The ground state. The labeling of $J_1$ and $J_2$ reflects the fact that $J_2/J_1 > 1$ exceeds 1 and $J_2$ is the nearest-neighbor interaction which couples nearest-neighbor sites within each fcc sublattice. The SSL should evolve when the ratio $J_2/J_1$ exceeds $\frac{1}{2}$, while for lower $J_2/J_1$ a collinear antiferromagnet (AF) should be the ground state. The labeling of $J$’s reflects the fact that $J_1$ is the nearest-neighbor coupling of the diamond lattice and $J_2$ is the next-nearest-neighbor one. The degeneracy of the SSL could be lifted by thermal [1] or quantum [3] fluctuations resulting in a magnetic ordering transition via the “order-by-disorder” mechanism [4,5]. Within this scenario the ordered state selected by entropy has the highest density of nearby low-energy excitations. This model will be referred to as the “$J_2/J_1$” or order-by-disorder model.

CoAl$_2$O$_4$ is a good candidate to study SSL, as it adopts the spinel structure $AB_2$O$_4$ with magnetic Co$^{2+}$ ions residing on the diamond lattice. However, there is no consensus to which extent the $J_2/J_1$ model is relevant for this system. The ratio $J_2/J_1$ determined from inelastic neutron scattering [6] is only 0.109(2); thus, according to the aforementioned model [1], this material should have a nondegenerate collinear AF ground state. Nevertheless, experimental observations by specific heat [7,8], muon spin relaxation [9], and neutron diffraction [6,10,11] are rather consistent with an unconventional ground state, which might be the SSL. Several models have been proposed in the past to explain this behavior, such as the order-by-disorder [1], “order-by-quenched-disorder” [12], or “kinetically inhibited order” [11] models.
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single-crystal diffraction which probes spin-spin correlations and thus should be able to distinguish the models introduced above. We calculate diffraction patterns for magnetic moment arrangements obtained by the classical Monte Carlo (MC) simulations varying the arrangements obtained by the classical Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. Measurements of susceptibility, magnetization, and thus should be able to distinguish the models introduced above. We calculate diffraction patterns for magnetic moment arrangements obtained by the classical Monte Carlo (MC) simulations varying the arrangements obtained by the classical Monte Carlo (MC) simulations.

FIG. 1. (Color online) Elastic neutron-scattering $H$-$HL$ maps calculated for the ideal model with $J_2/J_1 = 0.10$ (left) and $0.17$ (right). Middle: the 00$L$ cuts of the $H$-$HL$ maps with the $J_2/J_1$ ratio 0.10 (red), 0.15 (green), and 0.17 (blue). Note the logarithmic scale for the intensity.

II. MC MODELING

The Monte Carlo calculation was performed minimizing the energy of the classical Heisenberg Hamiltonian for spins $S_i$:

$$H = J_1 \sum_{(ij)} S_i \cdot S_j + J_2 \sum_{(ii)} S_i \cdot S_j + D \sum_i (S_i \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 + g\mu_B B \sum_i S_i \cdot \mathbf{h}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $J_1, J_2 > 0$ are first- and second-neighbor antiferromagnetic couplings, $D$ and $\mathbf{u}$ are the magnitude and direction of the single-ion anisotropy term, and $B$ and $\mathbf{h}$ are the magnitude and direction of a magnetic field. A cluster of 64 000 Co atoms ($20 \times 20 \times 20$ unit cells) with periodic boundary conditions was considered. This size is a compromise between two conflicting requirements—the cluster should be sufficiently large to ensure enough resolution in a calculated diffraction pattern, but small enough to spend finite time on a MC calculation. The moments were kept constant in magnitude and equal to $1\mu_B$; their direction was changed at random, one at the time. To obtain the ground state only energy-decreasing moves were accepted in the final stage. During a MC cycle 10 000 moves of each atom were performed to ensure equilibrium conditions. The results were identical to those obtained by temperature annealing. The Fourier transform of the magnetic moment configuration was

then calculated for the regions of interest of the reciprocal space. The $Q$ step was chosen to be 0.05 r.l.u. No binning in the direction perpendicular to the cuts was performed.

As the main purpose of the modeling was to understand the magnetic behavior in the CoAl$_2$O$_4$ system, the exchange couplings and disorder parameter close to ones known for our crystal [6] were used as the basis, namely $J_1 = 9.2$ K, $J_2/J_1 = 0.10$, $D/J_1 = 0.009$, and $\delta = 8\%$ [14]. Additionally, to disentangle the influence of the parameters, we varied in reasonable ranges the $J_2/J_1$ ratio, amount of random defects, and magnetic field strength. We tried two different single-ion anisotropy directions—[111] and [100]; however, as the anisotropy in this system is small this did not influence the results.

Representative $H$-$HL$ maps for the ideal (without defects) model with $J_2/J_1$ ratios of 0.10 and 0.17 are presented in Fig. 1. Two main features are apparent: there are sharp magnetic Bragg peaks at the AF ($k = 0$) positions (i.e., 200, 111) and broad diffuse features at the basis of magnetic Bragg peaks extending over several reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.). The broad diffuse features need the log-scale amplification in single-crystal calculated patterns; however, summing up this sparse signal at the same sin$\theta/\lambda$ results in a strong diffuse scattering in powder patterns [10]. As can be seen from the 00$L$ cuts in Fig. 1 (middle), the broad diffuse features grow with the increase of $J_2/J_1$ (a significant increase happens for $J_2/J_1 > 0.15$), while the Bragg intensity decreases and even a hollow forms at the positions of the magnetic Bragg peaks for $J_2/J_1 = 0.17$. This reproduces well the “spiral surfaces” of the free energy calculated by Bergman et al. [1].

To understand the effect of the Co/Al antisite disorder we considered models with random defects on the two sites $A$ (Co) and $B$ (Al) separately. First, up to 12% of magnetic atoms were removed from the $A$ sites. This significantly changed the intensity distribution, as is presented in Fig. 2, left, for the ratio $J_2/J_1 = 0.10$. There appeared sharp ferromagnetic peaks (i.e., 000, 220), the AF Bragg peaks became wider, and the broad diffuse scattering increased. These tendencies grow with the number of the $A$ defects. Inspection of atoms in the vicinity of the defects shows that the antiferromagnetic coupling between them is broken and their magnetic moments are aligned along the single-ion anisotropy axis $\mathbf{u}$. This results in the emergence
of long-range ferromagnetic correlations at the cost of the antiferromagnetic ones.

Next we inserted up to 12% of magnetic atoms on the B sites (see Fig. 2, right). This model, introduced first by Savary et al. [12], argues that the coupling between the magnetic B atom and its six nearest-neighbor A atoms should be very strong. We adopted this model to the CoAl2O4 case considering the ratio $J_2/J_1 = 0.10$ and we varied the concentration of impurities in the 2%–12% range. The results imply that B defects suppress the AF long-range order much stronger than the A defects. For concentrations above 4% antiferromagnetic Bragg peaks vanish. Also at these concentrations ferromagnetic short-range correlations emerge; they were not detected either for the ideal or A-defect models.

Further, we wanted to know how a perturbation, such as a magnetic field, would affect diffraction patterns of the ideal and defect models. For that we calculated diffraction patterns for the $J_2/J_1 = 0.10$ ratio without defects and with A defects. Application of magnetic fields along the principal directions leads to significant changes in magnetic correlations for the ideal model (Fig. 3, left and middle). The common features are as follows: increase of ferromagnetic Bragg peaks, decrease of antiferromagnetic peaks (they vanish at the magnetic field of 5 T), and enhancement of broad diffuse AF features. Still, there are differences. When magnetic field is applied along [110] (Fig. 3, left), diffuse scattering is concentrated around the Bragg peaks, and the peaks themselves stay sharp. For $H \parallel [100]$ (Fig. 3, middle) the AF peaks become wider. In addition to diffuse scattering located near the AF Bragg peaks (as presented in Fig. 4, left, for the 0KL plane with $H \parallel [100]$), short-range correlations form diffuse streaks along [111]. They are more pronounced in the $\langle H-K-K \rangle$ plane for $H \parallel [100]$ (Fig. 4, right) compared to the $\langle H-HL \rangle$ plane for $H \parallel [110]$ (not shown). This suggests that magnetic field along [100] lowers the energy of low-lying states more efficiently than magnetic field along [110]. In clear contrast, when 8% A defects are present (Fig. 3, right), the magnetic field enhances all magnetic correlations—not only the ferromagnetic long-range Bragg contributions and AF diffuse scattering, but also the AF Bragg contributions grow.

Our MC calculations show that magnetic field is a sensitive parameter to identify the relevance of the $J_2/J_1$ model and to distinguish the presence or absence of random A and B defects. Following these conjectures we decided to perform a neutron single-crystal diffraction experiment in the applied magnetic field.

### III. Experimental Results

#### A. Bulk measurements

Prior to neutron diffraction we characterized our single crystal by bulk measurements to compare our results with other numerous studies of the CoAl2O4 [7–9,11,13,15,16]. The growth of the CoAl2O4 crystal by the floating zone method was reported by Maljuk et al. [16]; parts of this crystal were used in the previous neutron scattering experiments [6]. Susceptibility, magnetization, and specific heat measurements presented here were performed on a part of this crystal with the mass of 12.5 mg using a Quantum Design Squid Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (SVSM) and a Quantum Design PPMS, respectively.
The low temperature zero-field cooled (ZFC) and field cooled (FC) susceptibility measured with a dc magnetic field $H = 0.005$ T applied along three principal directions is shown in Fig. 5, left. The high-temperature part, above 100 K, follows a Curie-Weiss law with a Weiss temperature $\theta_{CW} = -85.2$ K. The determined effective magnetic moment $4.60 \mu_B$ f.u. and $g$ factor 2.37 are in good agreement with other studies [15]. A broad hump at $\sim 11$ K indicates building up of short range antiferromagnetic correlations. Below $T^* \sim 8$ K a small splitting between the ZFC and FC susceptibilities without a pronounced cusp is observed. Remarkably, there is no frequency dependence of this anomaly in the ac susceptibility (Fig. 5, middle). These observations are in excellent agreement with the systematic study of antisite disorder in the Co$_{1-\delta}$Al$_\delta$(Al$_{1-\delta}$Co$_\delta$)$_2$O$_4$ system by Hanashima et al. [13], which attributes such behavior to a spin liquid state (samples of Suzuki et al. [9] and Roy et al. [15] belong to this regime as well). Hanashima et al. [13] report a crossover between spin liquid (SL) and spin glass (SG) regimes with a threshold at the 8% inversion level. Samples belonging to the SG regime have a cusp in the dc susceptibility at the ZFC and FC splitting point and the real part of the ac susceptibility is frequency dependent (samples of Tristan et al. [7,8,13] belong to this range). Presumably in the SL regime defects only perturb the degenerate ground state, while in the SG regime they start to dominate magnetic behavior. As both the ZFC and FC splitting in the susceptibility and the broad magnetic peaks in neutron diffraction in our crystal [6] appear at $T^* \sim 8$ K, we identify this anomaly as the emergence of an unconventional ordered magnetic state.

Isothermal magnetization curves for our crystal with the field along three principal axes for 2 K and 30 K are presented in Fig. 5, right. At 30 K magnetization is linear with the field as expected for a paramagnet, while at 2 K the behavior is nonlinear [as emphasized in the inset (a) for [111] direction]. Such nonlinearity would be expected for reorientation of
magnetic domains; however, $M/H$ is identical regardless of the field direction thus suggesting that magnetic anisotropy in this system is very weak.

The temperature dependence of the heat capacity measured in zero field and in the applied magnetic field of 6 T along the three principal directions are very much alike (Fig. 6, left). For all measurements the crystal was cooled in zero field and then a magnetic field was applied. A broad peak located at $\sim 9.8$ K in zero field slightly shifts to lower temperature when magnetic field is applied (inset of Fig. 6, left). The change, though tiny and independent of the field direction, suggests that only a few degrees of freedom are involved and their response to the magnetic field averages throughout the sample.

To obtain the magnetic contribution $C_{\text{mag}}$ we subtracted the heat capacity of the crystal lattice modeled by the Debye approximation [17]. As shown in the inset of Fig. 6 right, $C_{\text{mag}}$ follows the power law $T^\alpha$ with $\alpha = 2.1$ (red solid line) within the temperature range 2–8 K. In other samples reported in literature the power laws vary from $\alpha = 2.5$, $\alpha = 2.23$ for the SL regime samples [9,15] to $\alpha = 2$ for the GS sample [8]. These $\alpha$ values should be compared to the power law expected for a classical antiferromagnetic order ($C_{\text{mag}} \sim T^3$), for the spiral surface ($J_2/J_1$) model ($C_{\text{mag}} \sim T^{7/3}$) [1], and a linear behavior typical for spin glass systems ($C_{\text{mag}} \sim T$). The agreement is in favor of the $J_2/J_1$ model and the presence of defects seems to affect the entropy very little. Notably, the saturation of the magnetic entropy $\Delta S_{\text{mag}}$ evaluated by integrating $C_{\text{mag}}/T$ over $T$ (Fig. 6, right) is significantly lower than the expected high-temperature limit for $S = 3/2$ systems (11.56 J/mol K). Presumably a significant part of entropy remains in the system up to higher temperatures.

### B. Neutron diffraction

Neutron single-crystal experiments were performed on a 0.2755 g crystal cut from the CoAl$_2$O$_4$ ingot at the base...
temperature of 1.8 K on the single-crystal diffractometer TriCS at SINQ, Villigen. Magnetic field was applied vertically along the principal directions [010], [110], and [111] by reorienting the crystal in a MA06 cryomagnet. The neutron wavelength of 1.18 Å and a 80° collimator in front of a 3He detector were used. In zero field, the magnetic peaks of the (002) family are significantly (ca. three times) broad (Fig. 7) compared to the instrumental resolution. This resembles broad peaks of the MC model with A defects and not the features of the ideal MC model (sharp peaks and broad diffuse scattering extending over several r.l.u.). However, contrary to the predictions of the models with random defects, no magnetic intensity is present at the ferromagnetic (nuclear) positions in zero field.

In a magnetic field, the intensity and shape of magnetic peaks change and these changes strongly depend on the field direction. The magnetic field applied along the [110] direction (Fig. 7, left) reduces the width of the (002) magnetic reflection and significantly (15%) increases the peak intensity at the maximum. However, the total intensity remains almost the same. This observation suggests that long-range correlations grow at the cost of short-range correlations perpendicular to (002) being in accord with the increase of the AF long-range correlations in the MC model with A defects.

For H || [010] (Fig. 7, right) the intensity on the (200) magnetic peak maximum decreases by 37% and the total intensity decreases by 21%. This contradicts the MC model with A defects, which predicts an enhancement of the AF long-range correlations. The field applied along the [111] direction (Fig. 7, middle) changes the peak maximum and the total intensity of the magnetic peaks (200), (00-2), and (020) very little. This also contradicts the MC model with A defects.

It should be noted that our experimental findings cannot be explained within the conventional model of a multidomain AF structure either. That model postulates the presence of magnetic domains, each of them having the same magnetic structure with a fixed magnetic moment direction along one of the complementary preferred easy axes (for example, octet of the (111) axes). When a magnetic field is applied, domains with magnetic moments orthogonal to the field direction grow at the cost of domains with unfavorable moment axes. In our case, however, it seems that magnetic moments rotate orthogonal to the applied magnetic field but not necessarily into domains of the complementary easy axis. Such soft magnetic behavior can explain the increase of the (002) intensity maximum for H || [110] and its reduction for the H || [010] magnetic field direction.

IV. DISCUSSION

In Sec. II we calculated the single-crystal neutron diffraction patterns based on the ideal order-by-disorder model and extended the simulation to the order-by-quenched-disorder models with random A and B defects. In Sec. III our experimental data on our CoAl$_2$O$_4$ single crystal are presented. Our macroscopic (susceptibility, magnetization, and specific heat) and microscopic (single-crystal neutron diffraction in zero and in the applied magnetic field) experimental findings confirm that the magnetic order in the frustrated diamond lattice antiferromagnet CoAl$_2$O$_4$ is unconventional. Comparison of bulk properties of our crystal with those of other studied samples identifies our crystal as located in the spin liquid regime [13] and its magnetic properties are isotropic on the macroscopic scale. This is definitely not the case on the microscopic level as testified by neutron diffraction. Single-crystal patterns reveal that changes of magnetic correlations with the applied field are anisotropic. The patterns are similar to those calculated from the Monte Carlo models, but none of the considered models explains the data completely. In zero field, the measured peaks are broadened, as the model with A defects predicts; however, the anticipated ferromagnetic contribution is missing. In addition, while the experimentally observed increase of long-range AF correlations for H || [110] is in accord with this model, its decrease for H || [100] contradicts the predictions. Furthermore, the presence of random B defects should induce broad ferromagnetic features, but they are not observed in the experiment.

Thus the magnetic behavior in the studied material is not captured by simplistic models supposing random disorder on the A and B sites considered so far. One of the possible reasons of the failure could be different electronic states of the defect atoms as the assumed ones. For example, the Co$^{2+}$ ions inserted into the octahedral B sites are assumed to be in the same spin state as in the A site. However, DFT calculations [18] suggest the low spin configuration for the

---

**FIG. 7.** (Color online) (200) reflections of CoAl$_2$O$_4$ crystal measured at 1.8 K without (0 T, black) and with (5 T, red) magnetic field applied along [110] (left), [111] (middle), and [010] (right).
Co$^{2+}$ ions in the octahedral coordination for the inversion levels up to $\delta = 50\%$. Another possible reason of disagreement could be a complex morphology of defects which we assumed to be random. Spinels are known to form complicated twin structures [19], which lead to stacking faults of the (111)-plane. Such faults have been observed in MgAl$_2$O$_4$ by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) [20]. Starting from the ideal spinel structure shown in Fig. 8(a) a stacking fault develops at the (111) plane indicated by the black solid line. At such twin boundaries the cation composition can be either preserved [Fig. 8(b)], or it can become B(Al) rich [Fig. 8(c)] or A(Co) rich [Fig. 8(d)]. If the distribution of stacking faults is similar in all eight directions within the (111) family, macroscopic magnetic properties of a crystal would remain also isotropic.

Clearly, the frustration due to the $J_2/J_1$ ratio is the basic ingredient of the magnetic behavior of CoAl$_2$O$_4$. This is the case even when the $J_2/J_1$ ratio is below $\frac{1}{3}$. The $J_2/J_1$ model correctly predicts the temperature evolution of specific heat, the wave vector of magnetic ordering, and the presence of low-lying excited states with $\mathbf{q} = (111)$. However, not all experimental observations emerge from the $J_2/J_1$ physics. Both the difference in ZFC/FC susceptibility and the broadening of the AF peaks in neutron diffraction result from the antisite disorder. Comparison of neutron patterns from the MC modeling and the experiment prompts that these models are too simplistic. TEM would be the key method for a systematic study of the microstructure and its influence on magnetic correlations in this material reconciling contradicting isotropic and anisotropic microscopic observations.
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[14] Preparing this manuscript we revisited the x-ray diffraction refinements and found that the degree of inversion in our crystal is actually lower, 5%.