How genetic data improve the interpretation of results of faecal glucocorticoid metabolite measurements in a free-living population
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Abstract

Measurement of glucocorticoid metabolites (GCM) in faeces has become a widely used and effective tool for evaluating the amount of stress experienced by animals. However, the potential sampling bias resulting from an oversampling of individuals when collecting “anonymous” (unknown sex or individual) faeces has rarely been investigated. We used non-invasive genetic sampling (NIGS) to investigate potential interpretation errors of GCM measurements in a free-living population of mountain hares during the mating and post-reproductive periods. Genetic data improved the interpretation of results of faecal GCM measurements. In general GCM concentrations were influenced by season. However, genetic information revealed that it was sex-dependent. Within the mating period, females had higher GCM levels than males, but individual differences were more expressed in males. In the post-reproductive period, GCM concentrations were neither influenced by sex nor individual. We also identified potential pitfalls in the interpretation of anonymous faecal samples by individual differences in GCM concentrations and resampling rates. Our study showed that sex- and individual-dependent GCM levels led to a misinterpretation of GCM values when collecting “anonymous” faeces. To accurately evaluate the amount of stress experienced by free-living animals using faecal GCM measurements, we recommend documenting individuals and their sex of the sampled population. In stress-sensitive and elusive species, such documentation can be achieved by using NIGS and for diurnal animals with sexual and individual variation in appearance or marked individuals, it can be provided by a detailed field protocol.

Introduction

Measurement of glucocorticoid metabolites (GCM) has become a widely used and effective tool for evaluating the amount of stress experienced by animals [1–4]. The advantage of faecal GCM measurements is that samples can be collected easily without any need to handle the animal. Thus, the sampling process is almost feedback free and is therefore appropriate for evaluating stress faced by free-living wild animals [5]. However, besides various factors, GCM
excretion may also depend on the sex of sampled individuals and on the season [6–10] and thus it is important to know the composition of the sample population to correctly interpret GCM measurements.

The extraction of genetic material from faeces, hair and other sources of DNA enables the collection of genotype data and sex ratio in wildlife populations without the need to handle, capture or even observe individual animals [11]. Such non-invasive genetic sampling (NIGS) has become a popular method for wildlife biologists and managers [12–14], especially of elusive and stress-sensitive species [15, 16]. The application of NIGS in combination with GCM measurements has the potential to allow for an evaluation of stress faced by free-living wild animals. However, to our knowledge, the combination of NIGS and GCM has never been tested on an individual level in free-living animals, but was only used for species or sex identification [17–20].

Our model species, the mountain hare (Lepus timidus), is a perfect species for testing the suitability of the combination of GCM and NIGS methods, because both GCM and NIGS have recently been developed specifically for it [16, 21–23]. The mountain hare is an elusive species that is nocturnally active, has no sexual dimorphism and is sensitive to disturbance [24, 25]. It is a non-territorial species and individual home ranges show considerable overlap [26]. This increases the risk that individual mountain hares may be oversampled when “anonymous” faeces are collected.

In this study, we addressed how knowledge (derived from NIGS) about the composition of the sampled population influences the interpretation of results of GCM measurements taken during the mating and post-reproductive periods. First, we present the results of GCM values derived from standard sample collections (“anonymous” samples without considering the sex or the individual). Next, we compare GCM values while considering the sex. We then evaluate our results of GCM measurements per season and sex based on the knowledge of genotyped individuals. Finally, we discuss the use of the combination of NIGS and GCM to improve the interpretation of results of faecal glucocorticoid metabolite measurements in a free-living population.

**Material and methods**

**Study area**

The study area is situated along the Ofenpass in the Swiss National Park in south-eastern Switzerland (46°39′N, 10°11′E; Fig 1). The estimated mountain hare density in spring 2014 was 3.4 mountain hares per 100 ha [16]. The Swiss National Park is designated by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature [27] as a Category 1a nature reserve (strict nature reserve/wilderness area) and is closed to the public in winter, usually until the second half of April. Thus, mountain hares can be studied under natural conditions without human disturbance during the mating period in spring and with minimal disturbance during the post-reproductive period in autumn. The research committee and the Department of Research and Geoinformation of the Swiss National Park gave permission to conduct the study on this site.

The 3.5 km$^2$ study area ranges in elevation from 1693 to 2587 m a.s.l. The climate in the Swiss National Park is continental, with a mean January temperature of −9°C and a mean July temperature of 11°C [29]. The monthly mean precipitation measured at 1970 m a.s.l. is 34 mm in January and 108 mm in July [29]. Habitat classification was based on the project HABITALP, which developed a habitat classification for protected areas in the Alps [30]. The study encompasses seven main habitat types: meadows (29%; with diverse grasses, including Nardus stricta, Festuca sp., Poa sp., Agrostis sp., Luzula sp., and sedges), timber stands (24%), scree slopes (16%), storeyed stands (12%; mixed Larix decidua, Pinus cembra, Pinus sylvestris, Pinus
mugo spp., Picea abies), sapling stands (6%; dominated by Pinus mugo spp.), pole timber (5%) and mature stands (5%). Other habitats cover 3% of the area.

Sample collection

We collected fresh faeces during the mating period (end of March until first half of April) and the post-reproductive period (October) in 2014 and 2015. Samples were collected both systematically and opportunistically, as described in detail by Rehnus and Bollmann [16]. Systematic sampling was conducted on 91 plots that were pre-selected on a 200-m square grid. We removed all hare faeces from the trial plots and collected fresh faecal pellets from each plot three days later to ensure the stability of faecal metabolites [21]. For the opportunistic sampling, we collected fresh faeces when we moved from one systematic plot to the next one. Upon encountering fresh mountain hare tracks in the snow, we followed the tracks to the site of the next faeces deposition. Then, one faecal pellet was collected into a separate tube for DNA extraction to minimize contamination [31] and the rest was kept for GCM analysis. Thus, faecal pellets from the same defecation were used for both genetic and steroid analysis (but stored separately at -24°C until processed).

Genetic method

The method used to genotype mountain hares is described in detail in Rehnus and Bollmann [16]. Briefly, we used ten microsatellite markers, which were successfully developed and applied under field conditions (faeces from mountain hares not older than 18 days in spring). DNA extraction from faeces was performed using the QIAamp® Fast DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Amplification was performed in three independent replicates in two multiplex PCRs at each of the ten microsatellites and at one sex-specific locus [16, 32]. For the identification of unique genotypes, we used CERVUS 3.0 [33].
Analysis of faecal cortisol metabolites

Faecal GCM were measured using an 11-oxoaetiocholanolone enzyme immunoassay (EIA), which has proven suitable (based on results of a validation study including an ACTH challenge test) for evaluating adrenocortical activity in mountain hares [21]. Every sample was dried and thoroughly homogenized. Afterwards, a portion (0.15 g) was mixed with 5 ml methanol (80%), shaken (30 min) and centrifuged (2,500 g; 15 min) and an aliquot of the supernatant (after 1:10 dilution with assay buffer) analyzed in the 11-oxoaetiocholanolone EIA. All intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were below 12% and the sensitivity of the method was 2 ng/g faeces. Details of the extraction procedure and the EIA can be found elsewhere [2, 21, 34].

Ethics statement

Faecal samples were collected without any handling of mountain hares.

Statistics

All statistical tests were conducted using R 3.1.2 [35].

Influence of season and sex on GCM concentrations. We first investigated the effect of year and season on the GCM concentrations in the collected “anonymous” fresh faecal pellets to compare their results with findings that include genetic information at different levels (S1 Table). In a second step, we included first genetic information and analyzed the effect of sex on the GCM concentrations beside year and season. In a third step, we supplementary include genetic information of individuals in our analysis to avoid pseudo-replication. Thus, before the third analysis, we replaced all samples from one individual at the same sampling location and on the same day with their mean GCM concentration (S2 Table). Finally (further processing data from step three), we accounted for potential variations in resampling rate of unique individuals per season and year. Thereby we used means of the GCM concentrations of genotyped faeces collected from the same individuals in the same season and year.

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to test for the influence of year (2014 and 2015), season (mating and post-reproductive periods), sex (male and female) and individual on GCM concentrations depending upon the level of integration of genetic information in the analysis. If we detected an influence of two variables, student’s t-tests were used to investigate the difference in GCM concentrations within the first variable (e.g. sex) across categories of the second variable (e.g. season). In order to get a normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk normality test), the data were log-transformed before the first and second analysis. In the fourth analysis, we used Mann-Whitney U-tests instead of ANOVA to investigate the differences in GCM concentration among sex during spring and among season within females because data were not normal distributed after log-transformation.

Individual variability. First, we analysed patterns of resampling rate per individual to evaluate the risk of oversampling certain individuals in the collected fresh faecal samples. We used $\chi^2$ tests to evaluate the influence of year, season, sex and individual (as an indicator for individual behaviour) on the resamplings (yes/no) for individuals.

Secondly, we analyzed the variance in GCM concentrations within unique individuals per location within the mating and post-reproductive periods and within sexes. We used post-hoc tests to illustrate significant differences in GCM concentrations across unique individuals.

Finally, we compared our results to seasonal patterns in unique individuals. To do this, we used student’s t-tests to investigate seasonal differences in GCM concentrations in the two individuals with the highest resampling rates (corrected to avoid pseudo-replicates of the same day and location) over both years: female (F2; resamplings = 13) and male (M5; resamplings = 7). Normal distribution was tested by Shapiro-Wilk normality test.
Results
Sample collection
In total, we collected 176 fresh, faecal samples from 38 individuals. Resampling rate for unique individuals varied strongly (Table 1).

Influence of season and sex on GCM concentrations
The effect of year, season, sex and individual varied dependent on the use of genetic information. In anonymous samples (N = 176), season had a significant influence on GCM concentration (F1,175 = 10.10, p = 0.002) while year did not (F1,175 = 2.97, p = 0.087). Higher concentrations (mean ± SE) were found in the mating period (104.4 ± 6.2 ng/g faeces) than in the post-reproductive period (69.4 ± 4.8 ng/g).

When genetic information on sex was included in the analysis of anonymous samples (N = 176) then the significant influence of season on GCM concentration remained (F1,175 = 10.21, p = 0.002), while sex (F1,175 = 2.88, p = 0.091) and year had no influence (F1,175 = 3.00, p = 0.085).

However, in the third analysis, where we added “individual” by genetic information (N = 113), we found that sex had a significant influence on GCM concentrations (F1,112 = 4.15, p = 0.045) in addition to season (F1,112 = 10.37, p = 0.002), while year (F1,112 = 1.17, p = 0.284) and individual had no influence (F38,75 = 1.18, p = 0.273). Females had higher GCM concentrations than males in the mating period, but not in the post-reproductive period (Fig 2). Within sexes, significantly higher GCM concentrations were found in females in the mating period as compared to the post-reproductive period (t = -3.693, p < 0.001). However, this seasonal pattern was not present in males (t = -1.405, p = 0.166; Fig 2).

To consider inter-individual variation in resampling rate of unique individuals per season and year our sample size was further reduced (N = 58). In this analysis influence of sex disappeared (F1,57 = 0.58, p = 0.456) while season had a significant influence on GCM concentration (F1,57 = 6.69, p = 0.018) and year (F1,57 = 0.06, p = 0.812) and individual had no influence (F38,20 = 0.72, p = 0.807). Nevertheless females had higher GCM concentrations than males in the mating period, but those differences were not significant (females: 124.5 ± 22.4 ng/g faeces; males: 85.7 ± 10.8 ng/g faeces; W = 209, p = 0.124). In the post-reproductive period the difference in GCM concentration among sex were not significant (t = -0.58, p = 0.566). Within sexes, significantly higher GCM concentrations were found in females in the mating period (124.5 ± 22.4 ng/g faeces) as compared to the post-reproductive period (60.2 ± 7.8 ng/g faeces, W = 32, p = 0.005). However, this seasonal pattern was not present in males (t = -0.72, p = 0.478).

Individual variability
In total, we identified 38 unique individual mountain hares, 81.6% of which were resampled at least once (Table 1). When considering all samples (N = 176) resampling rates of genotyped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>All seasons</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unique individuals</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals with resamplings</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average samples per individual</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal samples per individual</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Origin (based on NIGS) of multiple faecal samples (N = 176) collected in the Swiss National Park during the mating and post-reproductive periods (2014 and 2015).
individuals were neither influenced by season ($\chi^2 = 1.1, \text{df} = 1, p = 0.306$), nor by sex ($\chi^2 = 0.0, \text{df} = 1, p = 1$), nor by year ($\chi^2 = 0.0, \text{df} = 1, p = 1$), but depended on the individual animal ($\chi^2 = 189, \text{df} = 37, p < 0.001$).

Within unique individuals per location within the mating and post-reproductive periods and within sexes (N = 113), we found that GCM concentrations were slightly dependent on the unique individual male during the mating period ($F_{1,14} = 2.04, p = 0.056$; Fig 3). The
individual GCM levels differed up to 5-fold between individuals (median: 26.7–139.2 ng/g; Fig 3). We did not observe this influence in females during the mating period (females: $F_{1,13} = 1.01, p = 0.485$), nor in either of the sexes in the post-reproductive period (females: $F_{1,8} = 2.31, p = 0.107$; males: $F_{1,10} = 1.75, p = 0.185$).

Within sex for unique individuals per season ($N = 58$), we neither observed an influence of unique individuals during the mating period (females: $F_{1,13} = 9.37, p = 0.101$; males: $F_{1,14} = 1.15, p = 0.476$), nor in either of the sexes in the post-reproductive period (females: $F_{1,8} = 0.96, p = 0.604$; males: $F_{1,10} = 42.20, p = 0.119$).

To demonstrate variability of individual GCM concentrations in our comparison of the seasonal GCM pattern, we used the individual female F2 and the male M5 as examples; both had the highest resampling rate over both years per sex. Individual F2 confirmed the observation that females have higher GCM levels during the mating period as compared to the post-reproductive period ($t = -3.48, p = 0.005$; mean $\pm$ SE in the mating period: 168.7 $\pm$ 26.9 ng/g faeces and in the post-reproductive period: 73.4 $\pm$ 5.7 ng/g). However, the observation that males have similar GCM concentrations in the mating and the post-reproductive periods was not confirmed by individual M5. Instead M5 showed significantly higher GCM levels in the mating period as compared to the post-reproductive period ($t = -3.44, p = 0.019$; mean $\pm$ SE in the mating period: 127.2 $\pm$ 15.9 ng/g faeces and in the post-reproductive period: 64.4 $\pm$ 8.9 ng/g).

**Discussion**

**Influence of season and sex on GCM concentrations**

Our results showed how genetic data improve the interpretation of results of faecal glucocorticoid metabolite measurements in a free-living population of mountain hares. While the analysis of anonymous faeces showed that GCM concentrations were influenced by season only, genetic information indicates effects of sex and individual. However, the improvement of results by genetic information depends on the sample size from unique individuals at different location.

The effect of season may be explained by higher energetic costs of both sexes and all individuals during the mating season. However, sex and individual differences in this pattern cannot be estimated without knowledge of the composition of the sampled population which is necessary for an accurate interpretation of the results. When genetic information was added, we found higher GCM concentrations in females during the mating/gestation period as compared to the post-reproductive period ($t = -3.48, p = 0.005$; mean $\pm$ SE in the mating period: 168.7 $\pm$ 26.9 ng/g faeces and in the post-reproductive period: 73.4 $\pm$ 5.7 ng/g). However, the observation that males have similar GCM concentrations in the mating and the post-reproductive periods was not confirmed by individual M5. Instead M5 showed significantly higher GCM levels in the mating period as compared to the post-reproductive period ($t = -3.44, p = 0.019$; mean $\pm$ SE in the mating period: 127.2 $\pm$ 15.9 ng/g faeces and in the post-reproductive period: 64.4 $\pm$ 8.9 ng/g).
strong hierarchical systems prior to the mating season, which reduce mating competition across males [38, 41, 42]. For example, in European hare (*Lepus europaeus*), it was shown that the nearer a doe was to oestrus, the higher the rank of any consort was likely to be; no fighting or boxing between bucks was observed during the latter part of the breeding season [42].

**Individual variability**

Despite the low number of samples in our study, the influence of unique individuals on GCM concentration within sex and season was partly close to being statistically significant, which indicates potential pitfalls in the interpretation of anonymous samples by individual differences in GCM concentration and resampling rate.

We assume that the observed variance in GCM levels between individual males during the mating period is explained by differences in social status [6, 40, 43–45]. Higher-ranking males may have higher reproductive success than their lower-ranking counterparts, as has been shown for other wildlife species [46, 47]. The dominant hare often occupied the same area and chased away any subordinate counterparts [38]. However, individual differences in GCM excretion can also depend on factors such as behaviour, morphology, phenology, physiology and early (even prenatal) life experiences [10, 48–52].

Similarly, individual differences lead to significant differences in individual resampling rates. Thus, an oversampling (but also an undersampling) of individuals with different GCM levels can lead to misinterpretations of GCM results from “anonymous” faecal samples. Furthermore, a lack of knowledge about the identity of the individual animals hinders an understanding of individual life histories, and hence of the evolution of the population [10, 48, 49, 52, 53]. The variability of individual GCM concentrations is clearly demonstrated in our comparison of the seasonal GCM pattern of individual M5 versus that of the population. However, we also show high intra-individual variation in GCM, which can be explained by small sample size in our study, but also by other factors such as reproductive condition, predation or other acute stressors [1, 3, 23].

**Combining GCM measurements and NIGS**

Anonymous faecal samples may cause overrepresentation of particular individuals thus introducing a source of error when estimating GCM levels within a population [9]. Over the last years NIGS has become more readily available and could be combined with GCM measurement to improve the interpretation of results of faecal glucocorticoid metabolite measurements in free-living populations. However, so far in free-ranging populations this was only applied to determine the species [19, 20] or sex [17] of the animal producing the faecal sample.

Individual-level variation (both at baseline and in response to stress) combined with sex and life history factors make combined population-level assessments of adrenocortical activity difficult. One way to overcome this challenge is to identify individuals from whom serial samples are collected. For species that are easier to observe directly, such as those that are diurnally active or who display sexual and individual variation in appearance (or are specially marked), GCM measurements can be made using a detailed collection protocol (e.g. documentation of sex or individual) for field sampling, as for example described in primates, elephants or chamois [54–56]. If only anonymous sampling can be performed, genetic analyses can help to specify serial samples from the same individuals. However, drawbacks are the higher costs and the reduced actual number of samples for running statistical analyses (e.g. detailed analysis of individual differences in GCM concentration or investigation of interactions among explaining variables).
Conclusions

Our study showed that sex- and individual-dependent GCM levels can lead to misinterpretations of GCM values in “anonymous” faeces, especially during the mating period. For instance if the samples from subdominant males prevail in the mating period, seasonal GCM levels will be biased to GCM concentrations characteristic of post-reproductive period and sex and individual differences will be masked. To accurately evaluate the amount of stress experienced by free-living wild animals using faecal GCM measurements, we recommend genetic identification of sampled individuals. Such a combination allows one to remove outlier samples from individuals that are over- or under-sampled. Furthermore, combining NIGS and GCM can help identify sources of individual-level variation within a species that might be masked on the average population level, thus providing a key to understanding how the HPA-axis responds to ecological disturbances [57]. In stress-sensitive, elusive species without sexual dimorphism, this is possible using a combination of NIGS and GCM. Samples can be collected easily without any need to handle the animal and sampling is almost feedback free (not affected by collection itself). Furthermore, measured GCM levels can be attributed to unique individuals, avoiding pseudo-replication, but also allowing to specify serial samples from the same individuals.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Data used for the investigation of the effect of year and season on the GCM concentrations in the collected fresh faecal pellets (N = 176).
(PDF)

S2 Table. Data used for the investigation of the effect of year, season and individuals whereas all samples from one individual at the same sampling location and on the same day were recognized with their mean GCM concentration (N = 113).
(PDF)

Acknowledgments

We thank the Swiss National Park for granting permission to conduct this study, the park rangers for support in sample collection, E. Klobetz-Rassam for GCM measurement and to F. Gugerli, C. Rellstab and S. Brodbeck for their excellent work in genetic analysis. We also thank E. Gleeson (SciencEdit.CH) and two anonymous reviewers for providing constructive and insightful comments on the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Maik Rehnus, Rupert Palme.
Data curation: Maik Rehnus.
Formal analysis: Maik Rehnus.
Investigation: Maik Rehnus.
Methodology: Maik Rehnus, Rupert Palme.
Supervision: Maik Rehnus, Rupert Palme.
Writing – original draft: Maik Rehnus, Rupert Palme.
Writing – review & editing: Maik Rehnus, Rupert Palme.
Caveats in glucocorticoid metabolite studies

References


PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183718 August 23, 2017 10 / 12


