

Diverse growth trends and climate responses across Eurasia's boreal forest

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2016 Environ. Res. Lett. 11 074021

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/11/7/074021>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 193.134.202.245

This content was downloaded on 20/07/2016 at 09:08

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Environmental Research Letters



LETTER

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
4 January 2016

REVISED
15 June 2016

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
4 July 2016

PUBLISHED
18 July 2016

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Diverse growth trends and climate responses across Eurasia's boreal forest

Lena Hellmann^{1,2}, Leonid Agafonov³, Fredrik Charpentier Ljungqvist^{4,5}, Olga Churakova (Sidorova)⁶, Elisabeth D  thorn⁷, Jan Esper⁷, Lisa H  lsmann^{1,6}, Alexander V Kirdyanov^{8,9}, Pavel Moiseev³, Vladimir S Myglan⁹, Anatoly N Nikolaev^{10,11}, Frederick Reinig¹, Fritz H Schweingruber¹, Olga Solomina¹², Willy Tegel¹³ and Ulf B  ntgen^{1,2,14}

¹ Swiss Federal Research Institute, WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland

² Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, Bern, Switzerland

³ Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology UD RAS, Yekaterinburg, Russia

⁴ Department of History, Stockholm University, Sweden

⁵ Bolin Centre for Climate Research, Stockholm University, Sweden

⁶ ETH Zurich, Institute of Terrestrial Ecosystems, Zurich, Switzerland

⁷ Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany

⁸ V.N. Sukachev Institute of Forest SB RAS, Krasnoyarsk, Russia

⁹ Siberian Federal University, Krasnoyarsk, Russia

¹⁰ North-Eastern Federal University, Yakutsk, Russia

¹¹ Melnikov Permafrost Institute, Yakutsk, Russia

¹² Institute of Geography, RAS, Moscow, Russia

¹³ Institute for Forest Sciences IWW, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

¹⁴ Global Change Research Centre AS CR, Brno, Czech Republic

E-mail: buentgen@wsl.ch

Keywords: boreal forest, climate variability, dendroecology, Eurasia, forest productivity, global warming, high northern latitudes

Supplementary material for this article is available [online](#)

Abstract

The area covered by boreal forests accounts for ~16% of the global and 22% of the Northern Hemisphere landmass. Changes in the productivity and functioning of this circumpolar biome not only have strong effects on species composition and diversity at regional to larger scales, but also on the Earth's carbon cycle. Although temporal inconsistency in the response of tree growth to temperature has been reported from some locations at the higher northern latitudes, a systematic dendroecological network assessment is still missing for most of the boreal zone. Here, we analyze the geographical patterns of changes in summer temperature and precipitation across northern Eurasia >60 °N since 1951 AD, as well as the growth trends and climate responses of 445 *Pinus*, *Larix* and *Picea* ring width chronologies in the same area and period. In contrast to widespread summer warming, fluctuations in precipitation and tree growth are spatially more diverse and overall less distinct. Although the influence of summer temperature on ring formation is increasing with latitude and distinct moisture effects are restricted to a few southern locations, growth sensitivity to June–July temperature variability is only significant at 16.6% of all sites ($p \leq 0.01$). By revealing complex climate constraints on the productivity of Eurasia's northern forests, our results question the *a priori* suitability of boreal tree-ring width chronologies for reconstructing summer temperatures. This study further emphasizes regional climate differences and their role on the dynamics of boreal ecosystems, and also underlines the importance of free data access to facilitate the compilation and evaluation of massively replicated and updated dendroecological networks.

1. Introduction

The circumpolar boreal forest primarily consists of a limited number of conifer species that are well adapted to an overall cool climate and relatively short growing seasons (Bonan and Shugart 1989). The huge biome covers approximately 16% of the global landmass with $11.6 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ in sub-Arctic environments (Bonan *et al* 1992, ACIA 2005). Boreal forests account for nearly 22% of the Northern Hemisphere landmass and 50% of the area $>60^\circ\text{N}$ (Potapov *et al* 2008). The largest part of this biogeographic unit is located in Eurasia. Together with the Arctic tundra, the boreal zone stores an estimated $\sim 272 \text{ Pg}$ of carbon (Pan *et al* 2013), which accumulates to around 14% of the Earth's terrestrial vegetation biomass (Bonan 2008, Malhi *et al* 2008). The boreal carbon pool is structured into 20% biomass and 60% soil (Pan *et al* 2011).

Recent warming across most of the high-northern latitudes has been reported to already shift the position of treeline ecotones (D'Arrigo *et al* 1987, Payette *et al* 2001, Esper and Schweingruber 2004, Holtmeier and Broll 2007), to affect species migration rates (Hickling *et al* 2006), and to prolong the length of growing seasons (Soja *et al* 2007, Zhang *et al* 2008). Sparse confidence regarding the causes and consequences of the observed environmental changes (Price *et al* 2013), however, bias any estimate of regional to large-scale carbon cycle dynamics (Bonan 2008, McGuire *et al* 2009, Pan *et al* 2011, 2013, Kurz *et al* 2013). Additional uncertainty emerges from the occurrence of irregular wild fires and massive insect outbreaks (Kurz *et al* 2008). Moreover, empirical evidence suggests both recent increases (Girardin *et al* 2011) and decreases in the radial growth of boreal forest trees (Wilmking *et al* 2004, 2005, D'Arrigo *et al* 2008, Girardin *et al* 2014), with further complexity originating from ecosystem models (Pan *et al* 2011, 2013).

Tree growth within the boreal forest, which is often characterized by low temperatures from autumn to spring, is hence mainly constrained by rather short vegetation periods between early-June and late-August (Shiyatov 1986, Seo *et al* 2011, Bryukhanova *et al* 2013, Jyske *et al* 2014). During the limited number of warm summer days, small variations in temperature means and/or extremes can already trigger substantial fluctuations in tree-ring width (TRW) (Esper *et al* 2010, Duchesne *et al* 2012), and more general in the production rate of entire forest ecosystems (Babst *et al* 2013, Kauppi *et al* 2014). Growing season lengths within Eurasia's boreal forest are known to vary by latitude and continentality from relatively long intervals including influences of May and September in the southwest (Linderholm 2001, Zhang *et al* 2016), such as Scandinavia, to extremely short vegetation periods starting not before July and often already ending in August in the northern coastal areas of eastern Siberia (Sidorova and Naurzbaev 2002, Piao *et al* 2007), for instance. Temperature limited forest growth generally

enables sensitive TRW chronologies to be developed (i.e. time-series with a high degree of inter-annual variation), which have frequently been utilized for reconstructing summer temperatures at regional (Briffa *et al* 1995, Luckman *et al* 1997, Naurzbaev and Vaganov 2000, Kirchhefer 2001, Naurzbaev *et al* 2002, Briffa *et al* 2008, Esper *et al* 2012) and larger scales (D'Arrigo *et al* 2006, Mann *et al* 2009, Christiansen and Ljungqvist 2012, PAGES2k Consortium 2013, Wilson *et al* 2016). Composite TRW chronologies of living and relict material from Fennoscandia (Linderholm *et al* 2010), the Polar Urals (Shiyatov 1995, Briffa *et al* 2013), eastern Taimyr (Naurzbaev *et al* 2002), the Yamal Peninsula (Hantemirov and Shiyatov 2002, Briffa *et al* 2013), and northeastern Yakutia (Hughes *et al* 1999, Sidorova and Naurzbaev 2002), so far represent an important backbone for high-resolution paleoclimatology in Eurasia and during the common era (Briffa *et al* 2013, PAGES2k Consortium 2013, Buntgen *et al* 2014).

More dendroecological-oriented studies, however, indicate some sort of reduced summer temperature sensitivity at a few boreal forest sites (Jacoby and D'Arrigo 1995, Briffa *et al* 1998, Barber *et al* 2000, Lloyd and Fastie 2002, Wilmking *et al* 2005, Zhang *et al* 2008, Stine and Huybers 2014). This alleged phenomenon has been described as the inability of formerly temperature sensitive TRW and maximum latewood density chronologies to track instrumental-based warming since around the second half of the 20th century (Briffa *et al* 1998, 2004). In addition to this low-frequency trend offset between warmer measured and cooler reconstructed temperatures (Buntgen *et al* 2008), the potential failure of TRW following high-frequency climate signals has been reported for some individual boreal and alpine sites. Summarized as the 'divergence problem' (DP; for a discussion see D'Arrigo *et al* 2008), these two observations would not only have substantial implications on our ability of estimating changes in biomass production and carbon sequestration (Buntgen *et al* 2008, 2009, Esper and Frank 2009, Esper *et al* 2010), but would also question the reliability of tree ring-based temperature reconstructions, as well as our capability to model the productivity and functioning of forest ecosystems in a warmer world (Bonan 2008). Quantifying regional differences in the response of boreal forests to climatic changes and the subsequent effects on large-scale dynamics of the carbon cycle thus remains a pending, interdisciplinary scientific challenge (Frank *et al* 2010).

The ambiguity in determining spatial and temporal explicit patterns of boreal tree growth (Hellmann *et al* 2016) is occasionally exacerbated by inadequate observational programs and the geographical bias of most studies towards climate sensitive forest margins (Lloyd and Bunn 2007, Beck *et al* 2011). Currently available field assessments and remote sensing observations are often limited in the

discrimination of natural ecosystem fluctuations from anthropogenically forced environmental changes (Bonan 2008). Network approaches of temperature and precipitation variability, as well as the analysis of site and species-specific growth trends and responses are generally restricted in space and time. An exception though describes the recent work by St. George (2014) and St. George and Ault (2014), in which the seasonal climate sensitivity of TRW chronologies from around the Northern Hemisphere has been evaluated. Superimposed on possible caveats that may arise from the uniform generalization of large-scale network approaches (St. George 2014, Hellmann *et al* 2016) are potential sources of meteorological station error (Frank *et al* 2007, Esper *et al* 2010), which can range from the initial measurement-level to the later girding process (Cowtan *et al* 2015, Jones 2016). Non-systematic error that is particularly difficult to detect (Frank *et al* 2007), exists in many of the overall short and often even incomplete station records from Eurasia's northern latitudes (Esper *et al* 2010). Associated homogeneity issues of instrumental measurements have been further recognized to complicate any straightforward proxy-target calibration exercise and subsequent climate reconstruction development (Frank *et al* 2007, Büntgen *et al* 2015), for instance.

A poor understanding of the combined abiotic and mechanistic drivers, and thereby dynamic behavior, of the boreal ecosystem emphasizes the urgent need for a thorough collection and systematic assessment of highly resolved and spatially extensive tree-ring proxy and meteorological target data that continuously cover at least several decades (Esper *et al* 2010, St. George 2014, Hellmann *et al* 2016).

In seeking to overcome the above mentioned limitations, we compiled the so far best-replicated dendroecological network of Eurasia's boreal forest $>60^{\circ}$ N. Together with a careful assessment of regional temperature and precipitation variability, we analyzed growth trends and climate responses of 445 TRW site chronologies over the last six decades. The resulting patterns allowed us to see if spatiotemporal differences in climate variability have affected the climate sensitivity of pine (*Pinus* sp.), larch (*Larix* sp.) and spruce (*Picea* sp.) on inter-annual to decadal time-scales during the second half of the 20th century. Critical discussion has been devoted to challenge the *a priori* assumption that boreal TRW chronologies are suitable for reconstructing summer temperatures. Some emphasis was then given to novel directions in tree-ring research that consider the role of regional differences in the climate system itself, and ultimately aim at the development of large-scale networks to overcome logistical restrictions in the distribution of sampling sites, and thus help to better fulfill ecological and climatological criteria.

2. Material and methods

Our newly developed Eurasian TRW network covers the boreal forest between $0\text{--}180^{\circ}\text{E}$ and $>60^{\circ}\text{N}$. This unique compilation consists of 285 annually resolved and absolutely dated TRW measurement files from the *International Tree Ring Data Bank* (ITRDB; <http://ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/paleoclimatology-data/datasets/tree-ring>) (Grissino-Mayer and Fritts 1997), as well as a total of 160 newly developed and mostly unpublished TRW chronologies from Russia (figure 1).

The entire TRW network contains 186 pine (*Pinus* sp.; 126/60, ITRDB/non-ITRDB), 187 larch (*Larix* sp.; 112/75) and 72 spruce (*Picea* sp.; 47/25) sites. This dataset was further separated into a southern belt from $60\text{--}65^{\circ}\text{N}$ (labeled as S) and a northern belt from $66\text{--}73^{\circ}\text{N}$ (labeled as N). A simple geographical grouping was applied to best represent nine west-east grid cells within two latitudinal bands, which resulted in 18 spatial subsets: $0\text{--}20^{\circ}\text{E}$ (labeled as S0/N0), $21\text{--}40^{\circ}\text{E}$ (S1/N1), $41\text{--}60^{\circ}\text{E}$ (S2/N2), $61\text{--}80^{\circ}\text{E}$ (S3/N3), $81\text{--}100^{\circ}\text{E}$ (S4/N4), $101\text{--}120^{\circ}\text{E}$ (S5/N5), $121\text{--}140^{\circ}\text{E}$ (S6/N6), $141\text{--}160^{\circ}\text{E}$ (S7/N7), and $161\text{--}180^{\circ}\text{E}$ (S8/N8). For an overview on the distribution of sites per species and grid see table 1.

The individual TRW sites are relatively well distributed across northern Eurasia (figure 1). The dendroecological/-climatological sampling sites are not limited to higher elevations—a feature that especially accounts for many temperate and warmer areas, such as the Mediterranean basin (figure S1, Galván *et al* 2014), for instance. However, the distribution of sampling sites often reflects the infrastructural background of a region. Remote regions tend to contain only very few data, particularly around the Vilyuy River in Yakutia (S5 with two sites), as well as large parts of continental southeastern Siberia (S8 with two sites). Regions that are characterized by better infrastructural settings, such as Scandinavia (S1 and N1 with 64 and 42 sites, respectively), and areas nearby Russian university cities like Krasnoyarsk (S4 with 38 sites) and Yakutsk (S6 with 60 sites), exhibit dense networks of regional tree-ring research. Due to the unprecedented Schweingruber sampling campaign in the 1990s, the northern timberline is well represented by numerous TRW sites (Schweingruber and Briffa 1996, Esper and Schweingruber 2004).

Larix presents the shortest (1976–1998 AD) but also the longest (–764 to 2005 AD) TRW site chronology. Nearly 60% of all chronologies from the three species have recent end dates before 1996 (i.e. those from the ITRDB). Originating from Fennoscandia, the Russian Polar Urals, eastern Taimyr, Yamal and northeastern Yakutia, only ten chronologies continuously cover the last millennium. The average growth rate (AGR) per geographical grid in the northern belt ranges from 0.33–1.34 mm and is on average 0.75 mm for larch, 1.05 mm for pine and 0.80 mm for spruce (table S1). With a minimum AGR of 0.50 mm




Figure 1. (A) Distribution of 445 TRW chronologies across the Eurasian boreal forest zone from $>60^{\circ}\text{N}$ and $0\text{--}180^{\circ}\text{E}$. Data are separated between northern (N, $66\text{--}73^{\circ}\text{N}$) and southern (S, $60\text{--}65^{\circ}\text{N}$) regions and from west to east from $0\text{--}20^{\circ}\text{E}$ (0), $21\text{--}40^{\circ}\text{E}$ (1), $41\text{--}60^{\circ}\text{E}$ (2), $61\text{--}80^{\circ}\text{E}$ (3), $81\text{--}100^{\circ}\text{E}$ (4), $101\text{--}120^{\circ}\text{E}$ (5), $121\text{--}140^{\circ}\text{E}$ (6), $141\text{--}160^{\circ}\text{E}$ (7), $161\text{--}180^{\circ}\text{E}$ (8). Symbols indicate the three main boreal forest species spruce (*Picea* sp.), pine (*Pinus* sp.), and larch (*Larix* sp.) and colors refer to the source (dark green: ITRDB, light green: not ITRDB). The red line represents the northern timberline and shows that the network is spatially well covering the boreal forest zone. (B) Length of all site chronologies separated by the grid cells N0–N8 and S0–S8 and colors referring to the source as in (A).

and a maximum of 1.85 mm, the AGR is generally higher in the southern belt with 1.03 mm for larch, 1.07 mm for pine and 1.12 mm for spruce. Averages for the three species of maximum and minimum mean segment lengths (MSLs) for each grid are similar between the northern (132 and 48 years) and southern (141 and 49 years) grid cells. MSL per species averaged over all northern and southern grids, respectively, reveals differences between north and south. Larch, pine and spruce reveal a MSL of 106, 100 and 84 years in the north compared to a MSL of 93, 88 and 80 years in the south (table S2).

Age trends in the raw TRW measurements were removed with the ARSTAN software (ARSTAN_41d for Windows) (Cook and Krusic 2007) by applying negative exponential functions (Fritts 1976) after power-transformation (PT; Cook and Peters 1997), where residuals were used to compute dimensionless TRW indices. Variance changes in the resulting index chronologies from the ARSTAN standard routine were further stabilized (VS; Osborn *et al* 1997), and truncated at a minimum replication of five series before any further calculations were performed with the program R (R Core Team 2014).

A recent version of ArcGIS (10.1 SP1 for Desktop by Esri) was used for all mapping purposes. Monthly

resolved temperature means and precipitation totals were extracted from the gridded $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ CRU dataset (CRU TS3.22; Harris and Jones 2014) over the period 1901–2013. A total of 18 regional climate subsets was developed to best match the geographical distribution of the corresponding TRW divisions (S0–S8 and N0–N8). The Mann-Kendall test adapted to autocorrelation (Hamed and Rao 1998) was applied to assess and quantify trends and their significance levels in the climatological target (temperature and precipitation) and dendrochronological proxy (TRW) time-series back to 1951 AD. Trends in the TRW chronologies from 1951 to their individual recent end years were calculated per grid cell and conifer species (see also supplementary material for details), as well as for the mean of all chronologies per grid. Temperature means and precipitation totals from June–July (JJ), as derived from the nearest meteorological grid, were used for growth-climate response analyses over the 1951–1990 common period. The interval from 1951–1990 was chosen as a compromise between a reliable time span (40 years) and the intention to include as many TRW site chronologies as possible. Correlation coefficients were calculated for different monthly and seasonal means, i.e. June, July, JJ, as well as June–August (JJA). Only small variations between

Table 1. For each grid, N0–N8 and S0–S8, the number of sites and their trend behavior is shown for all species and separated for pine, larch and spruce. Trends and temperature/precipitation average values were calculated for each region, i.e. grid. Additionally, mean, maximum and minimum correlation coefficients of the tree-ring sites with temperature and precipitation are presented per region. Significant trend values are indicated by bold numbers. TRW: tree-ring width, JJ: June–July, T: temperature, P: precipitation, Max: maximum, Min: minimum.

	N0	N1	N2	N3	N4	N5	N6	N7	N8
Sites	26	42	6	20	25	22	15	18	7
<i>Pinus</i> sites	25	36	2	0	0	0	1	0	0
<i>Larix</i> sites	0	0	1	14	18	19	14	18	7
<i>Picea</i> sites	1	6	3	6	7	3	0	0	0
TRW trend	0.03	−0.01	−0.38	0.20	−0.10	−0.30	0.16	−0.27	−0.17
TRW trend <i>Pinus</i>	0.03	−0.07	−0.49	—	—	—	0.13	—	—
TRW trend <i>Larix</i>	—	—	−0.10	0.23	−0.02	−0.30	0.15	−0.27	−0.17
TRW trend <i>Picea</i>	0.46	0.03	−0.25	0.10	−0.19	−0.33	—	—	—
T trend	0.20	0.16	0.15	0.21	0.17	0.19	0.25	0.20	0.35
P trend	0.01	0.17	−0.03	−0.19	−0.14	−0.06	0.08	−0.01	−0.12
mean JJ T (°C)	9.72	11.65	11.30	9.34	9.13	11.29	11.38	9.94	6.72
mean JJ P (mm)	78.60	58.29	48.49	44.50	51.14	44.24	44.70	35.62	33.62
Mean TRW–JJT correlation	0.30	0.32	0.28	0.45	0.49	0.41	0.22	0.51	0.18
Max TRW–JJT correlation	0.59	0.66	0.49	0.79	0.78	0.54	0.53	0.77	0.31
Min TRW–JJT correlation	−0.18	0.01	0.07	−0.17	0.20	0.21	−0.04	0.07	0.11
Mean TRW–JJP correlation	−0.11	−0.07	0.13	0.00	−0.10	−0.11	0.14	−0.05	−0.28
Max TRW–JJP correlation	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.32	0.25	0.17	0.43	0.24	−0.15
Min TRW–JJP correlation	−0.36	−0.49	−0.07	−0.43	−0.44	−0.36	−0.09	−0.23	−0.40
	S0	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8
Sites	23	64	33	22	39	3	60	18	2
<i>Pinus</i> sites	16	58	11	11	9	1	13	3	0
<i>Larix</i> sites	0	1	11	5	18	0	44	15	2
<i>Picea</i> sites	7	5	11	6	12	2	3	0	0
TRW trend	−0.15	0.08	−0.13	0.16	0.04	−0.32	−0.33	−0.45	0.28
TRW trend <i>Pinus</i>	−0.39	0.10	−0.10	0.13	−0.06	−0.11	−0.30	−0.48	—
TRW trend <i>Larix</i>	—	−0.13	−0.16	0.28	0.14	—	−0.30	−0.19	0.28
TRW trend <i>Picea</i>	−0.03	−0.19	−0.06	−0.49	0.05	−0.35	0.25	—	—
T trend	0.23	0.23	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.28	0.37	0.37	0.30
P trend	0.02	0.10	−0.01	−0.15	−0.10	0.10	0.01	−0.04	−0.03
mean JJ T (°C)	11.84	14.93	14.86	14.50	14.18	14.47	13.86	8.10	8.80
mean JJ P (mm)	77.13	62.49	68.15	66.44	62.73	53.33	52.38	55.88	41.39
Mean TRW–JJT correlation	0.05	0.09	0.28	0.17	0.25	0.02	0.03	0.19	0.45
Max TRW–JJT correlation	0.60	0.41	0.77	0.48	0.60	0.08	0.48	0.50	0.54
Min TRW–JJT correlation	−0.50	−0.23	−0.13	−0.21	−0.19	0.08	−0.22	−0.21	0.36
Mean TRW–JJP correlation	−0.01	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.08	−0.03	0.10	−0.05	−0.19
Max TRW–JJP correlation	0.35	0.60	0.30	0.28	0.35	0.00	0.47	0.17	−0.08
Min TRW–JJP correlation	−0.34	−0.18	−0.47	−0.29	−0.28	−0.06	−0.22	−0.34	−0.30

the different temperature means, together with the overall highest temperature associations, as well as the methodological restriction of a uniform network analysis in general, i.e. the impracticality of showing individual best results for each site (St. George 2014), justified utilization of the JJ meteorological target season for most of our investigations (see supplementary material for other seasonal windows).


The significance of each Pearson's correlation coefficient was determined after reducing the degrees of freedom according to the temporal autocorrelation characteristics within both, the proxy and target data (Fritts 1976). A simple classification scheme of values <0.3 , $0.3–0.39$ and ≥ 0.4 was additionally considered to illustrate different levels of the obtained growth–climate relationships. Results were visualized on an interpolated, high-resolution background map showing JJ

temperature means and precipitation totals of 1951–2000 (Hijmans *et al* 2005). Site-specific correlation coefficients between summer temperature means and precipitation totals were further plotted against latitude. Linear trend lines were computed to visualize possible dependencies.

3. Results

3.1. Climate patterns

Temperature and precipitation across the boreal forest zone vary depending on latitude, continentality as well as the location and orientation of mountain ridges (table 1, figures 3 and S3). Cool summer temperatures characterized much of the coastal areas within the two most western grid cells (S0 and N0). Mean JJ temperatures in the Fennoscandian part of the




network were 11.8 °C and 9.7 °C (1951–2013), respectively. JJ temperatures north of 65 °N declined eastwards to central Siberia from N1 up to N4 (from 11.6 °C to 9.1 °C). Even further east, mean JJ temperatures from 1951–2013 were generally higher (11.3 °C and 11.4 °C for N5 and N6, respectively), but with 9.9 °C and only 6.7 °C again lower in N7 and N8. This drop was even more pronounced in the south, where mean JJ temperatures did not vary much from S1 to S6 (14.9 °C in S1 to 13.9 °C in S6), but declined to 8.1 °C and 8.8 °C in S7 and S8, respectively. Average temperatures for each grid were constantly declining from the south to the north from 20 °E to ~110 °E (figure 3). From 110 °E to ~135 °E, the continental climate caused overall warm summer temperatures as far north as 66 °N (12.5 °C–15 °C), whereas further east colder JJ temperatures (5 °C–10 °C) were prevailing that went up to 15 °C in the central Kolyma region of eastern Siberia (figure 3). Precipitation was declining eastwards in the north from 78.6 mm (N0) to 33.6 mm (N8) and in the south from 77.1 mm (S0) to 41.4 mm (S8).

Trends in JJ temperature means over 1951–2013 AD were all positive and in S0, S1, S5, S6, S7 and S8 and N0, N6 and N8, summer temperature increased significantly ($p \leq 0.01$) (figure 2). N8 was the only sub-region that exhibited a statistically significant ($p \leq 0.01$) negative precipitation trend.

3.2. Growth variability and climate sensitivity

TRW trend analyses did not reveal a consistent picture across regions and species with only a few statistically significant positive growth trends (table 1, figure 2). A significant TRW increase was found in grid S8, which was, however, only sparsely represented by two larch chronologies. Significant TRW decreases were found in the northern subsets N2, N5 and N7, as well as the southern grid cells S6 and S7. TRW trends were, however, considerably different between the three conifer species (figure S2). Site-specific calculations revealed significant negative TRW trend for 103 (23.1%) chronologies, whereas only 30 (6.7%) sites exhibited a significant increase in TRW (figure 2).




Long-term reductions in TRW were most pronounced in eastern Siberia.

Temperature sensitive sites were mostly found in the north with best results for JJ but signals depended on the season and precipitation sensitivity was barely found. For 35 (7.9%) TRW site chronologies no climate correlation could be calculated as the measurements did not cover the necessary interval 1951–1990. A total of 74 (16.6%) out of 445 TRW sites correlated significantly positive ($p \leq 0.01$) with JJ temperatures (figure 3). Forty chronologies of the significant JJ sites and hence more than half (54%) consisted of larch, 22 (30%) of spruce and twelve (16%) of pine trees. A total of 80.0% of the significant temperature sites were located north of 65°N , where the JJ temperature mean for 1951–2013 was almost three degrees lower compared to the south (10.1°C and 12.8°C , respectively) and most were located in zones with mean JJ temperature between 5°C and 10°C (figure 3). Only some temperature sensitive sites were located in regions with mean JJ temperatures around 10°C – 12.5°C and few sites are close to regions with mean temperatures ranging from 2.5°C – 5°C and 12.5°C – 15°C , respectively. The low temperatures of 2.5°C – 5°C that barely allowed tree growth were caused by cold June temperatures for these regions, whereby July favored tree growth with

much warmer temperatures ($>10^\circ\text{C}$; figure S3). Mean JJA temperatures were rarely below 7.5°C (figure S3). Warm JJ temperatures from 12.5°C – 20°C around the middle and lower part of the Lena River—the region with the warmest summers in the entire boreal Eurasia—explained missing temperature signals in this area.

The majority of TRW sites with significant positive temperature correlations (73.4%) showed a negative precipitation signal and the highest positive precipitation correlations did not exceed $r = 0.32$ – 0.49 . Most of the significant temperature sites (65.4%) had a JJ correlation higher than $r = 0.5$ and the lowest significant JJ correlation coefficient was $r = 0.45$. Only five sites had a significant positive correlation with precipitation ($p \leq 0.01$). Besides one larch site in Yakutia, these stands were pine sites in southeastern Fennoscandia, located at 61°N . Of all sites, 111 (24.9%) had a correlation higher than $r = 0.39$ with JJ temperature, and seven (1.6%) with precipitation. More than half of the TRW sites (51.3%) revealed correlations with temperature below $r = 0.3$, and even 87.2% with precipitation. The correlation between TRW and temperature increased with latitude (figure 4), whereas the precipitation sensitivity decreased northwards. Accordingly, the temperature



(precipitation) signal decreased (increased) with rising temperatures. Neither temperature nor precipitation sensitivity exhibited a clear relationship with increasing or decreasing precipitation totals. The mean correlation between temperature and TRW was in general higher in the north than in the south (0.35 versus 0.17) while the correlation of TRW with precipitation was on average negative and did not vary between north and south. Temperature means and precipitation totals were mostly negatively correlated.

4. Discussion

The division of our boreal TRW network into northern and southern subsets implied that some grid cells were fully covered by landmass, whereas others, mainly the northern grid boxes, also included sea surface. The sites in these grid cells were generally closer to each other as the area over which they spread is smaller and hence the mean correlation between sites (R_{bar}) was higher in the north (figure S7). N5 and N6 for example, almost fully covered by land and sites widespread from north to south showed R_{bar} values ~ 0.4 similar to the southern grid cells (figure S7). The R_{bar} was highest (0.8) in N2, where only a few spruce and pine sites were distributed across a small area.

The inter-series correlation (R_{bar}) calculated for all sites per grid cell did not vary remarkably between

species and regions. The correlation was, however, higher within most of the northern subsets compared to the southern grid boxes (0.55 versus 0.35). Mean values were calculated by averaging the inter-series correlation coefficients from each site.

The length of individual TRW chronologies considerably differed. Fennoscandia contained most of the millennial-long records and also showed the highest spatial coverage and density, whereas data availability in many of the remote parts of Russia was limited (figure 1). The development of millennial-long composite chronologies requires intense sampling campaigns of living, dry-dead and subfossil wood, ideally together with an integration of several site chronologies that show the same growth signal. Remote regions, such as the far northeast of Siberia, where sampling is exceptionally costly, thus lack well-replicated composite chronologies that extend back into medieval or even earlier times (Büntgen *et al* 2014).

Average temperature means and precipitation totals for the northern and southern grid boxes implied that different elevations and topography can influence the climate sensitivity of TRW chronologies in some grid cells (table 1). In fact, much of the mountainous regions in eastern Siberia were colder than the western Siberian lowland or eastern Fennoscandia. Micro-site conditions such as the distance to lakes and rivers, as well as soil conditions and moisture content

were also important factors in determining tree growth responses (Düthorn *et al* 2013, Kirdyanov *et al* 2013, Linderholm *et al* 2014). Small-scale analyses that accurately consider local climate conditions were, however, not achievable within systematic large-scale network approaches, such as herein presented.

The early ending of many TRW chronologies before the mid-1990s affected their trend behavior, and likely accounted for the low number of positive growth trends that was in disagreement with the significant temperature increase. Overall, the different end years in combination with the partly short time periods covered influenced the trend behavior. It should be noted that the calculation of temperature trends only until 1990 also resulted in less significant and less positive trends.

The fact that most of the significant temperature sensitive sites were larch and spruce was due to the natural distribution of forest types (Bartalev *et al* 2004). Larch dominated forests were mainly found in the northern-central and northeastern part of Russia. Spruce and pine forests were prevalent in the southern-central and western part of Russia, as well as in Scandinavia. Despite of different treeline species in Scandinavia (pine) and Siberia (larch), summer temperatures did not differ much along the entire boreal treeline (figure S3). However, winters were much colder in Siberia than in Fennoscandia.

Results from network approaches for both, smaller areas in western Russia as well as the entire Northern Hemisphere (St. George 2014, St. George and Ault 2014, Matskovsky 2016), revealed similar results, i.e. heterogeneous climate responses with overall increasing temperature sensitivity at higher latitudes. In comparison to St. George (2014) and St. George and Ault (2014), we updated the ITRDB data by additional chronologies. In this way we improved the spatial replication, particularly towards southern areas and further considered additional summer monthly and seasonal means, as well as a suite of slightly different tree-ring standardization and chronology development techniques. Although our study generally confirmed stronger TRW responses to summer temperatures at higher latitudes, it was spatially limited to reproduce the often reported response shift towards increased moisture sensitivity at around 60 °N (Babst *et al* 2013, St. George 2014, Seftigen *et al* 2015, Matskovsky 2016).

Based on one detrending and standardization method only, the TRW network did not reflect a homogeneous climate signal for the entire boreal forest zone. Several sites would most probably show higher and significant correlations if specific methods were applied for each site. We tested 30 and 300-year cubic smoothing splines with 50% variance cutoff (Cook and Peters 1981), as well as negative exponential functions (Fritts 1976), and have chosen the latter method due to best average results. For several sites, other detrending methods, such as RCS detrending

(Esper *et al* 2012), which is mainly applied for composite chronologies and the preservation of lower frequency variability (Cook *et al* 1995, D'Arrigo *et al* 2006), may have possibly resulted in higher correlations. We also restricted the main figures to one season (JJ) only, which overall revealed the best results, even though for some sites higher correlation values were obtained with other monthly or seasonal temperature summer means (figure S3). A total of 74 significantly positive correlations were found with the seasonal JJ window, compared to 68 with JJA, 51 with June and 67 with July temperature means (table S3). A total of 51 sites showed significant positive correlations against both, JJ and JJA temperature, whereas 35 (36) chronologies correlated significantly positive with JJ, as well as the monthly temperature means of June (July). Ten site chronologies had a significant temperature signal against all seasons for which we calculated correlations, that is JJ, JJA, June, and July monthly means. Correlations with precipitation would likely have been higher for some sites when calculating against earlier summer totals at the onset of the growing period (Helama and Lindholm 2003, Helama *et al* 2005, Hellmann *et al* 2015). Calculations using mean March–May precipitation totals, however, did not reveal better results. Our aim was though to realize a network analysis that systematically tested for different parameters and the season was also chosen based on best average results. The northern TRW sites were in general more sensitive to summer temperature and therefore more suitable for temperature reconstructions. However, our study strengthened the importance of specific analytical methods for each site and denied the implicit suitability of boreal tree-ring data for temperature reconstructions. Taking into account the duration of the vegetation period based on summer temperatures, continentality, latitude and longitude, specific tests need be applied to prove the temperature sensitivity of a tree-ring site. To facilitate similar network approaches in the future, data exchange and free data access are indispensable. An update of many chronologies, mainly from the Schweingruber sampling campaign in the 1990s, is needed and highly relevant as it would allow better understanding of reactions of boreal trees to recently increasing temperatures.

5. Conclusions

The so far best-replicated TRW network for Eurasia >60 °N, together with a careful assessment of spatially explicit temperature and precipitation variability, allowed for the first systematic evaluation of growth trends and climate responses of 445 *Pinus*, *Larix* and *Picea* TRW chronologies since 1951 AD. While summer warming was significant ($p \leq 0.01$) over most of Eurasia's boreal zone, fluctuations in precipitation and forest growth were spatially more diverse

and overall less important. Significant TRW sensitivity to JJ temperature variability was generally restricted to higher latitude sites $>65^{\circ}\text{N}$, whereas precipitation was most influential at a few southern sites. Only 16.6% of all TRW sites responded significantly ($p \leq 0.01$) to JJ temperatures. The surprisingly high level of heterogeneity in the climatic response of boreal forest growth questioned the *a priori* suitability of northern Eurasian TRW chronologies for summer temperature reconstructions. At the same time, our results stressed the need of refined analyses that consider the role of regional differences in the climate system itself, as well as ecological conditions that may vary from site-to-site.

Acknowledgments

This study is part of the ongoing ‘Arctic Driftwood’ project that receives support from the Eva Mayr-Stihl Foundation and the Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL. Novel tree-ring data from Siberia were partly assembled under the Russian Science Foundation project 14-14-00295. We are particularly thankful to all ITRDB contributors. U Büntgen received funding from the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of CR within the National Sustainability Program I (NPU I), grant number LO1415. This study was partly motivated by the interdisciplinary and international framework of the PAGES initiative (Euro-Med 2k), which in turn received support from the US and Swiss National Science Foundations, US National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration and by the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme.

Author contributions

UB and LH conceived and developed the study. UB and LH wrote the paper together with input from JE and FCL. All authors carefully edited previous versions of the manuscript and vitally contributed to discussion. All authors provided data and/or performed analyses.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

- ACIA 2005 *Arctic Climate Impact Assessment* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) p 1042
- Babst F *et al* 2013 Site- and species-specific responses of forest growth to climate across the European continent *Glob. Ecol. Biogeography* **22** 706–17
- Barber V A, Juday G P and Finney B P 2000 Reduced growth of Alaskan white spruce in the twentieth century from temperature-induced drought stress *Nature* **405** 668–73
- Bartalev S, Ershov D, Isaev A, Potapov P, Turubanova S and Yaroshenko A 2004 *Russia's Forests* TerraNorte Information System RAS Space Research Institute (<http://terrannorte.iki.rssi.ru/>)
- Beck P S A, Juday G P, Alix C, Barber V A, Winslow S E, Sousa E E, Heiser P, Herriges J D and Goetz S J 2011 Changes in forest productivity across Alaska consistent with biome shift *Ecol. Lett.* **14** 373–9
- Bonan G B 2008 Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests *Science* **320** 1444–9
- Bonan G B, Pollard D and Thompson S L 1992 Effects of boreal forest vegetation on global climate *Nature* **359** 716–8
- Bonan G B and Shugart H H 1989 Environmental factors and ecological processes in boreal forests *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **20** 1–28
- Briffa K, Osborn T and Schweingruber F 2004 Large-scale temperature inferences from tree rings: a review *Glob. Planet. Change* **40** 11–26
- Briffa K, Schweingruber F, Jones P, Osborn T, Shiyatov S and Vaganov E 1998 Reduced sensitivity of recent tree-growth to temperature at high northern latitudes *Nature* **391** 678–82
- Briffa K R, Jones P D, Schweingruber F H, Shiyatov S G and Cook E R 1995 Unusual twentieth-century summer warmth in a 1000-year temperature record from Siberia *Nature* **376** 156–9
- Briffa K R, Melvin T M, Osborn T J, Hantemirov R M, Kirdyanov A V, Mazepa V S, Shiyatov S G and Esper J 2013 Reassessing the evidence for tree-growth and inferred temperature change during the common era in Yamalia, northwest Siberia *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **72** 83–107
- Briffa K R, Shishov V V, Melvin T M, Vaganov E A, Grudd H, Hantemirov R M, Eronen M and Naurzbaev M M 2008 Trends in recent temperature and radial tree growth spanning 2000 years across northwest Eurasia *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **363** 2269–82
- Bryukhanova M, Kirdyanov A, Prokushkin A and Silkin P 2013 Specific features of xylogenesis in Dahurian larch, *Larix gmelinii* (Rupr.) Rupr. growing on permafrost soils in Middle Siberia *Russ. J. Ecol.* **44** 361–6
- Büntgen U, Frank D, Wilson R, Carrer M, Urbinati C and Esper J 2008 Testing for tree-ring divergence in the European Alps *Glob. Change Biol.* **14** 2443–53
- Büntgen U, Kirdyanov A V, Hellmann L, Nikolayev A and Tegel W 2014 Cruising an archive: on the palaeoclimatic value of the Lena Delta *Holocene* **24** 627–30
- Büntgen U, Wilson R, Wilmking M, Niedzwiedz T and Bräuning A 2009 The ‘divergence problem’ in tree-ring research *TRACE—Tree Rings in Archaeology, Climatology and Ecology* p 709
- Büntgen U *et al* 2015 Towards a spatiotemporal expansion of temperature and hydroclimatic proxy archives *Past Glob. Changes Mag.* **23** 34
- Christiansen B and Ljungqvist F C 2012 The extra-tropical Northern Hemisphere temperature in the last two millennia: reconstructions of low-frequency variability *Clim. Past* **8** 765–86
- Cook E R, Briffa K R, Meko D M, Graybill D A and Funkhouser G 1995 The ‘segment length curse’ in long tree-ring chronology development for palaeoclimatic studies *Holocene* **5** 229–37
- Cook E R and Krusic P J 2007 *ARSTAN—a Tree-Ring Standardization Program Based on Detrending and Autoregressive Time Series Modeling, with Interactive Graphics* (Palisades, NY: Tree-Ring Laboratory, Lamont Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University)
- Cook E R and Peters K 1997 Calculating unbiased tree-ring indices for the study of climatic and environmental change *Holocene* **7** 361–70
- Cook E R and Peters K 1981 The smoothing spline: a new approach to standardizing forest interior tree-ring width series for dendroclimatic studies *Tree-Ring Bull.* **41** 45–53
- Cowan K, Hausfather Z, Hawkins E, Jacobs P, Mann M E, Miller S K, Steinman B A, Stolpe M B and Way R G 2015 Robust comparison of climate models with observations using blended land air and ocean sea surface temperatures *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42** 6526–34
- D’Arrigo R, Jacoby G C and Fung I Y 1987 Boreal forests and atmosphere–biosphere exchange of carbon dioxide *Nature* **329** 321–3

- D'Arrigo R, Wilson R and Jacoby G 2006 On the long-term context for late twentieth century warming *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **111** D03103
- D'Arrigo R, Wilson R, Liepert B and Cherubini P 2008 On the 'divergence problem' in northern forests: a review of the tree-ring evidence and possible causes *Glob. Planet. Change* **60** 289–305
- Duchesne L, Houle D and D'Orangeville L 2012 Influence of climate on seasonal patterns of stem increment of balsam fir in a boreal forest of Québec, Canada *Agric. Forest Meteorol.* **162** 108–14
- Düthorn E, Holzkämper S, Timonen M and Esper J 2013 Influence of micro-site conditions on tree-ring climate signals and trends in central and northern Sweden *Trees* **27** 1395–404
- Esper J, Büntgen U, Timonen M and Frank D C 2012 Variability and extremes of northern Scandinavian summer temperatures over the past two millennia *Glob. Planet. Change* **88** 1–9
- Esper J and Frank D 2009 Divergence pitfalls in tree-ring research *Clim. Change* **94** 261–6
- Esper J, Frank D, Büntgen U, Verstege A, Hantemirov R M and Kirilyanov A V 2010 Trends and uncertainties in Siberian indicators of 20th century warming *Glob. Change Biol.* **16** 386–98
- Esper J and Schweingruber F H 2004 Large-scale treeline changes recorded in Siberia *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **31** L06202
- Frank D, Büntgen U, Böhm R, Maugeri M and Esper J 2007 Warmer early instrumental measurements versus colder reconstructed temperatures: shooting at a moving target *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **26** 3298–310
- Frank D C, Esper J, Raible C C, Büntgen U, Trouet V, Joos F and Stocker B 2010 Ensemble reconstruction constraints of the global carbon cycle sensitivity to climate *Nature* **463** 527–30
- Fritts H C 1976 *Tree Rings and Climate* (London: Academic) p 567
- Galván J D, Camarero J J, Ginzler C and Büntgen U 2014 Spatial diversity of recent trends in Mediterranean tree growth *Environ. Res. Lett.* **9** 084001
- Girardin M P, Bernier P Y, Raulier F, Tardif J C, Conciatori F and Guo X J 2011 Testing for a CO₂ fertilization effect on growth of Canadian boreal forests *J. Geophys. Res.* **116** G01012
- Girardin M P, Guo X J, de Jong R, Kinnard C, Bernier P Y and Raulier F 2014 Unusual forest-growth decline in boreal North America covarying with the retreat of Arctic sea ice *Glob. Change Biol.* **20** 851–66
- Grissino-Mayer H D and Fritts H C 1997 The International Tree-Ring Data Bank: an enhanced global database serving the global scientific community *Holocene* **7** 235–8
- Hamed K H and Rao A R 1998 A modified Mann-Kendall trend test for autocorrelated data *J. Hydrol.* **204** 182–96
- Hantemirov R M and Shiyatov S G 2002 A continuous multi-millennial ring-width chronology in Yamal, northwestern Siberia *Holocene* **12** 717–26
- Harris I and Jones P D 2014 CRU TS3.22: Climatic Research Unit (CRU) Time-Series (TS) Version 3.22 of High Resolution Gridded Data of Month-by-month Variation in Climate (January 1901–December 2013) (24 September 2014) (NCAS British Atmospheric Data Centre) (doi:10.5285/18BE23F8-D252-482D-8AF9-5D6A2D40990C)
- Helama S and Lindholm M 2003 Droughts and rainfall in south-eastern Finland since AD 874, inferred from Scots pine ring-widths *Boreal Environ. Res.* **8** 171–83
- Helama S, Lindholm M, Meriläinen J, Timonen M and Eronen M 2005 Multicentennial ring-width chronologies of Scots pine along a north-south gradient across Finland *Tree-Ring Res.* **61** 21–32
- Hellmann L *et al* 2015 Timber logging in Central Siberia is the main source for recent Arctic driftwood *Arctic Antarct. Alpine Res.* **47** 449–60
- Hellmann L *et al* 2016 Regional coherency of boreal forest growth defines Arctic driftwood provenancing *Dendrochronologia* **39** 3–9
- Hickling R, Roy D B, Hill J K, Fox R and Thomas C D 2006 The distributions of a wide range of taxonomic groups are expanding polewards *Glob. Change Biol.* **12** 450–5
- Hijmans R J, Cameron S E, Parra J L, Jones P G and Jarvis A 2005 Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas *Int. J. Climatol.* **25** 1965–78
- Holtmeier F-K and Broll G 2007 Treeline advance-driving processes and adverse factors *Landscape Online* **1** 1–33
- Hughes M K, Vaganov E A, Shiyatov S, Touchan R and Funkhouser G 1999 Twentieth-century summer warmth in northern Yakutia in a 600-year context *The Holocene* **9** 629–34
- Jacoby G C and D'Arrigo R D 1995 Tree ring width and density evidence of climatic and potential forest change in Alaska *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **9** 227–34
- Jones P 2016 The reliability of global and hemispheric surface temperature records *Adv. Atmo. Sci.* **33** 269–82
- Jyske T, Mäkinen H, Kallioikoski T and Nöjd P 2014 Intra-annual tracheid production of Norway spruce and Scots pine across a latitudinal gradient in Finland *Agric. Forest Meteorol.* **194** 241–54
- Kauppi P E, Posch M and Pirinen P 2014 Large impacts of climatic warming on growth of Boreal Forests since 1960 *PloS One* **9**
- Kirchhefer A J 2001 Reconstruction of summer temperatures from tree-rings of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) in coastal northern Norway *Holocene* **11** 41–52
- Kirilyanov A V, Prokushkin A S and Tabakova M A 2013 Tree-ring growth of Gmelin larch under contrasting local conditions in the north of Central Siberia *Dendrochronologia* **31** 114–9
- Kurz W A, Dymond C, Stinson G, Rampley G, Neilson E, Carroll A, Ebata T and Safranyik L 2008 Mountain pine beetle and forest carbon feedback to climate change *Nature* **452** 987–90
- Kurz W A, Shaw C H, Boisvenue C, Stinson G, Metsaranta J, Leckie D, Dyk A, Smyth C and Neilson E T 2013 Carbon in Canada's boreal forest—a synthesis *Environ. Rev.* **21** 260–92
- Linderholm H W 2001 Climatic influence on Scots pine growth on dry and wet soils in the central Scandinavian mountains, interpreted from tree-ring width *Silva Fenn.* **35** 415–24
- Linderholm H W, Björklund J, Seftigen K, Gunnarson B E, Grudd H, Jeong J-H, Drobyshev I and Liu Y 2010 Dendroclimatology in fennoscandia—from past accomplishments to future potential *Clim. Past* **6** 93–114
- Linderholm H W, Zhang P, Gunnarson B E, Björklund J, Farahat E, Fuentes M, Rocha E, Salo R, Seftigen K and Stridbeck P 2014 Growth dynamics of tree-line and lake-shore Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) in the central Scandinavian Mountains during the medieval climate anomaly and the early little ice age *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **2** 20
- Lloyd A H and Bunn A G 2007 Responses of the circumpolar boreal forest to 20th century climate variability *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2** 045013
- Lloyd A H and Fastie C L 2002 Spatial and temporal variability in the growth and climate response of treeline trees in Alaska *Clim. Change* **52** 481–509
- Luckman B H, Briffa K R, Jones P and Schweingruber F 1997 Tree-ring based reconstruction of summer temperatures at the Columbia Icefield, Alberta, Canada, AD 1073–1983 *Holocene* **7** 375–89
- Malhi Y, Roberts J T, Betts R A, Killeen T J, Li W and Nobre C A 2008 Climate change, deforestation, and the fate of the Amazon *Science* **319** 169–72
- Mann M E, Zhang Z, Rutherford S, Bradley R S, Hughes M K, Shindell D, Ammann C, Faluvegi G and Ni F 2009 Global signatures and dynamical origins of the little ice age and medieval climate anomaly *Science* **326** 1256–60
- Matskovsky V 2016 Climatic signal in tree-ring width chronologies of conifers in European Russia *Int. J. Climatol.* **36** 3398–406
- McGuire A D, Anderson L G, Christensen T R, Dallimore S, Guo L, Hayes D J, Heimann M, Lorenson T D, Macdonald R W and Roulet N 2009 Sensitivity of the carbon cycle in the Arctic to climate change *Ecol. Monogr.* **79** 523–55
- Naurzbaev M and Vaganov E 2000 Variation of early summer and annual temperature in east Taymir and Putoran (Siberia) over the last two millennia inferred from tree rings *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **105** 7317–26
- Naurzbaev M M, Vaganov E A, Sidorova O V and Schweingruber F H 2002 Summer temperatures in eastern

- Taimyr inferred from a 2427-year late-Holocene tree-ring chronology and earlier floating series *Holocene* **12** 727–36
- Osborn T, Briffa K and Jones P 1997 Adjusting variance for sample size in tree-ring chronologies and other regional mean timeseries *Dendrochronologia* **15** 89–99
- PAGES2k Consortium 2013 Continental-scale temperature variability during the past two millennia *Nat. Geosci.* **6** 339–46
- Pan Y, Birdsey R A, Fang J, Houghton R, Kauppi P E, Kurz W A, Phillips O L, Shvidenko A, Lewis S L and Canadell J G 2011 A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests *Science* **333** 988–93
- Pan Y, Birdsey R A, Phillips O L and Jackson R B 2013 The structure, distribution, and biomass of the World's Forests *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **44** 593–622
- Payette S, Fortin M-J and Gamache I 2001 The subarctic forest–tundra: the structure of a biome in a changing climate *BioScience* **51** 709–18
- Piao S, Friedlingstein P, Ciais P, Viovy N and Demarty J 2007 Growing season extension and its impact on terrestrial carbon cycle in the Northern Hemisphere over the past 2 decades *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **21** GB3018
- Potapov P, Yaroshenko A, Turubanova S, Dubinin M, Laestadius L, Thies C, Aksenov D, Egorov A, Yesipova Y and Glushkov I 2008 Mapping the world's intact forest landscapes by remote sensing *Ecol. Soc.* **13** 51
- Price D T *et al* 2013 Anticipating the consequences of climate change for Canada's boreal forest ecosystems *Environ. Rev.* **21** 322–65
- R Core Team 2014 *R A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing* (Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing)
- Schweingruber F H and Briffa K R 1996 Tree-ring density networks for climate reconstruction *Climatic Variations and Forcing Mechanisms of the Last 2000 Years* (Berlin: Springer) pp 43–66
- Seftigen K, Cook E R, Linderholm H W, Fuentes M and Björklund J 2015 The potential of deriving tree-ring-based field reconstructions of droughts and pluvials over Fennoscandia *J. Clim.* **28** 3453–71
- Seo J-W, Eckstein D, Jalkanen R and Schmitt U 2011 Climatic control of intra- and inter-annual wood-formation dynamics of Scots pine in northern Finland *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **72** 422–31
- Shiyatov S G 1986 *Dendrochronology of the Polar timberline in Ural* (Moscow: Nayka) (in Russian)
- Shiyatov S G 1995 Reconstruction of climate and the upper timberline dynamics since AD 745 by tree-ring data in the Polar Ural Mountains *Int. Conf. on Past, Present and Future Climate* (Helsinki: Publication of the Academy of Finland) pp 144–7
- Sidorova O V and Naurzbaev M 2002 Response of *Larix cajanderi* to climatic changes at the upper timberline and flood-plane terrace from Indirgirka river valley *Lesnoe Khozaystvo* **2** 73–5 (in Russian)
- Soja A J, Tchepakova N M, French N H, Flannigan M D, Shugart H H, Stocks B J, Sukhinin A I, Parfenova E, Chapin F S and Stackhouse P W 2007 Climate-induced boreal forest change: predictions versus current observations *Glob. Planet. Change* **56** 274–96
- St. George S 2014 An overview of tree-ring width records in the Northern Hemisphere *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **95** 132–50
- St. George S and Ault T R 2014 The imprint of climate within Northern Hemisphere trees *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **89** 1–4
- Stine A R and Huybers P 2014 Arctic tree rings as recorders of variations in light availability *Nat. Commun.* **5** 3836
- Wilmking M, Juday G P, Barber V A and Zald H S 2004 Recent climate warming forces contrasting growth responses of white spruce at treeline in Alaska through temperature thresholds *Glob. Change Biol.* **10** 1724–36
- Wilmking M, D'Arrigo R, Jacoby G and Juday G 2005 Increased temperature sensitivity and divergent growth trends in circumpolar boreal forests *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32**
- Wilson R *et al* 2016 Last millennium Northern Hemisphere summer temperatures from tree rings: I. The long term context *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **134** 1–18
- Zhang K, Kimball J S, Hogg E, Zhao M, Oechel W C, Cassano J J and Running S W 2008 Satellite-based model detection of recent climate-driven changes in northern high-latitude vegetation productivity *J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci.* **113** G03033
- Zhang P, Linderholm H W, Gunnarson B E, Björklund J and Chen D 2016 1200 years of warm-season temperature variability in central Fennoscandia inferred from tree-ring density *Clim. Past* **12** 1297–312