Day-length regulates seasonal patterns of stomatal conductance in *Quercus* species

Elena Granda^{1,2*}, Frederik Baumgarten³, Arthur Gessler³, Eustaquio Gil Pelegrin⁴, Jose Javier Peguero-Pina⁴, Domingo Sancho-Knapik⁴, Niklaus E. Zimmerman³, Víctor Resco de Dios^{5, 1*}

¹Department of Crop and Forest Sciences - AGROTECNIO Center, Universitat de Lleida, 25198 Lleida, Spain (elena.granda.f@gmail.com; vic@pvcf.udl.cat)

²Department of Life Sciences, University of Alcalá, E-28805, Alcalá de Henares, Spain

³Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Zürcherstrasse 111, CH-8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland (frederik.baumgarten@wsl.ch; arthur.gessler@wsl.ch; niklaus.zimmermann@wsl.ch)

⁴Unidad de Recursos Forestales, Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria de Aragón, Gobierno de Aragón. Avda. Montañana 930, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain (egilp@cita-aragon.es; jjpeguero@aragon.es; dsancho@cita-aragon.es)

⁵ School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, 621010 Mianyang, China

***Corresponding authors:** Elena Granda and Víctor Resco de Dios

Elena Granda, E-mail address: Department of Crop and Forest Science and AGROTECNIO Center, Universitat de Lleida, Av Rovira Roure 191, 25198 Lleida, Spain. Telephone: +34 973 702532; Fax: +34 973 702690; E-mail address: elena.granda.f@gmail.com

Victor Resco de Dios, School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, 621010 Mianyang, China; Telephone +86 13162070435; E-mail address: vic@pvcf.udl.cat

Funding: the present study has been supported from the talent funds of Southwest University of Science and Technology (No.18ZX7131) and the Velux Foundation, Switzerland (Project No. 1119; PHOTOCHAIN).

Abstract

Vapor pressure deficit is a major driver of seasonal changes in transpiration, but photoperiod also modulates leaf responses. Climate warming might enhance transpiration by increasing atmospheric water demand and the length of the growing season, but photoperiod-sensitive species could show dampened responses. Here, we document that day-length is a significant driver of the seasonal variation in stomatal conductance. We performed weekly gas exchange measurements across a common garden experiment with 12 oak species from contrasting geographical origins and we observed that the influence of day-length was of similar strength to that of vapor pressure deficit in driving the seasonal pattern. We then examined the generality of our findings by incorporating day-length regulation into well-known stomatal models. For both angiosperm and gymnosperm species the models improved significantly when adding day-length dependences. Photoperiod control over stomatal conductance could play a large yet underexplored role on the plant and ecosystem water balance.

Keywords: stomatal control, day-length, Mediterranean, temperate, tropical, gas exchange, woody plants, circadian rhythm, latitude, *Quercus*

43 **Acknowledgements:** we are very grateful to Carlota Oliván and Shengnan Ouyang for their aid
44 conducting measurements.

45

INTRODUCTION

Global warming is leading to longer growing seasons and higher atmospheric water demand, which exerts a significant impact over the water cycle and transpirational water losses. The effects of seasonal warming on transpiration are mediated by leaf level stomatal conductance. Photoperiod is a major driver of leaf phenology, but a potential role for photoperiod responses as modulators of seasonal stomatal behavior has not been properly evaluated.

The interplay between temperature and photoperiod (i.e. day-length) affects phenological processes such as flowering time, budburst, seasonal stem growth, leaf senescence and dormancy (Rossi *et al.* 2006; Jackson 2009; Basler & Körner 2012; Way & Montgomery 2015; Zohner & Renner 2015; Zohner, Benito, Svenning & Renner 2016; Luo *et al.* 2018; Tylewicz *et al.* 2018). Day-length has also been documented to be a driver of seasonal changes in the photosynthetic capacity of leaves and ecosystems at similar or even larger importance as temperature (Bauerle *et al.* 2012; Stoy, Trowbridge & Bauerle 2014; Bongers, Olmo, Lopez-Iglesias, Anten & Villar 2017; Stinziano & Way 2017; Way, Stinziano, Berghoff & Oren 2017). Circumstantial evidence points towards a potentially important day-length effect also on stomatal conductance. Zhao *et al.* (2009), for example, observed how both photosynthesis and stomatal conductance declined in *Populus cathayana* under short-day photoperiods. However, the decline was much more marked in conductance (~50% decline) than in photosynthesis (~30% decline) for male poplars. This is in line with the control of gas exchange by the circadian clock that underlies all photoperiod-responsive processes as the effects of circadian regulation are more important over stomatal conductance than over photosynthesis (Resco de Dios & Gessler 2018). The current view on intra-annual variation in stomatal conductance is that it is driven by the interplay between environmental drivers (e.g. soil moisture, vapor pressure deficit), but the role of day-length remains unexplored.

The effects of day-length on leaf physiology are thought to vary depending on the latitudinal origin of a species (Becklin *et al.* 2016), although it is unclear whether day-length effects increase or decrease with latitude. The traditional view is that the seasonality in insolation and day-length increases with latitude and, consequently, photoperiod at higher latitudes should provide a stronger signal than at lower latitudes (Saikkonen *et al.* 2012) in order to protect leaves and other tissues against, for instance, late frosts in the spring or other environmental stresses. Conversely, the study of Zohner *et al.* (2016) found, within the temperate biome, that species relying on photoperiod as a budburst signal were more commonly found at lower latitudes with shorter winters, whereas photoperiod-sensitive budburst was rare at higher latitudes. Consequently, the understanding of how the geographical origin determines the degree of photoperiod-sensitivity is unresolved.

In the present study we tested the general hypothesis that seasonal changes in stomatal conductance are not only driven by temperature or air-to-leaf vapor pressure deficit when soil water is not restricting, but also by changes in day-length. First, we measured gas exchange weekly over a growing season in 12 *Quercus* species whose natural distribution ranged from tropical ($\sim 8^\circ$ N) to temperate latitudes ($\sim 60^\circ$ N), although no single species spanned the whole latitudinal range. We used different types of statistical as well as semi-mechanistic stomatal models to quantify the potential importance of day-length and test the hypotheses that: 1) day-length is a significant driver of seasonal variation in stomatal conductance; 2) the effect of day-length is of similar magnitude to that of temperature or VPD over seasonal scales and; 3) the dependence on day-length would vary with the natural distribution range of a species. We selected oaks for our study because they are common or dominant tree species across a wide variety of habitats and biomes (Gil-Pelegrín, Peguero-Pina & Sancho-Knapik 2017).

Second, after demonstrating significant effects of day-length over 12 *Quercus* species, we aimed at testing whether our results would also apply to a broader selection of species. Consequently, we searched for additional datasets on stomatal conductance publicly available (Lin *et al.* 2015; Anderegg *et al.* 2018) and tested whether adding a photoperiod component in a commonly used stomatal model (Medlyn *et al.* 2011) improved predictions of seasonal stomatal conductance in additional tree species distributed across the globe for which data is currently available. Here, we demonstrate, for the first time to our knowledge, that photoperiod exerts a major control on the seasonal pattern of stomatal conductance.

METHODS

Study species and experimental site

A total of 12 *Quercus* species from different geographical origins (TEM, temperate; MED, Mediterranean; and TRO, tropical) were selected in order to represent a wide latitudinal spectrum, ranging from 8° N in Panamá to 60° N in southern Sweden (Table S1). Four species per origin (TEM: *Q. robur*, *Q. rubra*, *Q. macrocarpa*, *Q. variabilis*; MED: *Q. ilex subsp ilex*, *Q. faginea*; *Q. ilex subsp ballota*; *Q. douglassi* and TRO: *Q. acutifolia*; *Q. lanata*; *Q. myrsinifolia*; *Q. semecarpifolia*) and four saplings per species were used for this experiment (n = 48). Saplings had the same age within species (between five and ten years old among species), with mean ($\pm$ SE) height of 75 ± 4 cm and trunk diameter measured at 10 cm from the ground of 1.2 ± 0.1 cm. In spring 2018, plants were located outdoors at the Forest Research Unit, CITA de Aragón (41.39° N, 0.52° W, Zaragoza, Spain) under uniform light conditions, and they were watered daily to field capacity to avoid drought stress. Pots with 30cm depth and 20 l capacity were filled with a mixture of 80% compost (Neuhaus Humin Substrat N6; Klasman-Deilmann GmbH, Geeste, Germany) and

20% perlite. Nutrients were supplied as slow-release fertilizer (Osmocote Plus, Sierra Chemical, Milpitas, CA, USA). The fertilizer (3 g per liter of soil) was applied to the top 10 cm layer of substrate. All plants were grown under the same environmental conditions. Air temperature (T , °C) and relative humidity (RH, %) were measured every hour at the experimental site using a Hobo Pro temp/RH data logger (Onset Computer, Bourne, MA, USA) located at 1.30 m above the soil surface and right above the saplings canopy. Hourly net radiation ($W\ m^{-2}$) and precipitation (mm) was provided by the Aragón Government from a nearby station (Montañana, Oficina del Regante, Fig. 1).

Physiological measurements

We originally intended to collect measurements from the spring to the autumn equinoxes in 2018, however the experiment inception had to be delayed due to leaf phenology. That is, we could not start our weekly measurements until May 29th, when leaves were fully developed (especially for evergreen species, which needed longer periods to terminate leaf development), and measurements lasted until October 25th. They were conducted in fully-expanded, sun-exposed leaves over a short window of time (10:30h to 13:30h) to minimize circadian effects and during two days (consecutive whenever possible) per week. Stomatal conductance to water vapor (g_s) was measured using a CIRAS-2 portable photosynthesis system (PP Systems, Amsbury, MA, USA) fitted with an automatic universal leaf cuvette (PLC6-U, PP-Systems). Radiation was set at a saturating photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of $1500\ \mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$. The controlled cuvette CO_2 concentration ($C_a = 400\ \mu mol\ mol^{-1}$) was maintained using an automatic control device on the CIRAS-2, while the relative humidity (RH) and block temperature mirrored that of the environment.

138 *Statistical analyses*

139 We first tested for a statistically significant pattern of seasonal variation in stomatal conductance.
140 We modeled the temporal patterns in g_s , after grouping species by their geographical origin (TEM,
141 MED, TRO), using Generalized Additive Models (GAMs, Hastie & Tibshirani 1990). GAMs are a
142 nonparametric extension of Generalized Linear Models (GLM) in which we fitted smooth curves to
143 data using local smoothing functions instead of the parametric functions as in GLMs. One of the
144 main strengths of GAMs is that they do not assume any pre-determined functional relationship
145 between dependent and independent variables. We then tested whether the temporal pattern was
146 statistically significant by analyzing the first derivative (the slope, or rate of change) with the finite
147 differences method. We also computed standard errors and a 95% point-wise confidence interval for
148 the first derivative. The trend was subsequently deemed significant when the derivative confidence
149 interval was bounded away from zero at the 95% level (for full details on this method see Curtis &
150 Simpson 2014). Periods with significant variation are illustrated on the figures by the yellow line
151 portions, and non-significant differences occur elsewhere.

152 After testing for statistical variation in the seasonal pattern, we sought to test which
153 environmental factors were explaining the temporal pattern. First, we explored the relationships
154 between our dependent variable (g_s) and the environmental drivers (VPD, T, radiation and day-
155 length) from the cuvette, which mimicked the environmental conditions at the time of measurement,
156 through simple linear models, transforming variables where necessary to achieve normality. For
157 VPD and radiation, non-linear, exponential fits were computed with the nls method (Bates & Watts
158 1988) and to determine the goodness of the fit, we computed the residual sum-of-squares (lack of
159 fit) and the complement of its proportion to the total sum-of-squares (coefficient of determination,
160 $R^2 = 1 - (RSS/TSS)$).

To more rigorously test for statistical relationships, we applied linear mixed-effects models, using tree species and week of measurement as random factors. The fixed factors in the linear mixed models were day-length, radiation, VPD and their interaction with the geographic origin to test for potential differences across biomes. The best fixed and random structures of the model were tested using the Akaike information criterion (AIC, Burnham & Anderson 2002). The initial linear models were simplified using dredging techniques based on AIC to obtain the optimal model (Barton 2018). Some of our *Quercus* species were evergreen and others were deciduous. We thus also included leaf type (evergreen or deciduous) as a fixed effect instead of the origin of the species in our models (results not shown) but leaf type was never included in the best model, indicating that the responses did not depend on this trait. Models were implemented using the ‘nlme’ (Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D 2018), ‘MuMIn’ (Barton 2018) and ‘mgcv’ (Wood 2017) R-packages from version 3.5 (R Core Team 2018). We also calculated the percent of variation explained by the mixed models following Nakagawa & Schielzeth (2013).

Stomatal conductance models

To further assess the importance of day-length as a regulator of seasonal variation in stomatal conductance, and to improve our understanding of the generality of our results, we modified a commonly used model of stomatal conductance to incorporate day-length effects.

First, we fitted our dataset against three models of stomatal conductance that are commonly used in leaf-level simulations and are widely used in Earth System Models, namely the models proposed by Ball *et al.* (1987); Leuning (1995) and Medlyn *et al.* (2011). These models are relatively similar but they differ mostly regarding the representation of the dependence of g_s on atmospheric moisture. For our dataset, we observed that the model of Medlyn *et al.* (2011)

provided the best fit (Table S2). Consequently, we compared the predictions of g_s from the original model of Medlyn *et al.* (2011):

$$g_s = g_0 + 1.6 \left(1 + \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{VPD}} \right) \left(\frac{A}{C_a} \right) \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

against a modified version that incorporates a linear effect of day-length affecting the slope component (g_1), such that:

$$g_s = g_0 + 1.6 \left(1 + \frac{g_1(1-g_2 nl)}{\sqrt{VPD}} \right) \left(\frac{A}{C_a} \right) \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

where g_s is the stomatal conductance to water vapor, g_0 and g_1 are fitting parameters related to the minimal conductance to water vapor and the marginal water use efficiency (a concept derived from optimal stomatal theory), respectively. VPD is vapor pressure deficit (kPa), A is net assimilation rate ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), C_a is atmospheric CO_2 concentration at the leaf surface ($\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$), nl indicates night-length in hours (that is, 24 minus day-length in hours), and g_2 is another fitting parameter.

In this modification of the Medlyn *et al.* (2011) model, we assume that the effect of day-length over g_s is such that increases in night-length linearly decline g_s . We therefore assume that g_s increases linearly through the growing season towards a peak value at the summer solstice, and that it then declines again linearly thereafter. This assumption is based on a parsimonious interpretation of the relationship we observed between g_s and photoperiod in our studied oak species (Fig. 3b).

The model in Eq. 2 further assumes that day-length affects the slope parameter of the model (g_1) such that it modulates the effects of the other parameters (VPD, A , C_a). However, it is also possible that day-length affects the minimal conductance (g_0) or intercept of the model. To test for this possibility we thus added the day-length effect over the g_0 , also following a linear assumption:

$$g_s = g_0(1 - g_3 nl) + 1.6 \left(1 + \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{VPD}}\right) \left(\frac{A}{C_a}\right) \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

where g_3 is a fitting parameter describing the effect of day-length. Finally, we also tested whether day-length affected both, the slope and the intercept by combining the Eqs. 2 and 3:

$$g_s = g_0(1 - g_3 nl) + 1.6 \left(1 + \frac{g_1(1-g_2 nl)}{\sqrt{VPD}}\right) \left(\frac{A}{C_a}\right) \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

We ran the set of four models in two modeling exercises. First, we randomly chose half of our study species for model calibration and the remaining half was used for validation (six species in each set). Second, we assessed the generality of our findings by using the data from two recent global - scale databases on g_s (Lin *et al.* 2015; Anderegg *et al.* 2018). This dataset provides g_s time series for different species measured under either “ambient” or “control” conditions. That is, this dataset was not restricted to plants in pots, like our previous analyses, and soil water content has thus been varying. From these databases, we selected those studies that measured stomatal conductance in additional tree species at least four times over a period of more than three months (that is, >50% of annual day-length variation). As a result, we were able to incorporate data from 14 additional tree species (Table S3). Additionally, we used one further dataset of our own that measured 5-year-old saplings of *Quercus pubescens* in Birmensdorf, Switzerland, grown in open top chambers. The general set up of the chamber - lysimeter system is described by Hagedorn *et al.* (2016). We fitted the model separately for angiosperms and gymnosperms using Eq 1 and Eq 2 with ‘nlme’ (Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D 2018). We used AIC and the R^2 of the regression of observed vs. predicted values as indicators of the goodness-of-fit of each model.

RESULTS

Temporal trends of g_s

We observed significant seasonal variation in g_s across the three groups of oaks. The seasonal maximum occurred around the end of June-mid July (Fig. 2), briefly after the summer solstice (i.e. when the day-length is at, or near, its maximum). Significant decreases in g_s (represented by the yellow part of the curve in Fig. 2) were found at the end of July for all species.

Effects of environmental variables on g_s

When testing single factors alone, we observed that VPD and day-length were the most important drivers of seasonal g_s in our datasets (Table 1, Fig. 3). Averaged across species, g_s declined from around 200 to 50 $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ as VPD varied from 1 to 3.7 kPa and g_s increased from 80 to 200 $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ as day-length increased from 10.5 to 15.5 hours (Figs. 3a,b) during our measurements. Importantly, we observed that the proportion of variance explained by day-length ($R^2 = 0.31$) was larger than that explained by VPD ($R^2 = 0.24$), indicating a potentially important role of day-length for process modeling. Relationships of g_s with temperature and radiation were also significant, but the proportion of variation explained by those variables was much smaller ($R^2 = 0.02$ and $R^2 = 0.13$, respectively). In fact, radiation was not selected by our stepwise regression approach (see below).

Results from our stepwise linear mixed model selection ($R^2 = 0.53$) indicated that g_s was significantly affected by day-length ($P < 0.0001$), VPD ($P < 0.0001$), origin ($P = 0.02$) and the interaction between day-length and origin ($P = 0.006$, Table 2). The interaction between the origin of the species (TEM, MED and TRO) and day-length indicated that day-length had a stronger positive effect on g_s for MED species, followed by TEM and TRO species that had similar slopes. In other words, the slope of the relationship between g_s and day-length was significantly larger for Mediterranean species.

Day-length in stomatal models

In the first modeling exercise, we used half of our study species (*Q. ballota*, *Q. douglassi*, *Q. lanata*, *Q. macrocarpa*, *Q. myrsinifolia*, *Q. semecarpifolia*) for calibration and the other half for validation (Tables 3 and S1, Fig. 4). We observed that model fit increased significantly after including day-length effects as the R^2 of the observed-vs-predicted relationship increased from 0.36 (in Eq. 1, without day-length regulation) to 0.52-0.58 in the models that included day-length effects (Eqs. 2-4). Furthermore, the AIC declined from -368 in the model without photoperiod effects, down to -426 in the model from Eq. 3, which included day-length as affecting only the minimal conductance (g_0). The R^2 was slightly higher in Eq. 2 (which includes day-length as affecting only g_1) than in Eq. 3 (0.58 vs 0.53, respectively). However, the AIC was lower in Eq. 3 than in Eq. 2 (-426 vs -414), probably because there was a slight bias in the predictions from Eq. 2: the slope and intercept of the relationship between observed and predicted values became significantly different from 1 and 0, respectively, in Eq. 2 (with day-length affecting the slope, Table 3), but not in Eq. 3. Summing up, there was a significant increase in model fit after increasing day-length regulation, and the most plausible model was that which included day-length effects over g_0 (Eq. 3).

We examined the changes in model fit after including day-length effects in the data available from the literature separately for angiosperms (9 species, Fig. 5a, b, Table 3) and for gymnosperms (4 species, Fig. 5c,d, Table S3). For the angiosperm dataset, we also observed that model fit significantly increased after including day-length effects. The most plausible model was also that in Eq. 3, where day-length affects only g_0 (Table 3). The R^2 of the relationship between observed and predicted values increased from 0.58 in model without day-length effects (Eq. 1) up

to 0.63 in Eq. 3. This increase in the R^2 was accompanied by a decline in the AIC from -193 in Eq. 1 to -199 in Eq. 3, indicating that the model from Eq. 3 was also more parsimonious.

When examining model performance in conifers, we also observed an increase in model fit after including photoperiod effects (Table 3). However, unlike for angiosperms, here the model that provided the highest R^2 and the lowest AIC was Eq. 4, which is the model that assumes that day-length regulation modulates both the intercept (g_0) and the slope (g_1) of the model. R^2 increased from 0.74 in Eq. 1 to 0.79 in Eq. 4, and the AIC dropped from -272 to -282.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study, to our knowledge, that documents day-length as a significant driver of the seasonal variation in stomatal conductance across a range of woody plants. We observed that the role of day-length is of similar importance to that of seasonal variations in vapor pressure deficit. Our results thus point to the need of further research to fully understand the underlying mechanisms as well as to explore the necessity of including day-length regulations of stomatal conductance in large-scale modeling and global change studies.

Day-length regulation of stomatal conductance in Quercus spp.

We observed that day-length variation affected the seasonality of stomatal conductance across 12 *Quercus* species originating from tropical, Mediterranean and temperate environments. Furthermore, we observed that the range of variation in g_s driven by the photoperiod (~80 to 200 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was within the range of conductance that limits transpiration (Fig. S2). The strongest influence of photoperiod on stomatal conductance was observed in Mediterranean species, which was significantly higher than for tropical and temperate species. Perhaps the simplest explanation for this phenomenon is that Mediterranean oaks experienced the same

photoperiod as that occurring in their natural distribution range. Our plants grew at the study site, so they were already acclimated to the photoperiod and thermal regime of this site prior by the time of conducting the study. However, Mediterranean plants are likely to be better adapted to Mediterranean photoperiods and thermal regimes than tropical or temperate species. It is thus noteworthy that we also observed significant day-length effects over the seasonal pattern of g_s in temperate and tropical species that were growing outside of their natural range and that experienced a photoperiod markedly different to that in their place of origin.

The sensitivity to day-length for Mediterranean trees could be a mechanism of protection against the risks associated with summer stress. That is, Mediterranean springs are often wet and followed by long, protracted droughts. Consequently, timing maximal yearly stomatal conductance in order to coincide with the summer solstice would be especially beneficial for these species so as to maximize carbon gain during the “wet” part of the growing season, before the summer drought kicks in. Although it is known that maximal g_s often occurs early in the season (Rhizopoulou & Mitrakos 1990), we are the first to show that this seasonal pattern is, at least partly, due to day-length control.

Can these results be extrapolated to other woody species?

The results from our common garden experiment are limited by the use of a single genus (*Quercus*) and also by the lack of variation in soil water content, which restricts the degree of generalization to be drawn. However, we demonstrated that incorporating day-length regulation into a stomatal conductance model improved the goodness-of-fit across 14 additional angiosperm and gymnosperm trees for which data was available in the literature. Consequently, the observed

pattern seems to be general across woody species and research on day-length stomatal regulation should be at the forefront of our research efforts.

It is well known that vapor pressure deficit exerts a dominant control over the seasonal patterns of stomatal conductance (Damour *et al.* 2010). One of the key challenges for stomatal modeling lies in correctly predicting responses to water stress (Anderegg *et al.* 2018). Recently, Anderegg *et al.* (2018) showed that including stomatal sensitivity to declining water potential in stomatal conductance models increased the predictive capability of previous empirical models under drought conditions. Here, we suggest that incorporating day-length may further improve the ability of these models to simulate g_s patterns under drought.

In particular, our analysis indicates that day-length regulation may be particularly important as affecting minimal conductance (g_0). There has been a large body of literature trying to understand the meaning of this parameter (see review by Duursma *et al.* 2019), as well as its drivers and here we show, for the first time to our knowledge, that it could vary seasonally with photoperiod. Our results also hint that, in conifers, day-length responses could mediate the slope of stomatal models (g_1), but the generality of this claim remains to be tested because in the available dataset from the literature there were only 4 conifer species.

Furthermore, assessments of whether stomata are indeed sensitive to photoperiod using phenomenological models that depend on Carbon assimilation (A) should be made with caution. Previous studies have reported that A varies seasonally as a function of photoperiod (Bauerle *et al.* 2012; Stoy *et al.* 2014; Bongers *et al.* 2017; Stinziano & Way 2017; Way *et al.* 2017). Therefore, if the photoperiod affects one of our model inputs (e.g. A), then one will very likely also observe that the model output, g_s , is also affected by the photoperiod. Here we were able to circumvent this problem, at least partly, because we observed that A did not vary seasonally and that it was

independent from the photoperiod in our oak species (Fig. S1). Also, as we argue in the next manuscript section (see *Photoperiodic effect on stomatal conductance: possible mechanism* just below), the most likely mechanism driving photoperiodic stomatal regulation is independent from photoperiodic regulation in *A*. We thus expect photoperiod regulation in g_s to be independent from photoperiod regulation in *A*.

Solving the problem of inferring how general and important is photoperiod regulation using a stomatal model that uses a photoperiod-dependent variable as model input requires measurements at high temporal frequency (i.e. weekly or bi-weekly) such that *A* and g_s trends may be independently addressed as in our oak study. Unfortunately, the available data that we could compile from the current literature is available only at much coarser temporal frequency (i.e. monthly), preventing a detailed analysis on potential effects of photoperiod regulation in *A* affecting modeled g_s estimates. Thus, while our study likely provides the most advanced study on the topic to date, additional data collected at higher temporal frequency over a growing season, along with experimental manipulations, will be required to more broadly assess the generality of our findings in species other than *Quercus*.

Photoperiodic effect on stomatal conductance: possible mechanism

One could argue that the higher solar radiation under longer day-lengths might be responsible for the higher stomatal conductance. For example, greater g_s could be the result of higher water condensation on the epidermis, which is controlled by radiation (Pieruschka, Huber & Berry 2010). Other studies have reported higher leaf hydraulic conductance in response to illumination, which could enhance water delivery close to guard cells favoring stomatal opening (e.g. Scoffoni *et al.* 2008). However, the relationship between g_s and net radiation in our study was significantly

weaker than with day-length indicating that, although radiation might play a role in regulating seasonal variation in g_s , it cannot fully explain the day-length dependence.

Our results of stomatal conductance being regulated by day-length might be explained by the circadian clock of guard cells and their interaction with phenology regulatory modules (Hassidim *et al.* 2017). In blue light, the guard cell plasma membrane H^+ -ATPase is activated by the floral integrator FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), leading to H^+ efflux. The hyperpolarization of the plasma membrane allows K^+ entrance to the guard cell, which induces increased turgor pressure through the water uptake, causing the stomata to open (see Kinoshita *et al.* 2011; Chen *et al.* 2012 and references therein). The level of FT transcript shows a circadian rhythm and it is up-regulated by GI (GIGANTEA) and CO (CONSTANS) and repressed by the clock gene ELF3 (EARLY FLORWERING 3) resulting in stomatal closure. Hassidim *et al.* (2017) showed that the CO/FT regulatory module, component of the photoperiod pathway that regulates flowering time, also controls stomatal aperture in a day-length dependent manner. The later study was conducted in *Arabidopsis* plants but the role of the FT-module in the development and phenology has also been reported in trees (Hsu *et al.* 2011; Srinivasan, Dardick, Callahan & Scorza 2012; Borchert *et al.* 2015). These results suggest that stomatal opening of tree species is likely FT-controlled. However, further research is needed to confirm the stomatal regulation of this module together with the functional understanding of such relationships.

Day-length stomatal regulation could serve as a means towards achieving optimal stomatal conductance. Generally speaking, long photoperiods are considered as indicators of “time to grow” and declining photoperiods as indicators of “time to prepare for winter” (Körner *et al.* 2016). High stomatal conductance during the peak of day-length could thus serve to maximize carbon capture during the part of the year when conditions are more favorable towards carbon

assimilation. Conversely, the capacity of stomata to use shorter day-lengths as indicators of the proximity of the end of the growing season could serve to diminish water use at the time of the year when it would be less efficient.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the support from the talent funds of Southwest University of Science and Technology (No.18ZX7131) and the Velux Foundation, Switzerland (Project No. 1119; PHOTCHAIN). We are very grateful to Carlota Oliván and Shengnan Ouyang for their aid conducting measurements. We sincerely appreciate all valuable comments and suggestions made by the Associate Editor D. Way y two anonymous referees, which contributed to improve the quality of the article.

Authorship Statement

VRD and EG conceived the project; EG and AG conducted the measurements. EGP, JJPP and DSK cultivated the plants. EG and VRD analyzed the data. EG and VRD wrote the manuscript and FB, AG, EGP, JJPP, DSK and NEZ provided useful discussion and insights into the analysis and discussion. All co-authors contributed to edits to the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Anderegg W.R.L., Wolf A., Arango-Velez A., Choat B., Chmura D.J., Jansen S., ... Pacala S. (2018) Woody plants optimise stomatal behaviour relative to hydraulic risk. *Ecology Letters* **21**, 968–977.
- Ball J.T., Woodrow I.E. & Berry J.A. (1987) A model predicting stomatal conductance and its contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental conditions. *Progress in Photosynthesis Research* **IV**, 221–224.
- Barton K. (2018) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference.
- Basler D. & Körner C. (2012) Photoperiod sensitivity of bud burst in 14 temperate forest tree species. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **165**, 73–81.
- Bates D.M. & Watts D.G. (1988) *Nonlinear Regression Analysis and Its Applications*. Wiley.
- Bauerle W.L., Oren R., Way D.A., Qian S.S., Stoy P.C., Thornton P.E., ... Reynolds R.F. (2012) Photoperiodic regulation of the seasonal pattern of photosynthetic capacity and the implications for carbon cycling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 8612–8617.
- Becklin K.M., Anderson J.T., Gerhart L.M., Wadgyman S.M., Wessinger C.A. & Ward J.K. (2016) Examining Plant Physiological Responses to Climate Change through an Evolutionary Lens. *Plant Physiol* **172**, 635–649.
- Bongers F.J., Olmo M., Lopez-Iglesias B., Anten N.P. & Villar R. (2017) Drought responses, phenotypic plasticity and survival of Mediterranean species in two different microclimatic sites. *Plant Biol (Stuttg)* **19**, 386–395.
- Borchert R., Calle Z., Strahler A.H., Baertschi A., Magill R.E., Broadhead J.S., ... Muthuri C. (2015) Insolation and photoperiodic control of tree development near the equator. *New Phytologist* **205**, 7–13.
- Chen C., Xiao Y.G., Li X. & Ni M. (2012) Light-regulated stomatal aperture in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant* **5**, 566–572.
- Curtis C.J. & Simpson G.L. (2014) Trends in bulk deposition of acidity in the UK, 1988-2007, assessed using additive models. *Ecological Indicators*.
- Damour G., Simonneau T., Cochard H. & Urban L. (2010) An overview of models of stomatal conductance at the leaf level. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **33**, 1419–1438.

- Duursma R.A., Blackman C.J., Lopéz R., Martin-StPaul N.K., Cochard H. & Medlyn B.E. (2019) On the minimum leaf conductance: its role in models of plant water use, and ecological and environmental controls. *New Phytologist* **221**, 693–705.
- Gil-Pelegrín E., Peguero-Pina J.J. & Sancho-Knapik D. (2017) *Oaks Physiological Ecology. Exploring the Functional Diversity of Genus Quercus L.*
- Hagedorn F., Joseph J., Peter M., Luster J., Pritsch K., Geppert U., ... Arend M. (2016) Recovery of trees from drought depends on belowground sink control. *Nature Plants* **2**, 16111.
- Harris I., Jones P.D., Osborn T.J. & Lister D.H. (2014) Updated high-resolution grids of monthly climatic observations - the CRU TS3.10 Dataset. *International Journal of Climatology* **34**, 623–642.
- Hassidim M., Dakhiya Y., Turjeman A., Hussien D., Shor E., Anidjar A., ... Green R.M. (2017) CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1) and the circadian control of stomatal aperture. *Plant Physiology* **175**, pp.01214.2017.
- Hastie T.J. & Tibshirani R.J. (1990) Generalized Additive Models, volume 43 of Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability. *Chapman & Hall*.
- Hsu C.-Y., Adams J.P., Kim H., No K., Ma C., Strauss S.H., ... Yuceer C. (2011) FLOWERING LOCUS T duplication coordinates reproductive and vegetative growth in perennial poplar. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 10756–10761.
- Jackson S.D. (2009) Plant responses to photoperiod. *New Phytologist* **181**, 517–531.
- Kattge J., Bönisch G., Díaz S., Lavorel S., Prentice I.C., Leadley P., ... Wirth C. (accepted with minor revision). Twelve years of TRY – towards a third generation of plant trait data assimilation and sharing. *Global Change Biology*.
- Kinoshita T., Ono N., Hayashi Y., Morimoto S., Nakamura S., Soda M., ... Shimazaki K.I. (2011) FLOWERING LOCUS T regulates stomatal opening. *Current Biology*, 1232–1238.
- Körner C., Basler D., Hoch G., Kollas C., Lenz A., Randin C.F., ... Zimmermann N.E. (2016) Where, why and how? Explaining the low-temperature range limits of temperate tree species. *Journal of Ecology* **104**, 1076–1088.
- Leuning R. (1995) A critical appraisal of a combined stomatal-photosynthesis model for C3 plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **18**, 339–355.
- Lin Y.S., Medlyn B.E., Duursma R.A., Prentice I.C., Wang H., Baig S., ... Wingate L. (2015) Optimal stomatal behaviour around the world. *Nature Climate Change* **5**, 459–464.

470 Luo T., Liu X., Zhang L., Li X., Pan Y. & Wright I.J. (2018) Summer solstice marks a seasonal
 471 shift in temperature sensitivity of stem growth and nitrogen-use efficiency in cold-limited
 472 forests. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **248**, 469–478.

473 Medlyn B.E., Duursma R.A., Eamus D., Ellsworth D.S., Prentice I.C., Barton C.V.M., ... Wingate
 474 L. (2011) Reconciling the optimal and empirical approaches to modelling stomatal
 475 conductance. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 2134–2144.

476 Nakagawa S. & Schielzeth H. (2013) A general and simple method for obtaining R² from
 477 generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **4**, 133–142.

478 Pieruschka R., Huber G. & Berry J.A. (2010) Control of transpiration by radiation. *Proceedings*
 479 *of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 13372–13377.

480 Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D R.C.T. (2018) nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects
 481 Models. R package version 3.1-137, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>. *R package*
 482 *version 3.1-137*, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>.

483 Resco de Dios V. & Gessler A. (2018) Circadian regulation of photosynthesis and transpiration
 484 from genes to ecosystems. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **152**, 37–48.

485 Rhizopoulou S. & Mitrakos K. (1990) Water Relations of Evergreen Sclerophylls. I. Seasonal
 486 Changes in the Water Relations of Eleven Species from the Same Environment. *Annals of*
 487 *Botany* **65**, 171–178.

488 Rossi S., Deslauriers A., Anfodillo T., Morin H., Saracino A., Motta R. & Borghetti M. (2006)
 489 Conifers in cold environments synchronize maximum growth rate of tree-ring formation with
 490 day length. *New Phytol* **170**, 301–310.

491 Saikkonen K., Taulavuori K., Hyvönen T., Gundel P.E., Hamilton C.E., Vänninen I., ... Helander
 492 M. (2012) Climate change-driven species' range shifts filtered by photoperiodism. *Nature*
 493 *Climate Change* **2**, 239–242.

494 Scoffoni C., Pou A., Aasamaa K. & Sack L. (2008) The rapid light response of leaf hydraulic
 495 conductance: New evidence from two experimental methods. *Plant, Cell and Environment*
 496 **31**, 1803–1812.

497 Srinivasan C., Dardick C., Callahan A. & Scorza R. (2012) Plum (*Prunus domestica*) trees
 498 transformed with poplar FT1 result in altered architecture, dormancy requirement, and
 499 continuous flowering. *PLoS ONE*.

500 Stinziano J.R. & Way D.A. (2017) Autumn photosynthetic decline and growth cessation in

- seedlings of white spruce are decoupled under warming and photoperiod manipulations. *Plant Cell and Environment* **40**, 1296–1316.
- Stoy P.C., Trowbridge A.M. & Bauerle W.L. (2014) Controls on seasonal patterns of maximum ecosystem carbon uptake and canopy-scale photosynthetic light response: Contributions from both temperature and photoperiod. *Photosynthesis Research* **119**, 49–64.
- Tylewicz S., Petterle A., Marttila S., Miskolczi P., Azeez A., Singh R.K., ... Bhalerao R.P. (2018) Photoperiodic control of seasonal growth is mediated by ABA acting on cell-cell communication. *Science* **360**, 212–215.
- Way D.A. & Montgomery R.A. (2015) Photoperiod constraints on tree phenology, performance and migration in a warming world. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **38**, 1725–1736.
- Way D.A., Stinziano J.R., Berghoff H. & Oren R. (2017) How well do growing season dynamics of photosynthetic capacity correlate with leaf biochemistry and climate fluctuations? *Tree Physiology* **37**, 879–888.
- Wood S.N. (2017) *Generalized additive models: An introduction with R, second edition*.
- Zhao H., Li Y., Duan B., Korpelainen H. & Li C. (2009) Sex-related adaptive responses of *Populus cathayana* to photoperiod transitions. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **32**, 1401–1411.
- Zohner C.M., Benito B.M., Svenning J.C. & Renner S.S. (2016) Day length unlikely to constrain climate-driven shifts in leaf-out times of northern woody plants. *Nature Climate Change* **6**, 1120–1123.
- Zohner C.M. & Renner S.S. (2015) Perception of photoperiod in individual buds of mature trees regulates leaf-out. *New Phytologist* **208**, 1023–1030.

Data accessibility statement: the data presented in the paper are available via the TRY publicly available data repository (Kattge et al. 2019).

Supporting Information

Table S1. Study species, separated by three different geographical domains (TRO, tropical; MED, Mediterranean and TEM, temperate) according to the latitudinal range of their actual distribution. Listed are also leaf type (D, deciduous; E, evergreen), altitudinal range (m a.s.l.), minimum, maximum and mean latitudes ($^{\circ}$), and geographical distribution of the selected species.

Table S2. AIC values for the different models tested, showing that Medlyn's model provided slightly lower AIC.

Table S3. Species for which data was available from the literature (Lin *et al.* 2015; Anderegg et al. 2018). Listed are also functional type (temperate deciduous, temperate evergreen, boreal conifer, temperate conifer), location, latitude, longitude, mean annual temperature (1980-2014, (MAT) and mean annual precipitation (MAP) for the sites where the measurements were conducted (Harris, Jones, Osborn & Lister 2014), and the correspondent reference.

Fig. S1. Temporal patterns obtained fitting generalized additive models of photosynthesis at saturating light (A_{sat}) of the a) temperate (TEM), b) Mediterranean (MED) and c) tropical (TRO) species since the beginning of June until the end of October. We computed the first derivative to test whether the trend was significantly positive or negative (see methods), and the yellow parts of the curve indicate significant increasing or decreasing slopes. There is no significant seasonal variation in A for TEM and MED species and there is no significant decline after July in TRO. Since this pattern of variation is different than that from g_s , it can be inferred that the seasonal variation in g_s does not result from seasonal variation in A . Panel d) shows the linear relationship between A_{sat} and day-length as in Figure 3.

547 **Fig. S2.** Relationship between stomatal conductance (g_s) and transpiration (E) for the study
548 *Quercus* species during weekly measurements along the growing season.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Mean daily meteorological conditions of temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), radiation (W m^{-2}), VPD (kPa), daily precipitation (P, mm) and day-length (h) from the end of May until the end of October of 2018 in the study site located in Zaragoza, Spain.

Figure 2. Temporal patterns obtained by fitting generalized additive models of a) the temperate (TEM), b) Mediterranean (MED) and c) tropical (TRO) species from the beginning of June until the end of October). The yellow parts of the curve indicate significantly increasing or decreasing slopes. Summer solstice and autumn equinox are indicated by vertical gray lines.

Figure 3. Relationship between the stomatal conductance (g_s) and four explicative variables: VPD (vapour pressure deficit), day-length, T (temperature) and radiation (net radiation). Red, green and blue points refer to Mediterranean, temperate and tropical species, respectively. Regression lines across geographical origins are included only when significant differences were found (i.e. in panel b for day-length).

Figure 4. Plot of observed vs. predicted g_s from the original model of g_s (Eq. 1, a) and the modified model-version including photoperiod affecting the slope (Eq. 2, b), the intercept (Eq. 3, c) and the slope and intercept (Eq. 4, d). Half of our study species were used for calibration and the other half for validation (only species for validation are shown); The R^2 of the regression of observed vs. predicted values, as well as P-values are given in each panel. Species abbreviations are: QUBA (*Q. ilex* subsp. *ballota*), QUDO (*Q. douglassi*), QULA (*Q. lanata*), QUMA (*Q. macrocarpa*), QUMY (*Q. myrsinifolia*), QUSE (*Q. semecarpifolia*).

Figure 5. Plot of observed vs. predicted g_s for hardwood (a, b) and conifer (c, d) species from the literature (Anderegg et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2015; Table S3). For hardwoods we compare the original model of g_s (Eq.1, a) and the modified model-version including photoperiod affecting the intercept (Eq. 3, b) and for conifers, the original model of g_s (Eq.1, c) and the modified model-version including photoperiod affecting the slope and intercept (Eq. 4, d). The R^2 of the regression of observed vs. predicted values, as well as P-values are given in each panel. Species abbreviations are ACRU (*Acer rubrum*), ANBA (*Angophora bakeri*), BEAL (*Betula alleghaniensis*), BEPA (*B. papyrifera*), EUPA (*Eucaliptus parramattensis*), FACR (*Fagus crenata*), FASY (*F. sylvatica*), QU CR (*Q. crispula*), QUPU (*Q. pubescens*), JUMO (*Juniperus monosperma*), JUTH (*J. thurifera*), PISI (*Picea sitchensis*), PISY (*Pinus sylvestris*).

Tables

Table 1. Effect sizes of the main variables considered as important drivers of stomatal conductance (g_s) of the study *Quercus* species (see also Figure 3).

	VPD	day-length	temperature	radiation
Intercept	364.28	-230	88	5.72
Slope	-0.49	28	2.7	0.0014
F or t-value	-9.4	117.3	6.02	5.95
df	264	264	264	264
P-value	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.02	<0.0001
R ²	0.28	0.31	0.02	0.14

Table 2. Results from linear mixed model selection ($R^2 = 0.53$) including the significant variables affecting in g_s .

	df	F-value	P-value
Intercept	244	2142.38	<0.0001
origin	9	5.91	0.02
day-length	244	223.1	<0.0001
VPD	244	62.31	<0.0001
origin x day-length	244	5.22	0.006

Table 3. Results of model comparison (measured vs observed) over the *Quercus* dataset obtained in the present study and those for angiosperm and conifer tree data available from the literature. Values in brackets under intercept and slope indicate the standard error and the stars indicate that the intercept or slope are significantly different from 1 or 0, respectively, at $P < 0.05$.

Dataset	Model	AIC	R ²	Intercept	Slope
<i>Quercus</i> spp (this study)	Eq. 1 (no photoperiod)	-367.6	0.36	-0.01 (0.02)	1.10 (0.13)
	Eq. 2 (photoperiod affects the slope)	-414.1	0.58	-0.03 (0.01)*	1.22 (0.09)*
	Eq. 3 (photoperiod affects the intercept)	-426.2	0.52	-0.01 (0.01)	1.08 (0.09)
	Eq. 4 (photoperiod affects the slope and intercept)	-424.3	0.53	-0.01 (0.01)	1.10 (0.09)*
Angiosperms (literature)	Eq. 1 (no photoperiod)	-192.9	0.58	-0.003 (0.02)	1.01 (0.11)
	Eq. 2 (photoperiod affects the slope)	-197.6	0.62	-0.002 (0.02)	1.01 (0.10)
	Eq. 3 (photoperiod affects the intercept)	-199.4	0.63	-0.003 (0.02)	1.01 (0.10)
	Eq. 4 (photoperiod affects the slope and intercept)	-197.7	0.63	-0.003 (0.02)	1.01 (0.10)
Conifers (literature)	Eq. 1 (no photoperiod)	-272.3	0.74	0.00 (0.01)	0.99 (0.08)
	Eq. 2 (photoperiod affects the slope)	-275.3	0.76	0.00 (0.01)	1.00 (0.07)
	Eq. 3 (photoperiod affects the intercept)	-270.7	0.74	0.00 (0.01)	1.00 (0.01)
	Eq. 4 (photoperiod affects the slope and intercept)	-282.1	0.79	0.00 (0.01)	0.99 (0.06)

Figures

Figure 1. Mean daily meteorological conditions of temperature (°C), radiation (W m⁻²), VPD (kPa), daily precipitation (P, mm) and day-length (h) from the end of May until the end of October of 2018 in the study site located in Zaragoza, Spain.

Figure 2. Temporal patterns obtained by fitting generalized additive models of a) the temperate (TEM), b) Mediterranean (MED) and c) tropical (TRO) species from the beginning of June until the end of October). The yellow parts of the curve indicate significantly increasing or decreasing slopes. Summer solstice and autumn equinox are indicated by vertical gray lines.

Figure 3. Relationship between the stomatal conductance (g_s) and four explicative variables: VPD (vapor pressure deficit), day-length, T (temperature) and radiation (net radiation). Red, green and blue points refer to Mediterranean, temperate and tropical species, respectively. Regression lines across geographical origins are included only when significant differences were found (i.e. in panel b for day-length).

Figure 4. Plot of observed vs. predicted g_s from the original model of g_s (Eq. 1, a) and the modified model-version including photoperiod affecting the slope (Eq. 2, b), the intercept (Eq. 3, c) and the slope and intercept (Eq. 4, d). Half of our study species were used for calibration and the other half for validation (only species for validation are shown); The R^2 of the regression of observed vs. predicted values, as well as P-values are given in each panel. Species abbreviations are: QUBA (*Q. ilex subsp. ballota*), QUDO (*Q. douglassi*), QULA (*Q. lanata*), QUMA (*Q. macrocarpa*), QUMY (*Q. myrsinifolia*), QUSE (*Q. semecarpifolia*).

639

640 **Figure 5.** Plot of observed vs. predicted g_s for hardwood (a, b) and conifer (c, d) species from the
 641 literature (Anderegg et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2015; Table S3). For hardwoods we compare the
 642 original model of g_s (Eq.1, a) and the modified model-version including photoperiod affecting the
 643 intercept (Eq. 3, b) and for conifers, the original model of g_s (Eq.1, c) and the modified model-
 644 version including photoperiod affecting the slope and intercept (Eq. 4, d). The R^2 of the regression
 645 of observed vs. predicted values, as well as P-values are given in each panel. Species abbreviations
 646 are ACRU (*Acer rubrum*), ANBA (*Angophora bakeri*), BEAL (*Betula alleghaniensis*), BEPA (*B.*
 647 *papyrifera*), EUPA (*Eucalyptus parramattensis*), FACR (*Fagus crenata*), FASY (*F. sylvatica*),
 648 QU CR (*Q. crispula*), QUPU (*Q. pubescens*), JUMO (*Juniperus monosperma*), JUTH (*J.*
 649 *thurifera*), PISI (*Picea sitchensis*), PISY (*Pinus sylvestris*).