Pervasive shifts in forest dynamics in a changing world

Nate G. McDowell¹, Craig D. Allen², Kristina Anderson-Teixeira^{3,4}, Brian H. Aukema⁵, Ben Bond-Lamberty⁶, Louise Chini⁷, James S. Clark⁸, Michael Dietze⁹, Charlotte Grossiord¹⁰, Adam Hanbury-Brown¹¹, George C. Hurtt⁷, Robert B. Jackson¹², Daniel J. Johnson¹³, Lara Kueppers^{11,14}, Jeremy W. Lichstein¹⁵, Kiona Ogle¹⁶, Ben Poulter¹⁷, Thomas A.M. Pugh^{18,19}, Rupert Seidl^{20,21}, Monica G. Turner²², Maria Uriarte²³, Anthony P. Walker²⁴, Chonggang Xu²⁵

¹Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, 99354, USA

²US Geological Survey, Fort Collins Science Center, New Mexico Landscapes Field Station, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA

³Conservation Ecology Center; Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute; Front Royal, Virginia, USA

⁴Center for Tropical Forest Science-Forest Global Earth Observatory, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Republic of Panama

⁵Department of Entomology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

⁶Joint Global Change Research Institute, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 5825 University Research Ct. #3500, College Park, MD 20740, USA

⁷Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

⁸Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

⁹Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA

¹⁰Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Zürcherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

¹¹Energy and Resources Group, University of California, Berkeley, 310 Barrows Hall #3050, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA

¹²Department of Earth System Science, Woods Institute for the Environment, and Precourt Institute for Energy, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

¹³School of Forest Resources and Conservation, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

¹⁴Division of Climate and Ecosystem Sciences, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

¹⁵Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

¹⁶School of Informatics, Computing, and Cyber Systems, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86001, USA

¹⁷NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Biospheric Sciences Lab., Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

¹⁸School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT Birmingham, United Kingdom;

¹⁹Birmingham Institute of Forest Research, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT Birmingham, United Kingdom;

37 ²⁰Department of Forest and Soil Sciences, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences
38 Vienna, Austria

39 ²¹School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Hans-Carl-von-Carlowitz-Platz 2,
40 85354 Freising, Germany

41 ²²Department of Integrative Biology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706,
42 USA

43 ²³Department of Ecology, Evolution & Environmental Biology, Columbia University, New
44 York, NY 10027, USA

45 ²⁴Environmental Sciences Division and Climate Change Science Institute, Oak Ridge National
46 Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

47 ²⁵Earth and Environmental Sciences Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos,
48 NM 87545, USA

49
50

Enhanced abstract.

Background: Forest dynamics arise from the interplay of chronic drivers and transient disturbances with the demographic processes of recruitment, growth, and mortality. The resulting trajectories of vegetation development drive the biomass and species composition of terrestrial ecosystems. Forest dynamics are changing due to anthropogenic-driven exacerbation of chronic drivers, such as rising temperature and CO₂, and increasing transient disturbances, including wildfire, drought, windthrow, biotic attack, and land-use change. There are widespread observations of increasing tree mortality due to changing climate and land use, accompanied by observations of growth stimulation of younger forests due to CO₂ fertilization. These antagonistic processes are co-occurring globally, leaving the fate of future forests uncertain. We examine the implications of changing forest demography and its drivers as a critical uncertainty for both future forest management and forecasting impacts of global climate forcing.

Advances: We reviewed the literature of forest demographic responses to chronic drivers and transient disturbances to generate hypotheses on future trajectories of these factors and their subsequent impacts on vegetation dynamics, with a focus on forested ecosystems. We complemented this review with analyses of global land-use change and disturbance datasets to independently evaluate the implications of changing drivers and disturbances on global-scale tree demographics. Ongoing changes in environmental drivers and disturbance regimes are consistently increasing mortality and forcing forests towards shorter and younger stands, reducing potential carbon storage. Acclimation, adaptation, and migration may partially mitigate these effects. These increased forest impacts are due to natural disturbances (e.g. wildfire, drought, windthrow, insect/pathogen outbreaks) and land-use change, both of which are predicted to increase in magnitude in the future. Tree growth, and potentially recruitment, may have increased globally in the 20th century based on atmospherically derived estimates of the terrestrial carbon sink and based on remote sensing data, but the growth of this carbon sink has slowed. Variability in growth stimulation due to CO₂ fertilization is evident globally, with observations and experiments suggesting that forests benefit from CO₂ primarily in early stages of secondary succession. Furthermore, increased tree growth typically requires sufficient water and nutrients to take advantage of rising CO₂. Collectively, the evidence reveals that it is highly likely that tree mortality rates will continue to increase while recruitment and growth will respond to changing drivers in a spatially and temporally variable manner. The net impact will be a reduction in forest canopy cover and biomass.

Outlook: Pervasive shifts in forest vegetation dynamics are already occurring and are likely to accelerate under future global changes, with consequences for biodiversity and climate forcing. This conclusion is robust with respect to the abundant literature evidence and our global assessment of historical demographic changes, but it also forms the basis for hypotheses regarding the patterns and processes underlying the shifts in forest dynamics. These hypotheses will be directly testable using emerging terrestrial and satellite-based observation networks. The existing evidence and new observations provide a critical test of Earth system models that continue to improve in their ability to simulate forest dynamics and resulting climate forcing.

92 Ultimately, forest managers and natural resource policies must confront the consequences of
93 changing climate and disturbance regimes to ensure sustainable forests and accrue their
94 associated benefits.

95

Abstract: Forest dynamics arise from the interplay of environmental drivers and disturbances with the demographic processes of recruitment, growth, and mortality, subsequently driving the biomass and species composition of terrestrial ecosystems. However, forest disturbances and subsequent recovery are shifting with global changes in climate and land use, altering forest dynamics. Ongoing changes in environmental drivers, land use, and disturbance regimes are forcing forests towards younger, shorter stands. Rising CO₂, acclimation, adaptation, and migration can influence these impacts. Recent developments in Earth system models support increasingly realistic simulations of vegetation dynamics. In parallel, emerging remote sensing datasets promise qualitatively new and more abundant data on the underlying processes and consequences for vegetation structure. When combined, these advances hold promise to improve the scientific understanding of changes in vegetation demographics and disturbances. Pervasive shifts in forest dynamics are already occurring and are likely to accelerate under future global changes, with consequences for climate forcing.

Introduction

The interplay of vegetation demography—recruitment, growth, and mortality—with environmental conditions and disturbances drives forest dynamics of biomass, function, and species composition (Figure 1; see Box 1 for definitions). In old-growth forests that approximate steady-state demographics, the recruitment, growth, and mortality of trees are approximately balanced; in contrast, rapid recruitment often follows widespread disturbance-induced mortality (1). Vegetation dynamics may now be changing because the environmental context in which plant demography and disturbances interact is shifting with anthropogenic change (Figure 1). The interaction between episodic forest disturbances such as wind-throw or wildfire, and chronically changing drivers such as rising temperature, vapor pressure deficit (*VPD*), and CO_2 , together with land-use change (2), leads to both compounding and antagonistic impacts that alter demographic rates (3), with consequences for terrestrial biogeochemical cycles and climate (4,5). Understanding the drivers of vegetation dynamics is thus critical for accurate prediction of global terrestrial biogeochemistry under future conditions (6).

The impacts of global change on forest demographic rates may already be materializing. In mature ecosystems, tree mortality rates have doubled throughout much of the Americas and in Europe over the last four decades (7-9). Simultaneously, global carbon budgets indicate either a growing or constant terrestrial carbon sink (10-12), which implies increased or constant vegetation production rates (13,14). However, satellite evidence suggests that forests might be switching from a CO_2 fertilization dominated period to a *VPD* dominated period (15). Terrestrial greening indices indicate a shift from a CO_2 -driven increase in greenness in the late 20th century to a *VPD*-driven decrease in the last decade (16). Thus, increasing mortality due to anthropogenic changes, along with potentially increasing or stable growth and recruitment due to

CO₂ fertilization (5), represent opposing processes that are co-occurring globally, leaving the fate of future forests uncertain.

Beyond changing vegetation dynamics within “intact” or relatively undisturbed forests, episodic disturbances are tending to be larger, more severe and, in some regions, more frequent under global change(17-20). Similarly, the rates and types of land-use change (*LUC*) vary widely (21) but have, on average, increased globally in the past few centuries (2,22,23). Thus, at the global scale, disturbances and *LUC* have likely amplified tree mortality beyond that suggested by the doubling of background mortality rates in undisturbed forests (7-9). Current understanding of the net balance of tree losses (mortality) and gains (recruitment and growth) under a changing environment characterized by more extreme drivers and disturbances is limited, preventing prediction of whether recruitment and growth can balance increased mortality rates in the future.

To evaluate whether environmental changes and increasing disturbances are causing globally widespread shifts in vegetation demography, we reviewed global observations of recruitment, growth, and mortality of forests and woodlands. Our expert-derived compilation of the state-of-the-art knowledge on vegetation dynamics, their drivers, and disturbances, allowed us to address four questions: i) Is there evidence for shifts in demography over recent decades? ii) What physiological and disturbance-mediated processes underlie these demographic shifts? iii) What are the potential consequences of disturbance-mediated changes in demography for climate forcing? iv) How can global predictions of future vegetation dynamics best be improved?

Evidence for changing drivers and disturbances and their impact on demography.

Determining the impacts of changing drivers on demography is difficult given the lack of global observation platforms. However, evidence abounds from individual published studies on the drivers and their impacts on plant communities, and new modeling and observational efforts

now enable a more complete picture of disturbances and forest demography (24-26). In this section, we first examine if there are global trends in stand ages and test the sensitivity of the stand-age distribution to changes in disturbance rate using global datasets on *LUC* (27) and non-*LUC* (25,28) disturbances. We subsequently draw upon the wealth of published studies on changes in forest demographics and their drivers to investigate the potential changes leading to global age-trends. Ultimately, the combination of our global estimates along with the large literature base allows us to generate testable hypotheses regarding trends and impacts of the drivers of forest demographics.

Is disturbance changing forest demography at the global scale?

We re-analysed the Land-use Harmonization (*LUHv2*) dataset (28) with respect to forest age, revealing that the area of young forest stands (here defined as <140 years old) resulting directly from *LUC* (conversion of forest to non-forest) or wood harvest (reduction of biomass and age but retained as forest) has increased from 4.8 million km² in 1900 to 12.5 million km² in 2015 (or from 11.3% to 33.6% of forest area; Figure 2A). The results were insensitive to assumptions regarding the link of disturbance likelihood to stand age (Figure 2A). These forest stand age distributions exhibit different trajectories in different regions. Tropical forests have progressively lost old-growth area due to *LUC* over the 20th century (Figure 3a, black dashed line). Wood harvest has increased from a minor driver of tropical forest age distribution in 1900 to a major one in 2015 (difference between solid and dashed lines). The split between deforestation and shifting cultivation drivers is broadly consistent with a satellite-based analysis for the period 2001-2015 (29). Temperate and Mediterranean forest ages are strongly influenced by wood harvest, which has made old-growth forests increasingly scarce in these regions. Boreal

forests saw little influence of *LUC* on stand age, but wood harvest has substantially shifted the age distribution towards young forests.

In reality, old-growth forest are scarcer than that due to *LUC* and wood harvest (Figures 2a and 3), due to other disturbances that have shifted landscapes from old- to young-dominated stands (14), such as wildfire (29), windthrows (30), and biotic outbreaks (31). To address these additional disturbances, we integrated recent observation-based estimates of non-*LUC* disturbance for closed-canopy forests (25,28) with *LUC* from *LUHv2*, to obtain a first principles estimate of the combined effect of human and natural disturbances on forest age structure (Figure 2B). A twofold increase in non-*LUC* disturbance rates over the period 2015-2050 would result in a substantial increase in the fraction of young forests (Figure 2B, C). Thus, realistic shifts in disturbance rates can have substantial impacts on the age structure of forests in the future. As discussed below, such an increase in disturbance rate is consistent with the magnitude of changes observed or predicted in individual ecosystems.

Notably, calculations based on the Global Forest Age Dataset (GFAD) v1.1 (14,32) yielded 16.5 M km² old-growth and 26.3 M km² of young forest (32), which differs from that in Figure (2B, C). This disparity is likely attributable to consideration of different forest types (closed-canopy versus all forests) and to differences in definition of stand size and age used in inventories versus used in satellite-based estimates

Chronically changing drivers: *Atmospheric CO₂* has risen more than 125 ppm since the industrial revolution (11), and is projected to rise an additional 50 to 200 ppm by 2100. Higher CO₂ increases leaf-level water use efficiency, and rising CO₂ has positive but uncertain feedbacks on plant demographic rates (Figure 4A-B). Maturation and seed production can be accelerated under elevated CO₂ (33); however, seedling growth is not always stimulated by CO₂

(34). Recruitment response to rising CO₂ is variable (35,36). Forest inventory and tree-ring studies show limited evidence for CO₂ fertilization of growth (37-43), potentially due to the overwhelming influence of increasing drought and nutrient limitations (44). Ecosystem-scale CO₂ enrichment experiments in young forests suggest a 30% gain in decadal biomass increment (45), but experiments in mature forests have found minimal growth stimulation (46,47). This is consistent with evidence for an initially strong CO₂-related growth stimulation in young forests that decreases with tree age and size³⁹ due perhaps to nutrient (7,48) and hydraulic path-length limitations (49).

Limited studies suggest elevated CO₂ causes increased mortality or no change in mortality. Mortality rates of saplings during experimental drought were not mitigated by elevated CO₂ (50,51) while accelerated self-thinning due to CO₂ fertilization-induced stand density increases may lead to higher mortality (6,52,53) (Figure 4B). The latter process would be consistent with increases in recruitment at large scales. Because tree mortality is dominated by large size classes i.e. (54) (for details see section on size-related mortality below) faster growth via CO₂ fertilization may expose trees to size-related mortality risks earlier (7). Such CO₂-induced increases in mortality may be global (55). Furthermore, faster growth is often associated with lower wood density (56), rendering fast growing trees more susceptible to high winds. Thus, future CO₂ fertilization could increase recruitment, growth, and mortality (Figure 4B), though there is significant uncertainty about these effects.

Chronically changing drivers: Temperature and vapor pressure deficit are rising globally and will continue to rise into the future (57). Both temperature and *VPD* can have impacts on demographic rates. Rising temperature forces an exponential rise in *VPD*, which prompts

stomatal closure and limits photosynthesis, leading to lower growth, higher mortality (58), and reduced regeneration (59), and ultimately driving community shifts (60,61). These observations are consistent with hydraulic theory, which suggests that as *VPD* rises, potential maximum tree height declines (62) (Figure 5). This results from the dependency of water transport limitations on tree size (49) that are exacerbated by elevated *VPD* (Figure 5), making short stature advantageous with rising *VPD*. Because most plants cannot reduce their size (beyond limited reductions in leaf area or crown dieback), forests respond through increased mortality of large plants, which are replaced by smaller ones (62), as has been observed in many studies (26,54). While rising air temperature may also increase respiratory carbon loss, leaving less carbon for growth (63), warming in wetter and cooler regions may actually stimulate reproductive output, recruitment, and growth (64-66). Changes in temperature and *VPD* also can produce asynchrony in floral and pollinator phenology (67) and can reduce cold stratification (68), both of which reduce seed abundance (69), and negatively affect recruitment (70,71). Sapling mortality is accelerated by elevated temperature (71,72), but recruitment has increased in moist areas (73). Thus, rising temperature and *VPD* may be beneficial in cooler or wetter areas, but most evidence suggests negative impacts on plant demographic rates (Figure 4C, D; Figure 5).

Changing disturbance regimes: Droughts are anticipated to increase in frequency, duration, and severity globally (Figure 4E-F) and are more stressful to plants due to increases in temperature, *VPD*, and associated water loss (57). Drought can directly cause tree death or indirectly lead to mortality through associated increases in insect or pathogen attack (51). Hydraulic failure and carbon starvation remain the most likely, mutually inclusive, underlying physiological mechanisms for drought-induced mortality (74) and both processes are likely to increase tree susceptibility to biotic agents (75). Evidence suggests that drought-induced

mortality occurs more rapidly under warmer conditions (51.72). Consistent with these empirical results, models suggest far greater mortality of temperate conifer trees in the future (76). Reproductive output is often reduced by drought (but see (64)), which combined with drought impacts on seedling survival, leads to reduced recruitment (77). However, growth was relatively stable across a drought in Amazonia (78), while mortality increased. Thus, like rising temperature and *VPD*, it appears that drought may increase mortality regardless of location, while having variable impacts on recruitment and growth (Figure 4F).

Changing disturbance regimes: Land-use change and forest management have reduced vegetation stature and biomass, and altered species composition, with profound consequences for forest dynamics (Figures 2A, 4G-H). Today's global vegetation biomass stocks may amount to only ~50% of their potential due to *LUC* (79). Wood harvest and shifting cultivation are the land-use activities primarily responsible for the conversion from primary to secondary vegetation cover and associated demographic shifts (2). For systems that return to wild-vegetation or to managed forest after human clearing, demographic rates are typically accelerated. The increased resource availability after forest removal facilitates establishment of early-successional species, reduces species diversity (80,81), and causes a transition to younger, smaller plants (82). Post-deforestation recruitment is often prolific even in the absence of management (83). Globally, the recovery of harvested forests and abandoned agricultural land, along with establishment of new plantations, has resulted in younger forests (Figure 2A), with associated reductions in tree size and biomass (84). Such post-deforestation recruitment may be limited by elevated *VPD* or drought, as is the case with recruitment following all natural disturbances. Overall the net effect

of historical *LUC* and wood harvest has resulted in a substantial loss of forest area, along with altered demographic rates, leading to younger, shorter, less diverse ecosystems (Figure 4H).

Changing disturbance regimes: Wildfire is increasing in many forests worldwide (85) (Figure 4I), although human management of landscapes has led to wildfire suppression in some biomes (86). Given sufficient fuel, burned area increases exponentially with aridity (87), and future fire frequencies may exceed those documented over the past 10,000 years (88). Increased fire activity causes increased mortality and potentially higher recruitment and growth of either pre-existing or new species, but rates of recruitment and growth may be slowed under climate warming. Forests characterized by stand-replacing fire regimes are dominated by obligate seeders, and typically have effective seedling recruitment (89). However, high-severity and high-frequency fires can reduce recruitment by reducing seed supply through the repeated and severe loss of reproductively mature vegetation (90), and high frequency fires can cause recruitment losses via direct mortality of the seedbank, seedlings, and saplings (91), which is worsened by elevated *VPD* (92). Woody species that can resprout following fire, including shrubs that suppress tree regeneration (92), may be favored by increased fire frequency and severity. Increased fire severity results in high tree mortality in forests historically adapted to low-severity fires, and subsequent recruitment and growth may be slow or absent, resulting in conversion of forests to low-biomass ecosystems (93). Thus, wildfire can result in higher demographic rates, though rising temperature and *VPD* can negatively impact recruitment and growth (Figure 4J).

Changing disturbance regimes: Wind throw from cyclonic storms represents the dominant natural disturbance in coastal forests across the globe (94). Cyclonic storms are expected to

increase in frequency, wind velocities, and precipitation intensity (95) (Figure 4K), with more extreme flooding that promotes tree instability. Wind throw also results from convective thunderstorms and topographically mediated winds, and warming is expected to increase the frequency of atmospheric conditions conducive to severe thunderstorms (96). Canopy damage and whole-tree mortality are the most immediate impacts of wind throw (97) (Figure 4L). Larger trees dominate mortality from storms (98), and the loss of large canopy trees during wind disturbance favors growth of surviving trees (98,99) and advances regeneration, recruitment of early successional species (100), or resprouting of trees broken by wind (101). Depending on the resprouting or seeding capacity of surviving species, wind damage may slow or accelerate succession (102). We note that storms may also be associated with lightning, which may be a significant cause of large-tree mortality (103). Thus, windstorms should result in changes in all three demographic rates, though with large uncertainty at the global scale (Figure 4L).

Changing disturbance regimes: Biotic agents Biotic disturbances from insects, insect-pathogen complexes, and other biotic agents have been increasing in frequency, severity, and extent in recent decades (17,104,105) (Figure 3M). Such trends reflect a changing climate (106), altered land use (107), and introductions of non-indigenous insects and pathogens (108). Climate change is expected to further amplify biotic disturbances (109) in part through enhanced host vulnerability (Figure 4M). However, shifts in frequency or dampening of disturbance regimes could also emerge (110), leading to some uncertainty in outbreak dynamics under future conditions (Figure 4M). While insects and associated pathogens are globally widespread, lianas, or vines that use other plants as host structures, are increasing in abundance and are thought to be causing increasing mortality in the tropics (7,111).

Response of insects and pathogens to climate change is likely to increase plant mortality (4), with variable impacts on growth and recruitment (Figure 4N). Tree mortality can result from girdling of the phloem and xylem by bark beetles (75) and from repeated defoliation events that exhaust the capacity of trees to recover (112). Tree mortality during outbreaks is usually partial at the stand-level because many biotic agents preferentially attack trees of specific size- or health-classes, or are host-specific (16). Suppressed, smaller trees and non-host tree species may survive and grow rapidly when released from competition for resources (113,114). Thus, similar to many other disturbances, mortality increases while recruitment and growth show variable responses to biotic disturbances, including a dependency on post-disturbance temperature, *VPD*, and drought.

On size and age demographics. The combination of *LUC*, disturbances, and chronic drivers is likely to have already shifted forests to younger and shorter stands, with these impacts increasing under expected future changes in drivers and disturbances (Figure 2A-C). These results are consistent with our review of the literature (Figure 4). Large trees are the most susceptible to die from *LUC*-caused forest fragmentation (115,116), drought (26), rising temperature or *VPD* (54,62) (Figure 5), windthrow (117,118), biotic attacks (119), and lightning (103), with variable size-impacts of fire (120). The abundance of size-dependent mortality drivers and disturbances should logically push stands towards younger/smaller distributions of trees and shorter statured species assemblages (121).

There are exceptions to the pattern of climate drivers and disturbances reducing tree height and stand age. Non-stand-replacing fires that kill smaller trees and leave the larger, older trees will shift forests towards larger size distributions. Similarly, on occasions when droughts

preferentially kill younger but fast-growing trees, this would impact the subsequent size distribution and rate of carbon accumulation. Rising CO₂ and increased precipitation in some areas also counter the general decrease in size because they may lead to faster growth and hence taller trees (122). Thus, the antagonistic drivers promoting larger trees (e.g. rising CO₂) vs smaller trees (e.g. rising *VPD*, increasing disturbances) co-occur, but the general pattern of decreasing size and younger ages reveals that processes driving down size and age (Figures 2-5) are dominant globally.

Mitigation of demographic-disturbance impacts

The literature patterns suggest most drivers and disturbances will increase tree mortality now and in the future, with variable effects on recruitment and growth (Figure 4). The uncertainty grows, however, when we consider multiple feedbacks that can mitigate the changes in forest demography induced by chronically changing drivers and disturbance regimes. These processes include acclimation, adaptation, migration, and compensatory mechanisms of resource use. With global change, forests will be influenced by a combination of phenotypic plasticity (i.e. acclimation (123)), adaptation to novel biotic and abiotic stresses (124), and the ability to migrate as conditions change (125). Failure to acclimate, adapt, or migrate, including due to human infrastructure (126), could lead to recruitment and growth reductions and local extinctions. Plants have demonstrated acclimation of phenology, seed longevity, and metabolic processes to single and/or multiple stressors (127-130). Acclimation and adaptation will likely depend on an array of factors including genetic variation, fecundity, dispersal, population size, and environmental variability (123). Many tree species have migrated in response to past climatic cycles but at rates slower than the current pace of climate change (131). Regarding

resource use, reductions in stand density as a result of increased mortality or reduced recruitment should allow greater resource availability to surviving individuals, and therefore subsequently higher growth and survival rates (132). Such stand-resource mechanisms can manifest at the landscape scale, as most disturbances are patchy (133), and the size, shape, and arrangement of surviving forest patches can play a key role in recovery of the disturbed landscape (20). Taken together, the mitigating factors can play a significant role in buffering the impacts of changing drivers on plant survival, but it remains unclear if these factors will enable trees to keep pace with ongoing climate change (50,123). Ultimately, the uncertainty around future demographic rates shown in Figure 3 is partially because of the influence of these mitigating factors.

Consequences for community assembly and for climate forcing

The widespread shift in vegetation dynamics begets questions regarding consequences for community assembly and climate forcing. Hydraulic theory suggests that under rising *VPD*, functional traits of high conductance, low stature, and low leaf area should best enable survival, all of which are characteristics of pioneer, shrub and weed species (62). Consistent with this, diversity (e.g. species richness) temporarily increases post-disturbance for many systems, as short-statured, opportunistic species invade (134). If forest communities shift towards trait assemblages better suited to the new disturbance regime, such shifts may confer some resistance to future disturbances (134,135). Alternatively, if disturbance regimes shift faster than recruitment, growth, and subsequent community assembly can respond, resistance to future disturbances will likely decline.

Climate forcing responds to changing vegetation dynamics in complex ways. Changes in forest disturbance regimes and vegetation dynamics can affect climate via biogeochemical,

hydrological, and land-surface energy budgets (136). Reductions in biomass result in a loss of carbon to the atmosphere despite younger, shorter stands often having higher gross photosynthesis; this is due to the loss of carbon through decomposition of necromass, which is a particularly large flux from mortality of older, larger trees such as those in old-growth forests (137), and reduced landscape-mean carbon storage under an intensified disturbance regime (138). The time required to re-achieve the same live carbon storage of an ecosystem after disturbance can be decades to centuries, particularly if the disturbance cycle is increased, thus the net effect of the biomass loss is increased CO₂ to the atmosphere and hence greater climate forcing. This impact may be mitigated by increased carbon uptake due to CO₂ fertilization (139) or enhanced recruitment. Calculations of the terrestrial carbon sink from atmospheric inversions indicate that the sink grew over recent decades¹² due in part to increased leaf area (13), consistent with increased recruitment and growth. However, evidence suggests that forests are switching from a CO₂ fertilization dominated period to a *VPD* dominated period (15,16), despite sustained high gross photosynthesis at the global scale (140). The increased mortality throughout much of the terrestrial biosphere (7-9) further minimizes potential carbon storage through the enhanced biomass loss. Ultimately, the terrestrial contribution to climate forcing through carbon uptake and release results from the antagonistic process of rising CO₂ and forest recovery from *LUC*, which enhance the carbon sink, and rising *VPD* and disturbances that reduce the carbon sink.

Changing vegetation dynamics also influence regional and global surface energy budgets and hydrological cycles. Disturbances frequently shift albedo of ecosystems from darker to lighter, resulting in a decline in radiative forcing through less light absorption (141). The rate of recruitment post disturbance influences the temporal period of this negative feedback (142). The

impact of changing vegetation dynamics on the water cycle is particularly complex. Evaporation from canopies shifts as stands become taller because taller trees transpire less (per unit leaf area) than smaller trees (49), but larger trees often have better rooting access to water sources and have greater total leaf area. The net effect of disturbance is a transient decrease in evaporative loading to the atmosphere along with albedo shifts, causing a feedback of decreasing precipitation downwind (143,144). Ultimately, carbon storage is at least transiently reduced by disturbances, with mixed impacts on the water and energy budgets.

The path to improved prediction

Changes in the global drivers of temperature, CO₂, *VPD*, and disturbances including *LUC*, drought, wildfire, windstorms, and insect outbreaks, should all force forests towards shorter, younger, lower biomass ecosystems. This trend is supported by hydraulic theory (62) (Figure 5) and by abundant empirical evidence demonstrating a consistent increase in mortality across the global-spectrum of drivers and disturbances and variable but often declining recruitment and growth (Figure 4). While the bulk of evidence points to reduced plant stature due to changing drivers, large uncertainty remains in the magnitude and slope of demographic trajectories in the future (Figure 4). Given these trajectories, and the large uncertainties around them, what are the critical next steps to allow improved global prediction? Continued long-term observations (ground and remotely sensed) are essential to reveal the patterns of demographic responses to drivers and disturbances; likewise, manipulative experiments are needed that alter conditions such as CO₂ or drought to provide cause-and-effect understanding of the interactions among mechanisms of demographic responses. However, for global-scale prediction of

responses and climate consequences we need to mainstream insights from observations and experiments into Earth system models (*ESMs*).

ESMs simulate the exchange of fluxes between the atmosphere, land, and ocean and stores of carbon, water, and energy; the land-surface modules of *ESMs* simulate the vegetation. *ESMs* have made great progress in simulating land use, disturbances, and demography, including representation of wildfire (145), drought-induced mortality (146), and cohort-age structured models that enable representation of succession and associated shifts in physiological traits (6). The global Coupled Model Intercomparison Project CMIP6 now includes a dedicated model intercomparison activity focused on the effects of changes of land-use on carbon and climate (147). Advances in remote sensing and forest inventory integration are enhancing global datasets of forest structure (148) and age (32) that can be used in model initialization, data assimilation benchmarking, and sensitivity analyses (Figure 2A-C). These advancements set the stage for developments in *ESMs* such as the prediction of disturbances and demographic rate responses under climate and *LUC* scenarios.

The newest generation of *ESMs* utilize size or age-structured approaches to explicitly model demography in the Earth system (6), which should ultimately enable model-based representation of observed shifts in age structure (e.g. Figure 2). However, representation of vegetation demographic rates remains relatively simplistic. Simulation of growth responses to global change requires model refinement in light capture, belowground water and nutrient acquisition, and responses of respiration to temperature (6). Recruitment, including reproduction and dispersal, is the most undeveloped demographic process in *ESM* simulations. Reproductive allocation is invariant with plant functional type (*PFT*), and seed is assumed to mix evenly throughout a grid cell (but see (149)). Environmental constraints to *PFT* establishment are

derived from prior distributions of major taxa, and while recruitment rates can be influenced by light or space availability, they are not responsive to temperature, CO₂, or soil moisture (150,151). Simplistic dispersal assumptions are typically either overly permissive or restrictive. Improvements in representing recruitment under global change are critical for improving predictions of vegetation dynamics. These advancements will require data synthesis and new data collection to support *PFT*-specific, environmentally sensitive parameterizations of regeneration processes, such as reproductive allocation; effective dispersal; seedling establishment, survival and growth; and post-disturbance recovery strategies (e.g., serotiny and resprouting).

Disturbance-induced mortality is better developed for landscape-scale models than for *ESMs*. *ESM* modeling of disturbance-induced mortality exists for wildfire and drought (145,146), although significant challenges remain to represent both reliably globally, while *ESMs* are under-developed for wind and insect mortality. Currently only one *ESM* to our knowledge represents canopy damage (152); this causes *ESMs* to potentially underestimate the impacts of drought and wind, as both disturbances cause lagged tree mortality associated with canopy loss years after the inciting event (153,154). For insects, there have been prescriptive studies examining the impact of insect outbreaks on land processes within *ESMs*, but no *ESM* has yet explicitly considered the interaction between plant defense and insect population dynamics for prediction of large-scale insect-induced tree mortality. For predicting wildfire, models should be sensitive to both fuels and climate interactions and represent spatial patterns of burn severity because the burn mosaic strongly influences postfire vegetation dynamics (145). Next-generation demographic models are evolving to include explicit, mechanistic representations of drought-associated mortality, including carbon starvation and hydraulic failure (155). The

evaluation of new hydraulics models (155) for prediction of mortality is an essential next step. Ultimately, model formulations that include environmentally sensitive, *PFT*-specific processes compatible with the cohort-based approach are likely to provide the best compromise between process-detail and parsimony and therefore most likely to capture changes in large-scale forest dynamics under future conditions.

Summary Forest vegetation dynamics (Figure 1) are already strongly influenced by global change (Figure 2) and will continue to be affected in the future (Figures 2-5) by changes in land use, chronic drivers such as CO₂ and *VPD*, and increasing frequency and severity of transient disturbances such as windthrow, wildfire, and insect outbreaks. Effects on forests are driven largely by consistent increases in tree mortality from these drivers, and variable responses of recruitment and growth depending on stand-age, disturbance type, and geographic location (Figure 4). The consequences of changing demographics suggest an increasing constraint in terrestrial carbon storage due, at least, to the consistent increase in mortality. Any declines in recruitment or growth, especially when disturbance-recovery cycles are disrupted, will exacerbate this carbon-cycle constraint. Shifts in other terrestrial radiative forcing terms such as energy and water budgets are also likely. While well-supported by the literature, data, and sensitivity analysis (Figure 2), the trends in Figure (4) represent hypotheses to be tested by the next-generation of observational platforms, both terrestrial and space-borne. Forest management must ultimately confront the elevated mortality and uncertainty in recruitment and growth when considering options for sustaining forest benefits to society into the future.

References

1. Turner, M. G., Whitby, T. G., Tinker, D. B. & Romme, W. H. Twenty-four years after the Yellowstone Fires: Are postfire lodgepole pine stands converging in structure and function? *Ecology* **97**, 1260-1273 (2016).
2. Hurtt, G. C., Chini, L. P., Frolking, S., Betts, R. A., Feddema, J., Fischer, G., et al. Harmonization of land-use scenarios for the period 1500–2100: 600 years of global gridded annual land-use transitions, wood harvest, and resulting secondary lands. *Climatic Change*. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0153-2>. (2011)
3. Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. et al. Altered dynamics of forest recovery under a changing climate. *Glob. Chang. Ecol.* **19**, 2001-2021 (2013).
4. Reichstein, M. et al. Climate extremes and the carbon cycle. *Nature* **500**, 287-295 (2013).
5. Seidl, R. et al. Forest disturbances under climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* **7**, 395-402 (2017).
6. Fisher, R. A. et al. Vegetation demographics in earth system models: a review of progress and priorities. *Glob. Chang. Ecol.* **24**, 35-54 (2017).
7. McDowell, N. G. et al. Drivers and mechanisms of tree mortality in moist tropical forests. *New Phytol.* **219**, 851-869 (2018).
8. Carnicer, J. et al. Widespread crown condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased climate change-type drought. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **108**, 1474-1478 (2011).
9. Senf C. et al. Canopy mortality has doubled in Europe's temperate forests over the last three decades. *Nat. Comm.* **9**, 4978 (2018).
10. Fernández-Martínez, M. et al. Global trends in carbon sinks and their relationships with CO₂ and temperature. *Nat. Clim. Change* **9**, 73-79 (2019).
11. Friedlingstein, P. et al. Global Carbon Budget 2019. *Earth System Science Data* **11**, 1783-1838 (2019).
12. Ciais, P., Tan, J., Wang, X., Roedenbeck, C., Chevallier, F., Piao, S.L., Moriarty, R., Broquet, G., Le Quéré, C., Canadell, J.G. and Peng, S., 2019. Five decades of northern land carbon uptake revealed by the interhemispheric CO₂ gradient. *Nature*, 568(7751), p.221.
13. Chen JM, W Ju, P Ciais, N Viovy, R Liu, Y Liu, X Lu, Vegetation structural change since 1981 significantly enhanced the terrestrial carbon sink. *Nature Communications* 10 (1), 1-713.
14. Pugh, T. A. M. et al. Role of forest regrowth in global carbon sink dynamics. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **116**, 4382-4387 (2019).
15. Peñuelas, J., Ciais, P., Canadell, J.G., Janssens, I.A., Fernández-Martínez, M., Carnicer, J., Obersteiner, M., Piao, S., Vautard, R. and Sardans, J., 2017. Shifting from a fertilization-dominated to a warming-dominated period. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(10), p.1438.

- 537 16. Yuan, W., Zheng, Y., Piao, S., Ciais, P., Lombardozzi, D., Wang, Y., Ryu, Y., Chen, G.,
538 Dong, W., Hu, Z. and Jain, A.K., 2019. Increased atmospheric vapor pressure deficit
539 reduces global vegetation growth. *Science Advances*, 5(8), p.eaax1396.
- 540 17. Raffa, K. F. et al. Cross-scale drivers of natural disturbances prone to anthropogenic
541 amplification: the dynamics of bark beetle eruptions. *Bioscience* **58**, 501-517 (2008).
- 542 18. Tippet, M. K., Lepore, C. & Cohen, J. E. More tornadoes in the most extreme US tornado
543 outbreaks. *Science* **354**, 1419-1423 (2016).
- 544 19. van der Werf, G. R. et al. Global fire emissions estimates during 1997-2016. *Earth System*
545 *Science Data* **9**, 697-720 (2017).
- 546 20. Sommerfeld, A. et al. Patterns and drivers of recent disturbances across the temperate forest
547 biome. *Nature Communications* **9**, 4355 (2018).
- 548 21. Houghton, R. A. & Nassikas, A. A. Global and regional fluxes of carbon from land use and
549 land cover change 1850-2015. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **31**, 456-472 (2017).
- 550 22. Foley, J. A. et al. Global consequences of land use. *Science* **309**, 570-574 (2005).
- 551 23. Perring, M. et al. Global environmental change effects on ecosystems: the importance of
552 land-use legacies. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **22**, 1361-1371 (2015).
- 553 24. Hartmann H, Schuldt B, Sanders TGM, Macinnis-Ng C, Boehmer HJ, Allen CD, Bolte A,
554 Crowther TW, Hansen MC, Medlyn BE, Ruehr NK, Anderegg WRL. 2018. Monitoring
555 global tree mortality patterns and trends. Report from the VW symposium 'Crossing scales
556 and disciplines to identify global trends of tree mortality as indicators of forest
557 health'. *New Phytologist* **217**: 984–987.
- 558 25. Pugh, T.A., Arneth, A., Kautz, M., Poulter, B. and Smith, B., 2019. Important role of forest
559 disturbances in the global biomass turnover and carbon sinks. *Nature Geoscience*, pp.1-6.
- 560 26. Stovall, A.E., Shugart, H. and Yang, X., 2019. Tree height explains mortality risk during an
561 intense drought. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), pp.1-6.
- 562 27. Hurtt G, Chini L, Sahajpal R, Froking S (2017) Harmonization of global land-use change
563 and management for the period 850-2100. Available at luh.umd.edu/data.shtml. Accessed
564 October 24th, 2019.
- 565 28. Hansen, M.C., et al. 2013. High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover
566 change. *Science*, 342(6160), pp.850-853.
- 567 29. Curtis, P.G., Slay, C.M., Harris, N.L., Tyukavina, A. and Hansen, M.C., 2018. Classifying
568 drivers of global forest loss. *Science*, 361(6407), pp.1108-1111.
- 569 30. Froking, S. et al. Forest disturbance and recovery: a general review in the context of
570 spaceborne remote sensing of impacts on aboveground biomass and canopy structure. *J.*
571 *Geophys. Res.* **114**, G00E02 (2009).

- 572 31. Kautz, M., Meddens, A. J. H., Hall, R. J. & Arneeth, A. Biotic disturbances in Northern
573 Hemisphere forests—a synthesis of recent data, uncertainties and implications for forest
574 monitoring and modelling. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **26**, 533–552 (2017).
- 575 32. Poulter, B. et al. *The Global Forest Age Dataset and its Uncertainties (GFADv1.1)*
576 (PANGAEA, 2019); <https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.897392>
- 577 33. LaDeau, S. L. & Clark, J. S. Rising CO₂ levels and the fecundity of forest trees. *Science* **292**,
578 95–98 (2001).
- 579 34. Mohan, J. E., Clark, J. S., & Schlesinger, W. H. Long-term CO₂ enrichment of a forest
580 ecosystem: implications for forest regeneration and succession. *Ecol. Appl.* **17**, 1198–1212
581 (2007).
- 582 35. Perry, L. G., Shafroth, P. B., Blumenthal, D. M., Morgan, J. A. & LeCain, D. R. Elevated
583 CO₂ does not offset greater water stress predicted under climate change for native and
584 exotic riparian plants. *New Phytol.* **197**, 532–543 (2013).
- 585 36. Saintilan, N. & Rogers, L. Woody plant encroachment of grasslands: a comparison of
586 terrestrial and wetland settings. *New Phytol.* **197**, 1062–1070 (2015).
- 587 37. McMahon, S. M., Parker, G. G. & Miller, D. R. Evidence for a recent increase in forest
588 growth. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 3611–3615 (2010).
- 589 38. Camarero, J. J., Gazol, A., Galvan, J. D., Sanguesa-Barreda, G. & Gutierrez, E. Disparate
590 effects of global-change drivers on mountain conifer forests: warming-induced growth
591 enhancement in young trees vs. CO₂ fertilization in old trees from wet sites. *Glob. Chang.*
592 *Biol.* **21**, 738–749 (2015).
- 593 39. Voelker, S. L., Muzika, R. M., Guyette, R. P. & Stambaugh, M. C. Historical CO₂ growth
594 enhancement declines with age in *Quercus* and *Pinus*. *Ecological Monogr.* **76**, 549–564
595 (2006).
- 596 40. Penuelas, J., Canadell, J. G. & Ogaya, R. Increased water-use efficiency during the 20th
597 century did not translate into enhanced tree growth. *Global Ecol. Biogeography* **20**, 597–
598 608 (2011).
- 599 41. van der Sleen, P. et al. No growth stimulation of tropical trees by 150 years of CO₂
600 fertilization but water-use efficiency increased. *Nature Geoscience* **8**, 24–28 (2015).
- 601 42. Girardin, M.P., Bouriaud, O., Hogg, E.H., Kurz, W., Zimmermann, N.E., Metsaranta, J.M., et
602 al. (2016). No growth stimulation of Canada’s boreal forest under half-century of
603 combined warming and CO₂ fertilization. *PNAS*, 113, E8406–E8414.
- 604 43. Brien, R.J.W., Phillips, O.L., Feldpausch, T.R., Gloor, E., Baker, T.R., Lloyd, J., et al.
605 (2015). Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink. *Nature*, 519, 344–348.
- 606 44. Gedalof, Z. & Berg, A. Tree ring evidence for limited direct CO₂ fertilization of forests over
607 the 20th century. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **24**, GB3027 (2010).

- 608 45. Walker, A. P. et al. Decadal biomass increment in early secondary succession woody
609 ecosystems is increased by CO₂ enrichment. *Nature Communications* **10**, 454 (2019).
- 610 46. Bader, M. K. F. et al. Central European hardwood trees in a high-CO₂ future: synthesis of an
611 8-year forest canopy CO₂ enrichment project. *J. Ecol.* **101**, 1509-1519 (2013).
- 612 47. Ellsworth, D. S. et al. Elevated CO₂ does not increase eucalypt forest productivity on a low-
613 phosphorus soil. *Nat. Clim. Change* **7**, 279-282 (2017).
- 614 48. Norby, R. J. et al. Net primary productivity of a CO₂-enriched deciduous forest and the
615 implications for carbon storage. *Ecol. Appl.* **12**, 1261-1266 (2002).
- 616 49. McDowell, N. G., Bond, B. J., Dickman, L. T., Ryan, M. G. & Whitehead, D. Relationships
617 between tree height and carbon isotope discrimination. in *Size- and Age-Related Changes*
618 *in Tree Structure and Function* (eds Meinzer, F. C. et al.) 255-286 (Springer, Dordrecht,
619 2011).
- 620 50. Duan, H. et al. Elevated [CO₂] does not ameliorate the negative effects of elevated
621 temperature on drought-induced mortality in *Eucalyptus radiata* seedlings. *Plant Cell*
622 *Environ.* **37**, 1598-1613 (2014).
- 623 51. Allen, C. D., Breshears, D. D. & McDowell, N. G. On underestimation of global
624 vulnerability to tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene.
625 *Ecosphere* **6** 1-55 (2015).
- 626 52. Körner, C., 2017. A matter of tree longevity. *Science*, 355(6321), pp.130-131.
- 627 53. Büntgen, U., Krusic, P.J., Piermattei, A., Coomes, D.A., Esper, J., Myglan, V.S., Kirdyanov,
628 A.V., Camarero, J.J., Crivellaro, A. and Körner, C., 2019. Limited capacity of tree growth
629 to mitigate the global greenhouse effect under predicted warming. *Nature*
630 *communications*, 10(1), p.2171.
- 631 54. Bennett, A. C., McDowell, N. G., Allen, C. D. & Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. Larger trees suffer
632 most during drought in forests worldwide. *Nature Plants* **1**, 15139 (2015).
- 633 55. Yu, K., Smith, W.K., Trugman, A.T., Condit, R., Hubbell, S.P., Sardans, J., Peng, C., Zhu,
634 K., Peñuelas, J., Cailleret, M. and Levanic, T., 2019. Pervasive decreases in living
635 vegetation carbon turnover time across forest climate zones. *Proceedings of the National*
636 *Academy of Sciences*, 116(49), pp.24662-24667.
- 637 56. Pretzsch, H., Biber, P., Schütze, G., Kemmerer, J. & Uhl, E. Wood density reduced while
638 wood volume growth accelerated in Central European forests since 1870. *For. Ecol.*
639 *Manage.* **429**, 589-616 (2018).
- 640 57. Trenberth, K. E. et al. Global warming and changes in drought. *Nat. Clim. Change* **4**, 17-22
641 (2014).
- 642 58. Williams, A. P. et al. Temperature as a potent driver of regional forest drought stress and tree
643 mortality. *Nat. Clim. Change* **3**, 292-297 (2013).

- 644 59. Tepley, A. J., Thompson, J. R., Epstein, H. E. & Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. Vulnerability to
645 forest loss through altered postfire recovery dynamics in a warming climate in the Klamath
646 Mountains. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **23**, 4117-4132 (2017).
- 647 60. Serra-Diaz, J. M. et al. Disequilibrium of fire-prone forests sets the stage for a rapid decline
648 in conifer dominance during the 21st century. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 6749 (2018).
- 649 61. Uriarte, M, Lasky, J. R., Boukili, V. K. & Chazdon, R. L. A trait-mediated, neighbourhood
650 approach to quantify climate impacts on successional dynamics of tropical rainforests.
651 *Funct. Ecol.* **30**, 157-167 (2016).
- 652 62. McDowell, N. G. & Allen, C. D. Darcy's law predicts widespread forest mortality under
653 climate warming. *Nat. Clim. Change* **5**, 669-672 (2015).
- 654 63. Liu, J. et al. Contrasting carbon cycle response of the tropical continents to the 2015-2016 El
655 Niño. *Science* **358**, eeam5690 (2017).
- 656 64. Wright, S. J. & Calderón, O. Seasonal, El Niño and longer term changes in flower and seed
657 production in a moist tropical forest. *Ecol. Lett.* **9**, 35-44 (2006).
- 658 65. Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. et al. Altered dynamics of forest recovery under a changing climate.
659 *Glob. Chang. Ecol.* **19**, 2001-2021 (2013).
- 660 66. Keenan, T. F. & Riley, W. J. Greening of the land surface in the world's cold regions
661 consistent with recent warming. *Nat. Clim. Change* **8**, 825-828 (2018).
- 662 67. Forrest, J.R., 2015. Plant–pollinator interactions and phenological change: what can we learn
663 about climate impacts from experiments and observations?. *Oikos*, **124**(1), pp.4-13.
- 664 68. Walck, J. L., Hidayati, S. N., Dixon, K. W., Thompson, K. & Poschlod, P. Climate change
665 and plant regeneration from seed. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **17**, 2145-2161 (2011).
- 666 69. Memmott, J., Craze, P. G., Waser, N. M. & Price, M. V. Global warming and the disruption
667 of plant-pollinator interactions. *Ecol. Lett.* **10**, 710-717 (2007).
- 668 70. Kueppers, L. M. et al. Warming and provenance limit tree recruitment across and beyond the
669 elevation range of subalpine forest. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **23**, 2383-2395 (2017).
- 670 71. Hansen, W. D. & Turner, M. G. Origins of abrupt change? Postfire subalpine conifer
671 regeneration declines nonlinearly with warming and drying. *Ecological Monogr.* **89**,
672 e01340 (2019).
- 673 72. Adams, H. D. et al. Temperature sensitivity of drought-induced tree mortality portends
674 increased regional die-off under global-change-type drought. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **106**,
675 7063-7066 (2009).
- 676 73. Hember, R. A. et al. Accelerating regrowth of temperate-maritime forests due to
677 environmental change. *Glob. Clim. Change* **18**, 2026-2040 (2012).
- 678 74. Adams, H.D., Zeppel, M.J., Anderegg, W.R., Hartmann, H., Landhäusser, S.M., Tissue,
679 D.T., Huxman, T.E., Hudson, P.J., Franz, T.E., Allen, C.D. Anderegg, L.D., et al. 2017. A
680 multi-species synthesis of physiological mechanisms in drought-induced tree
681 mortality. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, **1**(9), p.1285.

- 682 75. Gaylord, M. L. et al. Drought predisposes piñon–juniper woodlands to insect attacks and
683 mortality. *New Phytol.* **198**, 567-578 (2013).
- 684 76. McDowell, N. G. et al. Multi-scale predictions of massive conifer mortality due to chronic
685 temperature rise. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 295-300 (2016).
- 686 77. Engelbrecht, B. M. J. & Kursar, T. A. Comparative drought-resistance of seedlings of 28
687 species of co-occurring tropical woody plants. *Oecologia* **136**, 383-393 (2003).
- 688 78. Doughty, C.E., Metcalfe, D.B., Girardin, C.A.J., Amézquita, F.F., Cabrera, D.G., Huasco,
689 W.H., Silva-Espejo, J.E., Araujo-Murakami, A., Da Costa, M.C., Rocha, W. and
690 Feldpausch, T.R., 2015. Drought impact on forest carbon dynamics and fluxes in
691 Amazonia. *Nature*, 519(7541), p.78.
- 692 79. Erb, K. et al. Land management: data availability and process understanding for global
693 change studies. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **23**, 512-533 (2017).
- 694 80. Martin, P. A., Newton, A. C., Pfeifer, M., Khoo, M. & Bullock, J. M. Impacts of tropical
695 selective logging on carbon storage and tree species richness: A meta-analysis. *For. Ecol.*
696 *and Manage.* **356**, 224-233 (2015).
- 697 81. Chaudhary, A., Burivalova, Z., Koh, L. P. & Hellweg, S. Impact of forest management on
698 species richness: global meta-analysis and economic trade-offs. *Scientific Reports* **6**
699 (2016).
- 700 82. Duveneck, M. J., Thompson, J. R., Gustafson, E. J., Liang, Y. & de Bruijn, A. M. G.
701 Recovery dynamics and climate change effects to future New England forests.
702 *LandscapeEcol.* **32**, 1385-1397 (2017).
- 703 83. Thom, D., Rammer, W., Garstenauer, R. & Seidl, R. Legacies of past land use have a
704 stronger effect on forest carbon exchange than future climate change in a temperate forest
705 landscape. *Biogeosciences* **15**, 5699-5713 (2018).
- 706 84. Vilen, T. et al. Reconstructed forest age structure in Europe 1950-2010. *For. Ecol. and*
707 *Manage.* **286**, 203-218 (2012).
- 708 85. Jolly, W. M. et al. Climate-induced variations in global wildfire danger from 1979 to 2013.
709 *Nature Communications* **6**, 7537 (2015).
- 710 86. Andela, N. et al. A human-driven decline in global burned area. *Science* **356**, 1356-1361
711 (2017).
- 712 87. Abatzoglou, J. T. & Williams, A. P. Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire
713 across western US forests. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **113**, 11770-11775 (2016).
- 714 88. Westerling, A. L., Turner, M. G., Smithwick, E. A. H., Romme, W. H. & Ryan, M. G.
715 Continued warming could transform Greater Yellowstone fire regimes by mid-21st century.
716 *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **108**, 13165-13170 (2011).
- 717 89. Bowman, D., Williamson, G. J., Prior, L. D. & Murphy, B. P. The relative importance of
718 intrinsic and extrinsic factors in the decline of obligate seeder forests. *Glob. Ecol. and*
719 *Biogeography* **25**, 1166-1172 (2016).

- 720 90. Johnstone, J. F. et al. Changing disturbance regimes, ecological memory, and forest
721 resilience. *Frontiers in Ecol. and the Environ.* **14**, 369-378 (2016).
- 722 91. Turner, M. G., Braziunas, K. H., Hansen, W. D. & Harvey, B.J. Short-interval severe fire
723 erodes the resilience of subalpine lodgepole pine forests. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* 201902841
724 (2019)
- 725 92. Tepley, A. J., Thompson, J. R., Epstein, H. E. & Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. Vulnerability to
726 forest loss through altered postfire recovery dynamics in a warming climate in the Klamath
727 Mountains. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **23**, 4117-4132 (2017).
- 728 93. Kitzberger, T. et al. Fire-vegetation feedbacks and alternative states: common mechanisms of
729 temperate forest vulnerability to fire in southern South America and New Zealand. *New*
730 *Zealand J. of Bot.* **54**, 247-272 (2016).
- 731 94. Lugo, A. E. Visible and invisible effects of hurricanes on forest ecosystems: an international
732 review. *Austral Ecol.* **33**, 368-398 (2008).
- 733 95. Balaguru, K., Foltz, G. R. & Leung, L. R. Increasing magnitude of hurricane rapid
734 intensification in the central and eastern tropical Atlantic. *Geophys. Research Lett.* **45**,
735 4238-4247 (2018).
- 736 96. Diffenbaugh, N. S., Scherer, M. & Trapp, R. J. Robust increases in severe thunderstorm
737 environments in response to greenhouse forcing. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **110**, 16361-16366
738 (2013).
- 739 97. Uriarte, M., Canham, C. D., Thompson, J. & Zimmerman, J. K. A neighborhood analysis of
740 tree growth and survival in a hurricane-driven tropical forest. *Ecol. Monogr.* **74**, 591-614
741 (2004).
- 742 98. Gardiner, B., Berry, P. & Moulia, B., Wind impacts on plant growth, mechanics and
743 damage. *Plant Science*, **245**, 94-118 (2016).
- 744 99. Uriarte, M. et al. Natural disturbances and human land use as determinants of tropical forest
745 dynamics: results from a forest simulator. *Ecol. Monogr.* **79**, 423-443 (2009).
- 746 100. Comita, L.S. et al. Abiotic and biotic drivers of seedling survival in a hurricane-impacted
747 tropical forest. *J. Ecol.* **97**, 1346-1359 (2009).
- 748 101. Uriarte, M. et al. Multidimensional trade-offs in species responses to disturbance:
749 implications for diversity in a subtropical forest. *Ecology* **93**, 191-205 (2012).
- 750 102. Flynn, D. B. F. et al. Hurricane disturbance alters secondary forest recovery and introduced
751 species dynamics. *Biotropica* **42**, 149-157 (2010).
- 752 103. Yanoviak, S.P., Gora, E.M., Bitzer, P.M., Burchfield, J.C., Muller-Landau, H.C., Detto,
753 M., Paton, S. and Hubbell, S.P., 2019. Lightning is a major cause of large tree mortality in
754 a lowland neotropical forest. *New Phytologist*.
- 755 104. Seidl, R., Schelhaas, M. J., Rammer, W. & Verkerk, P. J. Increasing forest disturbances in
756 Europe and their impact on carbon storage. *Nat. Clim. Change* **4**, 806-810 (2014).

- 757 105. Kautz, M., Meddens, A. J., Hall, R. J. & Arneth, A. Biotic disturbances in Northern
758 Hemisphere forests—a synthesis of recent data, uncertainties and implications for forest
759 monitoring and modelling. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeography* **26**, 533-552 (2017).
- 760 106. Raffa, K.F., Aukema, B.H., Bentz, B.J., Carroll, A.L., Hicke, J.A. and Kolb, T.E., 2015.
761 Responses of tree-killing bark beetles to a changing climate. *Climate change and insect*
762 *pests*, **7**, pp.173-201.
- 763 107. Shurman, J. S. et al. Large-scale disturbance legacies and the climate sensitivity of primary
764 *Picea abies* forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **24**, 2169-2181 (2018).
- 765 108. Rosenberger, D. W., Venette, R. C. & Aukema, B. H. Development of an aggressive bark
766 beetle on novel hosts: Implications for outbreaks in an invaded range. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **55**,
767 1526-1537 (2018).
- 768 109. Seidl, R. et al. Invasive alien pests threaten the carbon stored in Europe's forests. *Nature*
769 *Communications* **9**, 1626 (2018).
- 770 110. Johnson, D. M. et al. Climatic warming disrupts recurrent Alpine insect outbreaks. *Proc.*
771 *Natl Acad. Sci.* **107**, 20576-20581 (2010).
- 772 111. di Porcia e Brugnera, M., Meunier, F., Longo, M., Krishna Moorthy, S.M., De
773 Deurwaerder, H., Schnitzer, S.A., Bonal, D., Faybishenko, B. and Verbeeck, H., 2019.
774 Modeling the impact of liana infestation on the demography and carbon cycle of tropical
775 forests. *Global Change Biology*, 25(11), pp.3767-3780.
- 776 112. Pureswaran, D. S., Johns, R., Heard, S. B. & Quiring, D. Paradigms in eastern spruce
777 budworm (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) population ecology: a century of debate. *Entomology*
778 **45**, 1333-1342 (2016).
- 779 113. Virgin, G. V. J. & MacLean, D. A. Five decades of balsam fir stand development after
780 spruce budworm-related mortality. *For. Ecol. and Manage.* **400**, 129-138, (2017).
- 781 114. Macek, M. et al. Life and death of *Picea abies* after bark-beetle outbreak: ecological
782 processes driving seedling recruitment. *Ecol. Appl.* **27**, 156-167 (2017).
- 783 115. Laurance, W.F., Delamônica, P., Laurance, S.G., Vasconcelos, H.L. and Lovejoy, T.E.,
784 2000. Conservation: rainforest fragmentation kills big trees. *Nature*, 404(6780), p.836.
- 785 116. Lindenmayer, D.B., Laurance, W.F. and Franklin, J.F., 2012. Global decline in large old
786 trees. *Science*, 338(6112), pp.1305-1306.
- 787 117. Peterson, C.J., 2000. Catastrophic wind damage to North American forests and the potential
788 impact of climate change. *Science of the total Environment*, 262(3), pp.287-311.
- 789 118. Canham, C.D., Papaik, M.J. and Latty, E.F., 2001. Interspecific variation in susceptibility to
790 windthrow as a function of tree size and storm severity for northern temperate tree
791 species. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 31(1), pp.1-10.

792 119. Boone, Aukema, Bohlmann, Carroll, and Raffa (2011) Efficacy of tree defense physiology
793 varies with bark beetle population density: a basis for positive feedback in eruptive
794 species. *Can J Forest Research* 6: 1174-1188.

795 120. McDowell, N. G. et al. Predicting chronic climate-driven disturbances and their mitigation.
796 *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **33**, 15-27 (2018).

797 121. Johnson, D.J., et al. Climate sensitive size-dependent survival in tropical trees. *Nature*
798 *Ecology and Evolution* doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0626-z (2018)

799 122. Pretzsch, H., Biber, P., Schütze, G., Uhl, E. and Rötzer, T., 2014. Forest stand growth
800 dynamics in Central Europe have accelerated since 1870. *Nature communications*, 5,
801 p.4967.

802 123. Nicotra, A. B. et al. Plant phenotypic plasticity in a changing climate. *Trends in Plant Sci.*
803 **15**, 684-692 (2010).

804 124. Aitken, S. N., Yeaman, S., Holliday, J. A., Wang, T. & Curtis-McLane, S. Adaptation,
805 migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for tree populations. *Evol. Appl.* **1**, 95-
806 111 (2008).

807 125. Angert, A. L. et al. Do species' traits predict recent shifts at expanding range edges? *Ecol.*
808 *Lett.* **14**, 677-689 (2011).

809 126. Miller, K.M. and McGill, B.J., 2018. Land use and life history limit migration capacity of
810 eastern tree species. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeog.*, 27(1), pp.57-67
811

812 127. Slot, M. & Katajima, K. General patterns of acclimation of leaf respiration to elevated
813 temperatures across biomes and plant types. *Oecologia* **177**, 885-900 (2015).

814 128. Rieu, P. B., Twell, D. & Frion, N. Pollen development at high temperature: from
815 acclimation to collapse. *Plant Physiology* **173**, 1967-1976 (2017).

816 129. Adams, H. D. et al. Experimental drought and heat can delay phenological development and
817 reduce foliar and shoot growth in semiarid trees. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **21**, 4210-4220 (2015).

818 130. Grossiord, C. et al. Warming combined with more extreme precipitation regimes modifies
819 the water sources used by trees. *New Phytol.* **213**, 584-596 (2017).

820 131. McLachlan, J. S., Hellmann, J. J. & Schwartz, M. W. A framework for debate of assisted
821 migration in an era of climate change. *Conservation Biol.* **21**, 297-302 (2007).

822 132. Lloret, F., Escudero, A., Iriondo, J. M., Martínez-Vilalta, J. & Valladares, F. Extreme
823 climatic events and vegetation: the role of stabilizing processes. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **18**,
824 797-805 (2012).

825 133. Kemp KB, Higuera PE, Morgan P (2015) Fire legacies impact conifer regeneration across
826 environmental gradients in the U.S. northern Rockies. *Landsc Ecol* 1-18. 133. Isbell, F. et
827 al. Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem productivity to climate extremes.
828 *Nature* **526**, 574-577 (2015).

- 829 134. Thom, D., Rammer, W. & Seidl, R. The impact of future forest dynamics on climate:
830 interactive effects of changing vegetation and disturbance regimes. *Ecol. Monogr.* **87**, 665-
831 684 (2017).
- 832 135. Powell, T. L. et al. Variation in hydroclimate sustains tropical forest biomass and promotes
833 functional diversity. *New Phytol.* **219**, 932-946 (2018).
- 834 136. Bonan, G. B. Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of
835 forests. *Science* **320**, 1444-1449 (2008).
- 836 137. Luysaert, S., Schulze, E.D., Börner, A., Knohl, A., Hessenmöller, D., Law, B.E., Ciais, P.
837 and Grace, J., 2008. Old-growth forests as global carbon sinks. *Nature*, 455(7210), p.213.
- 838 138. Harmon, M.E., 2001. Carbon sequestration in forests: addressing the scale question. *Journal*
839 *of Forestry*, 99(4), pp.24-29.
- 840 139. Pretzsch, H., Biber, P., Schütze, G., Uhl, E. and Rötzer, T., 2014. Forest stand growth
841 dynamics in Central Europe have accelerated since 1870. *Nature Communications*, 5,
842 p.4967.
- 843 140. Campbell, J.E., Berry, J.A., Seibt, U., Smith, S.J., Montzka, S.A., Launois, T., Belviso, S.,
844 Bopp, L. and Laine, M., 2017. Large historical growth in global terrestrial gross primary
845 production. *Nature*, 544(7648), pp.84-87.
- 846 141. Randerson, J. T. et al. The impact of boreal forest fire on climate warming. *Science* **314**,
847 1130-1132 (2006).
- 848 142. Lee, X., et al. Observed increase in local cooling effect of deforestation at higher latitudes.
849 *Science* **479**, 384-387 (2011).
- 850 143. Devaraju, N., Bala, G. & Modak, A. *Effects of large-scale deforestation on precipitation in*
851 *the monsoon regions: Remote versus local effects. Proceedings of the National Academy of*
852 *Sciences* **112**, 201423439 (2015).
- 853 144. Lejeune, Q., Davin, E. L., Guillod, B. P. & Seneviratne, S. I. *Influence of Amazonian*
854 *deforestation on the future evolution of regional surface fluxes, circulation, surface*
855 *temperature and precipitation. Climate Dynamics* **44**, 2769–2786 (2015).
- 856 145. Le Page, Y., Morton, D., Bond-Lamberty, B., Pereira, J.M.C. and Hurtt, G., 2015.
857 HESFIRE: a global fire model to explore the role of anthropogenic and weather
858 drivers. *Biogeosciences*
- 859 146. McDowell, N. G. et al. Evaluating theories of drought-induced vegetation mortality using a
860 multimodel–experiment framework. *New Phytol.* **200**, 304-321 (2013).
- 861 147. Lawrence, D., Hurtt, G., Arneth, A., Brovkin, V., Calvin, K., Jones, A., Jones, C.,
862 Lawrence, P., Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Pongratz, J., Seneviratne, S., Shevliakova,
863 E. (2016). The Land Use Model Intercomparison Project (LUMIP) contribution to CMIP6:
864 rationale and experimental design. *Geoscientific Model Development* 9(9), 2973 - 2998.
- 865 148. Simard, M., Pinto, N., Fisher, J. B. & Baccini, A. Mapping forest canopy height globally
866 with spaceborne lidar. *J. Geophys. Research: Biogeosciences* **116**, G4 (2011).

149. Lehsten, V., Mischurow, M., Lindström, E., Lehsten, D. & Lischke, H. LPJ-GM 1.0: simulating migration efficiently in a dynamic vegetation model. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **12**, 893-908 (2019).
150. Smith, B., Prentice, I. C. & Sykes, M. T. Representation of vegetation dynamics in the modelling of terrestrial ecosystems: comparing two contrasting approaches within European climate space. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeog.* **10**, 621-637 (2001).
151. Fisher, R. A. et al. Taking off the training wheels: the properties of a dynamic vegetation model without climate envelopes, CLM4.5(ED). *Geoscientific Model Development* **8**, 3593-3619 (2015).
152. Chen, Y. et al. Simulating Damage for Wind Storms in the Land Surface Model ORCHIDEE-CAN (Revision 4262). *Geosci. Model Dev.* **8**, 3593-3619 (2018).
153. Henkel, T. K., Chambers, J. Q. & Baker, D. A. Delayed tree mortality and Chinese tallow (*Triadica sebifera*) population explosion in a Louisiana bottomland hardwood forest following Hurricane Katrina. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **378**, 222-232 (2016).
154. Roccaforte, J. P. et al. Delayed tree mortality, bark beetle activity, and regeneration dynamics five years following the Wallow Fire, Arizona, USA: Assessing trajectories towards resiliency. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **428**, 20-26 (2018).
155. Kennedy, D. et al. Implementing plant hydraulics in the Community Land Model, version 5. *J. Adv. Modeling Earth Systems* **11**, 485-513 (2019).
156. Grubb, P.J. The maintenance of species-richness in plant communities: the importance of the regeneration niche. *Biol. Rev.* **52**, 107-145 (1977).
157. Amiro, B. D. et al. Ecosystem carbon dioxide fluxes after disturbance in forests of North America. *J. Geophys. Research: Biogeosciences* **115**, G4 (2010).
158. Hicke, J. A. et al. Effects of biotic disturbances on forest carbon cycling in the United States and Canada. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **18**, 7-34 (2012).
159. Grime, J. P. *Plant strategies and vegetation processes* (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1979) .
160. Jentsch, A. and White, P. A theory of pulse dynamics and disturbance in ecology. *Ecol.* **100**(7) p.e02734.
161. Olson, D. M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E. D., Burgess, N. D., Powell, G. V. N., Underwood, E. C., D'Amico, J. A., Itoua, I., Strand, H. E., Morrison, J. C., Loucks, C. J., Allnutt, T. F., Ricketts, T. H., Kura, Y., Lamoreux, J. F., Wettengel, W. W., Hedao, P., Kassem, K. R. 2001. Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: a new map of life on Earth. *Bioscience* **51**(11):933-938.

Acknowledgements: This manuscript was derived from the Department of Energy Workshop “Disturbance and vegetation dynamics in Earth System Models” held in March 2018 in Washington DC. Support was provided as follows: **NGM** the Department of Energy’s Next Generation Ecosystem Experiment-Tropics (NGEE-Tropics) and Pacific Northwest National Lab’s LDRD program, BA McIntire-Stennis MIN-17-095, **CDA** the U.S. Geological Survey’s Ecosystems and Land Resources mission areas, **MGT** the Joint Fire Science Program (16-3-01-4) and the University of Wisconsin-Madison Vilas Trust, **JL** sabbatical fellowship support from sDiv, the Synthesis Centre of iDiv (DFG FZT 118), **CX** DOE's NGEE-Tropics and the University of California’s Laboratory Fees Research Program (grant no. LFR-18-542511), **TP** the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. 758873, TreeMort), Paper number 43 of the Birmingham Institute of Forest Research, **BBL** PNNL’s LDRD program, **GH** and **BP** the NASA Carbon Monitoring System and NASA Interdisciplinary Science Programs. Oak Ridge National Laboratory is operated by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 to the United States Department of Energy. NM conceived of the manuscript layout; all authors contributed to the literature survey and writing, TP with BP and GH conducted the global analyses. All data are available 10.5281/zenodo.3698178

922 **Box 1 Vegetation dynamics definitions**

923 We focus on three main plant demographic processes: recruitment, growth, and mortality.
924 Recruitment (including reproduction) results in the seedling and sapling composition of a plant
925 community following disturbance (156). Growth from sapling to mature plants results in
926 development of mature forests and includes competitive processes. Mortality is a key rate
927 controlling carbon storage and species composition in a plant community and is a dominant
928 demographic rate during a pulse-disturbance (157,158).

929 **Abiotic drivers.** Physical factors that cause changes in demography and that respond to global
930 change or to disturbances, such as light, CO₂, soil moisture, humidity, temperature, etc.

931 **Biotic drivers.** Biological factors that may drive changes in demography, such as pathogens,
932 insects, herbivores, or competition with other individuals.

933 **Chronic environmental change.** Persistently changing drivers of demographic rates. These
934 drivers have a non-stable and directional trajectory, such as rising CO₂, temperature, and *VPD*.

935 **Demographic rate.** Any individual-, population-, or community-level parameter that affects the
936 age- and/or size-structure of a population or community, including rates of recruitment, growth,
937 and death.

938 **Demographic driver.** An abiotic or biotic factor that, when undergoing a change itself, also
939 leads to a change(s) in one or more demographic rates.

940 **Disturbance.** The destruction of live plant biomass in a discrete event (159,160).

941 **Disturbance regimes.** Spatial and temporal characteristics of disturbances in a landscape over a
942 long time period, including frequency, return interval, duration, intensity, severity, and size.

943 **Growth.** The rate of biomass production over time at the individual or ecosystem scale (i.e. Net
944 Primary Production grams C m⁻² yr⁻¹).

945 **Land-use and land-cover change.** Anthropogenic shifts in forms of cultivation or in vegetation
946 cover such as due to forestry, or conversion of woodlands to crop ecosystems.

947 **Mortality.** Defined herein as the complete loss of a plants' ability to reproduce and ultimately
948 loss of cellular metabolism.

949 **Recruitment.** The rates of transition of plants from one size class into another (typically in units
950 of individuals m⁻² yr⁻¹). Recruitment results from the birth and growth of individuals. Herein we
951 consider recruitment from the stage of seed dispersal through seedling growth into the sapling
952 stage.

953 **Self-thinning.** Reduction in the number of live plants within a stand, occurring via competition
954 for resources.

955 **Vegetation dynamics.** The net outcome of the interplay between disturbances and vegetation
956 demographic rates.

957

959

960 **Figure 1. A conceptual diagram of the components of forest dynamics and disturbances**
 961 **that drive them.** In the far-left panel, a mature ecosystem is responsive primarily to localized
 962 mortality, and the primary drivers of demography are chronically changing variables such as
 963 CO₂, temperature, and *VPD*. The system is disturbed in the second panel, due to fire, insect
 964 outbreak, or another large-scale perturbation that removes much of the overstory trees, and
 965 species adapted to rapid post-disturbance recruitment become established. In the third panel
 966 recruitment and growth dominate demographic processes, with mortality increasing over time as
 967 competition leads to self-thinning. In the last panel, a mature ecosystem is dominated by species
 968 that have replaced the original community in response to chronic environmental changes, leading
 969 to a novel ecosystem.

970

Figure 2. (a) Human activities have increased the amount of young forest area (stands < 140 years old) over the 20th century as a result of both land-use change (LUC) and wood harvest (WH). Forest stand age distribution was reconstructed using forest-cover transitions from LUHv2, initialized using forest cover fractions in 1750 and incrementing forest cover each year, tracking the age of forest up to 140 years. Solid lines show the effect of LUC and WH, dashed lines LUC alone. Total forest area is based on LUHv2. The nominal minimum size of a stand is assumed to be *ca.* 0.1 ha. (b) Sensitivity of age distribution in closed-canopy (CC) forests to plausible changes in disturbance rate. Forest stand age distribution was reconstructed using forest cover transitions due to LUC from LUHv2 alongside non-LUC observation-based disturbance rates (25). In the *baseline* scenario (solid lines), non-LUC disturbance is assumed constant at observed 2001-2014 values throughout. In the *Inc. dist* scenario (dashed lines), disturbance rates are incremented linearly to 200% of the 2001-2014 values over the period 2015 to 2050 and held constant at that level thereafter. The underlying LUC scenario is GCAM RCP 3.4 that includes land-based mitigation for CO₂ emissions. Results are presented for closed-canopy forests only (25), which is why total forest area is lower between (a) and (b), as non-LUC disturbance rate information is not currently available for

open-canopy forests. The shaded areas in panels **a** and **b** indicate the effect of assuming that disturbances are five times more likely to affect the youngest forests versus old-growth, or vice versa, as opposed to an even probability across ages (solid lines). The apparent large dampening of this assumption in **a** vs **b** is primarily due to the different y-scales. **(c) Changes in the disturbance regime propagate through forest age structure at decadal time scales.** Closed-canopy young (<140 years old) forest area is shown on the left-hand y-axis. Old-growth (>140 years old; OG) forest area is shown on the right axis (same units) and refers to the data points in the upper right-hand of the panel. Scripts used and additional methods can be accessed at https://github.com/pughtam/AgeClassReconst_rel.git.

Figure 3. **Human activities have increased the amount of young forest area irrespective of biome.**
 As for Figure 2a, but broken down by biome¹⁶¹.

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

Figure 4. Drivers, disturbances, and demographics are changing both historically and into the future. A graphical summary of the literature evidence of changing drivers and disturbances (left-hand column) and subsequent demographic rates (right-hand column). Shown are the chronically changing drivers A) CO₂, C) VPD and temperature and the more transient disturbances of E) drought (low precipitation), G) deforestation, I) wildfire, K) wind, and M) insect outbreaks. Each driver or disturbances' corresponding demographic responses (shown as carbon fluxes per area⁻¹ time⁻¹) are shown on the right-hand panels.

Figure 5. Rising VPD forces declines in potential plant stature. Predictions of plant height in response to rising VPD from the hydraulic corollary to Darcy's law. The equation is $h = A_s \cdot k_s \cdot (\Delta\Psi) / G \cdot A_l \cdot VPD$, where h is height, A_s is sapwood area, k_s is specific conductivity, $\Delta\Psi$ is the leaf to soil water potential gradient, G is stomatal conductance, and A_l is leaf area⁵³. The different lines represent different levels of acclimation of A_s , k_s , $\Delta\Psi$, G , and A_l , all allowed to adjust simultaneously from 0 to 60% from their initial values. In the case of G it is assumed to decrease due to rising atmospheric CO₂. Acclimation can help, but not completely mitigate, the impacts of rising VPD on plant size.