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## 1 Supplementary Figures and Tables

Supplementary Table T1: Best fitting functions in dependence on the number of species $n$ on the upper and lower intercepts $s_{\text {max }, u, n}$ and $s_{\text {max }, l, n}$ of the triangle $T_{n}$ with the $s_{\text {min }}=0$ axis. The best fitting functions (second-order Akaike Information Criterion, i.e., smallest value and $\Delta \mathrm{AICc}<2$ ) are $a_{0}+$ $a_{1} n^{-c}$ for $s_{\text {max }, l n}$ and $a_{0}+a_{1} e^{-c n^{d}}+a_{2} e^{-f n}$ for $s_{\max , u, n}$.

| Parameter | Function | $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{A I C c}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta A I C c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s_{\text {max },, n, n}$ | $a_{0}+a_{1} e^{-c}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{- 8 4 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $s_{\text {max }, l, n}$ | $a_{0}+a_{1}(\pi / 2-\arctan (c n))$ | 0.29 | 0.43 |  | 0.09 |  |  | -84.08 | 0.13 |
| $s_{\text {max }, l, n}$ | $a_{0}+a_{1} e^{-c n}$ | 0.39 | 0.58 |  | 0.08 | 69.68 | 0.2 | -83.88 | 0.33 |
| $s_{\text {max }, u, n}$ | $a_{0}+a_{1} e^{-c n^{d}}+a_{2} e^{-f n}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

### 1.1 Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure S1. Probability $p_{f, s}$ to find a feasible matrices $S$ of a point $s=\left(s_{\text {min }}, s_{\max }\right)$ for $\mathrm{n} \leq 17$ by a Bernoulli experiment (Methods). The chosen simulation region is the interesting part of the $\left(s_{\min }, s_{\max }\right)$-space for stability considerations of the LVC model. Each experiment was conducted
with 30,000 points. The Bernoulli experiment shows that with $10^{6}$ trials of random $S$ matrices per point $s=\left(s_{\min }, s_{\max }\right)$, a matrix was found with the probability $10^{6} \leq p_{f, x}$ for feasibility.


Supplementary Figure S2. Points $s=\left(s_{\min }, s_{\max }\right)$ with changeable stability for $3 \leq n \leq 23$, with the stability probability $p_{s t, s}$ over 1000 different relative intrinsic growth rate vectors $r_{k}$. All points with changeable stability were in the triangles $T_{n}$ which are delimited by the green lines through the point $s=(1,1)$ and the points with the maximum and minimum slope (two larger dots per panel). The simulation range (yellow delimited triangle) was chosen heuristically slightly larger than the triangle $T_{n-1}$ to ensure that points with changeable stability were not overlooked. For $n=3$, the simulation range was chosen heuristically large. Note, the scale for $s_{\max }$ differs in the panels.


Supplementary Figure S3. Points $s=\left(s_{\min }, s_{\max }\right)$ with only stable matrices $S$. For details, cf. Supplementary Figure 2.


Supplementary Figure S4. Points $s=\left(s_{\min }, s_{\max }\right)$ with only unstable matrices $S$. For details, cf. Supplementary Figure 2.


Supplementary Figure S5. Relative frequency distribution of local sensitivities $\gamma_{\tau}$ for all intrinsic growth rate $r_{\tau}$ vectors pooled over all changeable stability $s=\left(s_{\min }, s_{\max }\right)$ points, for all species numbers $n$ (right columns) and stability probabilities $p_{s t, s}$ are given in the middle of the classes with width 0.1 . The white numbers are the means of the sensitivities $\gamma_{\tau}$.


Supplementary Figure S6. Example for random, centre- and corner-clustered spatial arrangements of 1000 blue and red points representing stable and unstable ones for different probabilities $p$ of blue points (rows of panels) on the unit simplex $\Delta_{2}$ for $n=3$. The view goes along the axis $r_{3}$ with depth indicated by paler colours.


Supplementary Figure S7. Comparison between distributions of sensitivity measure $\gamma_{\tau}$ applied to stability in $r$-space (fig. E5) and prescribed spatial arrangements of 1000 stable and unstable points (cf. example in fig. E6), in unit simplexes $\Delta_{n-1}, n=3, \ldots, 23$. The probability (of stability) $p$ classes have class width 0.1 (panels). Panel all p's: all probabilities together. Points: single similarity values, lines: LOESS smoothing, center: all stable points clustered around the centre, corner: all stable points clustered around one corner, random: random arrangement. The similarity was calculated by $1-\mathrm{KS}$, the test statistic of the Kolmogorov Smirnov test, i.e., the maximum distance of the cumulative distributions.

