Magnetoelastic Excitations in the Pyrochlore Spin Liquid $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$
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At low temperatures, $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ enters a spin liquid state, despite expectations of magnetic order and/or a structural distortion. Using neutron scattering, we have discovered that in this spin liquid state an excited crystal field level is coupled to a transverse acoustic phonon, forming a hybrid excitation. Magnetic and phononlike branches with identical dispersion relations can be identified, and the hybridization vanishes in the paramagnetic state. We suggest that $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ is aptly named a “magnetoelastic spin liquid” and that the hybridization of the excitations suppresses both magnetic ordering and the structural distortion. The spin liquid phase of $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ can now be regarded as a Coulomb phase with propagating bosonic spin excitations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.017203

PACS numbers: 75.10.Kt, 63.20.kd, 71.70.Ej, 78.70.Nx

Spin liquids [1] are often defined as correlated but fluctuating spin states with unbroken translation and spin rotation symmetry. In theory, many types of spin liquid can exist [2], but their experimental identification and classification is problematic. Since the absence of broken symmetry alone is not definitive and topological properties [2] are not experimentally accessible, one possibility is to study their excitations. These are often predicted to be exotic fractional quasiparticles such as spinons [3] or monopoles [4], but propagating bosonic excitations are possible in certain models [5–8].

$\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$, which is often referred to as a spin liquid, does indeed remain in a magnetically disordered phase with spin dynamics down to 0.05 K [9]. The $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ ions form a pyrochlore lattice and the spin interactions are antiferromagnetic ($\theta_{\text{CW}} = -19$ K), but the crystal field splits the $7F_6$ free-ion term of $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ to give a doublet ground state with Ising character. Classically, such a spin system should order, with $T_N \approx 1–2$ K predicted for $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ [10,11]. Instead, the spin liquid state of $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ develops below $T \approx 20$ K. At low temperature, pinch points appear in the diffuse neutron scattering, suggesting that this is a magnetic Coulomb phase governed by ice rules [12–15].

However, because $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ is a non-Kramers ion, its degenerate electronic states are susceptible to Jahn-Teller distortions [16]. There is much experimental evidence of magnetoelastic effects below $T \approx 20$ K—Young’s modulus and elastic constants soften very significantly [17,18], structural Bragg peaks broaden anisotropically [19], there is a large dielectric anomaly [20], the low-temperature state is susceptible to pressure-induced magnetic order [21] and magnetic field-induced structural modifications [22], acoustic phonons are strongly scattered by the spins [23]—but no distortion has been observed.

The strong expectations of long-range order and/or a structural distortion mean that the true nature of the spin liquid state and the mechanism of its existence are not evident. Usually, magnetoelastic coupling is a mechanism for the relief of frustration [24,25], but the spin liquid state in $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ exists throughout the same temperature regime as the anomalous elastic properties, leading to the suggestion that both spin and structural degrees of freedom are frustrated in $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ [26].

No theory simultaneously accounts for both the magnetoeelastic phenomena and the spin liquid. Models based on single-ion magnetostriuction mechanisms reproduce the bulk magnetoelastic properties [17,27] but make no account of the spin liquid; theories which focus on the evasion of long-range magnetic order by the introduction of quantum fluctuations by virtual crystal field excitations [28], hypothetical distortions [29,30], or anisotropic exchange [31] are successful in reproducing features of the diffuse neutron scattering [13,28,29,31], but have individual drawbacks (a magnetization plateau predicted in the case of virtual crystal field excitations [32] is strongly debated [33,34]; distortions [29,30] remain hypothetical, and single-ion singlet ground states [30] cannot account for the large elastic magnetic spectral weight [35]) and make no explanation of the magnetoeelastic behavior.

We contend that the electronic and structural excitations of $\text{Tb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ are mixed into hybrid fluctuations which we call magnetoelastic modes (MEMs), and this is at the origin...
of the absence of magnetic order and structural distortion in Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$. We characterize a MEM, and demonstrate that it has both magnetic and phononic characters, which are visible at different wave vectors.

We used the same single crystal of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ as in Ref. [12]. It has no sign of any ordering transition between 0.35 and 50 K in its heat capacity, and by comparison with the series of Tb$_{2+x}$Ti$_{2-x}$O$_{7-x/2}$ powders reported in [26], its lattice parameter $a = 10.155288(1)$ Å suggests its stoichiometry is Tb$_{2.013}$Ti$_{1.987}$.002O$_{6.994}$±0.001. Further details of its characterization are to be found in the Supplemental Material [36]. Using the time-of-flight (TOF) spectrometer IN5 [37] at the Institut Laue Langevin, we surveyed a four-dimensional volume of $S(Q, \omega)$. We measured at 0.05, 5, and 20 K using $\lambda_i = 4$ Å, and additionally at 0.05 K using $\lambda_i = 2$ and 7 Å. Using the triple-axis spectrometers (TAS) TASP (in combination with the neutron polarimetry device MuPAD) and EIGER at the SINQ, Paul Scherrer Institut, we investigated the polarization and temperature dependence of the MEM, respectively. In the polarized neutron scattering experiment, we measured non-spin-flip (NSF) and spin flip (SF) cross sections with the neutron polarization parallel to the scattering vector $Q$, such that all magnetic scattering appears in the SF channel and nuclear scattering in the NSF channel. Data from the TAS experiments can be compared with cuts through the TOF spectra by scaling.

The known magnetic neutron scattering response of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ consists of elastic diffuse scattering [12,14,38], quasielastic scattering [13,39], and crystal field excitations (CFEs) [9]. Figures 1 and 2 show overviews of the inelastic scattering, with lower resolution extending to higher energy transfer and with higher energy resolution around the first CFE, respectively. We concentrate here on the MEM, which is a new feature. It is the weak but sharp mode extending out of the $(2, 2, 0)$ position, between the two intense CFEs [Figs. 1(a)–1(d)]. A similar mode is visible at $(1, 1, 1)$ [Fig. 1(e)], and the topmost part of the dispersion can be distinguished in nearby zones. Strong excitations are also visible at $(0, 0, 8)$ [Fig. 1(f)], $(3, 3, 7)$, and $(5, 5, 5)$, but while those in low zones have their propagation vector $(k)$ parallel to the scattering vector $(Q)$, these have $k \perp Q$.

The first CFE is itself quite significantly dispersive at low temperatures. The interaction of the MEM with the first CFE, which is pulled up in energy where the two meet, can be seen in Fig. 2(a). There is no branch of the MEM reaching down to $\hbar \omega = 0$, below the CFE [Figs. 2(a)–2(c)]. Examination of the intensity throughout the isoenergy volume $S(Q, \hbar \omega = 0.65$ meV) shows no sharp features cut through it [Fig. 2(c)]. A broad, asymmetric peak is formed where the modes intersect, but, away from $(2, 2, 0)$, two components can be distinguished in the CFE [Fig. 2(d)]. The MEM does not interact with the second CFE, as its dispersion passes just below it [Fig. 1(c)].

![FIG. 1. Overview of the MEM. The sharp mode between the two intense crystal field excitations at 1.5 and 10 meV is the MEM (a). It is weak, but can be clearly identified in constant-energy cuts (b) (background levels offset by 1) and constant-$Q$ cuts (c) [cut positions are indicated in (a) by dashed lines]. Constant-energy maps show the MEM at (2, 2, 0) (d) and a similar mode at (1, 1, 1) (e). At these small wave vectors, the modes have their intensity parallel to the scattering vector (the arrow and ellipsoids show the scattering vector and highlight the intensity distribution, respectively). Excitations with similar dispersions are visible at large wave vectors [e.g., (0, 0, 8)] (f), but with a transverse intensity distribution.](image-url)
MEMs can be observed at small wave vectors, typical of magnetic excitations. At (2, 2, 0), we have determined explicitly that the MEM has a magnetic contribution by using polarized neutron scattering. As shown in Fig. 3(a), all of the scattering occurs in the spin flip channel, indicating that in fact there is no measurable nuclear contribution at this position. The intensity of the MEM is almost independent of energy until it approaches the second CFE where it may increase [Fig. 3b], in contrast to typical antiferromagnetic excitations which decrease in intensity with increasing energy.

Because magnetic neutron scattering is due to spin components perpendicular to \( \mathbf{Q} \), and the wave vector \( \mathbf{k} \) of the mode is parallel to \( \mathbf{Q} \), the MEM is a transverse mode (i.e., the spin fluctuations are perpendicular to its direction of propagation). In comparison, the excitations at large wave vectors are similar to transverse acoustic phonons—they appear at large wave vectors (the phonon cross section depends on \( Q^2 \)), they are gapless (within the energy resolution of this setting of the spectrometer), their intensity decreases with \( \hbar \omega \) [Fig. 3b], and they are intense when \( \mathbf{k} \perp \mathbf{Q} \). However, if we compare the transverse magnetic mode at (2, 2, 0) with the transverse phononlike mode (0, 0, 8) [which is to say along \( (h, h, 0) \) and \( (h, h, 8) \), respectively], we see that the upper parts of their dispersions overlap precisely [Fig. 3c], suggesting they have a common origin, and that these are therefore mixed modes carrying both magnetic and structural fluctuations. We observe the magnetic part at small wave vectors, where the magnetic
anisotropic exchange parameters in Tb earth pyrochlores, the exchange is anisotropic (different fluctuation directions become nondegenerate). The dispersion branches in the exciton band indicates that, as in other rare earth pyrochlores, the exchange is anisotropic (different fluctuation directions become nondegenerate), respectively, of a two-level system with $\Delta = 1.4$ meV. TOF peak areas scaled to TAS peak amplitudes at 5 K.

form factor of Tb$^{3+}$ is large, and the phononic part at large wave vectors, where the phonon cross section is large.

In Fig. 4, we show the temperature dependence of the MEM at (2, 2, 0). Its intensity collapses in the range 10–20 K, again quite unlike a conventional phonon, which would become stronger at higher temperature. The detailed structure of interacting modes and two branches of the first CFE shown in Fig. 2 also collapses at $T \sim 20$ K. The CFE becomes a single level with (almost) none of the dispersion visible in Fig. 2(a).

The low-temperature dispersion of the first CFE indicates that the high-temperature single-ion excitations have been replaced by propagating excitons. The appearance of two branches in the exciton band indicates that, as in other rare earth pyrochlores, the exchange is anisotropic (different fluctuation directions become nondegenerate). The dispersion of these branches will provide a means to determine the anisotropic exchange parameters in Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [40].

In the usual crystal field scheme of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, the ground and first excited states (dominantly $a_1^+ = 4 \pm 4, a_1^+ = 5$ and $b_2^+ = 5, b_2^+ = 4$, respectively) are connected not only by the operators $J_z J_x$, but also $J_z J_y$, and the quadrupole operators $O_{xz} = J_z J_x + J_z J_y = 1/2(2i)(J_z J_x + J_z J_y)$ and $O_{xy} = J_z J_x - J_z J_y = 1/(2i)(J_z J_x - J_z J_y)$. The finite matrix elements of $J_z$ and $J_y$ mean that the excitons are transverse fluctuations, and because of the quadrupole operators, they can mix with the transverse phonons [41]. The general features of such a coupling, which is linear in the relevant operators, are that its strength is largest at high energy but decreases as the energy difference between the modes increases; it vanishes as $(\mathbf{k}, \hbar \omega) \rightarrow 0$ and as the population of the CFE becomes comparable to that of the ground state [42]. This is in qualitative agreement with our observations—the MEM is undetectable at low energy (Fig. 2), while competition between the first two factors may result in the intensity distribution in the MEM shown in Fig. 3(b). The MEM vanishes in the relevant temperature interval, as shown in Fig. 4. This temperature scale is also that in which the spin correlations evolve most strongly [12], suggesting that the magnetoelastic effects are not a coincidental property of the spin liquid phase.

The derivation of a Hamiltonian for Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ remains challenging. The measurement of the excitation spectrum throughout a large volume of $S(Q, \hbar \omega)$ shows no indication of global symmetry lowering or a soft mode associated with a structural transition. The key to the evasion of long-range magnetic order in Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ seems to be the mixing of the first crystal field level with the ground state, which has been attempted theoretically in different ways [11,28,30,31,43], while the coupling of excitons and phonons we have observed suggests that both the quadrupole operators and anisotropic exchange are of central importance.

The low-temperature state of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is ever more intriguing. In the spin sector we may hope for an emergent gauge theory, which must now contain power-law spin correlations [12] and a propagating bosonic excitation. Various theories of frustrated magnetism support dispersive excitations despite the absence of long-range magnetic order. In a quantum spin ice, the photon mode [6,7] looks superficially much like the MEM, and we speculate that the theory of a magnetoelastic spin liquid will ultimately resemble a quantum spin ice, with vibronic fluctuations replacing the quantum tunneling fluctuations. In this context, the microscopic meaning of our results is that exchange interactions and atomic wave functions depend on the position of atoms, which can themselves fluctuate in a correlated manner. Since an acoustic phonon is involved, passage of a hybrid fluctuation can reconfigure both spins and wave functions over a large distance. We suggest that Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ should be viewed as an example of dynamical frustration [28,44] mediated by the spin-lattice coupling [26] evidenced here.

In conclusion, we have observed a magnetoelastic mode in the spin liquid phase of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$. This mode is formed by the hybridization of the first excited crystal field level and the transverse acoustic phonons. The hybridization of the excitations disappears in the paramagnetic regime. We suggest that the coupling we have observed is at the origin of the anomalous magnetoelastic behavior of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$. The existence of the magnetoelastic mode implies that the spin liquid phase of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is a Coulomb phase supporting a propagating bosonic spin excitation.
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Note added.—Recently, measurements of the quasielastic scattering have shown that it also contains a propagating mode [15]. The MEM at (1, 1, 1) is clearly visible in this study, but was interpreted as an acoustic phonon.

[41] This argument is correct for fluctuations propagating along [111], the quantization direction of Jz, for a single sublattice. Some departure from this description in terms of purely transverse fluctuations may be expected for the spins or fluctuations in other directions.