Modelling the snow cover in a complex Alpine topography
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ABSTRACT. The areal distribution of snow cover and the variability of its characteristics were investigated at various locations in the eastern Swiss Alps. An areal energy-balance (AEB) model was used to calculate the predominant energy fluxes at the snow-atmosphere interface based on automatic meteorological measurements as input. By coupling the AEB model with a one-dimensional, physically based mass and energy-balance model of the snowpack, temperature distribution as well as energy and mass flow in the snowpack were simulated at three different locations in the topographically complex environment at Weissfluhjoch–Davos, 2540 m.a.s.l. On a horizontal test site, calculated energy fluxes and characteristics of the snow cover are in good agreement with their measured counterparts. On inclined slopes, the temperature distribution is well represented by the coupled models, but the snow depth and density are not yet satisfactorily simulated. This discrepancy may be attributed to inhomogeneous accumulation and deposition of snow on the weather and lee sides.

INTRODUCTION

The areal distribution of snow cover and the variability of its characteristics are important inputs in climate and hydrological models. In a complex Alpine topography, the extent, depth and density of the snow cover are highly variable (Elder and others, 1991). Therefore, the inclusion of these data in climate and hydrological models is difficult, and very often models are used that need to be locally calibrated (Kirnbauer and others, 1994). Using the coupled models SAFRAN–Crocus, Martin and others (1994) showed the potential of physically based snow-cover models to reproduce and simulate a snow climatology for large Alpine regions without the need for local calibrations. Still, SAFRAN (Système d’Analyse Fournissant des Renseignements Atmosphériques à la Neige) is a sophisticated meteorological model, requiring inputs from other meteorological models (Durand and others, 1993). It calculates the input variables for snow-cover simulations in a model topography over quite large areas (about 1000 km²).

For investigations of the snow cover in real topography, however, the influences of the surrounding terrain (e.g. shading effects, reflections and emission from the terrain) have to be included on a smaller scale. For this purpose an areal energy-balance (AEB) model has been developed (Plüss, 1996), based on automatic meteorological measurements as input. The model parameterizes the predominant energy fluxes at the snow-atmosphere interface in a digitized terrain model (grid size 25 x 25 m²; total area 4 x 4 km²), including parameterizations of the diffuse and direct sky irradiance and of the irradiance from the surrounding topography in both the shortwave and longwave range. The AEB model was successfully tested during the 1995 ablation period of the snow cover in the eastern Swiss Alps (Plüss, 1996).

The purpose of this work was to drive a physically based snow-cover model (Crocus) with the hourly output of the AEB model in order to simulate the temperature and mass evolution of the snowpack on inclined terrain, and to assess its potential for use in climate and hydrological studies. A qualitative verification of the models’ performance was done during the pre-melt season by taking several temperature and snow profiles on south- and north-facing slopes, and by comparing simulated and measured energy fluxes on a well-equipped study site.

METHODS

The evolution of snow cover was simulated at three locations near the Swiss Federal Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research at Weissfluhjoch/Davos: the flat study site of the institute (at 2540 m a.s.l.) and the adjacent south- and north-facing slopes of the Totalphorn (2460 m a.s.l., inclination 36° and 2470 m a.s.l., inclination 38°, respectively) (Fig. 1). The simulation of the snowpack on the flat study site allows detailed and continuous checks of model outputs. The simulation period extends from 1 March 1996 0100 h UTC to 2 May 1996 0000 h UTC.

The hourly input to the AEB model was taken from the automatic weather station located at Weissfluhjoch, 2693 m a.s.l. (data taken included air temperature, wind speed, relative humidity and global radiation) and from the mean of three daily observations at Weissfluhjoch (cloudiness). The 24 hour water equivalent of fresh snow measured on the study site was distributed over the day according to the precipitation sequence recorded with a rain gauge. The main precipitations during the period studied were accompanied by moderate winds blowing mainly from northwest to north. The period 20–24 April
was characterized by air temperatures near 0°C and sustained gusty south winds.

The areal distribution of the predominant energy fluxes at the snow surface was modelled by the AEB model, using hourly automatic weather station data as input. The mean atmospheric transmission for clear-sky situations is calculated from the transmissivities of the most important aerosols and molecules in the atmosphere (see Dezies, 1980), but neglecting the wavelength dependency. The influence of cloud cover was calculated from the ratio of measured global radiation to the top-of-atmosphere radiation. On inclined surfaces, the effects of the surrounding terrain are included for diffuse and direct radiation. For the calculation of longwave-radiation balance, the effects of cloud cover and the radiation from the surrounding topography are taken into account. The turbulent fluxes are calculated assuming an areal constant drag coefficient for each time-step. Wind speed was calculated from a mean gradient estimated from previously recorded wind speeds at Weisfluhjoch (2693 m a.s.l.) and Davos (1560 m a.s.l.). Figure 1 shows an AEB model output for daily mean global radiation.

The hourly output of the AEB model (air temperature, wind speed, relative humidity, incoming direct and diffuse shortwave radiation and incoming longwave radiation) as well as cloudiness and study site precipitation were used to run Crocus. It is a one-dimensional (1-D), physically based mass and energy-balance model of the snowpack (Brun and others, 1992), which simulates the internal evolution of the snow cover including the most important physical processes (temperature distribution, settling, metamorphosis, simplified percolation, etc.). According to prior studies of snow accumulation in the same area (Föhn, 1980), precipitations inferred from the study site were reduced by 25% on the windy weather side (north, erosion) whereas they were increased by 25% for the lee side (south, deposition).

To check on the consistency of the model outputs, Crocus was also run with meteorological data measured automatically on the study site. Incoming shortwave and longwave radiation are measured separately, and may be directly used by Crocus. Cloudiness as well as separation into direct and diffuse incoming shortwave radiation were estimated using the parameterizations included in the model.

To initialise Crocus, as well as to verify its outputs, snow profiles were taken every 10–14 days over the test period on all three sites. Six profiles each were taken around 0800 h UTC on the south-facing slope and around 1900 h UTC either the day before or the same day on the north slope. Profiles on the study site are usually taken around 0900 h UTC. Special care was given to the measurement of both temperature and density profiles. Stratigraphy was recorded, but will not be presented in this paper.

RESULTS

Figure 2a shows the automatically recorded snow depth on the study site as compared to the simulation driven by the output of the AEB model. The simulated snow depth is calculated from the recorded water equivalent of precipitations, taking account of both air temperature and wind.

The energy balance at the snow-atmosphere interface is reflected in the surface temperature $T_s$ as long as $T_s < 0^\circ$C (Kondo and Yamazaki, 1990). Thus, as a first check, the surface temperature calculated by the snow-cover model may be compared to measured values of $T_s$. Indeed, thanks to a careful calibration of infrared thermometers (Weilenmann, 1996), the snow-surface temperature $T_s$ may accurately be measured on the study site at Weisfluhjoch. Figure 2b shows the comparison of the measured surface temperature to the simulated one using input data from the AEB model.
For all sites, measured profiles taken from snow pits are compared to simulated temperature profiles in Figure 3. The first profile on each site, including stratigraphy, was used to initialize Crocus. Also shown for the study site are the results of the simulation with study site data as well as temperature profiles recorded automatically with evenly spaced (20 cm) fixed sensors. Furthermore, both measured and simulated values of the water equivalent and the mean density of the snow cover are given at the top of the corresponding profiles.

DISCUSSION

Since the AEB model showed promising results for modeling the ablation of the snow cover in a complex topography (Pilus, 1996), the purpose of this study was to assess the ability of the coupled AEB-Crocus models to simulate qualitatively the internal evolution of the snow cover in a complex Alpine topography. However, running the AEB-model with hourly input and output data may lead to difficulties with respect to the simulation of turbulent fluxes. Due to the high variability of wind speed in time and space, the bulk parameterizations for hourly means of these fluxes may be biased by a considerable error (Pliss and Mazzoni, 1994). For daily means, however, these errors are small with respect to the magnitude of the radiative fluxes. Thus the focus has been set on long-term properties such as water equivalent and the mean density of the snow cover, as well as the time evolution of the temperature gradient in the lower part of the snowpack.

The results for the study site are satisfactory. Even though the difference between simulated and measured temperature gradients on the lower part of the snowpack may be up to the order of 25%, the time evolution is correctly modelled. There is a problem, however, regarding the overnight cooling of the surface (Fig. 2b). Indeed, the model tends to overestimate the surface temperature \( T_s \). Least squares fits of the modelled surface temperatures vs the measured one yielded \( R^2 \) terms of 0.807 (slope = 0.73) for the AEB-driven run and 0.944 (slope = 0.91) with study site data as input. This effect, however important for processes and temperature gradients near the surface, will not affect the long-term internal evolution of the lower part of the snowpack by much.

In terms of mass balance, measured and modelled water equivalents and mean densities agree within 13%. This scatter may be due to both small-scale inhomogeneities or the accumulation on the study site and measurement errors. Noteworthy is the strong simulated settling of snow cover during the warm period from 20-24 April (Fig 2), probably as a result of an anticipatory simulated wet-snow metamorphosis of the lowest layers of the snowpack.

Larger discrepancies, however, arise for the snow-cover simulation on sloped terrain, especially regarding mass balance. Problems may be due either to overdensification (first four profiles on Totalhorn south) as described above for the study site or to large inhomogeneities in the accumulation that could not be correctly modelled due to a lack of accurate wind field data. Moreover, the collection of field data on slopes is problematic in itself and the exact location of the snow pits may vary by up to 20 m, or even more on the north-facing slope. The latter may be the main reason for the differences arising between simulated and measured profiles at Totalhorn north on 3 April. Substantial improvements are needed for both mass balance and settling. Whereas settling may be improved through detailed investigations of measurements on the study site, the areal variability of snow depth on slopes due to wind effects and local topography will remain a problem until better snow-drift models are available. Furthermore, water percolation on inclined terrain cannot be represented well enough with a 1-D model, influencing also the computation of mass balance.

Nevertheless, the obvious ability of the coupled models to simulate quite correctly the evolution of temperature gradients of the lower part of the snowpack on both south- and north-facing slopes is encouraging for future applications. Indeed, using “representative” snow depths for given aspects and altitudes, these models will be valuable for climatic and hydrological studies since there is no need for local calibra-
Fig. 3. Temperature profiles on (a) Totalphorn south, (b) Totalphorn north and (c) the study site. The first profile on each site was used to initialise Crocus. Filled circles are measured profiles (snow pits); solid lines are simulations with AEB model data; hatched lines are simulations for the study site with study-site data; squares are automatically recorded profiles on the study site. Measured (snow pits) and simulated values of both the water equivalent HW in mm H2O and the mean density dens in kg m⁻³ of the snow cover are given on top of the corresponding profiles.

CONCLUSIONS

Satisfactory modelling of both the temperature and mass evolution of a snowpack in a complex Alpine topography over a 2 month period with models that do not require to be locally calibrated has been demonstrated. The importance of accurate field data to verify model outputs has been underlined. A better knowledge of the wind field, and hence of the accumulation and turbulent fluxes, as well as of physical processes like settling and water percolation, should lead to improved mass and energy balances. Such models may then be of value for climatic and hydrological studies in Alpine environments. Finally, the possibility of using energy-balance models at the snow–atmosphere interface to produce input data for physically based snow-cover models will also be of value for regional avalanche forecasting.
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