VEGETATION SURVEY

Classification of European bog vegetation of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class

Martin Jiroušek[1,2] | Tomáš Peterka[1] | Milan Chytrý[1] | Borja Jiménez-Alfaro[3] |
Oleg L. Kuznetsov[4] | Aaron Pérez-Haase[5,6] | Liene Aunina[7] | Idoia Biurrun[8] |
Daniel Dítě[9] | Nadezhda Goncharova[10] | Petra Hájková[1,11] | Florian Jansen[12] |
Natalia E. Koroleva[13] | Elena D. Lapshina[14] | Igor A. Lavrinenko[15] |
Olga V. Lavrinenko[15] | Maxim G. Napreenko[16,17] | Pawel Pawlikowski[18] |
Valerijus Rašomavičius[19] | John S. Rodwell[20] | David Romero Pedreira[21] |
Elvira Sahuquillo Balbuena[21] | Viktor A. Smagin[22] | Teemu Tahvanainen[23] |
Claudia Biţă-Nicolae[24] | Lyubov Felbaba-Klushyna[25] | Ulrich Graf[26] |
Tatiana G. Ivchenko[22,27] | Ute Jandt[28,29] | Jana Jiroušková[1,11] |
Alica Košuthová[1,30] | Jonathan Lenoir[31] | Viktor Onyshchenko[32] |
Vítězslav Plášek[33,34] | Zuzana Plesková[1] | Pavel S. Shirokikh[35] | Anna Šímová[1] |
Eva Šmerdová[1] | Pavel N. Tokarev[4] | Michal Hájek[1]

[1]Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

[2]Department of Plant Biology, Faculty of AgriSciences, Mendel University in Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

[3]Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO/CSIC/PA), Oviedo University, Mieres, Spain

[4]Institute of Biology, Karelian Research Centre, Russian Academy of Sciences, Petrozavodsk, Karelia, Russia

[5]Department of Biosciences, University of Vic - Central University of Catalonia, Vic, Spain

[6]Department of Evolutionary Biology, Ecology and Environmental Sciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

[7]Laboratory of Geobotany, Institute of Biology, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia

[8]Department of Plant Biology and Ecology, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Bilbao, Spain

[9]Institute of Botany, Plant Science and Biodiversity Center, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia

[10]Institute of Biology, Komi Scientific Centre, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Syktyvkar, Komi Republic, Russia

[11]Laboratory of Paleoecology, Institute of Botany of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Brno, Czech Republic

[12]Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

[13]Avrorin Polar-Alpine Botanical Garden-Institute, Kola Science Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, Apatity, Murmansk Region, Russia

[14]Yugra State University, Khanty-Mansiysk, Russia

[15]Laboratory of Dynamics of the Arctic Vegetation Cover, Komarov Botanical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia

[16]Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

[17]Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University, Kaliningrad, Russia

[18]Department of Plant Ecology and Environmental Conservation, Institute of Environmental Biology, Biological and Chemical Research Centre, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

[19]Institute of Botany, Nature Research Centre, Vilnius, Lithuania

[20]Independent Consultant, Lancaster, UK

[21]Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain

[22]Laboratory of General Geobotany, Komarov Botanical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia

[23]Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Eastern Finland, Joensuu, Finland

[24]Institute of Biology Bucharest, Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania

[25]Department of Botany, Faculty of Biology, Uzhhorod National University, Uzhhorod, Ukraine

[26]Biodiversity and Conservation Biology Research Unit, Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland

[27]Group of Ecology of Living Organisms, Tobolsk Complex Scientific Station of Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Tobolsk, Russia

[28]Institute of Biology/Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, Germany

[29]German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

[30]Department of Botany, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden

[31]UMR CNRS 7058 "Ecologie et Dynamique des Systèmes Anthropisés" (EDYSAN), Université de Picardie Jules Verne, Amiens, France

[32]M. G. Kholodny Institute of Botany, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

[33]Department of Biology and Ecology, University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic

[34]Institute of Biology, University of Opole, Opole, Poland

[35]Ufa Institute of Biology, Ufa Federal Scientific Centre, Russian Academy of Sciences, Ufa, Republic of Bashkortostan, Russia

Correspondence

Martin Jiroušek, Department of Plant Biology, Faculty of AgriSciences, Mendel University in Brno, Zemědělská 1, 613 00 Brno, Czech Republic.
Email: jirousek@mendelu.cz

Abstract

Aims: Classification of European bog vegetation (*Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class); identification of diagnostic species for the class and vegetation subgroups (orders and alliances); development of an expert system for automatic classification of vegetation plots; and production of distribution maps of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class and its alliances.

Location: Europe.

Methods: A data set of vegetation-plot records was compiled to include various bog types over most of the European continent. An unsupervised classification (beta-flexible linkage method, Sørensen distance measure) and detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) ordination were applied. Formal definitions of syntaxa based on species presence and covers, and respecting the results of the unsupervised classification, were developed and included in a classification expert system.

Results: The *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class, its two orders (*Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* and *Sphagnetalia medii*) and seven compositionally distinct alliances were formally defined. In addition to the syntaxa included in EuroVegChecklist, three new alliances were distinguished: *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati* (subarctic polygon and palsa mires); *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi* (blanket bogs of the northwestern Iberian Peninsula); and *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* (boreal bog lawns). The latter alliance is newly described in this article.

Conclusions: This first pan-European formalized classification of European bog vegetation partially followed the system presented in EuroVegChecklist, but suggested three additional alliances. One covers palsa and polygon mires, one covers Iberian bogs with endemics and one fills the syntaxonomical gap for lawn microhabitats in boreal bogs. A classification expert system has been developed, which allows assignment of vegetation plots to the types described.

KEYWORDS

blanket mires, Braun-Blanquet approach, expert system, ombrotrophic mire, palsa mire, polygon mire, raised bog, vegetation classification, vegetation-plot database

1 | INTRODUCTION

The vegetation of mires (peat-forming wetlands) is traditionally divided into bogs and fens (Du Rietz, 1949; Moore, 1984; Wheeler & Proctor, 2000; Hájek et al., 2006; Joosten et al., 2017). Bogs are ombrotrophic (rainwater-fed), extremely acidic and nutrient-poor habitats, whereas fens are mainly minerotrophic mires saturated by groundwater. Nutrient availability and surface water chemistry in fens depend largely on bedrock and catchment hydrology. The ecological difference between bogs and fens in terms of water supply,

chemistry and water-level depth is reflected in their plant species composition. The vegetation of European bogs has been mainly classified in the phytosociological class *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea*, while fens have been classified in the phytosociological class *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae*. However, the vegetation of both classes may co-occur in the same mire complexes due to differences in microtopography. The vegetation of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class then occupies hummocks that are permanently above the water level. The vegetation of dystrophic hollows (waterlogged depressions or pools) is usually assigned to the *Scheuchzerion palustris* alliance within the *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae* class (e.g., Dierssen, 1982, 1996; Lapshina, 2010; Mucina et al., 2016; but see, e.g., Succow, 1974; Dengler et al., 2004), based on physiognomy, species composition and frequent occurrence also outside ombrotrophic mire complexes in the boreal zone of Europe (Peterka et al., 2017). Plant species that distinguish *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* from *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae* are dwarf shrubs (*Chamaedaphne calyculata*, *Empetrum hermaphroditum*, *Erica tetralix*) together with *Sphagnum* species that preferably grow on hummocks elevated above the water level (*Sphagnum capillifolium*, *Sphagnum compactum*, *Sphagnum fuscum*, *Sphagnum medium*). Characteristic species of *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae* are *Carex limosa*, *Scheuchzeria palustris*, *Sphagnum majus* or *Warnstorfia fluitans* (Peterka et al., 2017). Westhoff and Held (1969) described the separate class *Scheuchzerietea palustris* for bog hollow vegetation, but this solution cannot be accepted in formal syntaxonomy, as the *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae* class is typified by the vegetation of dystrophic bog hollows (Tüxen, 1937).

There is, however, an obvious syntaxonomic gap for lawns, microtopographically intermediate habitats between hummocks and hollows. They are classified either in the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class or the *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae* class. The former approach had been used primarily in Atlantic areas of western, central and northern Europe (Dierssen, 1982, 1996), where the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class also comprise lawns. The latter approach was adopted largely in eastern Europe and Siberia (Kuznetsov, 1991; Lapshina, 2010; Zeliankevich et al., 2016), where lawns are more floristically distinct from high hummocks than from waterlogged hollows (Lapshina, 2010), but no specific alliance has yet been described for boreal lawn vegetation.

The gradient from relatively dry hummocks through flat lawns close to the water level to waterlogged hollows generally explains most of the vegetation variation in a single mire or small area (Du Rietz & Nannfeldt, 1925; Jiroušek et al., 2013; Malhotra et al., 2016; Sottocornola et al., 2009). The gradient from mire margin to mire expanse is another source of compositional variation in bogs, which is also evident at a much larger spatial scale (Bragazza et al., 2005; Malmer, 1986). This complex gradient coincides with differences in: (i) the depth of the water level; (ii) water chemistry; (iii) peat sediment thickness; and (iv) tall shrub and tree cover between the central parts of a bog and mire-margin habitats such as fens, mire forests, wet mat-grass swards or dwarf-shrub heaths. Base saturation, a key factor for the variability of fen vegetation (Malmer, 1986; Heikkilä,

1987; Økland et al., 2001; Tahvanainen, 2004; Peterka et al., 2014, 2017), has little influence on bog vegetation because of the ombrotrophic conditions, that is lack of base cations and nutrients. It can only influence bog margins and harvested or young bogs with a shallow peat layer (Bourbonniere, 2009; Howie & van Meerveld, 2013; Mežaka et al., 2018). Precipitation chemistry also varies with distance of bogs to oceans (Aletsee, 1967; Damman, 1995).

Biogeographical gradients affect the species composition of bogs as well. The gradient between Atlantic and continental bogs is well known (Aletsee, 1967; Robroek et al., 2017) and is reflected in the existing vegetation classification, which clearly distinguishes between Atlantic and boreal-continental bogs (Mucina et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the newest European checklist of alliances and higher-rank syntaxa (Mucina et al., 2016) does not stress the occurrence of narrow-range endemic species, such as *Erica mackaiana* or *Carex durieui* in Iberian bogs. This checklist also does not cover palsa bogs, the habitat clearly delineated in the EUNIS classification (Chytrý et al., 2020) and the European Red List of Habitats (Janssen et al., 2016).

As bog vegetation is strongly dependent on the regular supply of rainwater, its occurrence in Europe is limited to regions with a sufficiently high amount and low seasonal fluctuations of precipitation, that is Atlantic Europe, or with low evapotranspiration, that is northern Europe and central and eastern European mountains (Montanarella et al., 2006). A Southwest–Northeast gradient of continentality is reflected in compositional variation, but also in the topography of bog habitats and their hydrology. Flat oceanic bogs, valley bogs, blanket bogs and moist peat heaths occur in western Europe, while raised bogs occur in the temperate and boreal zones of central, northern and eastern Europe. Bog vegetation also occurs as distinct zones or patterns in aapa mires, palsa and polygon mires in the boreal and subarctic zones of northern Europe (Janssen et al., 2016; Joosten et al., 2017; Chytrý et al., 2020).

The classification of mire vegetation dates back to the early period of phytosociology (Cajander, 1913). Already before World War II, local studies were carried out to document the vegetation of bogs in various parts of Europe, including classical studies by: Osvald (1923), Warén (1926), Nordhagen (1928) and Paasio (1933) in northern Europe; Katz (1926) and Bogdanowskaya-Guihéneuf (1928) in eastern Europe; and Zlatník (1928), Kästner and Flössner (1933) and Schwickerath (1933) in Central Europe. To our knowledge, the first attempts to produce a pan-European overview of bog vegetation were made by Osvald (1925), Schwickerath (1940) and Duvigneaud (1949). A pan-European vegetation synthesis based on a large vegetation data set (more than 3000 relevés) was first carried out by Moore (1964), who prepared a synoptic table of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class and presented a classification scheme with two orders, four alliances and 25 associations and subassociations. In contrast to previous studies, he widened the class to include the compositionally similar vegetation of subarctic palsa mires and Atlantic moist peat heaths. For the latter vegetation type, he proposed a separate order *Ericetalia tetralicis* in contrast to the core bog vegetation of the *Sphagnetalia medii* order. Later on, Aletsee (1967),

Neuhäusl (1972) and Dierssen (1982) summarized the current knowledge about bog vegetation at supranational to European scales.

Recent national vegetation checklists generally accept the concept of two orders, *Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* (*Erico-Ledetalia* in EuroVegChecklist) and *Sphagnetalia medii*. The order *Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* is further divided into *Ericion tetralicis* and *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis* alliances, while the order *Sphagnetalia medii* comprises *Sphagnion medii* and *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi* alliances (e.g., Pott, 1992; Dierssen, 1996; Valachovič, 2001; Smagin, 2007; Hájková et al., 2011; Thébaud, 2011; Zeliankevich et al., 2016 Dubyna et al., 2019). This concept has also been accepted in EuroVegChecklist (Mucina et al., 2016), which represents the current consensus of dozens of vegetation scientists and acts as an authoritative platform for international communication in vegetation science, ecology and nature conservation, but also serves as a baseline for subsequent classification studies that can build on the large pan-European data sets (Chytrý et al., 2016) and update current knowledge. Besides the scheme of high-rank syntaxa presented in EuroVegChecklist, some alternative approaches to the classification of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class have been presented in the regional literature (Smagin, 2000, 2012a; Dengler et al., 2004; Lavrinenko & Lavrinenko, 2015; Molina, 2017).

The recent development of large electronic vegetation-plot databases (Chytrý et al., 2016; Bruelheide et al., 2019) as well as advances in classification methods, tools and software, provide unique opportunities to process vegetation data on a pan-European scale according to well-defined and consistent criteria (De Cáceres et al., 2015). In this study, we gathered vegetation-plot records from bog hummocks, lawns and Atlantic moist peat heaths (*Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class following Mucina et al., 2016) across Europe with the aims of: (i) identifying the main vegetation types within the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class; (ii) developing an expert system for the automatic assignment of vegetation plots to the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class and its alliances; (iii) identifying diagnostic species and map distribution ranges for the formally defined alliances; and (iv) validating or updating the classification scheme of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class in EuroVegChecklist.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Data compilation and filtering

The phytosociological databases stored in the European Vegetation Archive (EVA; Chytrý et al., 2016) served as a basic data source covering most of the European territory. Vegetation plots from EVA were supplemented with unpublished private data sampled by the authors of this study (Appendix S1). Vegetation plots for the initial ('working') data set were selected if at least two species from the preliminary list of bog specialist species occurred in the plot (Appendix S1). All the vegetation-plot records from these sources were merged into one large data set using the TURBOVEG 3 software (Hennekens, 2015) and imported into the JUICE 7.0 program

(Tichý, 2002). Taxonomic concepts and nomenclature were unified according to the Euro+Med PlantBase (2006–2018; ww2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed) for vascular plants; Laine et al. (2018) for *Sphagnum* species; Hill et al. (2006) for other mosses; Söderström et al. (2016) for liverworts; Ahti and Stenroos (2013) for Cladoniaceae; and Wirth et al. (2013) and Stenroos et al. (2016) for other macrolichens. Some taxonomically problematic species were merged into aggregates (Appendix S2). Records of algae, non-lichenicolous fungi and higher taxonomic levels such as 'hepatics' were deleted. The names of syntaxa were unified according to EuroVegChecklist (Mucina et al., 2016) or referenced to the publication in which they were originally published. For the class *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea fuscae*, we used the corrected name *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae*. Furthermore, since the name *Erico-Ledetalia* Tx. 1937 was considered invalid according to Art. 3f of the International Code of Phytosociological Nomenclature (ICPN; Theurillat et al., 2021), we accepted *Erico-Sphagnetalia* Schwickerath 1941 (*Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis nom. invers.*) as the oldest valid name of the order.

We removed duplicate plots, non-georeferenced plots and plots with only presence/absence data. To define the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class and to select plots that belong to it, we developed a formal definition for an expert system (for details see the next section and Landucci et al., 2015; Chytrý & Tichý, 2018; Tichý et al., 2019; Chytrý et al., 2020). The *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class shows some peculiarities that make a formalized definition difficult, such as: (i) a small species pool and a low number of diagnostic species of the class; (ii) a low number of species per plot; (iii) a broad ecological niche of several dominant species, which are often diagnostic also for other classes; and (iv) a high ecological importance of bryophytes. Therefore, in our formal definition, we emphasized the proportion of species indicative of bogs over the proportion of species indicative of other habitats rather than absolute numbers of indicator species. Such an expert system can deal with plots of different sizes including mosaics, as the result of the classification depends on the ratio of species in the plot. To achieve this, the following species groups were defined (Appendix S3):

1. 'Bog species' — indicators of bogs.
2. 'Neutral species' — ecological generalists that might occur in bogs but are also common in other habitats.
3. 'Negative species' — all other species that occur in the rest of the European flora (adopted from the species list used by Chytrý et al., 2020).

The initial lists of 'Bog species' and 'Neutral species' were compiled from diagnostic species of the class *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* in EuroVegChecklist (Mucina et al., 2016: their Appendix S6), critically evaluated by the authors of this study and modified based on several classification trials with successive versions of the expert system, followed by an expert-based assessment of the results.

The class was finally defined using the ratio between the sum of square-root-transformed percentage covers of 'Bog species' and the sum of square-root-transformed percentage covers of 'Negative species'. The presence of 'Neutral species' does not affect the result.

To be classified in the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class, the plot must reach a ratio larger than 1, separately for the herb and bryophyte layers. Alternatively, the plot must reach a ratio larger than 1 in the herb layer, and the total cover of the 'Negative species' group in the bryophyte layer must not exceed 5%, so that plots with a poorly developed or no bryophyte layer can be classified. The 'Bog species' and 'Negative species' groups serve as so-called discriminating species groups (for details see Willner, 2011; Chytrý et al., 2020). Applying the ratio between the summed covers of the species groups gives species-poor and species-rich plots an equal chance to match the definition. Plots with tree cover above 25% are also excluded during the expert system classification. Because some databases lack information on vegetation layers, we did not filter the vegetation plots by tree or tall-shrub cover, but only by cover resulting from merged covers of all layers in the plot.

Sample-size correction (only plots equal to or larger than 1 m^2 and equal to or smaller than 100 m^2 were kept; Peterka et al., 2020). Further, for unsupervised classification and counting fidelity and frequency in a synoptic table, the vegetation plots without bryophytes were removed and geographical stratification (Knollová et al., 2005) was applied. The geographical stratification was performed in order to achieve a more balanced data set by removing some plots from oversampled areas. A maximum of 10 plots was randomly selected during the stratification process from each cell of a geographical grid of 0.75 min of longitude and 1.25 min of latitude.

2.2 | Unsupervised classifications

Since our aim was not to merely reproduce existing classification systems, we started with the unsupervised numerical classifications of the geographically stratified data set of plots identified as the vegetation of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class. The aim of this step was to test not only the extent to which the existing data fit the current classification scheme of bogs, but also whether other specific vegetation types not covered by the existing classification form well-separated clusters in the unsupervised classification. Nonhierarchical *k*-means clustering or divisive methods such as Twinspan emphasize compositional differences along the major gradients such as macroclimate or water level (i,e, they may separate also lawns or polygon and palsa bogs). In contrast, agglomerative methods may capture ecologically or biogeographically exceptional features that may lead to distinctive species composition, such as in narrow-range Iberian blanket bogs. Since the results of each classification method were quite consistent at the highest levels, we selected only one of them, which is presented in this paper. We present the results of agglomerative beta-flexible clustering, with a beta parameter of −0.25, based on the Sørensen distance measure (Tichý, 2002), and using pseudospecies cut levels (0%, 5% and 25%) to account for species covers. After preliminary testing various clustering solutions, the number of resulting clusters was arbitrarily set at eight. With fewer clusters, some of the resulting groups would be too heterogeneous (Atlantic bogs). In contrast, accepting more clusters would result in unnecessarily much too narrowly defined clusters (mainly according to the single dominant *Sphagnum* species), especially when considering classification at the alliance level.

2.3 | Supervised classification and formal definitions of syntaxa

A classification approach based on the ratios between discriminating species groups was also used in the formal definitions of orders and alliances. As mentioned earlier, the expert system uses the ratios between the sum of square-root-transformed percentage covers of one species group over another group(s). The discriminating species groups (Appendix S3) for individual orders (first decision step) and alliances (further decision steps) included sociological groups determined by the previous unsupervised analysis. However, they were adjusted for the higher classification precision of the expert system by prioritizing ecologically and geographically more distinct species. The criterion for assigning the plot to the class had to be met simultaneously. For a plot to be classified in one of the bog alliances, there had to be: (i) a greater proportion of 'Bog species' than of 'Negative species' (class-level classification); (ii) a higher proportion of the group of Atlantic species or continental species (order-level classification); and (iii) a higher proportion of a discriminating species group of one alliance than discriminating species groups of the other alliances of the same order (alliance-level classification). The traditionally used system of two orders was retained after the preliminary results of the unsupervised classifications had clearly separated the Atlantic bogs (*Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis*) and the continental bogs (*Sphagnetalia medii*) in the division. The use of discriminating species groups eliminates the possibility of classifying a vegetation-plot record into more than one alliance. Likewise, it minimizes the likelihood that the vegetation plot will remain unclassified. Only vegetation plots with equal proportions of two or more discriminating species groups of alliances and plots completely lacking species from discriminating groups of alliances are left unclassified and are classified in the next step into the *Sphagnion medii* alliance (if the species of the 'Atlantic species' group are missing) or the *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis* alliance (if 'Atlantic species' are present; Appendix S3). Since these two alliances have the diagnostic species of the next higher unit but are largely negatively differentiated from the next similar units of the same rank, they correspond to the concept of central alliances (Willner, 2020) within the *Sphagnetalia medii* and *Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* orders respectively. The final step of the expert system distinguishes the core plots of the alliances with a minimal number of diagnostic species of the particular alliance and/or their cover (another restrictive condition; Appendix S3) from the plots classified only on the basis of the proportion of species of the discriminating species groups (non-core plots). The logical formulas in the expert system were defined for seven alliances. This decision was based primarily on the results of the unsupervised analysis and the presence of absolute diagnostic species (Willner, 2020). The expert system file can be run in JUICE (Tichý, 2002), TURBOVEG 3 (Hennekens, 2015) or R (Bruelheide et al., 2021).

Diagnostic and constant species (Chytrý & Tichý, 2003) of the defined alliances are shown in a synoptic table containing percentage species frequency, in which species are sorted by a fidelity measure. The phi coefficient was used as a fidelity measure (Chytrý et al., 2002). For the purpose of fidelity calculation, all groups were virtually standardized to equal size, and the significance of fidelity was tested using Fisher's exact test ($p < 0.05$; Tichý & Chytrý, 2006). In addition, the table lists the species that were identified as diagnostic for the two or more alliances, the orders or the class. The diagnostic species of the orders were determined in a synoptic table resulting from the classification of the plots at the order level only by the expert system. Diagnostic species of the class were identified by applying the expert system to the data set of all European habitats that was analyzed by Chytrý et al. (2020); the fidelity of the group of plots classified as *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class was analyzed in comparison with unclassified plots of other vegetation types.

Plots classified at the alliance level were subjected to a detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) in CANOCO 5 (Šmilauer & Lepš, 2014) to visualize the relationships among the alliances and identify the main gradients in species composition. The same method was applied to the clusters produced by unsupervised classification to identify the similarities between these two approaches.

The maps showing the European distribution the class, orders and alliances defined by the expert system were processed using the ArcGIS 10 software (ESRI, 2011). To map the distribution of the alliances, the expert system was applied to the original (that is, geographically unstratified) data set.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Distribution of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class and its orders and alliances in Europe

A new expert system (see 'OXY_SPH_ESY_2022_file.txt' in the Zenodo repository, version 2022/2; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5851129) was developed for the automatic classification of vegetation plots into the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class, its two orders and finally seven compositionally distinct alliances. A total of 8089 vegetation-plot records were classified by the expert system into the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class. The plots identified as *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class are concentrated in the Atlantic and boreal zones, and their occurrence continually decreases towards the temperate-continental central and eastern Europe. This class is missing in southern and southeastern Europe (Figure 1).

3.2 | Unsupervised classification

The first division separated Atlantic bogs (*Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* order) from continental bogs (*Sphagnetalia medii* order; Figure 2). The formation of 3–8 final clusters was found sufficient to show the gradual division of our data set into clusters corresponding to the four bog


FIGURE 1 Distribution of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class and its two orders in Europe. *Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* (blue circles) and *Sphagnetalia medii* (green circles) orders are differentiated by colours. Grey circles in the background show the unclassified plots of the initial "working" data set. Note that the gaps in Belarus, Ukraine, Russia and Sweden are due to missing data in our database, not the absence of this vegetation

alliances listed in EuroVegChecklist, as well as other clusters differing in species composition and geographic distribution. When only four final clusters were used (the same number as in EuroVegChecklist), a cluster corresponding to the bog lawns of boreal Europe and high mountains of temperate-subcontinental Europe emerged (cluster 6 in Figure 2) while the Atlantic-bog alliances remained undivided. Vegetation plots corresponding to *Sphagnion medii* formed the largest group and were further divided into open mires with heliophilous species (cluster 4) and a group of bogs with species characteristic of small mountain bogs surrounded by forest or krummholz or bog margins with occurrences of *Vaccinium* spp., *Sphagnum russowii* or *Melampyrum pratense* (cluster 5). The cluster of subarctic bogs on polygon mires and palsas with *Dicranum elongatum* and lichens prevailing over sphagna was separated as cluster 8. Blanket bogs with *Erica mackaiana* from northwestern Spain (cluster 3) were recognized as the first cluster within the Atlantic branch of bogs. Groups corresponding to the *Ericion tetralicis* and *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis* alliances (clusters 1 and 2) were finally separated in a partition with eight clusters.

3.3 | Alliances: synoptic table, ordination, syntaxonomic synopsis and short descriptions

Almost 81% of the plots identified as *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class were classified as core plots of alliances (Table 1, Figure 3). The

FIGURE 2 Result of unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis (Sørensen distance measure, group-linkage method: beta-flexible = −0.5) at the level of eight resulting clusters. Further, vegetation plots coloured according to the clusters are displayed by ordination diagram (DCA)

ordination of plot groups (clusters) produced by the unsupervised classification (Figure 2) gave analogous results to the ordination of alliances identified by the expert system (Figure 3). The main pattern in ordination diagrams (DCA1 axis in Figures 2 and 3) reflects a longitudinal gradient extending from the southwest and west to the east and northeast. Individual alliances are clearly separated, with overlaps between ecologically and geographically related alliances. The extremes of the main gradient are *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi* plus *Ericion tetralicis* (bogs and peat heaths in Atlantic Europe) and *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati* (subarctic polygonal peatlands and palsas). Two alliances of the *Sphagnetalia medii* order, that is, *Sphagnion medii* and *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi*, are differentiated along the second axis (DCA2, Figure 3). Bog vegetation with the presence of trees did not form a single separate cluster in any classification. In both the supervised and unsupervised classifications presented in this article, bogs with *Picea abies*, *Betula pubescens* and *Pinus mugo* agg. were included in the *Sphagnion medii* alliance, while bog vegetation with *Pinus sylvestris* was included in the *Sphagnion medii* alliance or *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi* alliance (see Table 1). Differentiation of bog vegetation by trees or forest species occurred in partitions with a higher number of clusters, allowing for possible classification at the association level within both focal alliances. The frequency of trees or forest species in Atlantic bogs, palsas or boreal-continental lawns is small. Based on the critically assessed results of the unsupervised classification and comparison with the available literature, a hierarchical system of hummock and lawn vegetation of European bogs is proposed as follows:

 Cl. *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* Br.-Bl. et Tüxen ex Westhoff et al. 1946
 O. *Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* Schwickerath 1941 *nom. invers*.
   All. *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi* (Fernández Prieto et al. 1987) Rivas-Martínez et al. 1999

   All. *Ericion tetralicis* Schwickerath 1933
   All. *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis* Nordhagen ex Tüxen 1937
 O. *Sphagnetalia medii* Kästner et Flössner 1933
   All. *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* Jiroušek et al. 2022 *all. nova*
   All. *Sphagnion medii* Kästner et Flössner 1933
   All. *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi* Nordhagen ex Du Rietz 1954
   All. *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati* Lavrinenko et Lavrinenko 2015

3.4 | *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi*

This alliance includes hyperoceanic peat heath vegetation with *Erica mackaiana* and the rarer *Erica ciliaris*, while *Erica tetralix* is nearly absent. *Carex durieui*, a sedge endemic to the northwestern Iberian Peninsula (Luceño Garcés, 1994), also has high diagnostic value for this alliance. Typical components of this vegetation are mire generalists such as *Eriophorum angustifolium* or *Narthecium ossifragum*, growing together with species of Atlantic heaths and grasslands (e.g., *Agrostis curtisii*, *Avenella flexuosa*, *Molinia caerulea* agg., *Potentilla erecta* and the endemic *Arnica montana* subsp. *atlantica* or *Serratula tinctoria* var. *seoanei*). Common dominant species of the bryophyte layer are the acidophilous peat mosses *Sphagnum papillosum*, *Sphagnum subnitens* and, less commonly, the endangered *Sphagnum pylaesii*. The bryophyte layer is further characterized by the frequent occurrence of liverworts (*Kurzia trichoclados*, *Odontoschisma sphagni*) and the absence of lichens. This alliance is geographically restricted to the north of Galicia and Asturias (Atlantic humid zone of the Iberian Peninsula). The non-core vegetation of this alliance is distributed also in western Ireland in case of *Erica mackaiana* presence (Figure 4a).

TABLE 1 Synoptic table of bog alliances of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class as classified by the expert system presented in this paper (only plots classified as cores of alliances)

Alliance	Em-Sp	Et	O-Et	Sb-Tc	Sm	Om-Eh	Rc-De
Number of plots	53	491	1070	756	2646	1204	103
Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi							
Erica mackaiana	100	1	1	–	–	–	–
Carex durieui	92	–	–	–	–	–	–
Avenella flexuosa	62	1	1	1	3	1	1
Potentilla erecta[A]	60	23	16	3	6	1	–
Serratula tinctoria	25	–	–	–	–	–	–
Agrostis curtisii	25	1	–	–	–	–	–
Scilla verna	21	1	–	–	–	–	–
Leucobryum glaucum agg.	34	11	5	2	1	–	–
Erica ciliaris	23	3	1	–	–	–	–
Narcissus bulbocodium	17	–	–	–	–	–	–
Kurzia trichoclados	17	–	1	–	–	–	–
Gentiana pneumonanthe	32	20	1	–	–	–	–
Carex binervis	15	1	1	–	–	–	–
Arnica montana	13	–	1	–	1	–	–
Scorzonera humilis	13	1	1	–	–	–	–
Sphagnum subnitens[A]	25	5	12	1	1	–	–
Agrostis stolonifera agg.	9	1	–	–	–	–	–
Campylopus introflexus	13	4	2	–	–	–	–
Polygala serpyllifolia	19	12	7	–	1	–	–
Calypogeia sphagnicola	13	1	5	1	2	3	–
Danthonia decumbens	6	1	–	–	–	–	–
Ericion tetralicis							
Sphagnum compactum [A]	19	78	9	26	1	1	–
Drosera intermedia	–	32	5	1	1	–	–
Carex panicea	9	31	4	1	1	1	–
Juncus squarrosus	11	28	4	2	1	–	–
Rhynchospora fusca	–	14	1	1	–	–	–
Salix repens	–	12	1	1	1	–	–
Gymnocolea inflata	–	28	8	17	3	1	–
Sphagnum tenellum [A]	19	47	34	40	4	1	–
Lycopodiella inundata	–	8	1	1	1	–	–
Rhynchospora alba[A]	2	34	26	24	7	2	–
Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis							
Narthecium ossifragum[A]	34	33	48	–	1	1	–
Odontoschisma sphagni [A]	26	22	41	–	1	1	–
Sphagnum papillosum [A]	30	12	51	38	9	3	–
Racomitrium lanuginosum[A]	–	7	17	–	1	1	1
Pleurozia purpurea	–	5	12	–	–	–	–
Myrica gale[A]	2	15	19	2	3	1	–
Fuscocephaloziopsis connivens[A]	–	7	11	1	1	1	–
Kurzia pauciflora[A]	–	12	17	4	1	5	–

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Alliance	Em-Sp	Et	O-Et	Sb-Tc	Sm	Om-Eh	Rc-De
Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi							
Sphagnum balticum[B]	–	1	1	42	4	11	11
Drosera longifolia	–	2	6	17	2	2	–
Scheuchzeria palustris	–	1	1	15	6	2	–
Carex pauciflora [B]	–	1	3	28	22	19	–
Sphagnum rubellum	2	4	27	40	29	25	1
Carex limosa	–	–	1	9	3	1	–
Sphagnion medii							
Sphagnum magellanicum agg.[B]	–	2	52	38	79	37	–
Pinus mugo agg.[B]	–	–	1	2	14	1	–
Vaccinium myrtillus[B]	–	1	3	4	25	15	7
Melampyrum pratense	–	1	1	1	10	3	–
Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi							
Sphagnum fuscum [B]	–	–	2	8	18	95	29
Mylia anomala	–	7	17	22	11	46	8
Pinus sylvestris	–	14	11	8	26	44	–
Cladonia mediterranea	–	–	1	1	1	14	2
Chamaedaphne calyculata [B]	–	–	–	8	12	23	1
Dicranum undulatum	–	1	1	5	5	18	–
Cladonia stygia	–	1	–	1	1	8	–
Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati							
Dicranum elongatum	–	–	1	–	1	3	100
Flavocetraria nivalis	–	1	1	–	1	5	82
Cladonia amaurocraea	–	–	–	–	–	2	74
Cladonia gracilis	–	3	1	–	1	5	78
Ochrolechia frigida	–	–	1	3	–	2	67
Sphaerophorus globosus	–	–	–	–	–	1	62
Cetraria islandica	–	1	1	3	2	8	74
Vaccinium vitis-idaea[B]	–	2	1	2	21	15	85
Cladonia arbuscula agg.	9	6	7	6	4	40	97
Alectoria nigricans	–	–	–	–	–	1	52
Cladonia coccifera	–	2	1	1	–	2	56
Cladonia subfurcata	–	–	1	1	1	1	51
Coelocaulon divergens	–	–	–	–	–	–	50
Sphenolobus minutus	–	–	–	–	–	1	50
Cladonia bellidiflora	–	–	1	1	1	1	49
Thamnolia vermicularis	–	–	–	–	1	1	48
Flavocetraria cucullata	–	–	–	–	–	2	45
Ochrolechia inaequatula	–	–	–	–	–	1	41
Polytrichum hyperboreum	–	–	–	–	–	1	36
Carex rariflora	–	–	–	2	1	2	27
Luzula wahlenbergii	–	–	–	–	–	–	13
Ptilidium ciliare	–	1	1	3	1	3	17
Dicranum fuscescens	–	–	–	–	1	2	12
Aulacomnium turgidum	–	–	–	–	–	–	9

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Alliance	Em-Sp	Et	O-Et	Sb-Tc	Sm	Om-Eh	Rc-De
Orthocaulis binsteadii	–	–	1	1	1	1	8
Carex globularis	–	–	–	–	1	3	9
Loiseleuria procumbens	–	–	1	1	–	1	6
Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis							
Molinia caerulea agg.[A]	100	89	60	14	18	1	–
Eriophorum angustifolium[A]	75	58	76	10	11	5	1
Erica tetralix [A]	–	98	92	–	2	1	–
Trichophorum cespitosum [A]	2	62	33	61	12	11	1
Hypnum cupressiforme agg.[A]	15	31	26	1	1	1	–
Cladonia portentosa[A]	–	24	21	1	1	1	–
Calluna vulgaris [A]	58	76	74	47	53	58	5
Sphagnetalia medii							
Andromeda polifolia [B]	–	6	46	81	64	88	58
Eriophorum vaginatum [B]	–	23	58	85	92	90	35
Sphagnum recurvum agg.[B]	8	2	29	15	73	52	1
Vaccinium oxycoccos agg.[B]	–	4	51	68	84	91	17
Polytrichum strictum [B]	–	1	7	18	53	47	72
Rubus chamaemorus [B]	–	1	3	18	15	85	99
Empetrum nigrum agg.[B]	–	2	10	12	23	84	98
Betula nana [B]	–	1	1	14	9	55	75
Rhododendron tomentosum [B]	–	1	1	4	22	45	49
Vaccinium uliginosum [B]	–	2	3	16	44	53	68
Cladonia rangiferina [B]	2	1	2	5	5	40	85
Pleurozium schreberi	–	7	10	4	20	35	34
Sphagnum russowii[B]	6	1	1	3	14	8	13
Oxycocco-Sphagnetea							
Drosera rotundifolia	17	52	58	50	42	60	–
Aulacomnium palustre	17	5	22	6	29	16	2
Sphagnum capillifolium	26	12	27	10	19	19	2

The values of percentage frequency are shown. Species are sorted by decreasing fidelity within alliances (determined based on calculation of the phi coefficient together with significance of Fisher's exact test at $p < 0.05$). The grey shading indicates diagnostic species of alliances (species with $\varphi > 20$). The list of diagnostic species for the *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati* alliance was shortened due to a long list of lichens (for lichens with fidelity < 40 see Appendix S4). Diagnostic species of the orders are indicated by superscript letters ([A] *Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis*; [B] *Sphagnetalia medii*) and diagnostic species of the class are shown in bold (also here, $\varphi > 20$ and significance of Fisher's exact test at $p < 0.05$). If the diagnostic species of the class or orders are not listed as diagnostic species of the alliances, they are placed at the end of the table. For the diagnostic, constant and dominant species of class and orders see Appendix S5 as well as the full synoptic table (Appendix S4). Abbreviations of column names (names of alliances): Em-Sp = *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi*, Et = *Ericion tetralicis*, O-Et = *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis*, Sb-Tc = *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi*, Sm = *Sphagnion medii*, Om-Eh = *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi*, Rc-De = *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati*.

3.5 | *Ericion tetralicis*

The species composition of the Atlantic moist peat heath vegetation is characterized by the dominance of the dwarf shrub *Erica tetralix*, accompanied by mire generalists that also occur in fens (e.g., *Carex panicea*, *Drosera intermedia*, *Rhynchospora fusca*, *Trichophorum cespitosum*) and species common in Atlantic heaths or mat-grass swards (e.g., *Calluna vulgaris*, *Juncus squarrosus*). *Sphagnum compactum* is a common and dominant species of the bryophyte layer and also has the highest diagnostic value for the alliance. The bryophyte layer is further composed of *Sphagnum tenellum*, non-sphagnaceous mosses (*Hypnum cupressiforme* agg.), liverworts (*Gymnocolea inflata*) or fruticose lichens (*Cladonia portentosa*). The alliance is widespread in Atlantic Europe from the northern Iberian Peninsula through France, Ireland, the United Kingdom, Belgium, the Netherlands, northern Germany and Norway to the Baltic coast of Poland. The non-core vegetation of this alliance is also found more to the east (Figure 4b; the plots from the Kaliningrad Oblast of Russia and the Czech Republic were recorded on bogs affected by drainage or fires).

FIGURE 3 Ordination diagram of DCA analysis with plot colours according to the expert system classification. Abbreviations of alliance names (see Table 1 for full names) are added to the clusters. The alliance cores and non-cores are indicated by different symbols in the diagram with all plots (a). Moreover, they are displayed in separate diagrams (b, c)

3.6 | *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis*

The herb layer of these true Atlantic bogs is formed mostly by the dwarf shrubs *Erica tetralix* and *Calluna vulgaris*, accompanied by *Eriophorum angustifolium*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Narthecium ossifragum* and *Trichophorum cespitosum*. Diagnostic or frequent bryophytes are peat mosses *Sphagnum magellanicum* agg., *Sphagnum papillosum* or *Sphagnum rubellum*, but liverworts such as *Fuscocephaloziopsis connivens*, *Odontoschisma sphagni* and *Kurzia pauciflora* are also common. In contrast to the previous alliances, minerotrophic species (e.g. *Carex panicea*, *Juncus squarrosus*) are rare or absent. This alliance occurs in Atlantic Europe from the northern Iberian Peninsula through the United Kingdom, Ireland, France, Belgium, the Netherlands and Germany to the Czech Republic and southern Baltic coast. In Scandinavia, *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis* is much more widespread than *Ericion tetralicis* (Figure 4c).

3.7 | *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* Jiroušek et al. *all. nova*

Nomenclatural type: *Andromedo polifoliae-Sphagnetum baltici* Bogdanowskaya-Guihéneuf 1928 (orig.: Ass. *Andromeda polifolia-Sphagnum balticum*; Bogdanowskaya-Guihéneuf, 1928, pp. 304–305); holotypus.

Name-giving taxa: *Sphagnum balticum* (Russow) C.E.O. Jensen and *Trichophorum cespitosum* (L.) Hartm. The name of the new alliance refers to *Sphagnum balticum*, an absolute (exclusive) diagnostic species with highest frequency and fidelity to this alliance within the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class, and frequently dominating *Trichophorum cespitosum*, a vascular plant with highest frequency and fidelity to the alliance within the *Sphagnetalia medii* order.

Diagnostic species (species with the highest fidelity to the alliance within the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class) include *Andromeda polifolia*, *Carex limosa*, *Carex pauciflora*, *Drosera longifolia*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Scheuchzeria palustris*, *Sphagnum balticum*, *Sphagnum rubellum* and *Trichophorum cespitosum*.

Other species differentiating the alliance mainly within the *Sphagnetalia medii* order and against the *Scheuchzerion palustris* alliance are *Gymnocolea inflata*, *Sphagnum compactum*, *Sphagnum papillosum* and *Sphagnum tenellum*.

Constant species (species with the highest frequency) are *Andromeda polifolia*, *Carex pauciflora*, *Drosera rotundifolia*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Sphagnum balticum*, *Sphagnum compactum*, *Sphagnum papillosum*, *Sphagnum rubellum*, *Sphagnum tenellum*, *Trichophorum cespitosum* and *Vaccinium oxycoccos* agg.

Associations: *Sphagno tenelli-Trichophoretum cespitosi* Osvald 1925; *Trichophoro cespitosi-Sphagnetum baltici* Warén 1926; *Trichophoro cespitosi-Sphagnetum compacti* Warén 1926; *Andromedo polifoliae-Sphagnetum baltici* Bogdanovwskaya-Guihéneuf 1928; *Trichophoretum cespitosi* Zlatník 1928.

Applied Vegetation Science

FIGURE 4 Distribution of bog alliances in Europe based on the vegetation plots classified by the expert system

(a)

Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi

(b)

Ericion tetralicis

(c)

Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis

(d)

Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi

(e)

Sphagnion medii

(f)

Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi

(g)

Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati

The unsupervised classification confirmed that although the boreal bog lawns have not yet been assigned to any distinct alliance, they have a distinct species composition and the sharpness of their delimitation is similar to that of other accepted alliances. *Sphagnum balticum* and *Drosera longifolia* were found to be the best diagnostic species of boreal bog lawns, while other frequent or diagnostic species (*Andromeda polifolia*, *Carex pauciflora*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Drosera rotundifolia*, *Sphagnum rubellum*) also occur frequently in other types of bog vegetation. Therefore, the presence of species of dystrophic hollows (*Carex limosa*, *Scheuchzeria palustris*) or Atlantic-bog species (*Sphagnum compactum*, *Sphagnum tenellum*, *Trichophorum cespitosum*) is crucial to distinguish the *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* alliance from the *Sphagnion medii* and *Oxycocco-Empetrion hermaphoditi* alliances. The main area of occurrence is the Baltic region, Scandinavia, Finland, Belarus and the western part of European Russia. Extrazonally, this vegetation occurs in Central-European mountains (Figure 4d).

3.8 | *Sphagnion medii*

The (boreo)temperate bog lawns and low hummocks are characterized by *Sphagnum magellanicum* agg. (*Sphagnum medium*), *Sphagnum recurvum* agg. (*Sphagnum angustifolium*, *Sphagnum fallax*), *Sphagnum rubellum*, *Sphagnum russowii* and *Polytrichum strictum*, as part of the bryophyte layer. In the herb layer, *Eriophorum vaginatum* and various dwarf shrubs such as *Andromeda polifolia*, *Calluna vulgaris*, *Vaccinium oxycoccos* agg. (mainly *Vaccinium oxycoccos* s.str.) and *Vaccinium uliginosum* are typical dominant species. Many *Sphagnion medii* sites are encroached by scattered trees or occur in contact with bog woodlands or as a mosaic with them. Therefore, seedlings or adult individuals of *Picea abies*, *Pinus mugo* agg. or *Betula pubescens* can be found in this alliance. Acidophilous forest species (*Melampyrum pratense*, *Vaccinium myrtillus*, *Vaccinium vitis-idaea*) are also common and diagnostic species for this alliance. The *Sphagnion medii* alliance is widespread in the mountains of temperate Europe (Pyrenees, Massif Central, Jura, Alps, Vosges, Ardennes, Rhön, Harz, Bohemian Massif, Carpathians, southern Urals; marginally, they also occur in the United Kingdom and Ireland) and further north they are found in the lowlands of northern Germany, Poland, northern Ukraine, Belarus, Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia, Russia, Scandinavia and Finland (Figure 4e).

3.9 | *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi*

The boreal type of bog-hummock vegetation is characterized by dwarf shrubs such as *Andromeda polifolia*, *Betula nana*, *Chamaedaphne calyculata*, *Empetrum nigrum* agg., *Rhododendron tomentosum*, *Rubus chamaemorus* and *Vaccinium oxycoccos* agg. (mainly *Vaccinium microcarpum* in this alliance), together with *Carex pauciflora* and *Eriophorum vaginatum* in the herb layer. *Sphagnum fuscum* frequently dominates

among bryophytes. Other common bryophytes include *Polytrichum strictum*, *Mylia anomala* and *Sphagnum recurvum* agg. (mainly *Sphagnum angustifolium*). Other mosses (e.g., *Pleurozium schreberi* and *Dicranum undulatum*) and lichens (mainly species of the genus *Cladonia* such as *Cladonia arbuscula* agg., *Cladonia mediterranea*, *Cladonia rangiferina* and *Cladonia stygia*), as well as pines (*Pinus sylvestris*), are typical of the driest hummocks. The continuous range of the alliance extends from the Baltic region, northern Belarus and the central part of European Russia to Fennoscandia and northern Russia. On the European mainland, the alliance occurs at higher elevations in contrast to the *Sphagnion medii* alliance (Massif Central, Vosges, Alps, Bohemian Massif, Carpathians, southern Urals). Non-core plots also occur in the mountain systems of the United Kingdom (Figure 4f).

3.10 | *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati*

Subarctic bog vegetation on polygon mires and palsas consists of boreo-arctic low shrubs (*Rhododendron tomentosum*, *Empetrum nigrum* agg.), *Rubus chamaemorus* and graminoids (*Luzula wahlenbergii*, *Carex rariflora*). Typical species of the bryophyte layer are non-sphagnaceous mosses (*Dicranum elongatum*, *Polytrichum hyperboreum* and *Polytrichum strictum*) and lichens (*Alectoria nigricans*, *Cetraria islandica*, *Cladonia amaurocraea*, *Cladonia arbuscula* agg., *Cladonia gracilis*, *Flavocetraria nivalis*, *Ochrolechia frigida*, *Sphaerophorus globosus* and others; see Appendix S4). *Sphagnum* species (*Sphagnum fuscum*, *Sphagnum russowii*) occur regularly but have low cover. The alliance is widespread in northernmost Fennoscandia, Nenets Autonomous Okrug of Russia and the isolated area of palsas in the Rondane Massif (Figure 4g).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Distribution of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class in Europe

We show that the vegetation of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class is distributed throughout the entire European continent except for its southern (especially southeastern) part and the northernmost Arctic areas. In northern Europe, bog vegetation reaches the subarctic zone, which is consistent with the observations of Dierssen (1996), Koroleva (2014) and Lavrinenko and Lavrinenko (2015). The southern limit of the distribution of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class extends from northern Portugal through northern Spain, the Pyrenees, France, the southern Alps, Hungary, the southern Carpathians, northern Ukraine and central parts of European Russia up to the southern Urals. This limit corresponds well with the bog occurrences reported in local studies and national phytosociological checklists (Coldea, 1997; Borhidi et al., 1999; Yamalov et al., 2012; Biondi et al., 2014; Molina, 2017). Hájek et al. (2008) also reported the presence of a pine bog woodland of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class in the Rhodope Mountains in Bulgaria. However, their plot

records were not classified in this class by the expert system because of the relatively high abundance of fen and woodland species in the tree, shrub and herb layers, even though the dominant bryophyte species correspond to the *Sphagnion medii* alliance. *Sphagnum* hummocks scattered in fens and affected by the species spread from nearby habitats (mass effect; Shmida & Ellner, 1984) are a common feature towards the southern distribution limit of bogs (see also Pérez-Haase & Ninot, 2017).

Despite the large amount of phytosociological data we have compiled, there is still an insufficient cover of large regions with abundant presence of bog vegetation (large areas in Scandinavia, Ukraine, Belarus, and especially in Russia; Figure 1). Although there has been considerable progress in the development of vegetation-plot databases in recent decades, stimulated especially by the establishment of the Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases (Dengler et al., 2011) and the integration of European databases into the European Vegetation Archive (Chytrý et al., 2016), uneven coverage of Europe by vegetation-plot data with significant gaps still persists (Sporbert et al., 2019). Therefore, further efforts are needed in vegetation sampling and data digitizing in areas with poor representation in vegetation-plot databases.

Vegetation analogous to the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class has also been documented or is known to occur in regions adjacent to Europe, such as the Azores, the Black Sea coast of Georgia, northeastern Turkey and western Siberia (Parolly, 2004; Kaffke, 2008; Lapshina, 2010; Lapshina & Filippov, 2012; Mendes & Dias, 2013; Joosten et al., 2017). In particular, the syntaxonomical position of Colchic percolation mires remains unclear. Although Kaffke (2008) characterizes them as clearly ombrotrophic, only a few species characteristic of bogs occur in these mires (e.g., *Polytrichum strictum* and *Sphagnum austinii*). This fact, together with a high abundance of minerotrophic species and local relict species (Kaffke, 2008), suggests that the Colchic bog vegetation does not correspond to the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class concept as defined in the expert system developed here. Further research is needed to assess possible occurrences of the class beyond the geographical scope of this study.

4.2 | Update of the EuroVegChecklist

EuroVegChecklist (Mucina et al., 2016) includes four alliances within the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class: *Ericion tetralicis*, *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis*, *Sphagnion medii* and *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi*. Our analyses support their validity but also show that three other distinct alliances of bog vegetation can be distinguished as well as the four alliances included in EuroVegChecklist. We suggest that these types should be recognized as separate alliances, namely *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi*, *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati* and *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* (*alliancia nova*). We also discuss the reason for using a different order name *Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* as opposed to EuroVegChecklist (here *Erico-Ledetalia*).

4.2.1 | *Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis*

The name *Erico-Ledetalia* Tüxen 1937 used in EuroVegChecklist (Mucina et al., 2016) should be considered invalid according to Art. 3f of the ICPN (Theurillat et al., 2021). *Ledum palustre* (syn. *Rhododendron tomentosum*) does not occur in any description of the associations assigned to alliances mentioned by Tüxen (1937): neither (*Ulicio*)-*Ericion* nor *Oxycocco-Ericion* nor *Oxycocco-Empetrion*. Also, in the former work of Nordhagen (1937; referenced by Tüxen, 1937), the alliance *Oxycocco-Empetrion* prov. lacks *Ledum palustre* (in contrast to the *Oxycocco-Ledion* alliance). Schwickerath (1941) considered the name *Erico-Sphagnetalia* (orig. *Ericeto-Sphagnetalia*) superior to the alliance names *Ericion tetralicis* (Glockenheidegesellschaften) and *Sphagnion europaeum* (Hochmoorgesellschaften). He assigned at least one validly described alliance (*Ericion tetralicis*; described by Schwickerath, 1933) to the order. Furthermore, the order of name-giving taxa was inverted (see Art. 10b and Art. 42 of ICPN) and the name of the syntaxon was completed by adding the specific epithet of the second taxon — *Erica tetralix* (Rec. 10C of ICPN; Theurillat et al., 2021), the specific epithet for *Sphagnum* is not specified and cannot be selected with certainty (Schwickerath, 1941).

4.2.2 | *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi*

The *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi* alliance was described by Rivas-Martínez et al. (1999) based on data of Fernández Prieto et al. (1987) from Galicia and Asturias. Mucina et al. (2016) synonymized this alliance with *Ericion tetralicis*, while the alliance was included in the recent vegetation checklist of Iberian wetlands (Molina, 2017). The traditional acceptance of the *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi* alliance in national vegetation checklists is supported by its unique diagnostic species and distinct distribution range, which is restricted to the northwestern part of the Iberian Peninsula. The alliance is characterized by the dominance of *Erica mackaiana*, a high abundance of *Erica ciliaris* and the occurrence of taxa with narrow distribution ranges (*Arnica montana* subsp. *atlantica*, *Carex durieui*, *Serratula tinctoria* var. *seoanei*). Although *Erica mackaiana* also occurs in western Ireland (Webb, 1955; Sheehy Skeffington, 2015) and Cantabrian wet heaths, the geographically restricted distribution of other diagnostic and endemic species allows the alliance to be easily defined using the expert system (the Irish vegetation plots with *Erica mackaiana* were not grouped with the *Erico mackaianae-Sphagnion papillosi* alliance in the unsupervised classification). The specific Quaternary history of the northwestern Iberian blanket bogs (Muñoz Sobrino et al., 2005) also supports the concept of a separate alliance. Recent studies (Gómez-Orellana et al., 2013; Janská et al., 2017) even consider the northwestern Iberian Peninsula as one of the most important refugia for bog ecosystems during the last glacial maximum. The high regional stability and continuity of bogs probably resulted in a specific and endemic bog vegetation (Rodríguez-Guitián et al., 2009; Romero Pedreira, 2015), but today this vegetation has relictual distribution.

4.2.3 | *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati*

Lavrinenko and Lavrinenko (2015) suggested to classify the bog vegetation on polygon and palsa mires in subarctic Russia into a separate alliance named *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati*. This is the first phytosociological unit that closely matches the palsa and polygon mire hummock vegetation, which are high-rank habitat types in the EUNIS classification (Chytrý et al., 2020). The *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati* alliance occurs in the hummock surfaces in mire margins and most characteristically on the permafrost mounds of palsa mires and on the ridges of polygon mires in subarctic northern and northeastern Europe. Similar vegetation with a high proportion of lichens and non-sphagnaceous mosses (*Dicranum elongatum*, *Polytrichum* spp.) instead of sphagna was previously distinguished only at the association level within the *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi* alliance (Paasio, 1933; Nordhagen, 1937; Vorren, 1979; Dierssen, 1996; Koroleva, 2006, 2014). The alliance *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati* was neither accepted nor synonymized in EuroVegChecklist, as it was only described recently, but it was included in the checklist of European Arctic syntaxa (Koroleva et al., 2016). The subarctic bog vegetation of the *Rubo chamaemori-Dicranion elongati* alliance is also clearly delimited from the other recognized European alliances and may be accepted as a new alliance in EuroVegChecklist. All the analytical methods used in this study supported the separation of this alliance based on a specific combination of species of boreal bogs with boreo-arctic elements and a significant proportion of lichens (Table 1).

4.2.4 | *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi*

Boreal and subalpine bog lawns are classified inconsistently in national phytosociological systems (Kuznetsov, 1991; Pott, 1992; Boch & Smagin, 1993; Dierssen, 1996; Smagin, 2000; Valachovič, 2001; Dengler et al., 2004; Lapshina, 2010; Hájková et al., 2011; Smagin, 2012a, 2012b; Zeliankevich et al., 2016), where they are assigned to several different alliances. Such inconsistencies may have resulted from the helplessness of individual authors because the alliance corresponding to the boreal lawns did not exist. Support for establishing a new alliance was found in the analysis of vegetation-plot data across different regions of Europe. In terms of the alliance concept as outlined by Willner (2020), *Sphagnum balticum*, *Sphagnum rubellum* and *Carex pauciflora* may be considered as so-called absolute diagnostic species of the *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* alliance. The latter two species also occur in other bog alliances, but show the highest fidelity to *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi*.

If *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* were not described, the boreal lawns could be classified to *Sphagnion medii*, but this solution would refute the concept of *Sphagnion medii* as a mostly temperate alliance. Similarly to *Sphagnion medii*, vegetation of *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* is situated above the water level, but never overgrown by tall shrubs or trees, staying out of the intermittently wet shrubby or woody patches (*Pinus mugo*, *Pinus sylvestris*, *Pinus uncinata* subsp. *uliginosa*). These alliances can be distinguished also according to the dominant *Sphagnum* species, where *Sphagnum balticum*, *Sphagnum compactum* and *Sphagnum tenellum* are characteristic for *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi*, whereas *Sphagnum magellanicum* agg., *Sphagnum recurvum* agg. and *Sphagnum russowii* are for *Sphagnion medii*.

The presence of *Trichophorum cespitosum*, *Sphagnum compactum*, *Sphagnum papillosum* and *Sphagnum tenellum* is similar in both boreal *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* and Atlantic *Ericion tetralicis and Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis*. However, these alliances have clearly different positions on the most important vegetation gradient in bogs, namely the gradient from Atlantic and subatlantic to boreal-continental bogs. Indeed, the total absence of Atlantic species was an important feature that characterized the cluster of boreal lawns in the unsupervised classification. Another alternative would be to classify boreal lawns into the boreal alliance *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi* (e.g., Dierssen, 1996; Hájková et al., 2011). However, *Oxycocco microcarpi-Empetrion hermaphroditi* comprises high hummocks, typically with *Sphagnum fuscum*, while *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* comprises lawns, sometimes even at the transition to hollows.

A completely different view of the boreal-continental lawns is to classify them as hollow vegetation, to the *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae* class. *Sphagnion baltici* was validated by Lapshina (2010) for vegetation of *Sphagnum* lawns and hollows on ombrotrophic raised bogs and transitional mires with domination of oligotrophic *Sphagnum* species in continental boreal Holarctic. Lapshina proposed as character species *Rhynchospora alba*, *Trichophorum cespitosum*, *Eriophorum russeolum*, *Sphagnum balticum*, *Sphagnum lindbergii*, *Sphagnum papillosum*, but the alliance *Sphagnion baltici* actually does not differ formally from the *Scheuchzerion palustris* as the alliance was determined by Mucina et al. (2016). Koroleva et al. (2016) expanded the *Sphagnion baltici* area to the European north. They included in the *Sphagnion baltici* alliance the vegetation of hollows within the palsa mires.

We defined boreal bog lawn vegetation based on the prevalence of the bog-hummock species above bog hollow species. Consequently, they belong to the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class, which is further supported by their clear microtopographic position in the bogs. However, we acknowledge that the clear differentiation between bog lawns and hollows we observe in most of Europe may gradually disappear towards eastern Europe and Siberia, where *Sphagnum balticum* often dominates in bog hollows (Bogdanowskaya-Guihéneuf, 1928; Lapshina, 2010). Fluctuating water levels in more eastern continental areas may cause hollows to resemble lawns and differ from hollows in western or central Europe. Despite the risk of confusion between the European boreal lawns and Eurasian continental hollows in more eastern areas, we believe it is reasonable to distinguish the *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* alliance within the bog vegetation of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class. From this perspective, however, there could be room for another alliance, but this alliance would belong to the *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae* class.

The vegetation of the *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* alliance is characteristic of bogs of Scandinavia, the whole Baltic region, Belarus, Finland and westernmost Russia, and is also a characteristic feature of bog vegetation in mixed mire complexes of aapa mires and excentric bogs (Tolonen, 1967). In the Finnish mire site type system (Eurola et al., 1984), 'ombrotrophic short-sedge bog' encompasses all bog lawns and is separated from 'ombrotrophic hollow bog' in line with the separation of the *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* alliance from the *Scheuchzerion palustris* alliance. Because the expert system works only with species data, not with the position of plots with respect to the water level, uncertainty about the position of vegetation relative to water levels is increasing significantly to the east of Finland and the Baltics. In a westward direction, *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi* changes into *Oxycocco-Ericion tetralicis* with an increasing number of Atlantic species. Occurrence of this vegetation in Central-European mountains is represented therein mainly by *Sphagnum compactum*, *Sphagnum tenellum* or *Sphagnum papillosum* lawns, in which Atlantic species are absent.

The identification of the new alliance within northeastern European mires, which is well-defined in terms of microhabitat and distribution but has few absolute diagnostic species, highlights the need for further research into the variability of bog lawns and hollows in northeastern Europe. Inclusion of additional data from vegetation plots collected in northeastern Europe could provide more convincing insights into the delimitation of *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* and *Scheuchzerio palustris-Caricetea nigrae*, as well as the ecological characterization of *Sphagno baltici-Trichophorion cespitosi*. Ideally, new plots should be smaller (1–25 m^2) to avoid mixing ecologically contrasting species that co-occur in topographically heterogeneous aapa mires.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Martin Jiroušek, Milan Chytrý, Michal Hájek and Tomáš Peterka conceived the research idea; Martin Jiroušek developed the expert system; Martin Jiroušek and Tomáš Peterka analyzed the data; Martin Jiroušek wrote the paper with contributions from Tomáš Peterka and Michal Hájek; Vítězslav Plášek and Alica Košuthová identified problematic specimens of bryophytes and lichens from vegetation plots and helped with unifying the names of bryophytes and lichens; all other authors provided vegetation-plot data for the analyses; all authors commented on the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The vegetation-plot data used in this study are available in the European Vegetation Archive under project no. 12. The current version of the expert system is available from the Zenodo repository ('OXY_SPH_ESY_2022_file'; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5851129).

ORCID

Martin Jiroušek https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4293-478X
Tomáš Peterka https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5488-8365
Milan Chytrý https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8122-3075
Borja Jiménez-Alfaro https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6601-9597
Aaron Pérez-Haase https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5974-7374
Liene Aunina https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3430-5668
Idoia Biurrun https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1454-0433
Daniel Dítě https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5251-9910
Petra Hájková https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1434-7825
Florian Jansen https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0331-5185
Natalia E. Koroleva https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5727-485X
Elena D. Lapshina https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5571-7787
Igor A. Lavrinenko https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1255-3193
Olga V. Lavrinenko https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3380-194X
Maxim G. Napreenko https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0889-7276
Pawel Pawlikowski https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0656-5067
Valerijus Rašomavičius https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1314-4356
Elvira Sahuquillo Balbuena https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8750-7098
Viktor A. Smagin https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9984-2205
Claudia Biță-Nicolae https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3949-1989
Lyubov Felbaba-Klushyna https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4891-4229
Tatiana G. Ivchenko https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7350-4312
Ute Jandt https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3177-3669
Alica Košuthová https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5991-7444
Jonathan Lenoir https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0638-9582
Viktor Onyshchenko https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9079-7241
Vítězslav Plášek https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4664-2135
Zuzana Plesková https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0153-1623
Pavel S. Shirokikh https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1864-4878
Anna Šímová https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3495-2395
Eva Šmerdová https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4589-6317
Michal Hájek https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5201-2682

REFERENCES

Ahti, T. & Stenroos, S. (2013) Cladoniaceae. In: Ahti, T., Stenroos, S. & Moberg, R. (Eds.), *Nordic Lichen Flora 5*. Göteborg: Museum of Evolution, Uppsala University, pp. 1–87.

Aletsee, L. (1967) Conceptual and floristic basics for a phytogeographical analysis of the European bogs (German). *Beiträge Zur Biologie Der Pflanzen*, 43, 117–160.

Biondi, E., Blasi, C., Allegrezza, M., Anzellotti, I., Azzella, M.M., Carli, E. et al. (2014) Plant communities of Italy: The Vegetation Prodrome. *Plant Biosystems*, 148, 728–814. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2014.948527

Boch, M.S. & Smagin, V.A. (1993) *Flora and vegetation of mires in the North-West Russia and the principles for their protection (Russian)*. St. Petersburg: Gidrometeoizdat.

Bogdanowskaya-Guihéneuf, Y. (1928) Vegetation of raised bogs of the Russian Eastern Baltics (Russian). *Travaux De L'Institut Des Sciences Naturelles De Peterhof*, 5, 265–377.

Borhidi, A., Kevey, B. & Varga, Z. (1999) Checklist of the higher syntaxa of Hungary. *Annali Di Botanica*, 57, 159–166.

Bourbonniere, R.A. (2009) Review of water chemistry research in natural and disturbed peatlands. *Canadian Water Resources Journal*, 34, 393–414. https://doi.org/10.4296/cwrj3404393

Bragazza, L., Rydin, H. & Gerdol, R. (2005) Multiple gradients in mire vegetation: a comparison of a Swedish and an Italian bog. *Plant Ecology*, 177, 223–236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-005-2182-2

Bruelheide, H., Dengler, J., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Purschke, O., Hennekens, S.M., Chytrý, M. et al. (2019) sPlot – a new tool for global vegetation analyses. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 30, 161–186. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12710

Bruelheide, H., Tichý, L., Chytrý, M. & Jansen, F. (2021) Implementing the formal language of the vegetation classification expert systems (ESy) in the statistical computing environment R. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 24, e12562. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12562

Cajander, A.K. (1913) Studies of the Finnish mires (German). *Acta Forestalia Fennica*, 2, 1–208.

Chytrý, M., Hennekens, S.M., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Knollová, I., Dengler, J., Jansen, F. et al. (2016) European Vegetation Archive (EVA): an integrated database of European vegetation plots. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 19, 173–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12191

Chytrý, M. & Tichý, L. (2003) Diagnostic, constant and dominant species of vegetation classes and alliances of the Czech Republic: a statistical revision. *Folia Facultatis Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis*, 108, 1–231.

Chytrý, M. & Tichý, L. (2018) National vegetation classification of the Czech Republic: a summary of the approach. *Phytocoenologia*, 48, 121–131. https://doi.org/10.1127/phyto/2017/0184

Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Hennekens, S.M., Knollová, I., Janssen, J.A.M., Rodwell, J.S. et al. (2020) EUNIS habitat classification: expert system, characteristic species combinations and distribution maps of European habitats. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 23, 648–675. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12519

Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, Z. (2002) Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 13, 79–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02025.x

Coldea, G. (Ed.) (1997) *Associations of the Romanian vegetation. Volume 1. Associations of the natural herbaceous vegetation (French)*. Cluj: Presses Universitaires de Cluj.

Damman, A.W.H. (1995) Major mire vegetation units in relation to the concepts of ombrotrophy and minerotrophy: a worldwide perspective. *Gunneria*, 70, 23–34.

De Cáceres, M., Chytrý, M., Agrillo, E., Attorre, F., Botta-Dukát, Z., Capelo, J. et al. (2015) A comparative framework for broad-scale plot-based vegetation classification. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 18, 543–560. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12179

Dengler, J., Koska, I., Timmermann, T., Berg, C., Clausnitzer, U., Isermann, M., Linke, C., Päzolt, J., Polte, T. & Spangenberg, A. (2004) New descriptions and typifications of syntaxa within the project 'Plant communities of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern and their vulnerability' – Part II. *Feddes Repertorium*, 115, 351–354. https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.200411043

Dengler, J., Jansen, F., Glöckler, F., Peet, R.K., De Cáceres, M., Chytrý, M. et al. (2011) The Global Index of Vegetation-Plot Databases (GIVD): a new resource for vegetation science. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 22, 582–597. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01265.x

Dierssen, K. (1982) *The most important plant communities of mires of the NW Europe (German)*. Geneva: Conservatoire et jardin botaniques.

Dierssen, K. (1996) *Vegetation of Northern Europe (German)*. Stuttgart: Verlag Eugen Ulmer.

Du Rietz, G.E. (1949) Main units and main limits in Swedish mire vegetation (Swedish). *Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift*, 43, 274–309.

Du Rietz, G.E. & Nannfeldt, J.A. (1925) *Ryggmossen and Stigsbo Rödmosse, the last surviving bogs in the Upsala area. Guide to the fourth International Plant Geography Excursion (German)*. Uppsala: Svenska växtsociologiska sällskapet.

Dubyna, D.V., Dziuba, T.P., Iemelianova, S.M., Bagrikova, N.O., Borysova, O.V., Borsukevych, L.M. et al. (2019) *Prodrome of the vegetation of Ukraine (Ukrainian)*. Kyiv: Naukova Dumka.

Duvigneaud, P. (1949) Phytosociological classification of mires in Europe (French). *Bulletin De La Société Royale De Botanique De Belgique*, 81, 58–129.

ESRI (2011) *ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10*. Redlands: Environmental Systems Research Institute.

Eurola, S., Hicks, S. & Kaakinen, E. (1984) Key to Finnish mire types. In: Moore, P. (Ed.) *European mires*. London: Academic Press, pp. 11–117.

Fernández Prieto, J.A., Fernández Ordóñez, M.C. & Collado Prieto, M.A. (1987) Data on the vegetation of the Galician-Asturian and oro-Cantabrian Sphagnum bogs (Spanish). *Lazaroa*, 7, 443–471.

Gómez-Orellana, L., Ramil-Rego, P. & Muñoz Sobrino, C. (2013) The response of vegetation at the end of the last glacial period (MIS 3 and MIS 2) in littoral areas of NW Iberia. *Boreas*, 42, 729–744. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.2012.00310.x

Hájek, M., Hájková, P. & Apostolova, I. (2008) New plant associations from Bulgarian mires. *Phytologia Balcanica*, 14, 377–399.

Hájek, M., Horsák, M., Hájková, P. & Dítě, D. (2006) Habitat diversity of central European fens in relation to environmental gradients and an effort to standardise fen terminology in ecological studies. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 8, 97–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2006.08.002

Hájková, P., Navrátilová, J. & Hájek, M. (2011) Bog vegetation (*Oxycocco-Sphagnetea*). In: Chytrý, M. (Ed.) *Vegetation of the Czech Republic 3 (Czech)*. Praha: Academia, pp. 705–736.

Heikkilä, H. (1987) The vegetation and ecology of mesotrophic and eutrophic fens in western Finland. *Annales Botanici Fennici*, 24, 155–175.

Hennekens, S.M. (2015) Turboveg v. 3 – A gateway to EVA and other databases. In: Chytrý, M., Zelený, D. & Hettenbergerová, E. (Eds.) *58th Annual Symposium of the International Association for Vegetation Science: Understanding broad-scale vegetation patterns – Abstracts*. Brno: Masaryk University, p. 152.

Hill, M.O., Bell, N., Bruggeman-Nannenga, M.A., Brugués, M., Cano, M.J., Enroth, J. et al. (2006) An annotated checklist of the mosses of Europe and Macaronesia. *Journal of Bryology*, 28, 198–267. https://doi.org/10.1179/174328206X119998

Howie, S.A. & van Meerveld, H.J. (2013) Regional and local patterns in depth to water table, hydrochemistry and peat properties of bogs and their laggs in coastal British Columbia. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 17, 3421–3435. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-3421-2013

Janská, V., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Chytrý, M., Divíšek, J., Anenkhonov, O., Korolyuk, A. et al. (2017) Palaeodistribution modelling of European vegetation types at the Last Glacial Maximum using modern analogues from Siberia: Prospects and limitations. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 159, 103–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.01.011

Janssen, J.A.M., Rodwell, J.S., García Criado, M., Gubbay, S., Haynes, T., Nieto, A. et al. (2016) *European red list of habitats. Part 2. Terrestrial and freshwater habitats*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Jiroušek, M., Poulíčková, A., Kintrová, K., Opravilová, V., Hájková, P., Rybníček, K. et al. (2013) Long-term and contemporary environmental conditions as determinants of the species composition of bog organisms. *Freshwater Biology*, 58, 2196–2207. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12201

Joosten, H., Tanneberger, F. & Moen, A. (Eds.) (2017) *Mires and peatlands of Europe. Status, distribution and conservation*. Stuttgart: Schweizerbart Science Publishers.

Kaffke, A. (2008) Vegetation and site conditions of a Sphagnum percolation bog in the Kolkheti Lowlands (Georgia, Transcaucasia). *Phytocoenologia*, 38, 161–176. https://doi.org/10.1127/0340-269X/2008/0038-0161

Kästner, M. & Flössner, V. (1933) *Plant communities of the Ore Mountains mires (German)*. Dresden: Veröffentlichungen des Landesvereins Sächsischer Heimatschutz.

Katz, N.J. (1926) *Sphagnum* bogs of central Russia: phytosociology, ecology and succession. *Journal of Ecology*, 14, 177–202.

Knollová, I., Chytrý, M., Tichý, L. & Hájek, O. (2005) Stratified resampling of phytosociological databases: some strategies for obtaining more representative data sets for classification studies. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 16, 479–486. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2005.tb02388.x

Koroleva, N.E. (2006) Treeless plant communities of the East Murman shore (Kola Peninsula, Russia) (Russian). *Rastitel'nost' Rossii*, 9, 20–42.

Koroleva, N.E. (2014) To the syntaxonomy of pounikkos mire complexes in the forest-tundra and tundra in the northern part of the Kola Peninsula (Russian). *Rastitel'nost' Rossii*, 25, 30–44.

Koroleva, N.E., Kulyugina, E.E. & Teteryuk, B.Yu. (2016) Main high syntaxonomic units of European Arctic (Russian). *Sbornik Nauchnykh Trudov GNBS*, 143, 75–85.

Kuznetsov, O.L. (1991) Research methods of mire ecosystems of the taiga zone. In: Kuznetsov, O.L. (Ed.) *Ecological-floristic classification of Sphagnum communities of mires (Russian)*. Leningrad: Nauka, pp. 4–24.

Laine, J., Flatberg, K.I., Harju, P., Timonen, T., Minkkinen, K., Laine, A. et al. (2018) *Sphagnum Mosses – The Stars of European Mires*. Helsinki: University of Helsinki.

Landucci, F., Tichý, L., Šumberová, K. & Chytrý, M. (2015) Formalized classification of species-poor vegetation: a proposal of a consistent protocol for aquatic vegetation. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 26, 791–803. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12277

Lapshina, E.D. (2010) *Vegetation of mires of the south-east of Western Siberia (Russian)*. Novosibirsk: Izdatel'stvo NGU.

Lapshina, E.D. & Filippov, I.V. (2012) On the ecology of high level syntaxa of ecological-floristic classification of mire vegetation (Russian). *Izvestiya Samarskogo Nauchnogo Tsentra Rossiyskoy Akademii Nauk*, 14, 1043–1046.

Lavrinenko, O.V. & Lavrinenko, I.A. (2015) Communities of the class *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* Br.-Bl. et R. Tx. 1943 in the East European tundras (Russian). *Rastitel'nost' Rossii*, 26, 55–84.

Luceño Garcés, M. (1994) *Monograph of the genus Carex in the Iberian Peninsula and Balearic Islands (Spanish)*. Madrid: Real Jardín Botánico, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.

Malhotra, A., Roulet, N.T., Wilson, P., Giroux-Bougard, X. & Harris, L.I. (2016) Ecohydrological feedbacks in peatlands: an empirical test of the relationship among vegetation, microtopography and water table. *Ecohydrology*, 9, 1346–1357. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1731

Malmer, N. (1986) Vegetational gradients in relation to environmental conditions in northwestern European mires. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 64, 375–383. https://doi.org/10.1139/b86-054

Mendes, C. & Dias, E. (2013) Classification of *Sphagnum* peatlands in Azores – cases from Terceira Island. *Suo*, 64, 147–163.

Mežaka, A., Priede, A., Dobkeviča, L. & Bader, M.Y. (2018) Environmental controls of raised-bog vegetation in the Baltic boreo-nemoral zone. *Folia Geobotanica*, 53, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12224-017-9305-0

Molina, J.A. (2017) Aquatic and wetland vegetation of the Iberian Peninsula. In: Loidi, J. (Ed.), *The Vegetation of the Iberian Peninsula. Plant and Vegetation*, vol. 13, pp. 355–396. Cham: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54867-8_7

Montanarella, L., Jones, R.J.A. & Hiederer, R. (2006) The distribution of peatland in Europe. *Mires and Peat*, 1, Article 1.

Moore, J.J. (1964) A classification of the bogs and wet heaths of Northern Europe (*Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* Br.-Bl. et Tx. 1943). In: Tüxen, R. (Ed.), *Bericht über das Internationale Symposium in Stolzenau/Weser 1964 der Internationale Vereinigung für Vegetationskunde*. Den Haag: Junk, pp. 306–320.

Moore, P.D. (Ed.) (1984) *European mires*. London: Academic Press.

Mucina, L., Bültmann, H., Dierßen, K., Theurillat, J.-P., Raus, T., Čarni, A. et al. (2016) Vegetation of Europe: hierarchical floristic classification system of vascular plant, bryophyte, lichen and algal communities. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 19(Suppl. 1), 3–264. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12257

Muñoz Sobrino, C., Ramil-Rego, P., Gómez-Orellana, L. & Díaz Varela, R.A. (2005) Palynological data on major Holocene climatic events in NW Iberia. *Boreas*, 34, 381–400. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.2005.tb01108.x

Neuhäusl, R. (1972) Subcontinental mires and their vegetation (German). *Studie ČSAV*, 13, 1–121.

Nordhagen, R. (1928) Vegetation and flora of the Sylenegebietes. I. Vegetation (German). *Norske Videnskaps-Akademi i Oslo. I. Matematisk-naturvitenskapelig Klasse*, 1927(1), 1–612.

Nordhagen, R. (1937) Attempt at a reclassification the Norway's subalpine-alpine vegetation (German). *Bergens Museum Årbok. Naturvidenskabelig Raekka Rekke*, 7, 1–88.

Økland, R.H., Økland, T. & Rydgren, K. (2001) A Scandinavian perspective on ecological gradients in north-west European mires: reply to Wheeler and Proctor. *Journal of Ecology*, 89, 481–486. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2001.00573.x

Osvald, H. (1923) Vegetation of the Komosse Bog (German). *Svenska Växtsociologiska Sällskapets Handlingar*, 1, 1–436.

Osvald, H. (1925) Bog types of Europe (German). *Veröffentlichungen Des Geobotanischen Institutes Rübel in Zürich*, 3, 707–723.

Paasio, I. (1933) On the vegetation of bogs of Finland (German). *Acta Forestalia Fennica*, 39(3), 1–210.

Parolly, G. (2004) The high mountain vegetation of Turkey – a state of the art report, including a first annotated conspectus of the major syntaxa. *Turkish Journal of Botany*, 28, 39–63.

Pérez-Haase, A. & Ninot, J.M. (2017) Hydrological heterogeneity rather than water chemistry explains the high plant diversity and uniqueness of a Pyrenean mixed mire. *Folia Geobotanica*, 52, 143–160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12224-017-9291-2

Peterka, T., Hájek, M., Jiroušek, M., Jiménez-Alfaro, B., Aunina, L., Bergamini, A. et al. (2017) Formalized classification of European fen vegetation at the alliance level. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 20, 124–142. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12271

Peterka, T., Plesková, Z., Jiroušek, M. & Hájek, M. (2014) Testing floristic and environmental differentiation of rich fens on the Bohemian Massif. *Preslia*, 86, 337–366.

Peterka, T., Syrovátka, V., Dítě, D., Hájková, P., Hrubanová, M., Jiroušek, M. et al. (2020) Is variable plot size a serious constraint in broad-scale vegetation studies? A case study on fens. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 31, 594–605. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12885

Pott, R. (1992) *The plant communities of Germany (German)*. Stuttgart: Verlag Eugen Ulmer.

Rivas-Martínez, S., Fernández-González, F. & Loidi, J. (1999) Checklist of plant communities of Iberian Peninsula, Balearic and Canary Islands to suballiance level. *Itinera Geobotanica*, 13, 353–451.

Robroek, B.J.M., Jassey, V.E.J., Payne, R.J., Martí, M., Bragazza, L., Bleeker, A. et al. (2017) Taxonomic and functional turnover are decoupled in European peat bogs. *Nature Communications*, 8, 1161. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01350-5

Rodríguez-Guitián, M.A., Ramil-Rego, P., Real, C., Díaz Varela, R., Ferreiro da Costa, J. & Cillero, C. (2009) Vegetation characteristics of active blanket bogs of SW Europe. In: Llamas, F. & Acedo, C. (Eds.), *Pyrenean-Cantabrian botany in the XXI century (Spanish)*. León: Universidad de León, pp. 633–653.

Romero Pedreira, D. (2015) *Floristic and phytoecological characterization of the peat bogs of the Sierras de Xistral and Ancares (NW Iberian Peninsula) (Spanish)*. Doctoral Thesis, A Coruña: Universidade da Coruña.

Schwickerath, M. (1933) The vegetation of the district of Aachen and its position in northern West Germany (German). *Aachener Beiträge Zur Heimatkunde*, 13, 1–135.

Schwickerath, M. (1940) Structure and composition of the European bog communities (German). *Englers Botanische Jahrbücher*, 71, 249–264.

Schwickerath, M. (1941) The Sphagneta of the Fennoscandinavian researchers, viewed from the point of view of the extended theory of character species (German). *Archiv Für Hydrobiologie*, 37, 598–613.

Sheehy Skeffington, M. (2015) Ireland's Lusitanian heathers – an Erica mackayana perspective. *Ecological Questions*, 21, 13–15. https://doi.org/10.12775/EQ.2015.002.

Shmida, A. & Ellner, S.P. (1984) Coexistence of plant species with similar niches. *Vegetatio*, 58, 29–55.

Smagin, V.A. (2000) On some plant associations of mire vegetation spread in northern part of taiga (Russian). *Botanicheskiy Zhurnal*, 85, 61–74.

Smagin, V.A. (2007) The order Sphagnetalia magellanici Kästn. et Flöss. in the bogs of European Russia (Russian). *Botanicheskiy Zhurnal*, 92, 807–840.

Smagin, V.A. (2012a) Syntaxonomy of ridge dwarfshrub-grass-peatmoss communities in aapa-mires and fens of European Russia (Russian). *Botanicheskiy Zhurnal*, 97, 939–960.

Smagin, V.A. (2012b) Vegetation of hollows, flarks and pools oligotrophic and mesotrophic mires of European Russia (Russian). *Izvestiya Samarskogo Nauchnogo Tsentra Rossiyskoy Akademii Nauk*, 14, 1125–1129.

Šmilauer, P. & Lepš, J. (2014) *Multivariate analysis of ecological data using CANOCO 5*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Söderström, L., Hagborg, A., von Konrat, M., Bartholomew-Began, S., Bell, D., Briscoe, L. et al. (2016) World checklist of hornworts and liverworts. *PhytoKeys*, 59, 1–821. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.59.6261

Sottocornola, M., Laine, A., Kiely, G., Byrne, K.A. & Tuittila, E.-S. (2009) Vegetation and environmental variation in an Atlantic blanket bog in South-western Ireland. *Plant Ecology*, 203, 69–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-008-9510-2

Sporbert, M., Bruelheide, H., Seidler, G., Keil, P., Jandt, U., Austrheim, G. et al. (2019) Assessing sampling coverage of species distribution in biodiversity databases. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 30, 620–632. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12763

Stenroos, S., Velmala, S., Pykälä, J. & Ahti, T. (Eds.) (2016) Lichens of Finland. *Norrlinia*, 30, 1–896.

Succow, M. (1974) Proposal for a systematic reorganization of active mire vegetation affected by mineral soil water in Central Europe, excluding the mountain areas (German). *Feddes Repertorium*, 85, 57–113.

Tahvanainen, T. (2004) Water chemistry of mires in relation to the poor-rich vegetation gradient and contrasting geochemical zones of north-eastern Fennoscandian shield. *Folia Geobotanica*, 39, 353–369. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803208

Thébaud, G. (2011) Contribution to the prodrome of the vegetation of France: Oxycocco palustris-Sphagnetea magellanici Braun-Blanq. & Tüxen ex V. Westh., Dijk, Passchier & Sissingh 1946 (Eurosiberian acid peatlands) (French). *Journal De Botanique De La Société Botanique De France*, 56, 69–97.

Theurillat, J.-P., Willner, W., Fernández-González, F., Bültmann, H., Čarni, A., Gigante, D. et al. (2021) International code of phytosociological nomenclature. 4th edition. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 24, e12491. https://doi.org/10.1111/AVSC.12491

Tichý, L. (2002) JUICE, software for vegetation classification. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 13, 451–453. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02069.x

Tichý, L. & Chytrý, M. (2006) Statistical determination of diagnostic species for site groups of unequal size. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 17, 809–818. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2006.tb02504.x

Tichý, L., Chytrý, M. & Landucci, F. (2019) GRIMP: A machine-learning method for improving groups of discriminating species in expert systems for vegetation classification. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 30, 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12696

Tolonen, K. (1967) About the development of mires in Finnish North Karelia (German). *Annales Botanici Fennici*, 4, 219–416.

Tüxen, R. (1937) Plant communities of Northwest Germany (German). *Mitteilungen Der Floristisch-Soziologischen Arbeitsgemeinschaft in Niedersachsen*, 3, 1–170.

Valachovič, M. (Ed.) (2001) *Plant communities of Slovakia 3. Wetland vegetation (Slovak)*. Bratislava: Veda.

Vorren, K.-D. (1979) Vegetational investigations of a palsa bog in northern Norway. *Tromura: Tromsø Museums Rapportserie, Naturvitenskap*, 5, 1–181.

Warén, H. (1926) Investigations into Sphagnum-rich plant communities of the Finnish mires taking into account the sociological importance of the individual species (German). *Acta Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica*, 55, 1–133.

Webb, D.A. (1955) Biological flora of the British Isles: *Erica mackaiana* Bab. *Journal of Ecology*, 43, 319–330.

Westhoff, V. & Den Held, A.J. (1969) *Plant communities in the Netherlands (Dutch)*. Zutphen: Thieme.

Wheeler, B.D. & Proctor, M.C.F. (2000) Ecological gradients, subdivisions and terminology of north-west European Mires. *Journal of Ecology*, 88, 187–203. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2000.00455.x

Willner, W. (2011) Unambiguous assignment of relevés to vegetation units: the example of the *Festuco-Brometea* and *Trifolio-Geranietea sanguinei*. *Tuexenia*, 31, 271–282.

Willner, W. (2020) What is an alliance? *Vegetation Classification and Survey*, 1, 139–144. https://doi.org/10.3897/VCS/2020/56372

Wirth, V., Hauck, M. & Schultz, M. (Eds.) (2013) *Lichens of Germany (German)*. Stuttgart: Verlag Eugen Ulmer.

Yamalov, S.M., Martynenko, V.B., Abramova, L.M., Golub, V.B., Baisheva, E.Z. & Bayanov, A.V. (2012) *Prodromus of plant communities of the Republic of Bashkortostan (Russian)*. Ufa: AN RB Gilem.

Zeliankevich, N.A., Grummo, D.G., Sozinov, O.V. & Galanina, O.V. (2016) *Flora and vegetation of the raised bogs of Belarus (Russian)*. Minsk: StroyMediaProekt.

Zlatník, A. (1928) Overview of the vegetation of Krkonoše (Riesengebirge) (French). *Preslia*, 7, 94–152.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

Appendix S1 Sources of vegetation plots classified as *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class

Appendix S2 List of species aggregates used in this article

Appendix S3 Discriminating species groups and sociological species groups used for formal classification of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class and its alliances in the expert system

Appendix S4 Synoptic table (Table 1) with a complete list of species

Appendix S5 Diagnostic, constant and dominant species of the *Oxycocco-Sphagnetea* class and its orders (*Sphagno-Ericetalia tetralicis* and *Sphagnetalia medii*)