1.	Group name (as shown on the tablet):										
		Participant									
		1 1	2 3	4							
2.	Current occupation / function:				forestry tr	ainer					
					forester (p	rivate forest)				
					forester (p	oublic forest)					
						tate forest)					
							•	(NGO, admini			
					other:						
					other:						
3.	How often have you turned out your				never						
	own tree-marking (e.g. thinnings) in				various tin	various times (<100 ha alltogether)					
	the past?				regularly (>100 ha alltogether)						
1	Which is your highest educational				– Tannlind un	ivorcity					
4.	attainment?					applied university university					
					-	other:					
					other:						
				1	」						
5.	Which was the emphasis of your education that you indicated at				forestry	·					
						ecology / biology (or similar) other:					
	question 4?				_						
					_ other:	other:					
6.	Have you received some further				education	as a forestry					
	training in forestry or nature					forest economics					
	conservation beyond the education				species-sp	ecific nature	conservation				
	indicated before??				other:						
					other:						
7.	Do you know how to take into consideration microhabitat structures during thinning?				yes	ves					
					no						
					→ ···						
0	If you which courses did you consider				initial form	aation and co	ntinued educ	ation			
	If yes, which sources did you consider to aquire your knowledge? (multiple				initial formation and continued education						
	categories are possible)				colleagues	practical experience					
					self-study: books, internet						
					other:						
_			I			111					
9.	Self-evaluation of the knowledge in yo				very little	little	medium	good	very good		
			icrohabita	· -							
	Species that depen			_							
	Estimation of the econo	mic val	ue of a tre	e							
10.	If you as a team have to make the trade-off between economic and ecological objectives, which dimension receives more weight?				ecc	ological	VS	econ	omic		
					strongly	rather	equal –	rather	strongly		
					0.		1		3,		
					1	L L					
11.	 According to which criteria did your team select habitat trees? (keywords) 										
	(vehanias)										
12.	According to which criteria did										
12	your team extract trees?										
13.	(keywords)										

				not important	less important	very important		
14. How important have	Ecological value			Important	Important	Important		
been the following	Economic value							
criteria for the	Future ecological value							
selection of habitat	Future economic value							
trees for your team?		at trace						
trees for your team:	Spatial distribution of habita Working security	at trees						
	Stability of the stand Promotion of diversity of sta							
	Control of composition of tr	•						
	Other aspects of forest man							
	Other :							
45					I	I		
15. How important have	Ecological value							
been the following	Economic value							
criteria for the	Future ecological value							
extraction of trees for	Future economic value							
your team?	Spatial distribution of habita	at trees						
	Working security							
	Traffic security							
	Stability of the stand							
	Promotion of diversity of st							
	Control of composition of tr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Other aspects of forest man	=						
	Other :							
16. With additional informatio	n would have facilitated tree	-selection during ti	nis exercise	<i>?</i>				
16. Further personal informat	Participants							
		1	2		3	4		
How old are you?	younger than 45 years							
	older than 45 years							
If you had competed a forestry edinstitution, school, university?	lucation: at which							
Do you have a relation to the	Timber production							
forest, e.g. due to your	Self-supply							
provenience, family background,	Nature conservation							
etc.? If yes, please indicate the	Hunting							
most important forest function	=							
of those forests that have	Forest operation							
influenced you most?	Wonder of nature							
	Recreation							
	Other:							