channel walls were smooth and nearly parallel, thus facilitating confinement-induced assembly of the cubic NPs. For a cross-sectional SEM image of the gratings, see Figure 5.

**Mesoscale Order in Reciprocal Space.** The information in the SASX data from the NP-filled nanofluidic containers are 2-fold. First, we observe a 1D diffraction pattern (horizontal line in the scattering pattern of Figure 1) due to the periodicity of the NP-filled nanofluidic container. In principle the diffraction pattern could be inverted to yield the average electron density profile across the channel, using either phase retrieval schemes or critical-dimension SAXS, but the resolution is not sufficient for the small particles studied here. Second, owing to the thousand identical channels and hence the 1000-fold scattering volume compared to using a single channel for confinement, we are also sensitive to diffuse scattering. In the present study, we used the diffuse SASX data to probe both orientational and translational ordering of the anisotropic NPs.

In Figure 6 we show representative SASX data collected from nanocubes drop-cast in nanofluidic containers. In panels (a) and (b) we present data obtained for wall separations \( W = 94 \) and 103 nm, respectively. In the former, incommensurate confinement \( (W/L ≈ 5.8) \), there is more excess space available for the NPs, as compared to the latter commensurate case \( (W/L ≈ 6.4) \), and we hence expect a lesser degree of NP order. For comparison, we also present SASX data collected from a 1.9 vol...
can rotate randomly around the $q_L$ axis. By averaging the SAXS pattern of a nanocube over possible orientations we obtain

$$I(q_L, q_D) = C \sin^2(q_D) \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2(q_D \sin \alpha) \sin^2(q_D \cos \alpha) \, d\alpha$$

(1)

where $\alpha$ is the angle of rotation, $D = L/2$, and $C$ is a normalization constant. Although a quantitative agreement between experimental data and the model would require us to include the true shape and polydispersity of the nanocubes (Figures 3 and 4) as well as particle–particle correlations, our simple model SAXS pattern reproduces several features of the experimental data. Most importantly, we observe 2-fold symmetry in the SAXS pattern, with the NP rotations resulting in stronger higher-order peaks in the perpendicular ($q_L$) compared to the parallel ($q_D$) direction, in agreement with the experimental data. However, the experimental data also exhibit important features which are not contained in the simple model calculation. First, the degree of azimuthal order depends on the wall separation $W$, as observed from the more pronounced anisotropy in the data in Figure 6(b) compared to that in 6(a). Second, the peaks at $(q_L, q_D) \approx (0, \pm 0.4) \text{ nm}^{-1}$, which are due to correlations between particles in the parallel direction, are stronger in Figure 6(b) than in Figure 6(a). We will return to these features at a later stage.

The cubic magnetite NPs are crystalline, with their facets terminated on the {100} planes. The confinement-induced ordering of one NP face parallel to the slit walls thus also implies the alignment of the corresponding crystalline (100) direction. This is indeed the case as observed from the WAXS data in Figure 6(e), where a narrow (220) reflection of magnetite is present in the perpendicular direction $q_L$, in stark contrast to a uniform diffraction ring caused by disordered NPs. Importantly, the narrow distribution of parallel scattering vector components $q_D$ shows that one face of the cubic NPs aligns parallel to the confining walls.

Having qualitatively verified that spatial confinement induces the ordering of cubic NPs, we next turn to quantifying the effect. For this purpose, we start by plotting in Figure 7(a) the aforementioned 2-fold symmetry of Figure 6 in terms of the SAXS intensity $I(Q, \phi)$, determined at the fixed scattering vector length $Q = \sqrt{q_L^2 + q_D^2} = 0.8 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, i.e., at the second maximum, as a function of azimuthal angle $\phi = \arctan(q_D/q_L)$. (See Figure 6(c) for a graphical definition.) The data obtained from the confined nanocubes exhibit two important features. First, we observe a 2-fold symmetry in both cases, as inferred already from Figure 6. Second, the azimuthal order is found to be enhanced for commensurate ($W = 103 \text{ nm}$) compared to incommensurate confinement ($W = 94 \text{ nm}$). In order to quantify the latter effect, we present in Figure 7(b) WAXS data obtained for a scattering vector magnitude corresponding to the (220) reflection, again collected as a function of the azimuthal angle $\phi$. We note that the small offset of the (220) reflection from $\phi = 0$ is due to a minor misalignment of the diffraction grating with respect to the detector. In line with the data in panel (a), commensurate confinement ($W = 103 \text{ nm}$) leads to a narrower distribution of azimuthal angles $\phi$ compared to the incommensurate wall separation ($W = 94 \text{ nm}$); in the particular cases of Figure 7(b), we obtain full width at half maxima of $\sim 1.3^\circ$ and $2.5^\circ$, respectively. These data imply that the wall separation $W$ can indeed be used to control the orientational ordering of cubic NPs.
Let us next return to the translational order of the cubic NPs. In order to facilitate the discussion, we present in Figure 7(c) parallel cuts $I(0, q_{||})$ of the experimental data shown in Figure 6(a)–(c), i.e., $I(q_{L}, q_{\perp})$ for $q_{L} = 0$. The translational ordering of the cubic NPs parallel to the confining walls is manifested as the intensity peak at $q_{\perp} \approx 0.4$ nm$^{-1}$ in the scattering intensity. Again, the intensity peak is more pronounced for $W = 103$ than for $94$ nm, demonstrating a more developed parallel translational NP order in commensurate confinement. We further note that the peak position for commensurate confinement, $q_{\perp}^{\text{max}} = 0.385$ nm$^{-1}$, implies the smallest possible distance between NP centers, $2\pi/q_{\perp}^{\text{max}} \approx 16.3$ nm, and hence that neighboring NPs order face to face. These data evidence that the wall separation $W$ also governs the translational ordering of cubic NPs. We have verified the high degree of order for the wall separation $W = 70$ nm ($W/L \approx 4.3$) in Figure 7, providing further support for the hypothesis that commensurate confinement induces pronounced NP ordering. This observation is readily rationalized in terms of space available for the cubic NPs. In the case of commensurate confinement, an integer multiple times the edge length barely fits in the confining slit, whereby excluded volume effects hinder azimuthal rotations of the NPs and lead to pronounced translational ordering. For incommensurate confinement, in turn, more space is available for azimuthal NP rotations, resulting in a less pronounced translational order.

**Mesoscale Order in Real Space.** So far we have discussed the mesoscale order as determined by X-ray scattering, which provides volume-averaged reciprocal-space information on confinement-induced NP ordering. In order to complement these data in real space, we present SEM images of cross sections from cleaved, NP-filled gratings in Figure 8 for the same confining channel widths as above: (a) $W = 94$ and (b) 103 nm. The SEM data verify our main conclusions based on SAXS. First, spatial confinement induces the ordering of the cubic NPs, resulting in five and six particle layers across the confining slit for $W/L \approx 5.8$ and 6.4, respectively. This observation is further highlighted in the green insets, showing checkerboard-like Fourier-filtered transforms of the SEM image. Second, the degree of order depends on the wall separation $W$. This is clearly seen in the green dashed frame, where we observe six well-defined layers of NPs aligned parallel to the confining surfaces, as expected for $W/L \approx 6.4$.

Drying-mediated assembly is a subtle phenomenon, which may raise questions about reproducibility in the confinement-induced assembly demonstrated here. The SEM images of Figure 8, which represent single realizations of assembly in confinement, do indeed exhibit minor deviations between different channels. It should be realized, however, that the SAXS and WAXS experiments have been carried out on a...
thousand different confining channels simultaneously for each wall separation $W$.

**CONCLUSIONS**

By combining SANS, WAXS, and SEM experiments on cubic magnetite NPs drop-cast in nanofluidic containers, we have demonstrated that spatial confinement can be employed to induce their mesoscale assembly. We have further shown that the cubic NPs align one of their faces, and hence the corresponding $\{100\}$ planes, parallel to the confining slit walls. The confinement-induced assembly of anisotropic NPs, as demonstrated here, provides a promising route toward nanoscale devices with tunable anisotropic properties.

Finally, we comment briefly on the possibility of further refining the confinement-induced mesoscale order of cubic NPs as demonstrated here. First, we note that the wall separation $W$ becomes narrower in the bottom part of the confining channel (Figure 5), resulting in modified packing constraints for the NPs. For the NP-filled containers we also observe defects, i.e., regions void of NPs, in the channels (Figure 8). A future improvement could thus be to use nanofluidic containers consisting of channels with essentially parallel walls as in ref 33, although manufacturing such channels of sufficient depth and with a wall separation in the range of 50 nm $\leq W \leq$ 100 nm is highly challenging. Second, we have focused on only one type of NP and nanofluidic container, and it is probable that the degree of NP order could be improved by modifying the particle–wall interactions. Indeed, work on confined spherical particles has indicated that the formation of dense particle monolayers at the confining walls enhances ordering throughout the slit. However, the NP ordering results from a subtle interplay between energetics and entropy, and a proper analysis of how particle–particle and particle–wall attractions affect the NP ordering must include all of these effects. It is our hope that the experimental results presented here stimulate interest in simulations on NP assembly in confinement.
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