Relating remotely sensed forest damage data to wind data: storms Lothar (1999) and Vivian (1990) in Switzerland

Tilo Usbeck · Peter Waldner · Matthias Dobbertin · Christian Ginzler ·
Christian Hoffmann · Flurin Sutter · Charlotte Steinmeier · Richard Volz ·
Gustav Schneider · Martine Rebetez

Received: 1 April 2011 / Accepted: 19 September 2011 / Published online: 26 October 2011
© Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract This study compares the surface wind speed and forest damage data of two exceptionally severe winter storms, Vivian 1990 and Lothar 1999. The study area comprises the region that suffered damage in Switzerland. The wind speed data were derived from simulations of MeteoSwiss (Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology), measurements during the storm periods and expert analyses of the data. The remotely sensed forest damage data were provided by the Federal Office for the Environment and the forest cover data by Swiss Federal Statistical Office. We compared data on the peak gust and maximum average wind speed, with data on the spatially related forest area and forest damage area, and found some clear differences in the correlations between the different wind data and forest damage. Our results point generally to the damage-causing role of near-surface gusts at maximum wind speeds during the storm. These tended to be spatially distributed on a fine scale. In only a few cases were the results statistically

significant. However, these results could probably be improved with better wind data. For example, gust measurements spatially closer to forests or simulations of gusts at maximum wind speed could be produced with a spatially higher resolution.

1 Introduction

Storms are the disturbance factor that causes by far the most severe damage to forests in Switzerland (Schelhaas et al. 2002) and in Europe (Schelhaas et al. 2003). Severe winter storms are rare events, e.g. Lamb (1991), and tend to be triggered by different meteorological situations, but they have nevertheless caused most storm damage in Swiss forests. Rockel and Woth (2007) analysed the uncertainty of the effect of enhanced greenhouse gas conditions on the windiness projected by an ensemble of regional model

T. Usbeck · M. Dobbertin · C. Hoffmann · F. Sutter ·
G. Schneider · M. Rebetez
Research Unit Forest Dynamics, Swiss Federal Institute for Forest,
Snow and Landscape Research WSL,
Zürcherstrasse 111,
CH-8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

T. Usbeck (✉) · M. Rebetez
Institut de Géographie, Faculté des Lettres et Sciences Humaines,
Université de Neuchâtel,
Espace Louis-Agassiz 1,
CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland
e-mail: tilo.usbeck@wsl.ch

P. Waldner
Research Unit Forest Soils and Biogeochemistry, Swiss Federal
Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL,
Zürcherstrasse 111,
CH-8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

C. Ginzler · C. Steinmeier
Research Unit Landscape Dynamics, Swiss Federal Institute
for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL,
Zürcherstrasse 111,
CH-8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

R. Volz
Forest Products and Services and Forest Quality Section,
Forest Division, Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN),
CH-3003 Bern, Switzerland

M. Rebetez
Research Unit Forest Dynamics, Swiss Federal Institute for Forest,
Snow and Landscape Research WSL,
Case postale 96,
CH-1015 Lausanne 15, Switzerland

simulations and estimated an increase of up to 20% in the number of peak gusts ≥ 8 Bft over Central Europe for 2071–2100. Leckebusch et al. (2008) predicted an increased frequency of Central European storm clusters with an enhancement of the pressure gradient over Central Europe under “green-house” global warming conditions. Nilsson et al. (2007) related forest damage to the wind field in a high-resolution regional climate model simulation in the relatively gentle topography of Scania in southern Sweden, and found most damage occurred in areas affected by maximum wind speeds greater than 30 m s^{-1} . Blennow and Olofsson (2008) estimated the changing probability of exceeding the critical wind speed for significant wind damage in southern Sweden and found an increasing probability of damaging wind in many places.

However, wind as a meteorological element is very difficult to monitor (Hann 1869; Maurer et al. 1909; Schüepp 1950; Schiesser et al. 1997; Trenberth et al. 2007). Only a few studies on forest storm damage have included wind speed data in their analyses of regional differences in damage. As observed, wind data is largely lacking, most Swiss studies base wind regimes on general assumptions such as spatially homogeneous random distribution and try to relate spatial damage patterns to a broad variety of forest and site conditions. For example, Hollenstein (2002) was able to correlate multivariately forest inventory data and storm damage data but failed to improve the results of earlier investigations, possibly because, as he suggests, not all the relevant variables had been considered. Gardiner et al. (2008) reviewed mechanical models of wind damage risk and found the most widely adopted models were designed to calculate the risk at the stand level within uniform forests. Predicting the critical wind speed is said to become increasingly difficult the hillier or at least the more complex the terrain.

König (1995) proved that stand height influenced storm damage most and found a good correlation between simulated wind speed data from the numeric simulation model FITNAH (Gross 1991) and observed wind speed data as well as between severe storm damage and the simulated highest wind speeds. Schütz et al. (2006) used data from 332 randomly chosen pure and regular stands of spruce and beech in the Swiss Midlands and Doppler radar-measured wind speed. He found that the susceptibility for spruce was three times higher than for beech during the winter storm Lothar, with aspect being a significant factor but wind speed not. Hanewinkel et al. (2008) investigated a 77-year time series (1925–2001) of natural disturbances in southwestern Germany using logistic regressions combined with autoregressive techniques and found storm damage more likely in previously damaged stands. Schindler et al. (2009) used wind data from a mesoscale airflow model for southwestern Germany for the 1999 winter storm Lothar

and found the highest wind damage probability “for coniferous forest growing on acidic, fresh to moist soils on bunter sandstone formations—provided that ‘Lothar’ maximum gust wind speed exceeded 35 m s^{-1} in the areas in question”. Schmidt et al. (2010) modelled single tree storm damage using inventories from the 1999 winter storm Lothar in southwestern Germany, solving the problem of missing observed wind data by applying a generalised additive model, and found tree height to be a prominent factor influencing the level of damage.

A number of forest site and stand conditions are often mentioned as important influences on wind damage (Kuhn 1995; Quine and Bell 1998; Ray and Nicoll 1998; Dobberty 2002, 2005; Mayer et al. 2005; Schütz et al. 2006; Vanomsen 2006). These include root anchorage, which is thought both to have decreased during the past decades perhaps due to soil acidification, e.g. Braun et al. (2003), and to be a major cause of the increase in storm damage. However, such site factors might correlate with wind regimes to some extent due to regional similarities in geology and orography. Studies that do not include regional differences in the wind regimes when analysing storm damage patterns thus need careful interpretation. Braun et al. (2003) analysed soil data from permanent observation plots and forest damage data from the 1999 storm Lothar. They found more beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) than Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) had been damaged. The damage correlated negatively with the actual soil base saturation for beech and Norway spruce, negatively with coarse soil pore volume, and positively with nitrogen concentration in beech leaves. It did not correlate significantly with seasonal ozone, crown transparency, stem diameter, crown size, slenderness, social position, and position within the stand. Unlike Braun et al. (2003), Nicoll et al. (2006) found no decrease in root anchorage in their investigation of a database of almost 2,000 trees which had been mechanically overturned between 1960 and 2000 in the UK. Instead, they suggest root anchorage is significantly influenced by a variety of parameters including soil groups and root depth classes. Therefore, we cannot assume that root anchorage markedly decreased between 1990 and 1999 in Switzerland. Another factor that is thought to make severe storm damage more likely is unfrozen and water-saturated soil, but this soil condition is rather typical during cyclonal weather, which tends to precede winter storms (Usbeck et al. 2010a). Other factors known to influence storm damage, such as the proportion of conifers, stand structure, or management system, did not change substantially in the time between the winter storms Vivian and Lothar, see EAFV (1988), and Brassel and Brändli (1999). Marked regional variations in peak gust wind speeds, e.g. differences between inner alpine valleys and the central plain, occurred during both storms. The critical wind speed

of 30 m s^{-1} was greatly exceeded in some regions, but much less in others. Given these large regional differences in the wind regimes, the influence of site and forest conditions on tree failure found in overturn experiments (Nicoll et al. 2005, 2006, 2008) seems to be of minor importance.

The amount of forest damage caused by the heaviest storms has increased in Switzerland over the past 150 years (Usbeck et al. 2010a). While the largest amount of damage that occurred between 1858 and 1907 (on 02 Feb. 1879) was $571,000 \text{ m}^3$, the heaviest storm between 1958 and 2007 (on 26 Dec. 1999) damaged 14.0 million m^3 of timber. The frequency of severe damage due to winter storms has also risen in the last five decades (Usbeck et al. 2010a). These larger amounts of damaged timber might partly be attributed to the fact that the volume of standing timber has increased. However, it may also be more related to extreme wind speeds. Measurement data from Zurich (on the Swiss Plateau), which have been recorded in one of the longest time series of wind observations in Switzerland, show that the number of peak gusts over 24 h and maximum hourly wind speed means have both risen in the past five decades (Usbeck et al. 2010b).

Long-term observations have revealed large regional variations in the amount and intensity of forest damage. The damage caused by the strongest winter storms is not homogeneously distributed either across the country or even in those specific regions where the damage was particularly severe (Usbeck et al. 2010a). One possible reason is that wind fields are strongly influenced by complex terrain (Ruel et al. 1998; Quine et al. 1999). Wind speed and direction tend to vary widely between regions in Switzerland (Steinhauser 1951). In the case of significant upstream orographic barriers such as the Jura mountains, regions located in the downstream area may be affected more by winds since the air flow tends to be more turbulent than in areas without a barrier. With prevailing west wind storms, these mountains may have such a barrier effect and amplify the peak gusts in the downstream regions on the Swiss Plateau. The main wind factor determining forest damage in Canton Zurich appears to be gusts and not average wind speed (Usbeck et al. 2010b). Thus, higher turbulence is expected to lead to systematically increased damage in downstream regions.

Until now, however, the influence of wind speed on windthrow in Switzerland has never been studied on large scales (areas $>10^4 \text{ km}^2$) using high-resolution damage inventories. This study investigated the spatial correlation between the available wind data for Switzerland and the inventories of the forest damage that occurred in different regions during the storms Vivian in 1990 and Lothar in 1999.

In Switzerland, the winter storm Vivian resulted in 5.1 million m^3 damaged growing stock and Lothar in 14.0

million m^3 . Both were caused by depressions developing and moving west to east over the Atlantic. The cold front associated with Vivian moved from north to south, crossing the Alps, but the cold front associated with Lothar moved from west to east north of the Alps and did not cross the Alps. These different paths resulted in rather different forest damage patterns, with Vivian causing damage mainly in the pre-Alps and inner Alps, and Lothar mainly in the Swiss Plateau and the pre-Alps. Generally higher maximum wind speeds per event were measured during Vivian in the inner Alps, with peak gusts and maxima of 10 min average wind speed, whereas during Lothar these maxima occurred on the Swiss Plateau (WSL and BUWAL 2001).

In this study, the focus was therefore mainly on different wind factors and their spatial correlation with the damaged areas of the Swiss forest. Since the forest and site conditions did not differ very much between the two storms, their influence was not included. Therefore, wind factors alone were taken as the independent variables and the amount of damage caused as the dependent variable. The following questions were addressed:

How did different wind speed parameters such as peak gust wind speed, maxima of 10-min average wind speed or the maxima of the hourly average wind speed spatially correlate with the observed forest damage?

How did wind speed and wind pressure correlate spatially with storm damage in forests situated in hilly and in mountainous regions?

How did wind data such as simulated wind data (A), wind measurement data from climate stations (B), and expert analysed wind data (C) correlate spatially with observed forest damage?

2 Materials and methods

The study area was the whole of Switzerland. After Vivian and Lothar, damage inventories were conducted based on interpretations of aerial photos. Only damage areas included in these inventories were considered. The following geographic information system (GIS) layers were used to define the inventoried areas:

- Storm damage data: flight lines of the Vivian and Lothar inventories (flight service of the Federal Office of Topography Swisstopo, CH-8600 Dübendorf);
- Forest area data (forested: yes/no): forest mixture map WMG25 (Copyright © Bundesamt für Statistik, GEOSTAT, CH-2010 Neuchâtel);
- Additional data: Swiss border outlines (Federal Statistical Office (FSO), CH-3003 Bern), Swiss cantonal borders, and Digital Elevation Model DHM25 (© 1994 Swisstopo,

of the Federal Office of Topography Swisstopo, CH-3084 Wabern).

All storm damage data were derived from GIS shape files provided by the Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN). In the Lothar inventory, damage areas were classified as scattered damage areas (remaining canopy cover >0.2 – ≥ 0.6) or total damage areas (remaining canopy cover ≤ 0.2) for forests at least 1 ha in size, whereas in the Vivian inventory only total damage areas (remaining canopy cover ≤ 0.2) for forests at least 0.2 ha in size were recorded.

Both modelled as well as observed wind data were included. Maximum values per event were selected. The variables used are explained in Table 1.

The following data were used:

- Simulation data from a 14×14 km grid generated by a numerical, high resolution, hydrostatical weather forecast model run of the official Swiss meteorological service MeteoSwiss.
- Measurement data from the meteorological networks of the MeteoSwiss
- Estimation data:

MeteoSwiss estimation: four gust wind speed isotach maps showing the time steps of the storm front. These are hand-drawn maps showing the maximum values of wind speed recordings of the Swiss climate station networks ANETZ and ENET, published in the book “Lothar. Der Orkan 1999. Ereignisanalyse” (WSL and BUWAL 2001).

WSL estimation: storm maxima of gust wind speeds in a two-cluster isotach map based on hand-drawn maps (WSL and BUWAL 2001), ANETZ and ENET gust wind speed data, a digital elevation model, a digital inclination model and a digital exposition model.

The general approach is shown schematically in Table 2, which includes the list of abbreviations:

First, the forest GIS layer was intersected by the damage inventory perimeter and dissolved by the damage inventory layers (Fig. 1a). Second, the following buffers were constructed: circular buffers (c; Fig. 1b and c) 1, 2, 3, and 5 km in radius and rectangular buffers (w and o) centrically around the points of interest (Fig. 1d and e). The rectangular buffers comprise a longitudinal/latitudinal proportion of 5:1 and cover areas similar to circles of 0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 5 km in radius. Two different

Table 2 Investigation plan illustrating the scheduled approaches

1	2	3	4	5	6
V	M	a	p_g, p_{60}	c, w, o	B–E
L	M	a	p_g, p_{10}, p_{60}	c, w, o	B–E
L	M	e	p_g, p_{10}, p_{60}	c, w, o	B–E
L	S	r	p_g	c, w, o	(A–)B–E
L	X ₁	r	p_g	c	E
L	X ₂	r	p_g	c	E
Legend					
1	Storm				
V	Vivian				
L	Lothar				
2	Wind data: method				
M	Measurement				
S	Simulation				
X ₁	Expertise, MeteoSwiss estimation				
X ₂	Expertise, WSL estimation				
3	Sites/spatial resolution/measurement network				
a	ANETZ				
e	ANETZ and ENET				
r	Grid				
4	Wind pressure variables				
pg	Peak gust wind pressure [N m^{-2}]				
p ₁₀	Maximum of the 10-min average wind pressure [N m^{-2}]				
p ₆₀	Maximum of the hourly average wind pressure [N m^{-2}]				
5	Forest damage data: buffer				
c	Circular				
w	Rectangular, longitudinal				
o	Rectangular, perpendicular				
6	Forest damage data: buffer areas				
A	Buffer area (equivalent to a) circle 0.5 km in radius				
B	Buffer area (equivalent to a) circle 1.0 km in radius				
C	Buffer area (equivalent to a) circle 2.0 km in radius				
D	Buffer area (equivalent to a) circle 3.0 km in radius				
E	Buffer area (equivalent to a) circle 5.0 km in radius				

orientations of the rectangular buffers were tested: longitudinal (w; Fig. 1d) and perpendicular (o; Fig. 1e), arranged according to the average wind direction at the time of the maximum value of the wind speed variable considered. Third, the forest GIS layer and the damage inventory GIS layer were intersected by the buffer GIS layer. The fractions of the damaged forest area were then computed for all buffer areas. Wind pressure was calculated as:

$$p_{\text{wind}} = c_w 0.5 \rho v^2 \quad (1)$$

where, p_{wind} = wind pressure, c_w = air drag coefficient, ρ = air density, and v = wind speed. Regressions between the wind pressure and the damage fractions of the

Table 1 Wind speed variables used in this study

Variable	Symbol	Unit
Peak gust wind speed	u_g	m s^{-1}
Maximum of the 10 min average wind speed	u_{10}	m s^{-1}
Maximum of the hourly average wind speed	u_{60}	m s^{-1}

Fig. 1 Spatial distributions of the samples and estimations: **a** inventoried forest damage from the Lothar storm in Switzerland (*black areas*) in the inventory area (*grey*); **b** simulation of the Lothar storm in Switzerland, *grid points* buffers 5 km in radius around the grid points (*grey regions of interest*); **c** inventoried forest damage from the Vivian storm in Switzerland (*black areas*) from the buffers 5 km in radius (*grey buffer area*) around the ANETZ meteorological stations; **d** simulation of the Lothar storm in Switzerland: *grid points* circle 3 km in radius, equivalent in area to the rectangular buffers around the grid points, longitudinal to the wind direction (*grey regions of interest*); **e** simulation of the Lothar storm in Switzerland: *grid points* circle

3 km in radius, equivalent in area to the rectangular buffers around the grid points, perpendicular to the wind direction (*grey regions of interest*); **f** expert estimates related to the Lothar storm in Switzerland (MeteoSwiss estimation): Swiss stream network (*thin black lines and grey areas*), inventoried forest damage (*black areas*), isotachs (*black lines*, thickness according to estimated maximum gust wind speed [km h^{-1}]; numbers, estimated interisotach average of the maximum gust wind speed for a certain time step; isotachs; WSL and BUWAL 2001), **g** expert estimates related to the Lothar storm in Switzerland (WSL estimation): areas with estimated maximum gust wind speeds greater than 125 km h^{-1} (*grey areas*)

forest area were then used to compute the coefficients of determination R^2 for each buffer type (I and II), depending on the buffer area size. The software R2

(Steiger and Fouladi 1992) was applied to the angular-transformed coefficients of determination to check the significance level.

3 Specific methods

3.1 Measurements (M)

Vivian: The primary Swiss meteorological network ANETZ in forest zone altitudes (36 stations considered) and the full sets of buffers were used and the general method applied.

Lothar: The primary Swiss meteorological network ANETZ in forest zone altitudes (34 stations considered) and the full sets of buffers were used and the general method applied.

Lothar: The primary Swiss meteorological network ANETZ (34 stations considered) and the secondary Swiss meteorological network ENET (34 stations considered) in forest zone altitudes and the full set of buffers were used in combination and the general method applied.

3.2 Simulation (S)

The simulation grid and the full sets of buffers were used and the general method applied. Additionally, to separately compare the impact on the Swiss lowlands and on areas up to medium altitudes, the general method was applied to data subsets limited to the simulation points first up to 800 m above sea level (asl) and then 1,400 m asl.

3.3 Expert estimates (X)

MeteoSwiss estimation First, a peak gust map was drawn dissolving the four gust wind speed isotach maps adopted from WSL and BUWAL (2001). See the example shown in Fig. 1f. The simulation grid and the buffers c, size A, were then used and the general method applied.

To compare the observed wind data and the estimated data spatially, the peak gust map (Fig. 1g) and the map of the meteorological stations were dissolved and the means of the maxima of the observations per interisotach were computed. The significance of differences between the mean values was tested by applying the Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test (H test) and the Wilcoxon, Mann and Whitney test (U test) between all clusters separately.

WSL estimation First, a map was drawn summarising the peak gust wind speed based on meteorological measurements and descriptions. The simulation grid and the buffers c, size A, were then used and the general method applied.

To compare the observed wind data and the estimation data spatially, the peak gust wind speed map and the map of the meteorological stations were dissolved and the means of the maxima of the observations per interisotach area computed. The significance of the differences between the mean values was tested by applying the Wilcoxon, Mann and Whitney test (U test) between the clusters.

4 Results

The variable that correlated best with the damage area fraction was peak gust wind pressure (p_g). The coefficient of determination for gust wind speeds and the damage area fraction of the forest area generally increased from the larger to the smaller buffers and were best for the circular buffers of the storm Vivian (Table 3). Generally, the number of observations of damaged forest areas within the buffer zone decreased from the larger to the smaller buffers.

4.1 Measurements

Vivian: p_g fitted best spatially with the damage area fraction of the circular buffers of the storm Vivian (Table 3) and all buffer sizes tested were significantly correlated. The R^2 between the wind pressure and the damage area fraction increased from the largest to the smallest buffer. The transformed R^2 of the damage area fraction and p_g was 0.3 with the largest circular buffer and 0.8 with the smallest (Table 3), but the damage area fraction decreased from 1.7% to 0.9%. Additionally, p_g was significantly correlated with the damage area fraction of the two largest rectangular buffers along the wind direction of the storm Vivian, while R^2 remained relatively low at a level of 0.3. None of the other wind variables tested with the circular and rectangular buffers were significantly correlated. No clear increases or decreases in the damage area fraction were found.

Lothar, ANETZ: None of the wind variables of the storm Lothar tested with the circular and rectangular buffers around the meteorological stations of the ANETZ were significantly correlated, and no clear increases or decreases in the damage area fraction were found.

Lothar, ANETZ and ENET: p_g and 10 min average wind pressure of the combined ANETZ and ENET of the storm Lothar were significantly correlated with the damage fraction of the forest area of the smallest tested circular buffer size, while R^2 remained relatively low at a level of 0.2 (Table 3). All other wind variables tested with the circular and rectangular buffers were not significantly correlated and no clear increases or clear decreases were computed for the damage area fraction.

4.2 Simulation

The intermediate circular buffers of the simulation yielded significant correlations between p_g and the transformed data of the damage area fraction for the storm Lothar at low and medium altitudes, but with low levels of R^2 . The damage area fraction increased from the largest to the smallest buffers. In contrast, no significant correlations were found for the rectangular buffers, neither for the significance tests

Table 3 Coefficients of determination (R^2) and probabilities of the test statistics (p values) according to the investigation plan (described in Section 2; legend, see Table 2; *bold p* values; *underlined* significant values)

1									
V									
2									
M									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									
31									
32									
33									
34									
35									
36									
37									
38									
39									
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45									
46									
47									
48									
49									
50									
51									
52									
53									
54									
55									
56									
57									
58									
59									
60									
61									
62									
63									
64									
65									
66									
67									
68									
69									
70									
71									
72									
73									
74									
75									
76									
77									
78									
79									
80									
81									
82									
83									
84									
85									
86									
87									
88									
89									
90									
91									
92									
93									
94									
95									
96									
97									
98									
99									
100									

of the transformed data of the damage area fraction and wind speed nor for any subset of the damage area fraction.

4.3 Expert estimates

MeteoSwiss estimation No clear correlations between the damage area fraction and gust wind speed clusters were found, but when the damage inventory GIS layer was partially dissolved by the single gust wind speed isotach maps, visually close connections between the high gust wind speed areas and the areas with high damage densities (Fig. 1f) were observed.

Using the 14×14 km grid of the simulation with the circular buffer 5 km in radius, the observed p_g assigned to the spatial clusters of the dissolved map of the storm Lothar were not significantly correlated with the damage area fraction of the circular buffers 5 km in radius (Table 3). No clear correlations between the damage area fractions were found for the areas with the gust wind speed estimation clusters. With increasing levels of the gust wind speed clusters, the gust wind speeds recorded by ANETZ and ENET increased (Fig. 2). The Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test was significant. The U test was applied to each two of the clusters only for the wind pressure pairs 105/125, 105/135, and 115/135 km h^{-1} . It was also applied to the wind pressure pairs 95/135, 105/135, and 115/135 km h^{-1} for the damage fraction and significant differences between wind pressures were found. The box plots also indicate significant differences in these cases (Figs. 2 and 3).

WSL estimation The proportion of forest damage increased for the areas with high peak gust wind speed. The observed gust wind speeds assigned to the spatial clusters of the magnitude map of the storm Lothar were significantly correlated with the damage area fraction of the circular

Fig. 2 Box plots illustrating the variability of the observed wind pressure in the eight interisotach clusters of the maximum gust map (Expertise MeteoSwiss): clusters named according to the mean of the estimated wind pressure vs. observed wind pressure

Fig. 3 Box plots illustrating the variability of the observed damage area fraction in the eight interisotach clusters of the maximum gust map (Expertise MeteoSwiss): clusters named according to the mean of the estimated wind pressure vs. observed damage area fraction

buffers 5 km in radius (Table 3). The mean of the observed gust wind speed in the area of the classified high gust wind speed was clearly higher (148 km h^{-1}) than the mean of the gust wind speed in the area of the estimated lower gust wind speed (126 km h^{-1}). The U tests indicated significantly different observed gust wind speeds and damage fractions for the two gust wind speed estimation areas (see also the box plots in Figs. 4 and 5).

5 Discussion

The parameter performing best in most of our models was found to be the gust wind speed connected to the circular buffers with small buffer sizes. A close connection between storm damage to forests and wind speed is not a unique

Fig. 4 Box plots illustrating the variability of the observed wind pressure in the two interisotach clusters of the maximum gust map (Expertise WSL): clusters named according to the estimated wind pressure level vs. observed wind pressure

Fig. 5 Box plots illustrating the variability of the observed damage area fraction in the two interisotach clusters of the maximum gust map (Expertise WSL): clusters named according to the estimated wind pressure level vs. the observed damage area fraction

finding for Switzerland. It is in line with the results of various studies, e.g. Quine (1991) for (southern) Britain from 1945 to 1990, Schüepp (1994) for Switzerland examining the 1990 winter storm Vivian, Gardiner et al. (2000) for the prediction of critical wind speeds using two models, Otto (2000) analysing long-term observations in Lower Saxony in Germany, Mayer and Schindler (2002) modelling wind loads on trees for the winter storm Lothar in Germany, Nicoll et al. (2005) for estimations based on winching experiments in Scotland, Changnon (2007) for the USA from 1949–2003, Meyer et al. (2008) for the total damage threshold during the winter storm Lothar in Switzerland, Schindler et al. (2009) for the storm field of the winter storm Lothar and Gardiner et al. (2010) reviewing destructive storms for Europe 1950–2010. In other studies, wind parameters were found to be of nonsignificance (Mayer et al. 2005; Schütz et al. 2006) or secondary importance (Kupfer et al. 2008).

Several scales in worldwide use for the estimation of the effective wind speed range at a given location have also been successfully related to wind damage to trees, e.g. the Beaufort scale, the Saffir/Simpson scale, the Fujita Tornado scale and the TORRO scale (Cullen 2002; Hubrig 2004). The frequent use of such scales (Boose et al. 1994, 2001, 2004; Busby et al. 2008) can be seen as a sign of their usefulness. The effect of wind speed on trees was also used for studies relating damage to wind speeds (Cook and Goyens 2008), even using recent and subfossil forest damage patterns (Lorrey and Martin 2005). Such universal use of an effect that is relatively easy to assess (the wind effect on trees) for a cause that is very difficult to assess (wind) assumes a predominant influence of wind speed on storm damage to forests. Nonetheless, wind is not the sole agent influencing the amount of storm damage to forests

during such an event. A variety of causative factors for storm damage have been repeatedly found worldwide. In a number of studies, tree height was found to be a very important factor influencing the level of damage, e.g. Canham et al. (2001), Kenderes et al. (2007), Busing et al. (2009), and Schmidt et al. (2010). Additional factors found to significantly influence damage include altitude, exposition to the prevailing winds (Schüepp et al. 1994), stand age, development stage, percentage of conifers, soil–water logging, soil depth, exposition, stand structure, and previous damage or fellings (Dobbertin 2002), soil base saturation and nitrogen concentration in leaves (Braun et al. 2003), country, soil pH, proportion of coniferous trees, slope, humus type, stand height, and altitude (Mayer et al. 2005), tree species mixture and aspect (Schütz et al. 2006), stand structure and stand age, mean elevation, the range of elevations across the stands (Evans et al. 2007), elevation, aspect, slope, and age and height of the two dominant tree species (Kenderes et al. 2007), stand age, forest type, stand condition, site aspect, and distance to the nearest perennial stream (Kupfer et al. 2008), slope and aspect, soil water saturation (Schmöckel and Kottmeier 2008), tree species, topographic exposure, the severity of the local storm regime, and the combined effect of basal area and mean slenderness of the dominant trees (Martin-Alcon et al. 2010), land type association, distance to the nearest lake, and elevation (Moser and Nelson 2009), tree species class, and tree age or growth stage (Phillips et al. 2008), tree species, breast height diameter, and its correlation with species-specific mean crown depth/height (Yoshida and Noguchi 2009), and finally, height to diameter at breast height ratio, tree species group, exposition, and soil water saturation (Schmidt et al. 2010). However, sometimes factors such as stem diameter, slenderness, social position, and position within the stand (Braun et al. 2003) or slenderness and time since last thinning or previous damage (Schütz et al. 2006) were not found to significantly relate to storm damage to forests but in other studies one or more of them were found as significantly influencing factors (Dobbertin 2002; Hanewinkel et al. 2008; Schmidt et al. 2010).

A spatial scale-dependent differentiation was found by Xi et al. (2008): “Tree size and species explain damage variation at the stand scale; topographic, site and stand factors explain damage variation at the landscape scale and wind speed and precipitation explain damage variation at the regional scale.” Usbeck et al. (2010b) computed a correlation coefficient of 0.93 for the correlation between the maximum wind force (derived from maximum gust wind speed) and the forest damage for both the landscape scale and the regional scale. The result of Usbeck et al. (2010b) is apparently more in line with Xi et al. (2008), but seems to disagree with the present study where a generally

increasing correlation between wind speed and forest damage for smaller spatial scales was found. However, the stand scale was not examined in the study of Usbeck et al. (2010b), and Xi et al. (2008) used the wind data from the nearest weather station (at an airport) for one of two stand scale approaches and the landscape scale approach data were adopted from a Fujita scale estimation for the area concerned. Taking into account potential differences between the wind fields (hurricane, tornado, and extratropical winter storm), an assumption of similar spatial conditions may fail for the present case.

The damage inventory map for the storm Lothar shows several west–east aligned stripes with high densities of damaged forest areas (see Fig. 1f). One possible explanation is that turbulent effects at subgrid resolution triggered these extreme gusts in the downwind stripes. The spatial correlation of natural wind, including strong seasonal wind and extreme storms, was studied by Toriumi et al. (2000) for spatial resolutions between 10^2 and 10^3 m. They found spatial correlations of almost zero, especially in the case of extreme wind when the distance between measurement points was larger than 127 m. Therefore, the authors of the present study assume a strong influence of the orography on the air flow, especially in highly structured terrain (Schmöckel 2005; Lux 2007). Hence, the closest connection was computed as expected between forest damage and wind speed for the winter storm Vivian. On the other hand, the weak connection between the forest damage and the wind speed for even the smallest circular buffers for the storm Lothar was surprising. It may be explained by the extremely chaotic wind field of this exceptional storm event (Schütz et al. 2006). In the case of the storm Vivian, the wind field was partly channelized, especially in the valleys (König 1995). In a numerical experiment, Schär and Durran (1997) showed that topographic features can trigger “vortex streets”, i.e. stripes with elevated turbulence. A similar phenomenon possibly occurred during the storm Lothar: When backtracking, the directions of stripes seem to point to sharp mountain crests in the otherwise smooth ridge of the Jura Mountains, such as the Creux du Vent and the Clus at Rondchatel. The resulting high turbulence may have contributed to the lower R^2 of Lothar compared to Vivian. If these stripes were caused by small topographical features, such special conditions could be included in future models with higher spatial resolution.

Not surprisingly, the main damage areas in Switzerland were found along the Alpine valleys for the storm Vivian, but in the moderately hilly areas of the Swiss Plateau for the storm Lothar. The nonchannelized wind field of Lothar obviously included a spatially chaotic structure which was impossible to take adequately into account either by aligning the buffers to the wind direction or by the use of the smallest possible buffer size.

The problematic variable in this case is the wind speed, i.e. the spatial resolution of the available observed wind data. In such cases, the use of modelled wind data is an appropriate solution. The simulation data used in this study were derived from a rather old simulation model (Schubiger, F., MeteoSwiss, oral communication in 2007). The finding that there were significant correlations between the simulated gust wind speed and the forest damage on a small scale are in line with that of Usbeck et al. (2010b). The reason why the significance level increased when only lower altitudes were considered may be that variations in altitude increase with buffer radius in mountainous regions. Better results should be attainable when using results from computer simulations specifically run for this special purpose. Such a model is available with FITNAH, a nonhydrostatic mesoscale simulation model (Gross 1991). This model is being developed explicitly including the estimation of storm damage risks to forests and has successfully been applied to forest damage of the winter storms Vivian (König 1995) and Lothar (Schmöckel 2005). FITNAH uses various variables describing forest site and stand conditions, especially factors closely related to the wind drag such as tree age (referring to the tree height), altitude, and exposition.

Using such models and incorporating available forest site condition information and topography may potentially improve the correlation between wind information and forest damage in the complex Swiss terrain.

6 Conclusions

Gust wind speed was the wind variable that had the highest correlation with storm damage to forests, with a higher coefficient of determination for the small circular buffer areas. If it were possible to obtain more reliable and spatially accurate wind speed and pressure estimations, especially for gusts at the scale of forest stands, most of the storm damage risk to forests could probably be modelled on the basis of wind variables. For this purpose, the effects of small-scale topography on turbulence are not adequately included in current weather models. Until all the main influencing factors can be included, however, damage models will remain difficult to adapt and will produce rather random results.

If the reoccurrence period of damaging storms is shorter than the period needed for the forest to become productive and fulfil the goal of forest management, potentially affected forest stands will have to be managed in a different, loss-minimising way. For such decisions, detailed knowledge about the spatial distribution of risks is essential. Therefore, additional deterministic and probabilistic research on the mesoscale, toposcale, and microscale risks to

Swiss forests is needed for all areas likely to be affected by damaging storms.

Acknowledgements This research was partly supported by PhD fellowship No. 113425 from the Hans Böckler Stiftung (HBS), Germany, by the LOTHAR Grundlagenprogramm of the FOEN, Switzerland, and by the Forest Dynamics programme of the Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL).

We would like to thank Stephan Bader and Francis Schubiger, MeteoSwiss, for their valuable assistance in describing the meteorology of severe west wind storms in Switzerland, and Deborah van Geijtenbeek and Geneviève Baudraz for their help with the special wind datasets of MeteoSwiss. We are also indebted to Philipp Mayer, science-textflow, for information on interdisciplinary investigations of wind simulations and forest damage and to Peter Jakob, WSL, for his helpful suggestions. We thank Ann-Marie Jakob-Murphy and Silvia Dingwall for their feedback on the use of the English language. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for their useful comments.

References

- Blennow K, Olofsson E (2008) The probability of wind damage in forestry under a changed wind climate. *Clim Change* 87(3–4):347–360. doi:[10.1007/s10584-007-9290-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-9290-z)
- Boose ER, Foster DR, Fluet M (1994) Hurricane impacts to tropical and temperate forest landscapes. *Ecol Monogr* 64(4):369–400. doi:[10.2307/2937142](https://doi.org/10.2307/2937142)
- Boose ER, Chamberlin KE, Foster DR (2001) Landscape and regional impacts of hurricanes in New England. *Ecol Monogr* 71(1):27–48. doi:[10.2307/3100043](https://doi.org/10.2307/3100043)
- Boose ER, Serrano MI, Foster DR (2004) Landscape and regional impacts of hurricanes in Puerto Rico. *Ecol Monogr* 74(2):335–352. doi:[10.1890/02-4057](https://doi.org/10.1890/02-4057)
- Brassl P, Brändli U-B (1999) Schweizerisches Landesforstinventar. Ergebnisse der Zweitaufnahme 1993–1995. Paul Haupt: Bern
- Braun S, Schindler C, Volz R, Flückiger W (2003) Forest damages by the storm “Lothar” in permanent observation plots in Switzerland: the significance of soil acidification and nitrogen deposition. *Water Air Soil Poll* 142:327–340
- Busby PE, Motzkin G, Boose ER (2008) Landscape-level variation in forest response to hurricane disturbance across a storm track. *Can J For Res* 38(12):2942–2950. doi:[10.1139/x08-139](https://doi.org/10.1139/x08-139)
- Busing RT, White RD, Harmon ME, White PS (2009) Hurricane disturbance in a temperate deciduous forest: patch dynamics, tree mortality, and coarse woody detritus. *Plant Ecol* 201(1):351–363. doi:[10.1007/s11258-008-9520-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-008-9520-0)
- Canham CD, Papaik MJ, Latty EF (2001) Interspecific variation in susceptibility to windthrow as a function of tree size and storm severity for northern temperate tree species. *Can J For Res* 31(1):1–10
- Changnon SA (2007) Catastrophic winter storms: an escalating problem. *Clim Change* 84(2):131–139. doi:[10.1007/s10584-007-9289-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-007-9289-5)
- Cook GD, Goyens C (2008) The impact of wind on trees in Australian tropical savannas: lessons from cyclone Monica. *Austral Ecol* 33(4):462–470. doi:[10.1111/j.1442-9993.2008.01901.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2008.01901.x)
- Cullen S (2002) Trees and wind: wind scales and speeds. *J Arboric* 28(5):237–242
- Dobbertin M (2002) Influence of stand structure and site factors on wind damage—comparing the storms “Vivian” and “Lothar”. *For Snow Landsc Res* 77(1/2):187–205
- Dobbertin M (2005) In: Indermühle M, Raetz P, Volz R (eds) LOTHAR Ursächlichen Zusammenhänge und Risikobewertung. Synthese des Teilprogramms 6, vol 184. Umwelt-Materialien. Bundesamt für Umwelt. Wald und Landschaft (BUWAL), Bern, pp 39–52
- EAFV (1988) Schweizerisches Landesforstinventar: Ergebnisse der Erstaufnahme 1982–1986. Berichte der Eidgenössischen Anstalt für das Forstliche Versuchswesen (EAFV) 305:1–375
- Evans AM, Camp AE, Tyrrell ML, Riely CC (2007) Biotic and abiotic influences on wind disturbance in forests of NW Pennsylvania, USA. *For Ecol Manage* 245(1–3):44–53. doi:[10.1016/j.foreco.2007.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.03.024)
- Gardiner B, Peltola H, Kellomäki S (2000) Comparison of two models for predicting the critical wind speeds required to damage coniferous trees. *Ecol Model* 129(1):1–23
- Gardiner B, Byrne K, Hale S, Kamimura K, Mitchell SJ, Peltola H, Ruel JC (2008) A review of mechanistic modelling of wind damage risk to forests. *Forestry* 81(3):447–463
- Gardiner B, Blennow K, Carnus J-M, Fleischer P, Ingemarson F, Landmann G, Lindner M, Marzano M, Nicoll B, Orazio C, Peyron J-L, Reviron M-P, Schelhaas MJ, Schuck A, Spielmann M, Usbeck T (2010) Destructive storms in European forests: past and forthcoming impacts. European Forest Institute EFIAtlantic European Regional Office-EFIATLANTIC, Joensuu
- Gross G (1991) Anwendungsmöglichkeiten mesoskaliger Simulationssmodelle dargestellt am Beispiel Darmstadt. *Meteorol Rundsch* 43:97–112
- Hanewinkel M, Breidenbach J, Neeff T, Kublin E (2008) Seventy-seven years of natural disturbances in a mountain forest area—the influence of storm, snow, and insect damage analysed with a long-term time series. *Can J For Res* 38(8):2249–2261. doi:[10.1139/x08-070](https://doi.org/10.1139/x08-070)
- Hann J (1869) Untersuchungen über die Winde der nördlichen Hemisphäre und ihre klimatologische Bedeutung. Sitzb dk Akad D Wissensch II Abth I.X. Bd. (Juli-Heft)
- Hollenstein K (2002) Herleitung eines Modells zur Abschätzung der mechanischen Stabilität von Waldbeständen. Ergebnisse des Komponentenprojekts C. Polyprojekt PRIMALP. ETH Zürich, Zürich
- Hubrig M (2004) Analyse von Tornado- und Downburst-Windschäden an Bäumen. Analysis of tornado and downburst wind damage to trees. *Forst und Holz* 59:78–84
- Kenderes K, Aszalos R, Ruff J, Barton Z, Standovar T (2007) Effects of topography and tree stand characteristics on susceptibility of forests to natural disturbances (ice and wind) in the Borzsony Mountains (Hungary). *Community Ecol* 8(2):209–220. doi:[10.1556/ComEc.8.2007.2.7](https://doi.org/10.1556/ComEc.8.2007.2.7)
- König A (1995) Sturmgefährdung von Beständen im Altersklassenwald: ein Erklärungs- und Prognosemodell. J. D. Sauerländer, Frankfurt am Main
- Kuhn N (1995) Die standörtliche Abhängigkeit der Vivian-Windwürfe. Informationsblatt des Forschungsberichtes Landschaftsökologie Nr 28:1–3
- Kupfer JA, Myers AT, McLane SE, Melton GN (2008) Patterns of forest damage in a southern Mississippi landscape caused by Hurricane Katrina. *Ecosyst* 11(1):45–60. doi:[10.1007/s10021-007-9106-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-007-9106-z)
- Lamb H (1991) Historic storms of the North Sea, British Isles and Northwest Europe. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Leckebusch GC, Weimer A, Pinto JG, Reyers M, Speth P (2008) Extreme wind storms over Europe in present and future climate: a cluster analysis approach. *Meteorol Z* 17(1):67–82. doi:[10.1127/0941-2948/2008/0266](https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2008/0266)
- Lorrey A, Martin T (2005) Use of modern tree-fall patterns as a guideline for interpreting prostrate trees at a pre-Last Glacial Maximum paleoforest site, upper North Island, New Zealand. *J Geophys Res-Biogeosci* 110(G2). doi:[10.1029/2005jg000040](https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jg000040)
- Lux R (2007) Modellsimulationen zur Strömungsverstärkung von orographischen Grundstrukturen bei Sturmsituationen.

- Wissenschaftliche Berichte des Instituts für Meteorologie und Klimaforschung der Universität Karlsruhe (TH), vol 40. Universität Karlsruhe (TH), Karlsruhe
- Martin-Alcon S, Gonzalez-Olabarria JR, Coll L (2010) Wind and snow damage in the Pyrenees pine forests: effect of stand attributes and location. *Silva Fenn* 44(3):399–410
- Maurer J, Billwiller R Jr, Hess C (1909) Das Klima der Schweiz. Auf Grundlage der 37jährigen Beobachtungsperiode 1864–1900, vol 1. Huber & Co, Frauenfeld
- Mayer H, Schindler D (2002) Forest meteorological fundamentals of storm damages in forests in connection with the extreme storm “Lothar”. *Allg Forst Jagdztg* 173(11–12):200–208
- Mayer P, Brang P, Dobbartin M, Hallenbarter D, Renaud JP, Walther L, Zimmermann S (2005) Forest storm damage is more frequent on acidic soils. *Ann For Sci* 62(4):303–311. doi:10.1051/forest.2005025
- Meyer FD, Paulsen J, Korner C (2008) Windthrow damage in *Picea abies* is associated with physical and chemical stem wood properties. *Trees-Struct Funct* 22(4):463–473. doi:10.1007/s00468-007-0206-3
- Moser WK, Nelson MD (2009) Windstorm damage in Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness (Minnesota, USA): evaluating landscape-level risk factors. *Baltic For* 15(2):248–254
- Nicoll BC, Achim A, Mochan S, Gardiner BA (2005) Does steep terrain influence tree stability? A field investigation. *Can J For Res* 35(10):2360–2367. doi:10.1139/x05-157
- Nicoll BC, Gardiner BA, Rayner B, Peace AJ (2006) Anchorage of coniferous trees in relation to species, soil type, and rooting depth. *Can J For Res* 36(7):1871–1883
- Nicoll BC, Gardiner BA, Peace AJ (2008) Improvements in anchorage provided by the acclimation of forest trees to wind stress. *Forestry* 81(3):389–398
- Nilsson C, Goyette S, Barring L (2007) Relating forest damage data to the wind field from high-resolution RCM simulations: case study of Anatol striking Sweden in December 1999. *Glob Planet Chang* 57:161–176
- Otto H-J (2000) Waldbauliche Erfahrungen nach Sturmkatastrophen-eine Rückschau in Niedersachsen (Silvicultural experience after windthrow catastrophs—a retrospect view in Lower Saxony). *Forst und Holz* 55(12):371–376
- Phillips JD, Marion DA, Turkington AV (2008) Pedologic and geomorphic impacts of a tornado blowdown event in a mixed pine-hardwood forest. *Catena* 75(3):278–287. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2008.07.004
- Quine CP (1991) Recent storm damage to trees and woodlands in southern Britain. Research for practical Arboriculture. For Comm Bull, vol 97. HMSO, London
- Quine CP, Bell PD (1998) Monitoring of windthrow occurrence and progression in spruce forests in Britain. *Forestry* 71(2):87–97
- Quine CP, Humphrey JW, Ferris R (1999) Should the wind disturbance patterns observed in natural forests be mimicked in planted forests in the British uplands? *Forestry* 72(4):337–358
- Ray D, Nicoll BC (1998) The effect of soil water-table depth on root-plate development and stability of Sitka spruce. *Forestry* 71(2):169–182
- Rockel B, Woth K (2007) Extremes of near-surface wind speed over Europe and their future changes as estimated from an ensemble of RCM simulations. *Clim Change* 81:267–280
- Ruel JC, Pin D, Cooper K (1998) (Research note.) Effect of topography on wind behaviour in a complex terrain. *Forestry* 71(3):261–265
- Schär C, Durran DR (1997) Vortex formation and vortex shedding in continuously stratified flows past isolated topography. *J Atmos Sci* 54:534–554
- Schelhaas MJ, Nabuurs GJ, Sonntag M, Pussinen A (2002) Adding natural disturbances to a large-scale forest scenario model and a case study for Switzerland. *For Ecol Manage* 167(1–3):13–26
- Schelhaas MJ, Nabuurs GJ, Schuck A (2003) Natural disturbances in the European forests in the 19th and 20th centuries. *Gl Ch Biol* 9(11):1620–1633. doi:10.1046/j.1529-8817.2003.00684.x
- Schiesser H-H, Pfister C, Bader J (1997) Winter storms in Switzerland North of the Alps 1864/1865–1993/1994. *Theor Appl Climatol* 58:1–9
- Schindler D, Grebhan K, Albrecht A, Schonborn J (2009) Modelling the wind damage probability in forests in Southwestern Germany for the 1999 winter storm “Lothar”. *Int J Biometeorol* 53(6):543–554. doi:10.1007/s00484-009-0242-3
- Schmidt M, Hanewinkel M, Kandler G, Kublin E, Kohnle U (2010) An inventory-based approach for modeling single-tree storm damage—experiences with the winter storm of 1999 in southwestern Germany. *Can J For Res* 40(8):1636–1652. doi:10.1139/x10-099
- Schmöckel J (2005) Orographischer Einfluss auf die Strömung abgeleitet aus Sturmschäden im Schwarzwald während des Orkans “Lothar”. Universität Karlsruhe (TH), Karlsruhe
- Schmöckel J, Kottmeier C (2008) Storm damage in the Black Forest caused by the winter storm “Lothar”—part 1: airborne damage assessment. *Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci* 8:795–803
- Schüepp M (1950) Wolken/Wind und Wetter. Forschung und Leben, Büchergilde Gutenberg, Zürich
- Schüepp M, Schiesser HH, Huntrieser H, Scherrer HU, Schmidtke H (1994) The winterstorm “Vivian” of 27 February 1990: about the meteorological development, wind forces and damage situation in the forests of Switzerland. *Theor Appl Climatol* 49:183–200
- Schütz JP, Götz M, Schmid W, Mandallaz D (2006) Vulnerability of spruce (*Picea abies*) and beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) forest stands to storms and consequences for silviculture. *Eur J Forest Res* 125(3):291–302. doi:10.1007/s10342-006-0111-0
- Steiger JH, Fouladi RT (1992) R2. Available from: <http://www.statpower.net/Software.html>. Accessed 16 Aug 2009
- Steinhaus F (1951) Über die Windverstärkung an Gebirgsszügen. Ein Beitrag zur Frage der Beeinflussung der Luftströmungen durch Gebirge. *Theor Appl Climatol* 2:39–64
- Toriumi R, Katsuchi H, Furuya N (2000) A study on spatial correlation of natural wind. *J Wind Eng Ind Aerodyn* 87(2–3):203–216
- Trenberth KE, Jones PD, Ambeje P, Bojariu R, Easterling D, Klein Tank A, Parker D, Rahimzadeh F, Renwick JA, Rusticucci M, Soden B, Zhai P (2007) Observations: surface and atmospheric climate change. In: Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M et al (eds) Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA
- Usbeck T, Wohlgemuth T, Dobbartin M, Pfister C, Burgi A, Rebetez M (2010a) Increasing storm damage to forests in Switzerland from 1858 to 2007. *Agric For Meteorol* 150(1):47–55. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.08.010
- Usbeck T, Wohlgemuth T, Pfister C, Volz R, Beniston M (2010b) Wind speed measurements and forest damage in Canton Zurich (Central Europe) from 1891 to winter 2007. *Int J Climat* 30(3):347–358. doi:10.1002/joc.1895
- Vanomson P (2006) Der Einfluss der Durchforstung auf die Verankerung der Fichte hinsichtlich ihrer Sturmresistenz, vol 16532. Diss. ETH. Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Zürich
- WSL, BUWAL (eds) (2001) Lothar. Der Orkan 1999. Ereignisanalyse. Eidg. Forschungsanstalt WSL, Bundesamt für Wald, Schnee und Landschaft BUWAL, Birmensdorf, Bern
- Xi WM, Peet RK, Decoster JK, Urban DL (2008) Tree damage risk factors associated with large, infrequent wind disturbances of Carolina forests. *Forestry* 81(3):317–334
- Yoshida T, Noguchi M (2009) Vulnerability to strong winds for major tree species in a northern Japanese mixed forest: analyses of historical data. *Ecol Res* 24(4):909–919. doi:10.1007/s11284f-008-0566-1